Engaging teenagers with text-message services for glycaemic control.

Commentary on: McGill, D E., Volkening, D.A., Wasserman, R.M., Anderson, B.J., and Laffel, L.M. (2019). Text-message responsiveness is associated with HbA1c benefit in teenagers with Type 1 diabetes. *Diabetic Medicine.*

Commentary

Implications for practice and research

- Teenagers with T1D are at a transition phase in becoming independent for their blood glucose monitoring. Digital interventions may be a key component of behaviour change and management strategies for glycaemic control:
- Involving the user at the centre of the technology design is critical for research that aims to support adherence with self-management for long-term conditions such as type 1 diabetes (T1D).

Context

There is a growing body of evidence associating the use and functions of mobile devices such as textmessage services for people with T1D to promote glycaemic control [1,2]. McGill *et al.* [3] addressed self-adherent behaviours of teenagers (13-17 years) with T1D through an 18-month text-message intervention to correlate factors associated with text-message responsiveness and glycaemic outcomes.

Methods

The aim was to compare how characteristics such as HbA1c levels and BG monitoring frequency at baseline and at the end of the study correlated with text-responsiveness during the study. 151 participants received the text-message intervention. HbA1c levels were measured at baseline and

every six months. Text responsiveness was measured for each six-month period as the proportion of days where each participant provided (\geq 1BG) response to text-message reminders. The denominator was the total number of days during each six-month period that a message was sent. Text-message responsiveness was calculated as the proportion of days during the eighteen-months of the study with (\geq 1BG) response. Participants with (\geq 1 BG) response on <50% of days were low responders (51%). Participants with (\geq) 1 BG response on (\geq 50%) of days were considered as high responders (49%).

Findings

Overall the results showed a statistically significant association between text responsiveness and glycaemic benefits as follows: Low responders showed an increase in HbA1c by (0.03%) from baseline to eighteen months (P = 0.03); for high responders there was no significant change in HbA1c from baseline eighteen months (P = .54). Comparisons were also made between participants with a higher HbA1c at baseline ($\geq 8\%$) and a lower HbA1c at baseline (<8%). High responders (n=42) compared with low responders (n = 58) with a higher HbA1c at baseline were significantly more likely to have a ($\geq 0.5\%$) decrease in HbA1c (odds ratio 2.5 (95% CI 1.02, 5.98); P = 0.046). High responders (n=30) compared with low responders (n=17) with a lower HbA1c at baseline were significantly more likely to have a final follow-up HbA1c in the target range of (<7.5%); OR 5.7 (95% CI 1.1, 29.6); P = 0.03).

Commentary

McGill et al. [3] contend that parameters of monitoring frequency and baseline HbA1c are predictors of long-term glycaemic control. An intervention to promote such adherence is important for the person with T1D, their carers, and health professionals. This study adds to the prior evidence [1] that such interventions improve diabetes self-efficacy, and in common with other studies [2] that text-responsiveness diminishes over time. Whilst the study captured how and when participants engaged a shortcoming is that there was more scope to determine why some participants engaged more frequently than others. However, as shown by the study, participants' behaviour towards BG monitoring was consistent with behaviour at baseline. A further limitation is that the study recruited a predominantly white (78%) participant sample, which may not be representative of the population of teenagers with T1D as a whole and represents a current challenge for diabetes and technology research

Technology is advancing rapidly, and text-message may not be a preferred method of communication. Furthermore, the introduction of a new technology changes the environment into which it is introduced [4]. A person-based approach enhances an integration of behavioural science into intervention development and all intervention components need to be evaluated in full and from the user perspective [5]. This may be crucial where teenagers are concerned in shaping technology that they are likely to consistently engage with.

References

- 1 Franklin VL, Waller A, Pagliari C, *et al.* A randomized controlled trial of Sweet Talk, a textmessaging system to support young people with diabetes. *Diabet Med* 2006;**23**:1332–8. doi:10.1111/j.1464-5491.2006.01989.x
- 2 Mulvaney SA, Rothman RL, Dietrich MS, *et al.* Using mobile phones to measure adolescent diabetes adherence. *Health Psychol* 2012;**31**:43–50.
- 3 McGill DE, Volkening LK, Butler DA, *et al.* Text-message responsiveness to blood glucose monitoring reminders is associated with HbA1c benefit in teenagers with Type 1 diabetes. *Diabetic Medicine* 2019;**36**:600–5. doi:10.1111/dme.13929
- 4 Blandford A, Gibbs J, Newhouse N, *et al.* Seven lessons for interdisciplinary research on interactive digital health interventions. *DIGITAL HEALTH* 2018;**4**:205520761877032. doi:10.1177/2055207618770325
- 5 Perski O, Blandford A, West R, *et al.* Conceptualising engagement with digital behaviour change interventions: a systematic review using principles from critical interpretive synthesis. *Transl Behav Med* 2017;**7**:254–67. doi:10.1007/s13142-016-0453-1

Evidence Based Journals

1	
2	Author's details:
3	Marion Waite, Principal Lecturer (nursing, education and student experience),
4	Oxford School of Nursing,
5	Oxford Brookes University,
6	Faculty of Life Sciences,
7	Marston Rd. Campus. OX3 0FL.
8	
8 9	Email: <u>Mwaite@brookes.ac.uk</u>
10	
10 11	Competing interests: None
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
29	
30	
31	
32	
33	
34	
35	
36	
37	
38	
39	
40	
41	
42 43	
44 45	
45 46	
40 47	
47 48	
48 49	
49 50	
51	
52	
53	
5	
-	