1	Sex- and bone-specific responses in bone structure to exogenous leptin and leptin
2	receptor antagonism in the ovine fetus
3	
4	Miles J De Blasio ^{1*} , Stuart A Lanham ^{2*} , Dominique Blache ³ , Richard O C Oreffo ² , Abigail L
5	Fowden ¹ and Alison J Forhead ^{1,4}
6	
7	* Joint first authors
8	¹ Department of Physiology, Development and Neuroscience, University of Cambridge,
9	Downing Street, Cambridge, CB2 3EG, UK
10	² Bone and Joint Research Group, Centre for Human Development, Stem Cells and
11	Regeneration, Institute of Developmental Sciences, University of Southampton, Tremona
12	Road, Southampton, SO16 6YD, UK
13	³ School of Animal Biology, University of Western Australia, 6009 Crawley, Australia
14	⁴ Department of Biological and Medical Sciences, Oxford Brookes University, Gipsy Lane,
15	Oxford, OX3 0BP, UK
16	
17	Running title: Leptin and bone development in the ovine fetus
18	Keywords: leptin, bone, fetus, femur, metatarsal, vertebra
19	
20	Address for correspondence:
21	Dr Alison J Forhead, Department of Physiology, Development and Neuroscience, University
22	of Cambridge, Downing Street, Cambridge, CB2 3EG, UK
23	Tel: +44 1223 333853; Fax: +44 1223 333840; Email: ajf1005@cam.ac.uk
24	

25 Abstract

26 Widespread expression of leptin and its receptor in developing cartilage and bone suggests that leptin may regulate bone growth and development in the fetus. Using micro-computed 27 tomography, this study investigated the effects of exogenous leptin and leptin receptor 28 antagonism on aspects of bone structure in the sheep fetus during late gestation. From 125-29 130 days of gestation (term ~145 days), chronically-catheterised singleton sheep fetuses were 30 infused intravenously for five days with either saline (0.9% saline, n=13), recombinant ovine 31 leptin at two doses (0.6 mg/kg/day LEP1, n=10 or 1.4 mg/kg/day LEP2, n=7) or recombinant 32 super-active ovine leptin receptor antagonist (4.6 mg/kg/day SOLA, n=6). No significant 33 differences in plasma insulin-like growth factor-I, osteocalcin, calcium, inorganic phosphate 34 or alkaline phosphatase were observed between treatment groups. Total femur midshaft 35 diameter and metatarsal lumen diameter were narrower in male fetuses treated with 36 37 exogenous leptin. In a fixed length of femur midshaft, total and bone volumes were reduced by the higher dose of leptin; non-bone space volume was lower in both groups of leptin-38 39 treated fetuses. Leptin infusion caused increments in femur porosity and connectivity density, 40 and vertebral trabecular thickness. Leptin receptor antagonism decreased trabecular spacing and increased trabecular number, degree of anisotrophy and connectivity density in the 41 lumbar vertebrae. The increase in vertebral porosity observed following leptin receptor 42 antagonism was greater in the male, compared to female, fetuses. Therefore, leptin may have 43 a role in the growth and development of the fetal skeleton, dependent on the concentration of 44 leptin, sex of the fetus and bone type examined. 45

47 Introduction

48 Leptin is a hormone primarily secreted by white adipose tissue which was first identified as an important regulator of appetite and energy expenditure (50), and, in adult life, is now 49 known to have a wide range of biological actions, including modulation of immune, 50 neuroendocrine and reproductive function and bone metabolism (37, 47). Before birth, the 51 expression of leptin and its receptors is widespread in fetal and placental tissues, although, to 52 date, the role of leptin in the control of growth and development in utero is poorly understood 53 (14). In the mouse fetus, mRNA and protein for leptin and its long-form signalling receptor, 54 Ob-Rb, have been localised in particular to the skeleton, including vertebrae, ribs and the 55 bones of the fore- and hind-limbs (7, 23, 24). Leptin and its receptor were expressed in 56 different cell types in the rib of the murine fetus, indicating that leptin may exert paracrine as 57 well as endocrine actions in the developing cartilage-bone (23). 58

59

In human fetuses sampled by cordocentesis at 18-35 weeks of gestation, a negative correlation 60 61 has been observed between plasma leptin and a marker of bone resorption (cross-linked carboxy-terminal telopeptode of type I collagen; 36). Leptin may, therefore, inhibit bone 62 resorption to promote growth of the fetal skeleton. Indeed, at birth, umbilical leptin 63 concentration has been shown to correlate positively with whole body bone mineral content 64 and estimated bone density in human neonates (27). However, in a study examining 65 umbilical samples from large, small and average-sized babies, plasma leptin did not relate to 66 whole body bone mineral density or content determined within the first 24 hours of life (1). 67 In addition, there are conflicting reports detailing changes in bone density in infants born to 68 diabetic mothers who are exposed to high concentrations of leptin *in utero* (18, 29, 42). 69

71 A variety of experimental studies *in vivo* and *in vitro* have demonstrated that the actions of 72 leptin on bone growth and development in postnatal animals are complex and depend on factors including i) the leptin dose, ii) route of administration, iii) age of the animal and iv) 73 74 the skeletal region and type of bone tissue examined (30). In prepubertal mice, the epiphyseal growth plate has been shown to express Ob-Rb and leptin treatment increases the size of the 75 tibial growth plate in association with proliferation and differentiation of chondrocytes (16). 76 Leptin receptors are also present in isolated fetal rat osteoblasts and in primary cultures of 77 adult osteoblasts and chondrocytes (9, 43). Studies in vitro have shown that leptin directly 78 stimulates proliferation and differentiation of osteoblasts, while inhibiting differentiation of 79 80 bone adipocytes (9, 45). In contrast, it has also been reported in rodents and sheep that leptin can suppress bone formation indirectly by hypothalamic control of sympathetic and cocaine 81 amphetamine regulated transcript (CART) pathways (12, 13, 40, 49). Both hypothalamic and 82 83 peripheral administration of leptin have been shown to correct the skeletal abnormalities seen in leptin-deficient ob/ob mice, in association with elevated serum insulin-like growth factor-I 84 (IGF-I) and osteocalcin levels, a marker of osteoblast activity (2, 26, 46). The overall effect 85 of leptin on bone development, therefore, may depend upon the balance between peripheral 86 and central leptin signalling pathways, although the relative importance of these mechanisms 87 in bone remodelling remains controversial (30). 88

89

90 The role of leptin in the control of bone growth and development before birth is unclear.
91 Previous studies have shown that plasma leptin concentration is elevated in hypothyroid fetal
92 sheep that show abnormalities in bone growth and development (22, 28), although the extent
93 to which leptin contributes to the bone phenotype in this model remains unknown. The
94 present study investigated the effects of leptin treatment and leptin receptor antagonism on
95 plasma IGF-I and osteocalcin concentrations, and aspects of bone structure determined by

micro-computed tomography, in the sheep fetus during late gestation. The study hypothesised
that exogenous leptin treatment would promote, while antagonism of the leptin receptor
would inhibit, the normal development of bone, and plasma IGF-I and osteocalcin
concentrations, in the sheep fetus.

100

101 Methods

102 Animals

All surgical and experimental procedures were approved by the local animal ethics committee and were carried out in accordance with the UK Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 under Home Office project licence PPL70/7645. Thirty-six Welsh Mountain sheep with singleton pregnancies of known gestational age were used in this study. The pregnant ewes were housed in individual pens and maintained on 200g/kg concentrates with free access to hay, water and a salt-lick block.

109

110 Surgical procedures

111 The pregnant ewes were fasted for 18-24 h before surgery with free access to water. At between 118 and 120 days of pregnancy (term 145 ± 2 days) and under general anaesthesia 112 (1.5% halothane in O₂-N₂O), catheters were inserted into the femoral artery and vein of the 113 fetus and the femoral artery of the ewe using techniques previously described (8). All 114 catheters were exteriorised through the flank of the ewe and secured in a bag sutured to the 115 skin. The vascular catheters were flushed daily with heparinised saline solution (100 IU 116 heparin in 0.9% saline) from the day after surgery. At surgery, all fetuses were administered 117 i.v. with 100 mg ampicillin (Penbritin, Beecham Animal Health, Brentford, UK) and 2 mg 118 119 gentamycin (Frangen-100, Biovet, Mullingar, Ireland). Ewes were administered with

antibiotics i.m. (Depocillin, Mycofarm, Cambridge, UK) on the day of surgery and for 3 daysthereafter.

122

123 Experimental procedures

Starting at 125 days of gestation and for a period of 5 days, one group of fetuses was infused 124 i.v. with saline (0.9% sodium chloride, n=13) while a further three groups received either 125 recombinant ovine leptin at two doses $(0.56 \pm 0.02 \text{ mg/kg/day LEP1}, n = 10 \text{ or } 1.35 \pm 0.11$ 126 127 mg/kg/day LEP2, n=7) or recombinant super-active ovine leptin antagonist (4.56 ± 0.24) mg/kg/day SOLA, n=6; Protein Laboratories Rehovot, Israel; 17, 34). The doses of leptin 128 administered increased circulating leptin to supra-physiological concentrations in the sheep 129 fetus (10) and by a similar magnitude as that seen in the umbilical blood of babies born to 130 women with obesity and/or diabetes during pregnancy (6, 18). The leptin antagonist was 131 132 produced by D23L/L39A/D40A/F41A mutation of recombinant ovine leptin (34). The leptin mutant competes with endogenous leptin for binding sites on all forms of the leptin receptor 133 134 but lacks biological activity (34). In fetal sheep, a less potent form of the recombinant ovine 135 leptin receptor antagonist (mutant L39A/D40A/FA1A/I42A, OLA) at a dose of 1.5 mg/kg/day i.v. has previously been shown to reduce STAT-3 phosphorylation by approximately 50% in 136 the adrenal cortex (11). The treatments were administered via the fetal venous catheter at a 137 rate of 3 ml/day using a Graseby portable infusion pump. Arterial blood from the fetus and 138 ewe (3 ml) was collected daily from 2 days before and during the 5-day infusion period. 139

140

On the fifth day of infusion at 130 days of gestation, the fetuses were delivered by Caesarean section under maternal general anaesthesia (20 mg/kg sodium pentobarbitone i.v.). After administration of a lethal dose of barbiturate (200 mg/kg sodium pentobarbitone i.v.) to the ewe and fetus, the fetus was weighed and a variety of tissues were collected. In all fetuses,

bodyweight, crown-rump length and fore-limb (humerus, radius and metacarpus) and hind-

146 limb (femur, tibia and metatarsal) lengths were measured. Three selected bones from the

147 axial and appendicular skeleton (femur, metatarsal and lumbar vertebra L2-L4) were dissected

148 and frozen at -80°C.

149

150 *Biochemical analyses*

All blood samples were collected into EDTA-containing tubes and centrifuged at 1000g for 5 151 minutes at 4°C; the plasma was stored at -20°C until analysis. Plasma concentrations of 152 leptin and IGF-I were determined by RIA as previously described (4, 15). The intra-assay 153 coefficients of variation were 4-5%, and the minimum levels of detection were 0.09 and 0.08 154 ng/ml, respectively. Plasma osteocalcin concentrations were determined using an ELISA kit 155 (Immunodiagnostics Systems Ltd, Boldon, UK); the intra-assay coefficient of variation was 156 157 4% and the lower limit of assay detection was 0.5 ng/ml. Total plasma calcium, inorganic phosphate and alkaline phosphatase concentrations were measured using a Siemens 158 159 Dimension RXL-2 autoanalyser (Siemens Healthcare, Camberley, UK). The minimum levels 160 of detection were 1.25 mM, 0.1 mM and 11 U/l, respectively.

161

162 *Micro-computed tomography*

163 The femur, metatarsal and lumbar vertebrae were scanned using a Skyscan 1176 *in vivo*

164 micro-CT scanner (Bruker micro-CT, Kontich, Belgium). All scans were taken at 50 kV, 50

 μ A with 0.5 mm aluminium filter and 0.4° rotation step. Individual 2D cross-sectional images

166 were reconstructed using Bruker NRecon software version 1.6.5.8. Voxel resolution was 18

167 μm. Reconstructed images were analysed using Bruker CTAn software version 1.13.5.1 to

168 calculate bone volume, bone volume to total volume ratio, bone surface to bone volume ratio,

and trabecular thickness, number and spacing. In addition, measurements were made of

170 trabecular pattern factor (relative convex or concave nature of the total bone surface),

171 porosity, connectivity density, structural model index (SMI, surface convexity) and degree of

anisotropy (DOA, orientation of trabeculae). In the femur and metatarsal, a 3.56 mm length
of midshaft bone was assessed for volumes of lumen, bone tissue and space between the bone
tissue.

175

176 *Statistical analysis*

All data were tested for normality, and parametric and non-parametric tests were used as 177 appropriate (SPSS Statistics 20 statistical analysis software, Richmond, USA). Values 178 obtained from the four groups were compared separately to assess the effects of leptin 179 infusion (saline, LEP1, LEP2) and the effects of leptin receptor antagonism (saline, SOLA). 180 Initially, all data were analysed by two-way ANOVA, with treatment and sex of the fetus as 181 182 factors, followed by Tukey's post hoc test. Where data were not influenced by the sex of the fetus, one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey's post hoc test, or paired or Student's unpaired t-183 184 test as appropriate, was used to assess the effects of treatment. Differences where p < 0.05were regarded as significant. All data are presented as mean \pm SEM values. 185

186

187 **Results**

188 Plasma hormone and metabolite concentrations

Plasma leptin concentrations in the fetuses treated with recombinant ovine leptin increased significantly over the period of the infusion (p<0.05, Table 1). The RIA method used to measure plasma leptin detected the recombinant ovine leptin receptor antagonist as leptin and, therefore, the apparent plasma leptin concentrations in the fetuses infused with the antagonist were also increased from pre-treatment levels (p<0.05, Table 1). On the fifth day of treatment, plasma leptin concentrations in the fetuses infused with either leptin or leptin

receptor antagonist were significantly higher than those observed in the control fetuses infused with saline; values were increased by leptin infusion in a dose-dependent manner (p<0.05, Table 1).

198

Plasma concentrations of IGF-I, osteocalcin, calcium and inorganic phosphate did not differ
between the treatment groups before or after infusion, and were unaffected by administration
of leptin or leptin receptor antagonist over five days (Table 1). Plasma alkaline phosphatase
concentrations were increased by gestational age over the five days of treatment in all the
groups of fetuses (p<0.05, Table 1). There was no difference in the change in plasma alkaline
phosphatase observed over the period of study between the treatment groups (Table 1).

205

206 *Body morphometry*

207 No significant differences in fetal bodyweight, crown-rump length or limb lengths were observed between the treatment groups at the end of the 5-day infusion period, when 208 209 measurements were made before dissection (Table 2). When data from the fetuses treated 210 with saline or the leptin receptor antagonist were assessed, a significant effect of sex was identified for the metatarsal, radius and metacarpal bone lengths (p<0.05 in all cases); 211 212 however, although the data indicated that values were greater in the male compared to female fetuses, the results of the Tukey post-hoc tests failed to reach significance for each pair-wise 213 comparison (p>0.05). There were no interactions between sex and treatment for any of the 214 measurements of body weight or limb length. 215

216

217 Bone structure

218 Exogenous leptin infusion

Femur midshaft diameter was significantly narrower in the fetuses of the LEP2 group
compared to those infused with saline (p<0.05, Table 3); midshaft diameter in the LEP1
fetuses was intermediate to the values observed in the saline and LEP2 fetuses (Table 3).
When analysed by sex, femur midshaft diameter was significantly greater in the male
compared to female fetuses of the saline group alone; midshaft diameter was reduced by
leptin infusion in the male, but not female, fetuses of the LEP1 and LEP2 groups (p<0.05,
Table 3).

226

In a fixed length of femur midshaft bone, total volume was significantly lower in the LEP2-227 treated fetuses, compared with the saline control group, while the values in the LEP1-treated 228 fetuses were intermediate (p<0.05, Figure 1). The midshaft volume composed of non-bone 229 space was significantly decreased by leptin treatment in both LEP1 and LEP2 groups (p<0.05, 230 231 Figure 1A). In LEP1-treated fetuses, the non-bone space expressed as a proportion of the total volume was significantly lower than that observed in the saline-treated fetuses (p<0.05, 232 Figure 1B). A significant reduction in bone tissue volume was seen in the fetuses treated with 233 the higher dose of leptin compared to those treated with the lower dose (p < 0.05, Figure 1A). 234 The bone surface to volume ratio in the femur tended to increase with leptin treatment, but 235 236 this change failed to reach statistical significance (p=0.08, Table 3).

237

In the saline control group alone, the midshaft lumen diameter of the metatarsal bone was significantly greater in the male than the female fetuses; midshaft lumen diameter was decreased by leptin infusion in male, but not female, fetuses of the LEP1 and LEP2 groups (p<0.05, Table 3). In the fixed length of midshaft bone, the bone tissue volume was significantly lower in the fetuses treated with the higher dose of leptin compared to those treated with the lower dose (p<0.05, Figure 2A).

245	Significant increments in femur trabecular porosity and connectivity density, and vertebral
246	trabecular thickness, were observed in the LEP1-infused fetuses compared to the control
247	saline group (p<0.05, Figure 3); these parameters were also elevated in the LEP2 fetuses but
248	failed to differ significantly from the values in the saline control group (Figure 3).
249	
250	For all other parameters measured in the femur, metatarsal and lumbar vertebrae, no
251	significant differences were observed between the fetuses infused with saline or leptin (Table
252	3). Leptin treatment influenced trabecular thickness (p=0.07) and DOA (p=0.08) in the
253	metatarsal, and body length (p=0.09), bone surface to volume ratio (p=0.08), trabecular
254	pattern factor (p=0.07) and structural model index (p=0.08) in the lumbar vertebrae, but these
255	effects failed to reach statistical significance (Table 3).
256	
257	Leptin receptor antagonism
258	In the lumbar vertebra, leptin receptor antagonism caused a significant decrease in trabecular
259	spacing and increases in trabecular number, DOA and connectivity density (p<0.05, Figure 4).
260	Lumbar vertebral porosity was also increased following treatment with the leptin receptor
261	antagonist in a sex-dependent manner, with the increment in porosity greater in the male,
262	compared to the female, fetuses ($p < 0.05$, Figure 5).
263	
264	In the other hones, there were no significant differences in any of the other many and
264	In the other bones, there were no significant differences in any of the other measured
264	parameters between the fetuses infused with saline or the leptin antagonist (Table 4).

267 diameter, and vertebral bone surface to volume ratio and structural model index were greater

in the male compared to female fetuses (p<0.05), but these were not affected by leptin
receptor antagonism (Table 4).

270

271 Discussion

The findings of the present study demonstrate that exogenous leptin treatment and leptin 272 receptor antagonism have differential effects on bone structure in the sheep fetus during late 273 gestation, dependent on the bone type examined and, in some aspects, the sex of the fetus. In 274 275 the femur, exogenous leptin treatment caused significant decrements in total, bone and nonbone space volumes and increments in trabecular porosity and connectivity density. In 276 addition, compared to the saline control group, a reduction in femur midshaft diameter was 277 observed in the male, but not female, fetuses treated with exogenous leptin. These findings 278 show that supra-physiological concentrations of leptin impair femoral bone growth, although 279 280 the trabecular bone may become a more organised and potentially stronger structure. In contrast, leptin receptor antagonism predominantly affected the developing lumbar vertebra. 281 282 Leptin receptor antagonism resulted in an increase in trabecular number, DOA and connectivity density, with less space between the structures and no change to trabecular 283 thickness. Therefore, while exogenous leptin promoted growth of vertebral trabeculae, the 284 leptin receptor antagonist caused generation and organisation of the vertebral trabecular bone 285 structure. These findings indicate that leptin normally suppresses these aspects of bone 286 development in the axial skeleton. The responses to exogenous leptin and leptin receptor 287 antagonism occurred without any change in circulating IGF-I, osteocalcin or other markers of 288 bone turnover. In newborn mice, primary ossification centres in the limb bones were enlarged 289 in size following maternal treatment with leptin during mid-gestation (3). The present study 290 291 is the first to investigate the consequences of direct leptin administration to the fetus for its

bone structure, with potentially fewer confounding effects of leptin on maternal and placentalphysiology.

294

295 Regional differences have been observed in the effects of leptin excess and deficiency on the appendicular and axial bones of the postnatal skeleton (19, 21). Intracerebroventricular 296 infusion of leptin in rats caused reductions in bone mineral content and density in the femur, 297 but not the lumbar vertebra (19). In ob/ob mice, the femur was reduced in length with lower 298 299 mineralization and trabecular bone volume, while trabecular volume and bone mineral content and density were increased in the lumbar vertebrae (21). The bone phenotype of the leptin-300 deficient rodent, however, is complex as previous studies have shown greater bone mass in 301 both the femur and vertebrae of *ob/ob* and leptin receptor-deficient *db/db* mice (12). 302 Measurements of bone volume and trabecular number, thickness and mineral density were 303 304 also elevated in the femur of the leptin-deficient rat, suggesting that leptin suppresses bone formation in this species (48). The overall effects of leptin manipulation on bone structure 305 306 may depend on the balance between the peripheral stimulatory and central inhibitory control 307 of bone turnover by leptin, although the relative importance of these mechanisms, especially within specific regions of the skeleton, remains poorly understood (30). 308

309

In the current study, the effects of exogenous leptin and leptin receptor antagonism on bone structure in the ovine fetus may be mediated by direct and/or indirect mechanisms, in particular via the hypothalamic relay. Leptin receptors are expressed on developing bone cells in fetal rodents (7, 9, 23) and leptin stimulates proliferation of osteoblasts isolated from fetal rats in late gestation (9). The hypothalamic control of bone development by sympathetic and CART neurones, and the role of leptin in modulating these pathways, are unknown in fetal life. In the sheep fetus during late gestation, Ob-Rb mRNA has been localised to several

hypothalamic nuclei, including the arcuate nucleus and dorsomedial, ventromedial and 317 paraventricular regions (31) and previous studies have shown that intracerebroventricular 318 infusion of leptin has effects on swallowing movements and hypothalamic-pituitary-adrenal 319 activity (25, 41). The permeability of the blood-brain barrier to supra-physiological systemic 320 concentrations of leptin and the leptin antagonist, however, remains to be established. The 321 leptin mutant antagonist can bind to all forms of the leptin receptor, including the soluble Ob-322 Re which enables leptin to transfer across the blood-brain barrier. The blood-brain barrier is 323 functional in the ovine fetus from at least two-thirds of gestation although, in many regions of 324 the brain, it is more permeable to small hydrophilic molecules in fetal compared to neonatal 325 and adult life (44). It is possible that the effects of the leptin receptor antagonist on vertebral 326 bone structure in utero are largely due to prevention of the normal inhibitory effects of leptin 327 328 on bone growth via the hypothalamic relay.

329

Most studies using human and murine leptin receptors to examine receptor kinetics have 330 331 shown that the equilibrium dissociation constant (KD) is in the sub-nanomolar range; KD values are reported to range from 0.1-15nM for leptin receptors in solution and 0.2-2.6nM for 332 those attached to the cell surface, with variation between studies possibly dependent on the 333 techniques and cell types used (38). The mean plasma concentration of leptin in the saline-334 infused control fetuses at 130 days of gestation was 0.04 nM in the present study, and rises to 335 0.06 nM in sheep fetuses near term (35). In the fetuses infused with recombinant leptin, the 336 mean plasma leptin concentrations were 0.29 and 0.51 nM on the fifth day of infusion of the 337 two leptin doses, LEP1 and LEP2, respectively. Therefore, although plasma leptin 338 concentrations achieved in the infused fetuses were significantly above the normal 339 340 endogenous levels, they were still within the range of the leptin receptor KD.

It is also possible that exposure to supra-physiological concentrations of leptin may modify 342 343 tissue expression of the leptin receptor and the activity of downstream signalling pathways. In a previous study examining the effect of leptin treatment on lung structure and function in 344 345 fetal sheep, the five-day infusion of the lower LEP1 dose caused a significant increase in pulmonary leptin receptor mRNA abundance (10). The expression and activity of leptin 346 receptors in the bone and hypothalamus were not investigated in the present study, although it 347 has been shown that long-term exposure to leptin in obese adult animals and human subjects 348 349 leads to leptin insensitivity in the appetite networks of the hypothalamus (32).

350

351 In the present study, sexual dimorphism was evident in a variety of bone measurements, and male fetuses appeared to be more sensitive to the actions of exogenous leptin and leptin 352 receptor antagonism than female fetuses. The mechanisms responsible, and the consequences 353 354 for bone structure and mechanical strength in later life, remain to be determined. Different patterns in circulating testosterone concentration have been reported in male and female sheep 355 356 fetuses from mid-gestation (39) and there may be sex-specific expression of endocrine and 357 other signalling pathways in developing bone. Treatment of pregnant rats with leptin in midgestation led to a lower birthweight, and greater longer term reductions in skeletal growth and 358 bone mineral content, in male compared with female offspring (33). It is possible that a 359 longer duration of exposure to exogenous leptin and leptin receptor antagonism, and/or at 360 different time points in bone development, would have led to more profound effects on the 361 developing ovine skeleton in both sexes. 362

363

In postnatal life, leptin is known to have an important role in the physiological adaptations to fasting: low circulating levels of leptin, due to reductions in body fat mass, lead to enhanced appetite and impaired fertility and body, including bone, growth (20). In mice, leptin treatment has been shown to correct the reduction in tibial bone length induced by calorie

restriction, independent of IGF-I levels (16). In addition, the effects of calorie restriction on bone formation are bone site-specific, with bone mineral content decreased in the femur and increased in the vertebra of mice undernourished over a six-month period (5). Before birth, the role of leptin in the response to changes in nutrient availability is less clear. In the sheep fetus, maternal undernutrition appears to have little effect on leptin production, although adipose leptin mRNA abundance and plasma leptin concentration are sensitive to levels of glucose, insulin, oxygen and glucocorticoids *in utero* (14).

375

376 Perspectives and Significance

This study has shown a role for leptin in the growth and development of the ovine fetal skeleton which is dependent on the leptin concentration, bone site and sex of the fetus. Further longer term studies are required to determine the extent to which physiological changes in leptin contribute to the endocrine control of bone growth during normal and suboptimal nutrition *in utero*. In addition, it will be important to assess whether the changes observed in bone structure induced by variations in leptin activity before birth have consequences for bone function across the life-course.

384

385 Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the members of the Department of Physiology, Development and Neuroscience, University of Cambridge who provided technical assistance in this study; and Dr Keith Burling, Department of Clinical Biochemistry, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge and Margaret Blackberry, University of Western Australia for biochemical analyses. The study was funded in part by the BBSRC (BB/HO1697X/1).

393	1. Akcakus M, Kurtoglu S, Koklu E, Kula M, Koklu S The relationship between birth
394	weight leptin and bone mineral status in newborn infants. Neonatology 91: 101-106, 2007.
395	
396	2. Bartell SM, Rayalam S, Ambati S, Gaddam DR, Hartzell DL, Hamrick M, She JX,
397	Della-Fera MA, Baile CA Central (ICV) leptin injection increases bone formation, bone
398	mineral density, muscle mass, serum IGF-1, and the expression of osteogenic genes in leptin-
399	deficient ob/ob mice. J Bone Miner Res 26: 1710-1720, 2011.
400	
401	3. Bertoni L, Ferretti M, Cavani F, Zavatti M, Resca E, Benelli A, Palumbo C Leptin
402	increases growth of primary ossification centers in fetal mice. J Anat 215: 577-583, 2009.
403	
404	4. Blache D, Tellam RL, Chagas LM, Blackberry MA, Vercoe PE, Martin GB (2000)
405	Level of nutrition affects leptin concentrations in plasma and cerebrospinal fluid in sheep. J
406	Endocrinol 165: 625-637, 2000.
407	
408	5. Brochmann E, Duarte M, Zaidi H, Murray S Effects of dietary restriction on total body,
409	femoral, and vertebral bone in SENCAR, C57BL/6, and DBA/2 mice. Metabolism 52: 1265-
410	1273, 2003.
411	
412	6. Catalano PM, Presley L, Minium J, Hauguel-de Mouzon S Fetuses of obese mothers
413	develop insulin resistance in utero. Diabetes Care 32: 1076-80, 2009.
414	
415	7. Chen SC, Cunningham JJ, Smeyne RJ Expression of OB receptor splice variants during
416	prenatal development of the mouse. J Recept Signal Transduct Res 20: 87-103, 2000.

417

418	8. Comline RS, Silver M The composition of foetal and maternal blood during parturition in
419	the ewe. J Physiol 222: 233-256, 1972.
420	
421	9. Cornish J, Callon KE, Bava U, Lin C, Naot D, Hill BL, Grey AB, Broom N, Myers
422	DE, Nicholson GC, Reid IR (2002) Leptin directly regulates bone cell function in vitro and
423	reduces bone fragility in vivo. J Endocrinol 175: 405-415, 2002.
424	
425	10. De Blasio MJ, Boije M, Kempster SL, Smith GC, Charnock-Jones DS, Denyer A,
426	Hughes A, Wooding FB, Blache D, Fowden AL, Forhead AJ Leptin matures aspects of
427	lung structure and function in the ovine fetus. Endocrinology 157: 395-404, 2016.
428	
429	11. Ducsay CA, Furuta K, Vargas VE, Kaushal KM, Singleton K, Hyatt K, Myers DA
430	Leptin receptor antagonist treatment ameliorates the effects of long-term maternal hypoxia on
431	adrenal expression of key steroidogenic genes in the ovine fetus. Am J Physiol 304: R435-
432	442, 2013.
433	
434	12. Ducy P, Amling M, Takeda S, Priemel M, Schilling AF, Beil FT, SHen J, Vinson C,
435	Rueger JM, Karsenty G Leptin inhibits bone formation through a hypothalamic relay: a
436	central control of bone mass. Cell 100: 197-207, 2000.
437	
438	13. Elefteriou F, Ahn JD, Takeda S, Starbuck M, Yang X, Liu X, Kondo H, Richards
439	WG, Bannon AW, Noda M, Clement K, Vaisse C, Karsenty G Leptin regulation of bone
440	resorption by the sympathetic nervous system and CART. Nature 434: 514-520, 2005.
441	

442	14. Forhead AJ, Fowden AL The hungry fetus? Role of leptin as a nutritional signal before
443	birth. J Physiol 587: 1145-1152, 2009.
444	
445	15. Forhead A, Jellyman J, Gillham K, Ward J, Blache D, Fowden A Renal growth
446	retardation following angiotensin II type 1 (AT_1) receptor antagonism is associated with
447	increased AT ₂ receptor protein in fetal sheep. <i>J Endocrinol</i> 208: 137-145, 2011.
448	
449	16. Gat-Yablonski G, Ben-Ari T, Shtaif B, Potievsky O, Moran O, Eshet R, Maor G,
450	Segey Y, Phillip M Leptin reverses the inhibitory effect of caloric restriction on longitudinal
451	growth. Endocrinology 145: 343-350, 2004.
452	
453	17. Gertler A, Simmons J, Keisler DH Large-scale preparation of biologically active
454	recombinant ovine obese protein (leptin). FEBS Lett 422: 137-140, 1998.
455	
456	18. Gross GA, Solenberger T, Philpott T, Holcomb WL Jr, Landt M Plasma leptin
457	concentrations in newborns of diabetic and nondiabetic mothers. Am J Perinatol 15: 243-247,
458	1998.
459	
460	19. Guidobono F, Pagani F, Sibilia V, Netti C, Lattuada N, Rapetti D, Mrak E, Villa I,
461	Cavani F, Bertoni L, Palumbo C, Ferretti M, Marotti G, Rubinacci A Different skeletal
462	regional response to continuous brain infusion of leptin in the rat. Peptides 27: 1426-1433,
463	2006.
464	
465	20. Hamrick MW Leptin, bone mass, and the thrifty phenotype. J Bone Miner Res 19: 1607-

466 11, 2004.

46	7
----	---

468	21. Hamrick MW, Pennington C, Newton D, Xie D, Isales C Leptin deficiency produces
469	contrasting phenotypes in bones of the limb and spine. Bone 34: 376-383, 2004.
470	
471	22. Harris SE, De Blasio MJ, Davis MA, Kelly AC, Davenport HM, Wooding FBP,
472	Blache D, Meredith D, Anderson M, Fowden AL, Limesand SW, Forhead AJ
473	Hypothyroidism in utero stimulates pancreatic beta cell proliferation and hyperinsulinaemia
474	in the ovine fetus during late gestation. J Physiol 595: 3331-3343, 2017.
475	
476	23. Hoggard N, Hunter L, Duncan JS, Williams LM, Trayhurn P, Mercer JG Leptin and
477	leptin receptor mRNA and protein expression in the murine fetus and placenta. Proc Natl
478	Acad Sci USA 94: 11073-11078, 1997.
479	
480	24. Hoggard N, Hunter L, Lea RG, Trayhurn P, Mercer JG Ontogeny of the expression of
481	leptin and its receptor in the murine fetus and placenta. Brit J Nutr 83: 317-326, 2000.
482	
483	25. Howe DC, Gertler A, Challis JR The late gestation increase in circulating ACTH and
484	cortisol in the fetal sheep is suppressed by intracerebroventricular infusion of recombinant
485	ovine leptin. J Endocrinol 174: 259-266, 2002.
486	
487	26. Iwaniec UT, Boghossian S, Lapke PD, Turner RT, Kalra SP Central leptin gene
488	therapy corrects skeletal abnormalities in leptin-deficient ob/ob mice. Peptides 28: 1012-
489	1019, 2007.
490	

491	27. Javaid MK, Godfrey KM, Taylor P, Robnson SM, Crozier SR, Dennison EM,
492	Robinson JS, Breier BR, Arden NK, Cooper C (2005) Umbilical cord leptin predicts
493	neonatal bone mass. Calcif Tissue Int 76: 341-347, 2005.
494	
495	28. Lanham SA, Fowden AL, Roberts C, Cooper C, Oreffo RO, Forhead AJ Effects of
496	hypothyroidism on the structure and mechanical properties of bone in the ovine fetus. J
497	Endocrinol 210: 189-198, 2011.
498	
499	29. Lapillonne A, Guerin S, Braillon P, Claris O, Delmas PD, Salle BL Diabetes during
500	pregnancy does not alter whole body bone mineral content in infants. J Clin Endocrinol
501	Metab 82: 3993-3997, 1997.
502	
503	30. Motyl KJ, Rosen CJ Understanding leptin-dependent regulation of skeletal homeostasis.
504	<i>Biochimie</i> 94: 2089-2096, 2012.
505	
506	31. Muhlhausler BS, McMillen IC, Rouzaud G, Findlay PA, Marrocco EM, Rhind SM,
507	Adam CL Appetite regulatory neuropeptides are expressed in the sheep hypothalamus before
508	birth. J Neuroendocrinol 16: 502-507, 2004.
509	
510	32. Myers MG, Cowley MA, Munzberg H. Mechanisms of leptin action and leptin
511	resistance. Annu Rev Physiol 70: 537-556, 2008.
512	
513	33. Nilsson C, Swolin-Eide D, Ohlsson C, Eriksson E, Ho HP, Björntorp P, Holmäng A
514	Reductions in adipose tissue and skeletal growth in rat adult offspring after prenatal leptin
515	exposure. J Endocrinol 176: 13-21, 2003.

517	34. Niv-Spector L, Shpilman M, Boisclair Y, Gertler A Large-scale preparation and
518	characterization of non-pegylated and pegylated superactive ovine leptin antagonist. Protein
519	Expr Purif 81: 186-192, 2012.
520	
521	35. O'Connor DM1, Blache D, Hoggard N, Brookes E, Wooding FB, Fowden AL,
522	Forhead AJ Developmental control of plasma leptin and adipose leptin messenger
523	ribonucleic acid in the ovine fetus during late gestation: role of glucocorticoids and thyroid
524	hormones. <i>Endocrinology</i> 148: 3750-3757, 2007.
525	
526	36. Ogueh O, Sooranna S, Nicolaides KH, Johnson MR The relationship between leptin
527	concentration and bone metabolism in the human fetus. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 85: 1997-
528	1999, 2000.
529	
530	37. Park HK, Ahima RS Physiology of leptin: energy homeostasis, neuroendocrine function
531	and metabolism. Metabolism 64: 24-34, 2015.
532	
533	38. Peelman F, Zabeau L, Moharana K, Savvides SN, Tavernier J 20 years of leptin:
534	insights into signaling assemblies of the leptin receptor. J Endocrinol 223: T9-T23, 2014.
535	
536	39. Pomerantz DK, Nalbandov AV Androgen level in the sheep fetus during gestation. Proc
537	Soc Exp Biol Med 149: 413-416, 1975.
538	

539	40. Pogoda P, Egermann M, Schnell JC, Priemel M, Schilling AF, Alini M, Schinke T,
540	Rueger JM, Schneider E, Clarke I, Amling M Leptin inhibits bone formation not only in
541	rodents, but also in sheep. J Bone Miner Res 21: 1591-1599, 2006.
542	
543	41. Roberts TJ, Nijland MJ, Caston-Balderrama A, Ross MG (2001) Central leptin
544	stimulates ingestive behavior and urine flow in the near term ovine fetus. Horm Metab Res
545	33: 144-150, 2001.
546	
547	42. Schushan-Eisen I, Cohen M, Leibovitch L, Maayan-Metzger A, Strauss T Bone
548	density among infants of gestational diabetic mothers and macrosomic neonates. Matern
549	<i>Child Health J</i> 19: 578-582, 2015.
550	
551	43. Steppan CM, Crawford DT, Chidsey-Frink KL, Ke H, Swick AG Leptin is a potent
552	stimulator of bone growth in ob/ob mice. Regul Pept 92: 73-78, 2000.
553	
554	44. Stonestreet BS, Patlak CS, Pettigrew KD, Reilly CB, Cserr HF Ontogeny of blood-
555	brain barrier function in ovine fetuses, lambs, and adults. Am J Physiol 271: R1594-R1601,
556	1996.
557	
558	45. Thomas T, Gori F, Khosla S, Jensen MD, Burguera B, Riggs BL Leptin acts on human
559	marrow stromal cells to enhance differentiation to osteoblasts and to inhibit differentiation to
560	adipocytes. Endocrinology 140: 1630-1638, 1999.
561	
562	46. Turner RT, Kalra SP, Wong GP, Philbrick KA, Lindenmaier LB, Boghossian S,
563	Iwaniec UT Peripheral leptin regulates bone formation. J Bone Miner Res 28: 22-34, 2013.

304	5	6	4
-----	---	---	---

566	<i>Metabolism</i> 64: 105-13, 2015.
567	
568	48. Vaira S, Yang C, McCoy A, Keys K, Xue S, Weinstein EJ, Novack DV, Cui X
569	Creation and preliminary characterization of a leptin knockout rat. Endocrinology 153: 5622-
570	5628, 2012.
571	
572	49. Yadav VK, Oury F, Suda N, Liu ZW, Gao XB, Confavreux C, Klemenhagen KC,
573	Tanaka KF, Gingrich JA, Guo XE, Tecott LH, Mann JJ, Hen R, Horvath TL, Karsenty
574	G A serotonin-dependent mechanism explains the leptin regulation of bone mass, appetite,
575	and energy expenditure. Cell 138: 976-989, 2009.

47. Upadhyay J, Farr OM, Mantzoros CS The role of leptin in regulating bone metabolism.

577	50. Zhang Y	, Proenca R,	Maffei M,	Barone M,	Leopold L,	Friedman	JM Positional
-----	-------------	--------------	-----------	-----------	------------	----------	---------------

cloning of the mouse obese gene and its human homologue. *Nature* 372: 425-32, 1994.

580 Figure legends

581 1. Mean $(\pm$ SEM) bone volumes (A) and percentage bone volumes (B) in a fixed length of midshaft femur from fetuses infused for five days with either saline, leptin (LEP1 and LEP2) 582 or leptin antagonist (SOLA). For comparisons between saline and leptin treatment groups, 583 columns with different letters are significantly different from each other; uppercase letters 584 indicate differences in the total volume, and lowercase letters at the SEM bars indicate 585 differences in volume compartments (one-way ANOVA, p<0.05). Compartments with no 586 587 letters at the SEM bars are not significantly different from each other (p>0.05). 588 589 2. Mean (± SEM) bone volumes (A) and percentage bone volumes (B) in a fixed length of midshaft metatarsal from fetuses infused for five days with either saline, leptin (LEP1 and 590 LEP2) or leptin antagonist (SOLA). For comparisons between saline and leptin treatment 591

groups, compartments with different letters at the SEM bars are significantly different from each other (one-way ANOVA, p<0.05). Compartments with no letters at the SEM bars are not significantly different from each other (p>0.05).

595

596 3. Mean (\pm SEM) porosity (A) and connectivity density (B) in the femur, and trabecular 597 thickness (C) in the lumbar vertebra, of fetuses infused for five days with either saline or 598 leptin (LEP1 and LEP2). Columns with different letters are significantly different from each 599 other (one-way ANOVA, p<0.05).

600

4. Mean (± SEM) trabecular number (A), trabecular spacing (B), degree of anisotrophy (C)
and connectivity density (D) in the lumbar vertebra of fetuses infused for five days with either
saline or leptin antagonist (SOLA). *, significantly different from saline-treated fetuses
(Student's unpaired t-test, p<0.05).

606 5. Mean (\pm SEM) porosity in the lumbar vertebra of fetuses infused for five days with either

- saline or leptin antagonist (SOLA). *, significantly different from saline-treated fetuses of the
- same sex (two-way ANOVA, p < 0.05); \dagger , significantly different from male fetuses in the same
- 609 treatment group (two-way ANOVA, p < 0.05).

610 **Table 1.** Mean (±SEM) plasma hormone and metabolite concentrations in the fetuses before (basal) and five days after infusion with saline,

611 leptin (LEP1, LEP2) or leptin receptor antagonist (SOLA). Basal = mean of days 0, -1 and -2. In comparisons between saline and leptin

treatment groups, values with different superscript letters are significantly different from each other (one-way ANOVA, p<0.05); † significant

613 difference between fetuses treated with saline or leptin receptor antagonist (Student's unpaired t-test, p<0.05); * significant difference from basal 614 values (paired t-test, p<0.05).

		Saline (n=9-11)	LEP1 (n=9-10)	LEP2 (n=7)	SOLA (n=6)
	Basal	0.69 ± 0.05	0.85 ± 0.03	0.90 ± 0.07	0.59 ± 0.03
Leptin (ng/ml)	Day 5	$0.72 \pm \mathbf{0.07^a}$	4.66 ± 1.11* ^b	8.19 ± 1.73* ^c	7.93 ± 1.10*†
	Change	$+0.03 \pm 0.04$ ^a	$+3.81 \pm 1.05^{b}$	$+7.29 \pm 1.76^{\circ}$	$+7.35 \pm 1.09$ †
	Basal	17.4 ± 1.7	14.0 ± 2.3	11.3 ± 1.3	16.1 ± 1.2
IGF-I (ng/ml)	Day 5	19.5 ± 2.4	14.8 ± 1.7	14.6 ± 2.8	14.9 ± 2.5
	Change	$+2.1 \pm 1.7$	$+0.9 \pm 1.2$	$+3.3 \pm 3.6$	-1.2 ± 2.0
	Basal	10.15 ± 0.44	11.95 ± 0.65	11.20 ± 0.55	10.95 ± 0.45
Osteocalcin (ng/ml)	Day 5	10.11 ± 0.39	11.86 ± 0.43	10.05 ± 1.13	10.16 ± 0.47
	Change	$\textbf{-0.04} \pm 0.41$	-0.09 ± 0.41	-1.15 ± 0.87	$\textbf{-0.80} \pm 0.40$
Calaium (mM)	Basal	2.91 ± 0.03	2.86 ± 0.05	2.81 ± 0.07	2.89 ± 0.04
	Day 5	2.94 ± 0.05	2.93 ± 0.07	3.02 ± 0.17	2.85 ± 0.14

	Change	$+0.03\pm0.05$	$+0.08\pm0.08$	$+0.23\pm0.20$	$\textbf{-0.04} \pm 0.17$
	Basal	2.23 ± 0.09	2.40 ± 0.09	1.95 ± 0.10	2.19 ± 0.13
Inorganic phosphate (mM)	Day 5	2.12 ± 0.10	2.21 ± 0.08	2.13 ± 0.11	1.99 ± 0.14
	Change	$\textbf{-0.12}\pm0.07$	$\textbf{-0.19}\pm0.09$	$+0.18\pm0.15$	$\textbf{-0.20} \pm 0.12$
	Basal	172 ± 20	156 ± 15	122 ± 11	215 ± 16
Alkaline phosphatase (U/l)	Day 5	$201 \pm 24*$	$190 \pm 22*$	$166 \pm 22*$	$244 \pm 10^*$
	Change	$+28 \pm 12$	$+34 \pm 14$	$+44 \pm 17$	$+30 \pm 11$

Table 2. Mean (±SEM) measurements of bodyweight and morphometry in the fetuses on the fifth day after infusion with saline, leptin (LEP1,

618 LEP2) or leptin receptor antagonist (SOLA).

619

	Saline	LEP1	LEP2	SOLA
	(n=13)	(n=10)	(n=7)	(n=6)
Sex of fetuses (female:male)	7F:6M	5F:5M	4F:3M	3F:3M
Bodyweight (kg)	2.76 ± 0.16	2.74 ± 0.12	2.32 ± 0.19	2.67 ± 0.14
Crown-rump length (cm)	43.0 ± 1.0	43.5 ± 0.7	41.4 ± 1.1	44.6 ± 1.1
Fore-limb lengths (cm)				
Humerus	9.2 ± 0.4	8.8 ± 0.1	8.4 ± 0.2	9.2 ± 0.8
Radius	10.3 ± 0.3	10.5 ± 0.2	9.8 ± 0.3	10.5 ± 0.4
Metacarpal	12.5 ± 0.5	12.5 ± 0.2	12.0 ± 0.4	11.8 ± 0.7
Hind-limb lengths (cm)				
Femur	10.0 ± 0.5	10.2 ± 0.4	9.4 ± 0.3	10.8 ± 1.0
Tibia	13.2 ± 0.4	13.5 ± 0.3	12.6 ± 0.4	12.9 ± 0.3
Metatarsal	15.1 ± 0.5	15.0 ± 0.2	14.5 ± 0.4	14.0 ± 1.1

621 Table 3. Structural properties of femur, metatarsal and lumbar vertebra bones in fetuses infused for five days with saline or leptin (LEP1,

622 LEP2). In comparisons between saline and leptin groups, values with different superscript letters are significantly different from each other

623 (two-way ANOVA, p<0.05). † significantly different from male fetuses in same treatment group (two-way ANOVA, p<0.05).

D	D	Saline (n=13)		LEP1 (n=10)		LEP2 (n=7)		Effect of leptin infusion (p-value)		
Bone property	Bone type	Male (n=6)	Female (n=7)	Male (n=5)	Female (n=5)	Male (n=3)	Female (n=4)	Treatment	Sex	Interaction
					0.4.4ah		o oob			
	Femur	7.50 ±	= 0.26 ^a	7.24 ±	0.14 ^{ab}	6.58 ±	0.22	0.010	NS	0.014
Midshaft total diameter	i cintui	$8.13 \pm \mathbf{0.23^a}$	$6.95\pm0.33\dagger$	$7.16 \pm 0.25^{\mathrm{b}}$	7.32 ± 0.17	6.31 ± 0.31^{b}	6.79 ± 0.30	0.010	115	0.014
(mm)	Matatanaal	7.11 ±	= 0.20	7.11 ±	= 0.16	6.64 ±	= 0.23	NC	(0, 0.76)	NC
	Metatarsai	7.59 ± 0.12	6.64 ± 0.27	7.26 ± 0.27	6.95 ± 0.19	6.59 ± 0.36	6.68 ± 0.34	IN S	(0.076)	INS
	Г	3.61 ±	= 0.18	3.37 ±	= 0.21	3.40 ±	= 0.24	NG	NG	(0, 0.50)
Midshaft lumen diameter	Femur	3.83 ± 0.26	3.43 ± 0.24	2.93 ± 0.15	3.81 ± 0.27	3.24 ± 0.37	3.52 ± 0.36	NS	NS	(0.059)
(mm)		4.34 ±	= 0.17	4.25 ±	= 0.10	4.14 ±	= 0.17		NG	0.000
	Metatarsal	$4.78\pm0.11^{\rm a}$	$\textbf{3.89} \pm \textbf{0.18} \dagger$	$\textbf{4.20} \pm \textbf{0.18}^{b}$	4.30 ± 0.10	4.09 ± 0.22^{b}	4.17 ± 0.27	NS	NS	0.009
Midshaft wall thickness	Femur	1.94 ±	= 0.12	1.93 ±	= 0.12	1.59 ±	= 0.09	(0.075)	NS	NS
(mm)	Metatarsal	1.39 ±	= 0.06	1.43 ±	- 0.06	1.25 ±	= 0.09	NS	NS	NS
Body length (mm)	Vartahraa	7.83 ±	= 0.18	7.91 ±	0.13	7.31 ±	= 0.15	(0.091)	NS	NS
Total bone volume (mm ³)	vertebrae	394.7	± 29.9	398.0 -	± 28.6	311.6	± 36.6	NS	NS	NS
Dono volumo/totol	Femur	28.8	± 2.5	30.0 -	± 3.0	31.7	± 3.9	NS	NS	NS
Bone volume/total	Metatarsal	28.7	± 1.8	30.0 -	± 1.3	29.5	± 1.8	NS	NS	NS
volume (70)	Vertebra	31.6	± 2.8	38.4 -	± 3.5	41.8	± 6.5	NS	NS	NS
Bone surface/bone	Femur	32.6	± 1.4	37.0 -	± 1.4	35.1	± 1.4	(0.088)	NS	NS

volume (mm ² /mm ³)	Metatarsal	30.0 ± 0.8	27.8 ± 1.1	29.7 ± 1.0	NS	NS	NS
	XX / 1	27.0 ± 1.1	23.1 ± 1.4	23.4 ± 2.7	(0,001)		(0,057)
	Vertebra	$29.4 \pm 1.0 \qquad 25.1 \pm 1.6$	20.9 ± 1.7 25.2 ± 1.9	$20.6 \pm 4.1 \qquad 25.6 \pm 3.7$	(0.081)	NS	(0.057)
Trabecular thickness	Femur	0.116 ± 0.003	0.110 ± 0.003	0.112 ± 0.004	NS	NS	NS
(mm)	Metatarsal	0.127 ± 0.003	0.137 ± 0.003	0.128 ± 0.003	(0.073)	NS	NS
	Femur	2.44 ± 0.16	2.69 ± 0.21	2.78 ± 0.27	NS	NS	NS
Trabecular number (/mm)	Metatarsal	2.26 ± 0.13	2.18 ± 0.07	2.30 ± 0.12	NS	NS	NS
	Vertebra	2.20 ± 0.13	2.24 ± 0.10	2.44 ± 0.20	NS	NS	NS
	Femur	0.26 ± 0.02	0.22 ± 0.02	0.22 ± 0.02	NS	NS	NS
Trabecular spacing (mm)	Metatarsal	0.27 ± 0.02	0.27 ± 0.01	0.27 ± 0.02	NS	NS	NS
	Vertebra	0.30 ± 0.02	0.30 ± 0.02	0.27 ± 0.04	NS	NS	NS
Trabaquiar mattern factor	Femur	3.97 ± 1.09	2.23 ± 1.75	0.99 ± 2.50	NS	NS	NS
(mm)	Metatarsal	5.08 ± 0.82	4.97 ± 0.40	4.81 ± 0.58	NS	NS	NS
(/11111)	Vertebra	2.96 ± 0.83	-1.04 ± 1.54	-0.84 ± 2.22	(0.069)	NS	NS
Dorosity (%)	Metatarsal	0.007 ± 0.002	0.005 ± 0.001	0.004 ± 0.002	NS	NS	NS
r 010sity (78)	Vertebra	0.007 ± 0.001	0.029 ± 0.012	0.045 ± 0.033	NS	NS	NS
	Femur	1.32 ± 0.13	1.37 ± 0.15	1.22 ± 0.17	NS	NS	NS
Structural model index	Metatarsal	1.61 ± 0.08	1.68 ± 0.06	1.55 ± 0.08	NS	NS	NS
	Vertebra	1.29 ± 0.12	0.89 ± 0.15	0.69 ± 0.35	(0.077)	NS	NS
	Femur	2.15 ± 0.05	1.99 ± 0.06	2.01 ± 0.12	NS	NS	NS
Degree of anisotropy	Metatarsal	1.43 ± 0.07	1.65 ± 0.07	1.64 ± 0.09	(0.080)	NS	NS
	Vertebra	1.53 ± 0.06	1.44 ± 0.06	1.50 ± 0.14	NS	NS	NS
	Metatarsal	78.1 ± 14.1	65.6 ± 7.3	69.7 ± 7.7	NS	NS	NS
Connectivity density (/mm ³)	Vertebra	52.9 ± 6.8	72.7 ± 19.1	66.0 ± 15.9	NS	NS	NS

Table 4. Structural properties of femur, metatarsal and lumbar vertebra bones in fetuses infused for five days with saline or a leptin receptor

627 a	ntagonist (SOLA).	<i>†</i> significantly	different from male	fetuses in same	treatment group	(two-way)	ANOVA, p<0).05).
-------	-------------------	------------------------	---------------------	-----------------	-----------------	-----------	------------	--------

		Saline (n=13)		SOLA (n=6)		Effect of SOLA infusion (p-value)		
Bone property	Bone type	Male (n=6)	Female (n=7)	Male (n=3)	Female (n=3)	Treatment	Sex	Interaction
	Fomur	7.50 =	± 0.26	7.62 -	± 0.23	NS	0.006	NIS
Midshaft total diamatan (mm)	геши	8.13 ± 0.23	6.95 ± 0.33 †	8.06 ± 0.10	$7.17 \pm 0.24 \dagger$	INS	0.000	INS
Wildshaft total diameter (mm)	Matatawaal	7.11 =	± 0.20	6.98 :	± 0.30	NC	0.001	NC
	Metatarsai	7.59 ± 0.12	6.64 ± 0.27 †	7.61 ± 0.25	$6.35\pm0.07\dagger$	INS	0.001	INS
	Femur	3.61 =	± 0.18	3.26 =	± 0.16	NS	NS	NS
Midshaft lumen diameter (mm)	M	4.34 =	± 0.17	4.25 -	± 0.20	NC	0.001	
	Metatarsal	4.78 ± 0.11	3.89 ± 0.18†	4.66 ± 0.19	$\textbf{3.84} \pm \textbf{0.03} \ddagger$	NS	0.001	NS
Midshaft wall this was (mm)	Femur	1.94 =	± 0.12	2.18 =	± 0.10	NS	NS	NS
Wildshaft wan thickness (mm)	Metatarsal	1.39 =	± 0.06	1.37 =	± 0.08	NS	NS	NS
Body length (mm)	Vertebra	7.83 =	± 0.18	8.06 -	± 0.40	(0.091)	NS	NS
Total bone volume (mm ³)		394.7	± 29.9	454.7	± 54.7	NS	NS	NS
	Femur	28.8	± 2.5	33.1	± 1.7	NS	NS	NS
Pona valuma/tatal valuma (%)	Metatarsal	28.7	± 1.8	23.8	± 1.5	NS	NS	NS
Bone volume/total volume (78)	Vartabra	31.6	± 2.8	38.1	± 3.3	NS	(0, 007)	NS
	Veneora	26.6 ± 2.6	35.9 ± 4.2	34.9 ± 3.2	41.4 ± 5.8	115	(0.097)	IND
	Femur	32.6	± 1.4	29.6	± 1.3	NS	NS	NS
Bone surface/bone volume	Metatarsal	30.0	± 0.8	32.1	± 1.5	NS	NS	NS
(mm^2/mm^3)	Vartabra	27.0	± 1.1	28.0	± 1.3	NC	0.041	NG
	verteora	29.4 ± 1.0	25.1 ± 1.6 †	29.6 ± 1.4	26.3 ± 1.9	IND	0.041	IND

	Femur	0.116 ± 0.003	0.121 ± 0.004	NS	NS	NS
Trabecular thickness (mm)	Metatarsal	0.127 ± 0.003	0.120 ± 0.003	NS	NS	NS
	Vertebra	0.142 ± 0.005	0.142 ± 0.008	NS	NS	NS
Trobacular number (/mm)	Femur	2.44 ± 0.16	2.73 ± 0.06	NS	NS	NS
Trabecular humber (/him)	Metatarsal	2.26 ± 0.13	1.99 ± 0.09	NS	NS	NS
Trobacular spacing (mm)	Femur	0.26 ± 0.02	0.23 ± 0.01	NS	NS	NS
Trabecular spacing (IIIII)	Metatarsal	0.27 ± 0.02	0.29 ± 0.02	NS	NS	NS
	Femur	3.97 ± 1.09	2.41 ± 0.60	NS	NS	NS
Trabecular pattern factor	Metatarsal	5.08 ± 0.82	7.77 ± 1.21	(0.099)	NS	NS
(/mm)	Vortobro	2.96 ± 0.83	1.68 ± 0.82	NS	(0.058)	NS
	veneora	$4.50 \pm 0.90 1.64 \pm 1.16$	$2.79 \pm 1.17 0.57 \pm 0.88$	INS	(0.038)	IND
	Femur	0.005 ± 0.002	0.003 ± 0.001	NS	NS	NS
Porosity (%)	Femur	$\frac{0.005 \pm 0.002}{0.007 \pm 0.002}$	0.003 ± 0.001	NS	NS	NS
Porosity (%)	Femur Metatarsal	$\begin{array}{c} 0.005 \pm 0.002 \\ 0.007 \pm 0.002 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.003 \pm 0.001 \\ 0.002 \pm 0.001 \end{array}$	NS NS	NS NS	NS NS
Porosity (%)	Femur Metatarsal Femur	$\begin{array}{c} 0.005 \pm 0.002 \\ 0.007 \pm 0.002 \\ 1.32 \pm 0.13 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.003 \pm 0.001 \\ 0.002 \pm 0.001 \\ 1.13 \pm 0.07 \end{array}$	NS NS NS	NS NS NS	NS NS NS
Porosity (%)	Femur Metatarsal Femur Metatarsal	$\begin{array}{c} 0.005 \pm 0.002 \\ 0.007 \pm 0.002 \\ 1.32 \pm 0.13 \\ 1.61 \pm 0.08 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.003 \pm 0.001 \\ 0.002 \pm 0.001 \\ 1.13 \pm 0.07 \\ 1.77 \pm 0.14 \end{array}$	NS NS NS NS	NS NS NS NS	NS NS NS NS
Porosity (%) Structural model index	Femur Metatarsal Femur Metatarsal	$\begin{array}{c} 0.005 \pm 0.002 \\ 0.007 \pm 0.002 \\ 1.32 \pm 0.13 \\ 1.61 \pm 0.08 \\ 1.29 \pm 0.12 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.003 \pm 0.001 \\ \hline 0.002 \pm 0.001 \\ \hline 1.13 \pm 0.07 \\ \hline 1.77 \pm 0.14 \\ \hline 1.38 \pm 0.16 \end{array}$	NS NS NS NS	NS NS NS NS	NS NS NS NS
Porosity (%) Structural model index	Femur Metatarsal Femur Metatarsal Vertebra	$\begin{array}{c c} 0.005 \pm 0.002 \\ \hline 0.007 \pm 0.002 \\ \hline 1.32 \pm 0.13 \\ \hline 1.61 \pm 0.08 \\ \hline 1.29 \pm 0.12 \\ \hline \textbf{1.52 \pm 0.12} & \textbf{1.09 \pm 0.17} \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.003 \pm 0.001 \\ \hline 0.002 \pm 0.001 \\ \hline 1.13 \pm 0.07 \\ \hline 1.77 \pm 0.14 \\ \hline 1.38 \pm 0.16 \\ \hline \textbf{1.60 \pm 0.27} \textbf{1.16 \pm 0.10} \end{array}$	NS NS NS NS	NS NS NS 0.037	NS NS NS NS
Porosity (%) Structural model index	Femur Metatarsal Femur Metatarsal Vertebra Femur	$\begin{array}{c} 0.005 \pm 0.002 \\ 0.007 \pm 0.002 \\ 1.32 \pm 0.13 \\ 1.61 \pm 0.08 \\ 1.29 \pm 0.12 \\ \hline \textbf{1.52 \pm 0.12} \textbf{1.09 \pm 0.17} \\ 2.15 \pm 0.05 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.003 \pm 0.001 \\ \hline 0.002 \pm 0.001 \\ \hline 1.13 \pm 0.07 \\ \hline 1.77 \pm 0.14 \\ \hline 1.38 \pm 0.16 \\ \hline \textbf{1.60 \pm 0.27} \textbf{1.16 \pm 0.10} \\ \hline 2.24 \pm 0.06 \end{array}$	NS NS NS NS NS	NS NS NS 0.037 NS	NS NS NS NS NS
Porosity (%) Structural model index Degree of anisotropy	Femur Metatarsal Femur Metatarsal Vertebra Femur Metatarsal	$\begin{array}{c} 0.005 \pm 0.002 \\ \hline 0.007 \pm 0.002 \\ \hline 1.32 \pm 0.13 \\ \hline 1.61 \pm 0.08 \\ \hline 1.29 \pm 0.12 \\ \hline \textbf{1.52 \pm 0.12} \textbf{1.09 \pm 0.17} \\ \hline 2.15 \pm 0.05 \\ \hline 1.43 \pm 0.07 \\ \hline \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.003 \pm 0.001 \\ \hline 0.002 \pm 0.001 \\ \hline 1.13 \pm 0.07 \\ \hline 1.77 \pm 0.14 \\ \hline 1.38 \pm 0.16 \\ \hline \textbf{1.60 \pm 0.27} \textbf{1.16 \pm 0.10} \\ \hline 2.24 \pm 0.06 \\ \hline 1.36 \pm 0.05 \\ \hline \end{array}$	NS NS NS NS NS NS NS	NS NS NS 0.037 NS NS	NS NS NS NS NS NS
Porosity (%) Structural model index Degree of anisotropy	Femur Metatarsal Femur Metatarsal Vertebra Femur Metatarsal Femur	$\begin{array}{c} 0.005 \pm 0.002 \\ \hline 0.007 \pm 0.002 \\ \hline 1.32 \pm 0.13 \\ \hline 1.61 \pm 0.08 \\ \hline 1.29 \pm 0.12 \\ \hline \textbf{1.52 \pm 0.12} \textbf{1.09 \pm 0.17} \\ \hline 2.15 \pm 0.05 \\ \hline 1.43 \pm 0.07 \\ \hline 68.5 \pm 7.9 \\ \hline \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.003 \pm 0.001 \\ \hline 0.002 \pm 0.001 \\ \hline 1.13 \pm 0.07 \\ \hline 1.77 \pm 0.14 \\ \hline 1.38 \pm 0.16 \\ \hline \textbf{1.60 \pm 0.27} \textbf{1.16 \pm 0.10} \\ \hline 2.24 \pm 0.06 \\ \hline 1.36 \pm 0.05 \\ \hline 63.5 \pm 2.65 \\ \hline \end{array}$	NS NS NS NS NS NS NS NS	NS NS NS 0.037 NS NS NS NS	NS NS NS NS NS NS NS

Figure 1. Mean (± SEM) bone volumes (A) and percentage bone volumes (B) in a fixed length of midshaft femur from fetuses infused for five days with either saline, leptin (LEP1 and LEP2) or leptin antagonist (SOLA). For comparisons between saline and leptin treatment groups, columns with different letters are significantly different from each other; uppercase letters indicate differences in the total volume, and lowercase letters at the SEM bars indicate differences in volume compartments (one-way ANOVA, p<0.05). Compartments with no letters at the SEM bars are not significantly different from each other (p>0.05).



Figure 2. Mean (± SEM) bone volumes (A) and percentage bone volumes (B) in a fixed length of midshaft metatarsal from fetuses infused for five days with either saline, leptin (LEP1 and LEP2) or leptin antagonist (SOLA). For comparisons between saline and leptin treatment groups, compartments with different letters at the SEM bars are significantly different from each other (one-way ANOVA, p<0.05). Compartments with no letters at the SEM bars are not significantly different from each other (p>0.05).



Figure 3. Mean (± SEM) porosity (A) and connectivity density (B) in the femur, and trabecular thickness (C) in the lumbar vertebra, of fetuses infused for five five days with either saline or leptin (LEP1 and LEP2). Columns with different letters are significantly different from each other (one-way ANOVA, p<0.05).



Figure 4. Mean (± SEM) porosity in the lumbar vertebra of fetuses infused for five days with either saline or leptin antagonist (SOLA). *, significantly different from saline-treated fetuses of the same sex (two-way ANOVA, p<0.05); †, significantly different from male fetuses in the same treatment group (two-way ANOVA, p<0.05).



Figure 5. Mean (± SEM) porosity in the lumbar vertebra of fetuses infused for five days with either saline or leptin antagonist (SOLA). *, significantly different from saline-treated fetuses (p<0.05); †, significantly different from male fetuses in the same treatment group (p<0.05).

