RESEARCH PAPER



# Stacks off tracks: a role for the golgin AtCASP in plant endoplasmic reticulum-Golgi apparatus tethering

Anne Osterrieder<sup>[1,](#page-0-0)</sup>\*, Imogen A Sparkes<sup>1,[2](#page-0-1)</sup>, Stan W Botchway<sup>[3](#page-0-2)</sup>, Andy Ward<sup>3</sup>, Tijs Ketelaar<sup>4</sup>, Norbert de Ruijter<sup>4</sup> and Chris Hawes<sup>[1,](#page-0-0)\*</sup>

<span id="page-0-0"></span><sup>1</sup> Department of Biological and Medical Sciences, Faculty of Health and Life Sciences, Oxford Brookes University, Gipsy Lane, Headington, Oxford, OX3 0AZ, UK

<span id="page-0-1"></span><sup>2</sup> Present address: School of Biological Sciences, University of Bristol, Bristol Life Sciences Building, 24 Tyndall Avenue, Bristol BS8 1TQ, UK

<span id="page-0-2"></span><sup>3</sup> Central Laser Facility, Science and Technology Facilities Council, Research Complex at Harwell, Didcot, Oxon OX11 0FA, UK

<span id="page-0-3"></span><sup>4</sup> Laboratory of Cell Biology, Wageningen University, Droevendaalsesteeg 1, 6708PB Wageningen, The Netherlands

\* Correspondence: [a.osterrieder@brookes.ac.uk](mailto:a.osterrieder@brookes.ac.uk?subject=) and [chawes@brookes.ac.uk](mailto:chawes@brookes.ac.uk?subject=)

Received 14 March 2017; Editorial decision 25 April 2017; Accepted 25 April 2017

Editor: Christine Raines, University of Essex, UK

# Abstract

The plant Golgi apparatus modifies and sorts incoming proteins from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER) and synthesizes cell wall matrix material. Plant cells possess numerous motile Golgi bodies, which are connected to the ER by yet to be identified tethering factors. Previous studies indicated a role for *cis*-Golgi plant golgins, which are long coiled-coil domain proteins anchored to Golgi membranes, in Golgi biogenesis. Here we show a tethering role for the golgin AtCASP at the ER-Golgi interface. Using live-cell imaging, Golgi body dynamics were compared in *Arabidopsis thaliana* leaf epidermal cells expressing fluorescently tagged AtCASP, a truncated AtCASP-**Δ**CC lacking the coiled-coil domains, and the Golgi marker STtmd. Golgi body speed and displacement were significantly reduced in AtCASP-**Δ**CC lines. Using a dual-colour optical trapping system and a TIRF-tweezer system, individual Golgi bodies were captured *in planta*. Golgi bodies in AtCASP-**Δ**CC lines were easier to trap and the ER-Golgi connection was more easily disrupted. Occasionally, the ER tubule followed a trapped Golgi body with a gap, indicating the presence of other tethering factors. Our work confirms that the intimate ER-Golgi association can be disrupted or weakened by expression of truncated AtCASP-**Δ**CC and suggests that this connection is most likely maintained by a golgin-mediated tethering complex.

Key words: Arabidopsis, endomembrane system, endoplasmic reticulum, golgin, Golgi apparatus, optical tweezers, secretory pathway, tethering factor.

# Introduction

The architecture of the Golgi apparatus is distinct and seemingly simple. It is an organelle composed of lipids and proteins, arranged as a polarized stack of flattened cisternae, capable of processing and distributing secretory cargo around and out of the cell ([Staehelin and Moore, 1995](#page-11-0); [Polishchuk](#page-11-1)  [and Mironov, 2004](#page-11-1); [Klumperman, 2011](#page-10-0)). However, the exact mechanisms of Golgi stack assembly and maintenance are still not fully understood [\(Wang and Seemann, 2011\)](#page-11-2). It is

Abbreviations: ER, endoplasmic reticulum; ERES, ER exit sites; mRFP, monomeric red fluorescent protein

© The Author 2017. Published by Oxford University Press on behalf of the Society for Experimental Biology.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted reuse, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

clear that these processes depend on a highly complex and tightly regulated cascade of molecular events ([Altan-Bonnet](#page-10-1) *et al.*[, 2004\)](#page-10-1), in which proteins attach to correct membranes and precisely orchestrate a multitude of events including tethering, fusing and budding [\(Hawes](#page-10-2) *et al.*, 2010; [Wilson and](#page-11-3) [Ragnini-Wilson, 2010](#page-11-3)).

A Golgi stack has a *cis*-face through which it receives secretory cargo proteins from the endoplasmic reticulum (ER, [Robinson](#page-11-4) *et al.*, 2007; [Hawes](#page-10-3) *et al.*, 2008; [Lorente-Rodriguez](#page-11-5) [and Barlowe, 2011;](#page-11-5) [Robinson](#page-11-6) *et al.*, 2015) and a *trans*-face where protein cargo exits via the *trans*-Golgi network and enters intracellular or exocytotic post-Golgi transport routes ([Foresti and Denecke, 2008](#page-10-4); [Park and Jurgens, 2011](#page-11-7)). Secretory cargo proteins move through the stack to be processed sequentially and glycosylated by resident N-glycosyltransferases ([Schoberer and Strasser, 2011\)](#page-11-8). COPII-coated membrane carriers function in anterograde ER-to-Golgi transport, whereas COPI-coated vesicles transport proteins backwards within the stack and from the *cis*-Golgi stack back to the ER for recycling [\(Robinson](#page-11-6) *et al.*, 2015).

Golgi structure differs significantly between kingdoms. The mammalian Golgi apparatus is most often organized as a stationary peri-nuclear 'Golgi ribbon' in which single stacks appear to laterally fuse to create a ribbon-like structure [\(Nakamura](#page-11-9) *et al.*, 2012). Plant cells on the other hand contain numerous discrete and highly mobile Golgi bodies ([Hawes and Satiat-Jeunemaitre, 2005](#page-10-5)), which move along the actin cytoskeleton [\(Boevink](#page-10-6) *et al.*, 1998; [Nebenführ](#page-11-10) *et al.*, [1999](#page-11-10)) in a myosin-dependent manner ([Sparkes, 2010\)](#page-11-11).

In leaf epidermal cells, Golgi bodies appear intimately associated with ER exit sites (ERES), which are specialized subdomains of the ER at which protein export occurs. This association has resulted in the adoption of the 'mobile secretory unit concept' [\(daSilva](#page-10-7) *et al.*, 2004; [Hanton](#page-10-8) *et al.*, 2009; [Robinson](#page-11-6) *et al.*, 2015), where the ERES and the Golgi bodies move as a functional unit either with the surface of the ER or over the ER. A study using optical tweezers in living leaf epidermal cells confirmed this concept by demonstrating a strong physical connection between ER tubules and Golgi bodies upon micromanipulation of the latter [\(Sparkes](#page-10-9) *et al.*, [2009](#page-10-9)*b*).

However, to date we have no definite information on the nature of the molecular complexes that are assumed to be involved in tethering Golgi stacks to ERES. In mammalian cells the golgins, a family of Golgi-localized proteins with long coiled-coil domains, participate in tethering events at the Golgi ([Barr and Short, 2003](#page-10-10); [Short](#page-11-12) *et al.*, 2005; Barinaga-Rementeria [Ramirez and Lowe, 2009](#page-11-13); [Wong and Munro,](#page-11-14) [2014](#page-11-14)). Their coiled-coil domains form a rod-like structure that protrudes into the cytoplasm and thus is free to interact with membranous structures, such as cargo carriers and neighbouring cisternae, or form a part of larger protein tethering complexes [\(Gillingham and Munro, 2003;](#page-10-11) [Malsam and](#page-11-15) [Söllner, 2011;](#page-11-15) [Chia and Gleeson, 2014](#page-10-12)). Indeed, [Glick \(2014\)](#page-10-13) has suggested a new model for ERES/Golgi interaction, where protein tethers could link nascent COPII vesicles budding from the ERES to *cis*-Golgi membranes or the intermediate compartment that will be transported to the *cis*-Golgi.

Plants possess a set of putative golgins that locate to Golgi bodies and protein interaction partners have been identified for some of them [\(Gilson](#page-10-14) *et al.*, 2004; [Latijnhouwers](#page-10-15) *et al.*[, 2005](#page-10-15)*b*; [Renna](#page-10-16) *et al.*, 2005; [Latijnhouwers](#page-10-17) *et al.*, 2007; [Matheson](#page-11-16) *et al.*, 2007; [Osterrieder, 2012\)](#page-11-17). Their subcellular functions largely remain unclear, although a mammalian p115 homologue has been suggested to be a tethering factor involved in anterograde transport from the ER [\(Takahashi](#page-11-18) *et al.*[, 2010\)](#page-11-18). A *cis*-Golgi localized golgin and a good candidate protein for tethering Golgi bodies to ER exit sites is AtCASP ([Latijnhouwers](#page-10-18) *et al.*, 2005*a*; [Renna](#page-10-16) *et al.*, 2005; [Latijnhouwers](#page-10-17) *et al.*, 2007). AtCASP is a type II transmembrane domain protein with a topology similar to the animal CASP protein ([Gillingham](#page-10-19) *et al.*, 2002). Its N-terminal coiled-coil domains are predicted to form a rod-like structure reaching into the cytoplasm, while its C-terminus contains a transmembrane domain sufficient for Golgi targeting ([Renna](#page-10-16) *et al.*[, 2005](#page-10-16)) and multiple di-acidic DXE motifs required for ER export [\(Hanton](#page-10-16) *et al.*, 2005).

*CASP*, initially identified as a nuclear alternative splicing product of *CUTL1* that encodes the transcriptional repressor CCAAT displacement protein CDP/cut ([Lievens](#page-11-19) *et al.*, 1997), was found to locate to Golgi membranes by [Gillingham and](#page-10-19) [colleagues \(2002\).](#page-10-19) The authors observed protein interactions between CASP and golgin-84 and hSec23 at substochiometric levels, as well as genetic interactions between the yeast CASP homologue COY1 and the SNAREs Gos1p and Sec22p, suggesting a role for CASP in membrane trafficking. Subsequently, Malsam and colleagues reported CASP to function in an asymmetric tethering complex with Golgin-84, with CASP decorating Golgi membranes and Golgin-84 COPI vesicles ([Malsam](#page-11-20) *et al.*, 2005).

Our previous studies indicated a role for AtCASP in Golgi stack formation at an early stage and possibly at the level of ERES [\(Osterrieder](#page-10-2) *et al.*, 2010). After Golgi membrane disruption using an inducible GTP-locked version of the small COPII GTPase SAR1, GFP-AtCASP co-localized with Sar1- GTP-YFP in punctate structures on the ER [\(Osterrieder](#page-10-2) *et al.*[, 2010\)](#page-10-2). AtCASP also labelled reforming Golgi bodies, before Golgi membrane markers, after washout of the secretory inhibitor Brefeldin A [\(Schoberer](#page-10-2) *et al.*, 2010).

In this study we used full-length and coiled-coil deletion mutant versions of AtCASP in conjunction with laser tweezers ([Sparkes, 2016](#page-11-21)) to assess its potential role in ER-Golgi tethering and protein transport. Our findings implicate a role for AtCASP in tethering at the ER-Golgi interface, as overexpression of a dominant-negative truncation interferes with the stability of the ER-Golgi connection. However, our observations also suggest the involvement of additional and as yet uncharacterized tethering factors.

## Materials and Methods

#### *Molecular biology*

Standard molecular techniques were used as described in [Sambrook](#page-11-22) [and Russel \(2001\)](#page-11-22). Fluorescent mRFP fusions of full-length AtCASP and truncated AtCASP-ΔCC were created using the previously published pENTR1A clones ([Latijnhouwers](#page-10-17) *et al.*, 2007) using Gateway®

cloning technology according to the manufacturer's instructions (Life Technologies). Contructs were cloned into the binary expression vector pB7WGR2 [\(Karimi](#page-10-20) *et al.*, 2002). Constructs were sequenced and transformed into the *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain GV3101::mp90.

#### *Transient expression of fluorescent protein fusions in tobacco plants*

Transient expression of fluorescent protein fusions in tobacco leaves was carried out using *Agrobacterium*-mediated infiltration of *Nicotiana tabacum sp.* lower leaf epidermal cells ([Sparkes](#page-11-23) *et al.*, [2006\)](#page-11-23). Plants were grown in the greenhouse at 21°C and were used for *Agrobacterium* infiltration at the age of 5–6 weeks. Leaf samples were analysed 2–4 days after infiltration.

#### *Stable expression of* Arabidopsis thaliana *plants*

Stable *Arabidopsis thaliana* plants were created using the *Agrobacterium*-mediated floral dip method [\(Clough and Bent,](#page-10-21) [1998\)](#page-10-21). Arabidopsis plants from a stable GFP-HDEL line [\(Zheng](#page-11-24) *et al.*[, 2004](#page-11-24)) were transformed either with mRFP-AtCASP or mRFP-AtCASP-ΔCC and grown on solid ½ Murashige and Skoog medium with BASTA selection. All experiments were performed in T3 or T4 seedlings. As a control, the previously described Arabidopsis line expressing the Golgi marker STtmd-mRFP and the ER marker GFP-HDEL was used [\(Sparkes](#page-10-9) *et al.*, 2009*b*).

#### *Confocal laser scanning microscopy*

High-resolution confocal images were obtained using an inverted Zeiss LSM510 Meta confocal laser scanning microscope (CLSM) microscope and a 40x, 63x or 100x oil immersion objective. For imaging GFP in combination with mRFP, an Argon ion laser at 488 nm and a HeNe ion laser at 543 nm were used with line switching, using the multitrack facility of the CLSM. Fluorescence was detected using a 488/543 dichroic beam splitter, a 505–530 band pass filter for GFP and a 560–615 band pass filter for mRFP.

#### *Optical trapping*

Optical trapping was carried out in stable Arabidopsis lines, using 1) a commercially available dual colour system at Wageningen University, The Netherlands, comprising a 1063nm, 3000mW Nd:YAG laser (Spectra Physics) and x-y galvo scanner (MMI, Glattbrugg, Switzerland) attached to a Zeiss Axiovert 200M with a Zeiss LSM510 Meta confocal laser scanning system [\(Sparkes](#page-10-9) *et al.*, [2009](#page-10-9)*b*), and 2) a custom-built TIRF-Tweezer system at the Central Laser Facility, Harwell (Gao *et al.*[, 2016](#page-10-22)).

Golgi bodies were trapped using a 1090 nm infrared laser with intensity between 50 and 130 mW. For the '100 Golgi test', Golgi bodies were scored as trapped if the laser beam could move them.

#### *Latrunculin B treatment*

To inhibit actin-myosin based Golgi movement, which was required during optical trapping with the confocal microscope trap set up, Arabidopsis cotyledonary leaves were treated with the actin-depolymerising agent 2.5 μM latrunculin B for 30 min as previously described [\(Sparkes](#page-10-9) *et al.*, 2009*b*). Optical trapping experiments were performed within a time scale of 2 h after latrunculin B application.

#### *Tracking and statistical analysis of Golgi body and ER dynamics*

Videos for analysis of Golgi body dynamics in stable Arabidopsis lines were taken with a 63x PlanApo 1.4 NA oil objective at  $512 \times 512$  resolution, optical zoom of 3.7 over a region of interest sized  $244 \times 242$  pixels, and recorded for 50 frames at 0.9 frames/sec. Individual Golgi bodies were tracked using ImageJ processing package Fiji ([Schindelin,](#page-11-25) *et al.*, 2012) and the tracking plugin MTrackJ ([Meijering,](#page-11-26) *et al.*, 2012). Average Golgi body displacement and speed per cell were calculated from the median values using Microsoft Excel. Track lengths of trapped Golgi bodies and tracks in relation to tips of ER tubules were analysed using ImageJ ([Schneider](#page-11-25) *et al.*, [2012](#page-11-25)) and MTrackJ.

Statistical analysis of average displacement and speed in control and mutant cells were performed in Graphpad Prism using one-way ANOVA analysis, followed by unpaired two-tailed type 2 Student's *t*-tests. Statistical analysis of Golgi body trapping in control and mutant lines trapped within the '100 Golgi test' was performed on numerical values in Microsoft Excel, using a Chi-Square test.

## **Results**

*Fluorescently labelled full-length and mutant AtCASP constructs co-localize with the Golgi marker STtmd-GFP in tobacco leaf epidermal cells*

The first step in assessing the function of a putative protein tether is to disturb its tethering capability. If AtCASP played a role in tethering events between the ER and Golgi bodies, deleting its coiled-coil domain would change its mechanical properties and as such could be predicted to affect Golgi morphology, function or dynamics, possibly resulting in changes in: (i) the subcellular location of the fluorescent mutant compared to the full-length protein, (ii) the subcellular location of Golgi bodies in relation to the ER, (iii) Golgi body dynamics, such as speed or displacement, or (iv) the physical interaction between Golgi bodies and the ER tested by optical tweezer based displacement of Golgi bodies.

The mRFP constructs used for this study were full-length AtCASP and a deletion mutant AtCASP-ΔCC. In this mutant, the coiled-coil domains were deleted to produce a truncated protein. AtCASP-ΔCC consists of the C-terminal 154 amino acids, including a transmembrane domain that confers Golgi localisation [\(Fig. 1a,](#page-3-0) [Renna](#page-10-16) *et al.*, 2005; [Latijnhouwers](#page-10-17) *et al.*, [2007\)](#page-10-17). This construct may act as a dominant-negative mutant, as it competes with endogenous wild-type AtCASP for Golgi membrane insertion but lacks the native protein's potential tethering functions. As previously described for the GFP versions [\(Renna](#page-10-16) *et al.*, 2005; [Latijnhouwers](#page-10-17) *et al.*, 2007), upon transient expression in tobacco leaf epidermal cells, fulllength mRFP-AtCASP co-localizes with the standard Golgi marker STtmd-GFP ([Boevink](#page-10-6) *et al.*, 1998) in punctate structures ([Fig. 1b](#page-3-0)). Similarly, mRFP-AtCASP-ΔCC contained sufficient information to target the fluorescent fusion protein to Golgi bodies ([Fig. 1c](#page-3-0)). No obvious changes in localization were observed between the full-length and the mutant AtCASP construct.

#### *Golgi body speed and displacement are significantly reduced in mutant AtCASP lines*

To obtain qualitative and quantitative data on any changes in interactions between Golgi bodies and the ER, stable Arabidopsis lines expressing full-length mRFP-AtCASP or mRFP-AtCASP-ΔCC in a GFP-HDEL background were created. A transgenic Arabidopsis line expressing the Golgi

#### Page 4 of 12 | Osterrieder *et al.*



<span id="page-3-0"></span>Fig. 1. Fluorescent AtCASP full-length and mutant constructs. (a) Diagram depicting the domain structure of fluorescent AtCASP constructs used in this study. Full-length AtCASP and a truncation AtCASP-∆CC consisting of its C-terminus of 154 amino acids including the transmembrane domain but missing the coiled-coil domains that convey its tethering function. CC, coiled-coil domain; TMD, transmembrane domain; mRFP, monomeric red fluorescent protein. (b) and (c) Confocal laser scanning micrographs of tobacco leaf epidermal cells 3 d after transfection, transiently expressing the standard Golgi marker STtmd-GFP (green) and (b) full-length mRFP-AtCASP (magenta) or (c) of mRFP-AtCASP-∆CC (magenta). Both constructs co-localize in punctate structures, which represent Golgi bodies. Cells were transfected using agrobacterium-mediated transformation. STtmd-GFP was infiltrated at OD600=0.05, mRFP-AtCASP constructs at  $OD_{600}$ =0.1. Scale bars, 20  $\mu$ m.

marker STtmd-mRFP and the ER marker GFP-HDEL ([Runions](#page-11-27) *et al.*, 2006; [Sparkes](#page-10-9) *et al.*, 2009*b*) was used as a control [\(Fig. 2a\)](#page-4-0). Cotyledonary leaf epidermal cells in 4–6 day old seedlings were analysed using confocal laser scanning microscopy. Neither the mRFP-AtCASP/GFP-HDEL lines ([Fig. 2b\)](#page-4-0) nor the mutant mRFP-AtCASP-ΔCC/GFP-HDEL lines ([Fig. 2c](#page-4-0)) displayed any obvious differences, at the resolution of the confocal microscope, in Golgi morphology or spatial positioning relative to the surface of the ER, compared with the control.

To obtain quantitative data, videos were taken from control, full-length and mutant epidermal leaf cells and analysed using automated particle tracking software. Golgi bodies in mRFP-AtCASP lines formed clusters or chains, often just temporary in nature, with clusters dissolving after a few seconds and individual Golgi bodies continuing to move along their single trajectories ([Fig. 2b\)](#page-4-0). Golgi body movement was therefore analysed manually using the MTrackJ plugin ([Meijering](#page-11-26) *et al.*, 2012) in the ImageJ processing package Fiji ([Schindelin](#page-11-25) *et al.*, 2012). MtrackJ allows the manual tracking of individual Golgi bodies frame by frame. It was therefore used to determine the mean speed and displacement, namely the straight line distance from the start point of the track to the current point measure abbreviated here as D2S, for individual Golgi bodies in control, full-length and mutant AtCASP lines.

Mean Golgi body speed and displacement were calculated from the pooled Golgi body data, with *n* ranging between 3–19 Golgi bodies per cell. [Table 1](#page-4-1) summarizes the number of individual lines, cells and Golgi bodies that were analysed. All Golgi body values were pooled and statistical analysis was performed on the data, specifically one-way ANOVA followed by an unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-test. Scatter plots depict individual data points as well as the median Golgi body displacement and corresponding standard deviation (SD) in μm. The control lines (median=1.14 μm, ranging from 0.13 to 4.95 μm) and full-length AtCASP lines (median=1.24  $\mu$ m, ranging from 0.16 to 5.15  $\mu$ m) did not significantly differ from each other (*P*=0.7256) ([Fig. 2d](#page-4-0)). Golgi displacement in mutant lines (median=0.67 μm, ranging



<span id="page-4-0"></span>Fig. 2. Live-cell imaging and quantitative analysis of Golgi body dynamics in AtCASP full-length and mutant Arabidopsis lines. (a–c) Confocal laser scanning micrographs of Arabidopsis cotyledonary leaf cells stably expressing the ER marker GFP-HDEL (green) and (a) STtmd-mRFP (magenta), (b) mRFP-AtCASP (magenta) or (c) mRFP-AtCASP-ΔCC (magenta). No obvious differences in Golgi body morphology, location or dynamics could be observed through qualitative live-cell imaging. Scale bars, 5 µm. (d–e) Quantitative analysis of (d) mean displacement and (e) mean speed of fluorescently labelled Golgi bodies in stable Arabidopsis lines expressing either the control STtmd-mRFP, mRFP-AtCASP, or mRFP-AtCASP- ∆CC. The mean speed and displacement of individual Golgi bodies were determined manually using the Fiji particle tracking plugin MtrackJ ([Meijering](#page-11-26) *et al.*, 2012). Mean speed and displacement values per cell were calculated from pooled Golgi body values (*n* of Golgi bodies per video ranged between 3–17). Statistical tests, one-way ANOVA and unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-test, were then performed on the pooled cell values (*n* of cells STtmd=41, AtCASP-FL=79, AtCASP-ΔCC=63, see Table 1 for full summary). Scatter plots depict the mean as a horizontal bar, error bars depict the standard deviation. Asterisks represent the level of significance (\**P*<0.05, \*\**P*<0.01).

<span id="page-4-1"></span>Table 1. *Numbers of Arabidopsis lines, cells and Golgi bodies used for analysis of velocity and displacement*



from 0.08 to 4.24 μm) was reduced significantly compared to both control ( $P=0.0184$ ) and full-length ( $P=0.0020$ ) lines. Similarly, as summarized in [Fig. 2e,](#page-4-0) the mean Golgi speed did not differ significantly between control (median=0.61 μm, ranging from  $0.08$  to  $1.7 \mu m$ ,  $P=0.0740$  and full-length AtCASP (median= $0.57 \mu$ m, ranging from 0.07 to 2.11  $\mu$ m) lines (*P*=0.5466) but was significantly decreased in the mutant (median=0.34 μm, ranging from 0.05 to 1.61 μm) compared with full-length lines ( $P=0.0088$ ).

## *Optical trapping reveals that AtCASP is involved in tethering events at the Golgi-ER interface*

Since Golgi movement parameters in the AtCASP lines were significantly different to the control line, optical tweezers were used to physically probe whether these were due to effects on the interaction with the ER. We hypothesized that if AtCASP had a role in tethering Golgi bodies to the ER, any potential effects of mutant AtCASP-∆CC overexpression would become apparent upon manipulation of Golgi bodies with optical tweezers *in planta*. The underlying physical principle of optical trapping is that a highly focused laser beam is able to trap particles if they are a certain size, approximately 1 µm, and their refractive index is different to that of their environment ([Neuman and Block, 2004\)](#page-11-28). Golgi bodies fulfil these requirements as their size is around 1 µm in diameter and due to their condensed stack structure their refractive index differs from the surrounding cytoplasm. In contrast, it has not been possible to experimentally trap ER membranes [\(Sparkes](#page-10-9) *et al.*, 2009*b*).

#### Page 6 of 12 | Osterrieder *et al.*

Optical trapping was performed in Arabidopsis cotyledonary leaf epidermal cells of 4–5 day old seedlings, before the start of growth stage 1 and the emergence of rosette leaves ([Boyes](#page-10-23) *et al.*, 2001), from mRFP-AtCASP-∆CC/GFP-HDEL lines (*n* of cells=10, *n* of Golgi bodies=45) and ST-mRFP/ GFP-HDEL control lines (*n* of cells=13, *n* of Golgi bodies=53, [Table 2](#page-5-0)). A new Golgi body was randomly chosen for every new trapping event. Leaf samples were treated with the actin-depolymerising drug Latrunculin B before trapping to inhibit actin-based Golgi movement. Any subsequent movement was therefore due to the physical micromanipulation of the trapped Golgi body as the ER cannot be trapped [\(Sparkes](#page-10-9) *et al.*[, 2009](#page-10-9)*b*). In STtmd-control cells, a trapping laser output of 70 mW was required to trap Golgi bodies and no trapping was possible with outputs below this. In contrast, Golgi bodies in the AtCASP-∆CC mutant line could easily be trapped with the laser power set to 30 mW [\(Table 2](#page-5-0)). From the total number of Golgi bodies trapped in the mutant line, 17 Golgi bodies moved just a few microns over the ER and then came to a halt, whereas the rest could be moved over a longer distance across the cell. ER remodeling along the tracks of trapped Golgi bodies occurred only in 15 instances in the mutant (28%) compared with 41 instances in the control trapping events (91%). Sixteen Golgi bodies in the mutant line detached from GFP-HDEL-labelled tubules during the trapping event and 11 of them re-attached to the ER as they were being moved. For the remaining trapping events it was not possible to determine whether ER reattachment took place.

[Fig. 3a](#page-6-0) depicts video frames from an optical trapping event in mutant AtCASP-∆CC cells (see Supplementary Video S1 at *JXB* online). Turning the trapping laser on resulted in movement of a whole group of Golgi bodies over a short distance, at time point 7.8 s. A single Golgi body remained trapped (arrowhead), lost its ER tubule association and then moved freely through the cell, until connection was re-established near an ER tubule upon release of the optical trap [\(Fig. 3b,](#page-6-0) asterisk).

Surprisingly, in a few instances GFP-HDEL tubules appeared to follow Golgi bodies with a significant gap after the connection had been disrupted, as shown in [Fig. 3b](#page-6-0) and Supplementary Video S2. Movement of two Golgi bodies that were trapped simultaneously [\(Fig. 3b,](#page-6-0) arrowhead) initially

<span id="page-5-0"></span>Table 2. *The effects of optical trapping in control and AtCASP mutant Arabidopsis leaf epidermal cells*



resulted in ER remodeling, until the connection broke at time point 7.8 s (asterisk). The ER tubule tip mirrored Golgi body movement with a delay, time points 11 s to 16.8 s. From time point 20.4 s onwards, a second ER tubule mirrored Golgi body movement (yellow arrowhead), appearing to attempt attachment to the trapped Golgi body.

Interestingly, the optical trapping data mirrored the observation made during the tracking of Golgi bodies in cells expressing full-length mRPF-AtCASP, in which Golgi bodies appeared to be 'sticky' and formed clusters or chains. In 64% of all trapping events performed in full-length AtCASP lines, two or more Golgi bodies were trapped and moved together, in contrast to 35% in STtmd-mRFP and 47% in AtCASP- $\Delta CC$  lines [\(Fig. 4a\)](#page-7-0), at similar optical trapping force.

To test the degree of attachment in more detail, we used a TIRF-based optical trapping system, which did not require actin depolymerisation to trap Golgi bodies. We captured Golgi bodies in control, full-length and mutant AtCASP Arabidopsis cotyledons using a similar trapping force range. For this experiment, 100 Golgi bodies in three different leaves for each line (*n*=300) were randomly selected and scored as to whether they could be manually trapped and moved or not ([Fig. 4c\)](#page-7-0). In STtmd-mRFP cells, just 47% of Golgi bodies could be trapped. In cells expressing mRFP-AtCASP the ability to trap Golgi bodies increased slightly to 57%. In contrast, in cells expressing mRFP-AtCASP-ΔCC, we were able to trap 76% of Golgi bodies. Statistical analysis, using one-way ANOVA and unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-test, showed that there was no significant difference between the control and full-length AtCASP (*P*=0.321) but that mRFP-AtCASP- $\Delta$ CC differed significantly from the control (*P*=1.065 × 10<sup>-8</sup>) and full-length mRFP-AtCASP ( $P=2.091 \times 10^{-6}$ ).

Using ImageJ and the MTrackJ plugin, we mapped tracks of captured Golgi bodies in relation to the tip of the remodelling ER tubule in STtmd-mRFP control lines (five tracks in total, representative track shown in [Fig. 5a\)](#page-8-0), mRFP-AtCASP (six tracks, representative track shown in [Fig. 5b\)](#page-8-0) and mRFP-AtCASP-∆CC lines (21 tracks, [Fig. 5c](#page-8-0) and d). Arrowheads indicate trapped Golgi bodies. In control cells, Golgi body and ER tracks overlaid almost perfectly with each other during micromanipulation (*n* of cells=7, [Fig. 5a,](#page-8-0) [e](#page-8-0), Supplementary Video S3). Looking at tracks from cells expressing full length mRFP-AtCASP (*n*=6), we found that Golgi and ER tracks mirrored each other as they did in the control but the connection was more easily disrupted ([Fig. 5b,](#page-8-0) [f,](#page-8-0) Supplementary Video S4) compared with the control. In cells expressing mRFP-AtCASP-∆CC (*n*=6), the instability of the ER-Golgi connection was reflected in a non-uniform range of track patterns. For example, as shown in [Fig. 5c,](#page-8-0) [h](#page-8-0) and Supplementary Video S5, an ER tubule initially followed a trapped Golgi body (arrowhead) on the same trajectory. The connection was then lost (asterisk) but the ER continued to mirror the Golgi body track, although they were separated from each other by a distance ranging from 0.6–1.6 µm. In other instances, a captured Golgi body separated from the ER (arrowhead, [Fig. 5d,](#page-8-0) [h](#page-8-0)), would reconnect with the ER for the track length of a few microns (asterisk) and then break free again.



Fig. 3. Disruption of the ER-Golgi connection in mutant AtCASP-ΔCC cells. Confocal images showing still images of a time series over 34.4 seconds during optical trapping of Golgi bodies in transgenic Arabidopsis cotyledonary leaf epidermal cells. Plants expressed mRFP-AtCASP-ΔCC (magenta) and the ER marker GFP-HDEL (green). Arrowheads point to optically trapped Golgi bodies. Scale bars, 2 µm. (a) Several Golgi bodies moved with the trap across a short distance. A single Golgi body remained in the trap and moved through the cell detached from the ER. (b) A Golgi body was trapped and the ER-Golgi connection was disrupted at time point 7.8 s (asterisk). The ER tubule followed the Golgi body with a gap. At time point 20.4 s, a second ER tubule mirrored Golgi body movement with a similar gap (arrowhead).

The gap width between the centre of the trapped Golgi body and the ER tubule tip in the time series depicted in [Fig. 5c](#page-8-0) varied throughout the optical trapping event. The distance was measured in each of the nine frames in the video. Values ranged between 0.62 μm at the beginning to 1.33 μm at the end, with a mean width of 1.14 μm.

We assessed the stability of the ER-Golgi connection per individual trapping time series in control, full-length and mutant AtCASP lines [\(Fig. 6a](#page-9-0)) by calculating the ratio of frames with an intact ER-Golgi connection versus the total frame number, working on the assumption that trap movement was reasonably consistent over the short distances Golgi bodies were moved. Thus, a ratio of 1 means that ER remodelling took place throughout the whole trapping event, whereas a ratio of 0.5 indicates that the trapped Golgi body was detached from the ER for half of the time series. In control cells, 95% of trapping events showed a ratio of 1 (*n*=17), which reflects a stable ER-Golgi connection. In <span id="page-6-0"></span>contrast, just 55% of trapped Golgi bodies in cells expressing mRFP-AtCASP (*n*=11), and 40% in mRFP-AtCASP-ΔCC cells (*n*=15) retained a permanent connection to the ER throughout the trapping event. The difference in length of disruption between the control and the full-length  $(P=0.0031)$  or mutant AtCASP  $(P=0.007)$  lines was significant, as determined by one-way ANOVA and unpaired twotailed Student's *t*-test.

In control cells, only 10% of trapped Golgi bodies lost their ER connection, and if they did, it occurred just once ([Fig. 6b\)](#page-9-0). In full-length AtCASP expressing cells, 60% of trapped Golgi bodies detached from the ER once, which was significantly higher than control cells, as shown statistically using one-way ANOVA and unpaired two-tailed Student's *t*-test (*P*=0.0047). The ER-Golgi connection was most unstable in mRFP-AtCASP-ΔCC lines. In these, 40% of trapped Golgi bodies detached and reattached to the ER more than once during one trapping event, up to five times in one instance. This was





<span id="page-7-0"></span>Fig. 4. Comparing the ability to trap Golgi bodies in STtmd-mRFP control, full-length mRFP-AtCASP and mutant mRFP-AtCASP-ΔCC lines. (a) Two or more Golgi bodies were captured in 64% of trapping events in full-length AtCASP lines, compared with just 35% in control and 47% in AtCASP-ΔCC lines. Expression of full-length mRFP-AtCASP appears to make Golgi bodies 'stickier'. (b) Average numbers of three experiments (*n*=300) of trapping control, full-length and mutant AtCASP Golgi bodies in Arabidopsis cotyledons using a TIRF-Tweezer system. Compared with 46% trapped Golgi bodies in control cells and 57% trapped Golgi bodies in in mRFP-AtCASP cells, 76% of Golgi bodies expressing the truncation were trapped. The STtmd control and AtCASP full-length lines did not significantly differ from each other (Chi-square test, *P*=0.321) but the AtCASP-∆CC line differed significantly from the control (*P*=1.065 × 10-8) and full-length lines (*P*=2.091 × 10-6).

significantly different to the control (*P*=0.012) but not significantly different to full-length AtCASP (*P*=0.356).

## **Discussion**

The advent of fluorescent protein technology permitted, for the first time, the observation of the dynamics of plant Golgi stacks in living plant cells [\(Boevink](#page-10-6) *et al.*, 1998). The movement of individual Golgi bodies over the cortical actin network whilst being somehow attached to the ER was termed 'stacks on tracks'. Subsequently it was shown that transport of cargo between the ER was not dependent on the cytoskeleton [\(Brandizzi](#page-10-24) *et al.*, 2002), that this association involved the protein components of the ERES [\(daSilva](#page-10-7) *et al.*, 2004) and that the ER membrane itself was motile as well as the Golgi bodies ([Runions](#page-11-27) *et al.*, 2006; [Sparkes](#page-10-9) *et al.*, 2009*b*). However, it took the application of optical trapping to conclusively demonstrate that the organelle-to-organelle adhesion at the ER-Golgi body interface was sufficiently strong to permit remodelling of the tubular ER network simply by moving Golgi bodies around in the cortex of leaf epidermal cells ([Sparkes](#page-10-9) *et al.*, 2009*b*). Here we show that overexpression of truncated AtCASP [\(Renna](#page-10-16) *et al.*, 2005; [Latijnhouwers](#page-10-17) *et al.*, [2007](#page-10-17)) interferes with ER-Golgi physical interaction, showing that: (i) ER and Golgi bodies are tethered rather than being connected via membranous extensions and (ii) the ER-Golgi interface may be organized by tethering proteins, the disturbance of one in particular, AtCASP, resulting in an alteration of Golgi movement and trapping properties.

### *AtCASP functions as a tether between the ER and Golgi stack*

It could be predicted that if a protein is involved in tethering the Golgi stack to the ER, then the parameters describing its movement with or over the ER may change upon its disruption. Visually, this is difficult to assess from confocal time-lapse image series, other than the observed clumping of Golgi stacks in Arabidopsis lines expressing full-length mRFP-AtCASP. This clumping presumably occurs due to interactions between excess coiled-coil domains on the Golgi surface. Quantitative image analysis revealed both a drop in Golgi body velocity and a reduction in their mean displacement in AtCASP-ΔCC expressing cells, compared with non-clumped Golgi bodies in AtCASP mutant cells or in control ST-mRFP expressing cells. This could be interpreted either as interference with putative motor protein activity at the ER-Golgi interface or a loosening of the tethering at the interface. If in this scenario the tether is loosened, then decoupling of the Golgi body from its ER exit site supports the contention that Golgi movement is at least in part generated via movement of the ER surface [\(Runions](#page-11-27) *et al.*, 2006), in which the exit site is embedded. Alternatively, the movement of the Golgi attached to the ERES may affect ER movement. It is still unclear to what extent the movement of ER and Golgi are dependent upon one another, that is whether they are co-regulated events or mutually exclusive processes ([Sparkes](#page-10-9) *et al.*, 2009*a*). To date there is little evidence for a Golgi-associated myosin ([Sparkes](#page-10-3) *et al.*, 2008; [Avisar](#page-10-9) *et al.*, 2009), other than a study on the expression of a truncated myosin, which occasionally labelled Golgi stacks ([Li and Nebenführ, 2007](#page-11-29)). Furthermore, the differences in the AtCASP-ΔCC mutant line were observed upon actin depolymerisation during the trapping experiments. It can therefore be assumed that interfering with tethering may be the most likely cause of the change in Golgi body motility on expression of mutant AtCASP.

In this study, we utilized a more direct approach to probe Golgi tethering to the ER, which was carried out on two different optical trapping set-ups, confocal and TIRF-based. Whilst it was necessary to depolymerize the actin cytoskeleton to achieve trapping in the confocal microscope set-up, the basic organisation of the ER network and association with Golgi bodies has previously been shown to be preserved ([Sparkes](#page-10-9) *et al*, 2009*b*). Here, we could successfully trap and manipulate motile Golgi in untreated leaves using the TIRFbased laser trap, indicating that the actin cytoskeleton may not play a significant role in tethering Golgi to the ER. Our optical trapping data clearly demonstrate that interfering with the coiled-coil domain of AtCASP and thus with any tethering function, affects the physical Golgi-ER connection. We were able to show that upon overexpression of the truncated AtCASP protein, the trapping power required to manipulate individual Golgi stacks was greatly reduced from



<span id="page-8-0"></span>Fig. 5. ER and Golgi body tracks differ between control and mutant lines. (a–d) Confocal images showing the effect of optically trapping individual Golgi bodies in Arabidopsis cotyledons expressing GFP-HDEL (green) and (a) the control marker STtmd-mRFP, (b) full-length GFP-AtCASP or (c–d) truncated GFP-AtCASP- ΔCC (all shown in magenta). (e–h) Visualisation of Golgi body tracks (magenta) in relation to the ER tubule tip (green). Arrowheads indicate trapped Golgi bodies. Scale bars, 2 µm. (a) and (e) Control cell expressing STtmd-mRFP and GFP-HDEL. The Golgi-ER connection remained intact and both tracks were closely associated. (b) and (f) Cell expressing mRFP-AtCASP and GFP-HDEL. Golgi and ER remained connected only for a short time before the connection was disrupted (asterisk). (c) and (g) Cell expressing mRFP-AtCASP-∆CC and GFP-HDEL. ER and Golgi moved together for the first part of the time series. The connection then broke apart (asterisk) and the ER followed the Golgi body with a gap. (d) and (h) Time series showing an example in which the ER-Golgi connection was disrupted immediately after trapping. A second ER tubule unsuccessfully attempted to reconnect with the Golgi body (asterisk).

that required for wild-type Golgi bodies marked with a different membrane construct. Presumably, truncated AtCASP out-competed the native protein in a dominant-negative fashion. We found that trapping of Golgi bodies in mutant lines was easier and that the interface between ER and Golgi could be disturbed under experimental conditions in which actin had been depolymerized.

## *AtCASP: one component of a larger tethering complex?*

In control Golgi-tagged plants, upon micromanipulation of Golgi bodies, the ER track coincided almost perfectly with the Golgi track. Upon overexpression of full-length fluorescently tagged AtCASP, the connection appeared to be more easily disturbed than in control cells but the tracks of ER tips and Golgi bodies occasionally were able to mirror each other. Golgi bodies still appeared to move on actin delimited tracks but the connection with the ER was loose. In cells expressing the deletion mutant, the disruption of the putative tether was obvious. Golgi bodies broke free from the ER more easily than in control STtmd-mRFP or full-length mRFP-AtCASP expressing cells. Track patterns were irregular and did not mirror that of the ER.

The gap observed on some occasions whilst being a micron plus between Golgi and ER, showed the Golgi and ER



<span id="page-9-0"></span>Fig. 6. Semi-quantitative analysis of Golgi body trapping in control, AtCASP full-length and AtCASP mutant-expressing Arabidopsis lines. Assessing the stability of the connection between individual Golgi bodies and the ER in Arabidopsis cotyledonary leaf epidermal cells expressing STtmd-mRFP/ GFP-HDEL (control, *n*=17), full-length mRFP-AtCASP/GFP-HDEL (*n*=11) or truncated mRFP-AtCASP-∆CC/GFP-HDEL (*n*=15). Errors bars depict means and standard deviations. (a) Scatterplot displaying the ratio of number of frames per trapping event with an intact ER-Golgi connection versus the number of total frames. A ratio of 1 indicates an intact connection over the whole duration of the time series. The smaller the ratio, the longer the connection was disrupted during a time series. The ER-Golgi connection was disrupted significantly longer in cells expressing mRFP-AtCASP (*P*=0.0031) or mRFP-AtCASP-∆CC (*P*=0.007), compared with control cells. Full-length and mutant AtCASP lines did not differ significantly (*P*=0.75). (b) Scatterplot showing the number times that the ER-Golgi connection was disrupted per individual trapping event. In almost all of the trapping events in control cells, the connection remained intact. Its instability, symbolized by repeated detachments and reattachments of the trapped Golgi body with the ER, increased significantly in mRFP-AtCASP cells (*P*=0.0047) and mRFP-AtCASP-∆CC cells (*P*=0.012). No significant difference was observed between full-length and mutant AtCASP (P=0.356).

following the same trajectory, suggesting that AtCASP is not solely responsible for tethering at the ER-Golgi interface but might be part of a more substantial tethering complex. Other ER-Golgi tethering factors might interact with AtCASP via transmembrane domains or might anchor to other peripheral Golgi proteins. The gap that we observed could be explained by the location of the fluorophore in full-length and mutant AtCASP. In the full-length tagged protein, mRFP sits at the N-terminus and thus at the very end of the coiled-coil domain. In the truncated version, mRFP fused to AtCASP's transmembrane domain would target to Golgi membranes, without interfering with tethering between remaining endogenous AtCASP and other tethering factors. We hypothesize that mRFP in the full-length protein labels the location at ERES where AtCASP tethering occurs, possibly under conditions when the coiled-coil domain is stretched out. This would tie in with previous results, where fluorescently tagged full-length AtCASP remained at ERES after Golgi membrane disassembly [\(Osterrieder](#page-10-2) *et al.*, [2010\)](#page-10-2). Other components of such a complex might include other *cis*-Golgi located golgins, such as the plant homologue of the well-characterized tether Atp115 (MAG4, [Kang and](#page-10-25) [Staehelin, 2008;](#page-10-25) [Takahashi](#page-11-18) *et al.*, 2010; [Lerich](#page-10-26) *et al.*, 2012), or even the recently identified AtSec16/MAIGO5 ([Takagi](#page-11-30) *et al.*, [2013\)](#page-11-30). [Gillingham and colleagues \(2002\)](#page-10-19) reported an indirect interaction between the yeast CASP homologue COY1 and the SNARE protein Gos1p in yeast assays, as well as a small fraction of COY1 co-precipitating with the COPII coat subunit hSec23 and Golgin-84. Another study [\(Malsam](#page-11-20) *et al.*, 2005) identified mammalian CASP as a component of an asymmetric tethering complex, with CASP binding to Golgi membranes and interacting with Golgin84 on COPI vesicles, suggesting a role for CASP in retrograde transport. As many protein functions within the secretory pathway are conserved between plants and mammals, some interactions might be conserved as well.

## *AtCASP as a novel starting point to dissect the plant ER-Golgi interface*

Previous studies suggested a role for AtCASP in Golgi biogenesis, possibly as part of a platform that might act as base for the formation of early *cis*-Golgi structures [\(Osterrieder](#page-10-2) *et al.*, [2010](#page-10-2); [Schoberer](#page-10-2) *et al.*, 2010; Ito *et al.*[, 2012](#page-10-27)). As immunolabelling of GFP-AtCASP located the construct to cisternal rims of Golgi stacks [\(Latijnhouwers](#page-10-17) *et al.*, 2007), AtCASP appears to be anchored through its transmembrane domain to *cis*-Golgi membranes, while its coiled-coil domains labelled by the N-terminal fluorophore bind to yet unidentified partners at the ER-Golgi interface. Triple labelling experiments with fluorescent fulllength and mutant fluorescent AtCASP versions, co-expressed with ERES and COPII markers, as well as *cis*- or *trans*-Golgi membrane markers such as glycosyltransferases [\(Schoberer and](#page-11-8) [Strasser, 2011](#page-11-8)), could help to unravel the sub-compartmentalisation of key players at the plant ER-Golgi interface.

The biology of the ER-Golgi interface differs between plants and mammals in a variety of aspects ([Brandizzi and Barlowe,](#page-10-28) [2013\)](#page-10-28). Based on this and on the results from our study, we hypothesize that AtCASP could have different or additional functions in plants compared with its animal and yeast homologues. Notably, the model of ERES organisation itself is still in flux. The latest model, proposed by [Glick \(2014\)](#page-10-13), replaces the concept of a COPII-organising scaffold with that of a self-organising tethering framework, consisting of ERES, that is transitional ER sites in yeast, early Golgi membranes and tethering factors, one of which might be CASP [\(Glick, 2014](#page-10-13)). Understanding the molecular make-up and mechanisms of the plant ER-Golgi interface is crucial for our understanding of how proteins pass through the secretory pathway. By identifying AtCASP as novel ER-Golgi tether, we have gained a new entry point into the dissection of the plant ER-Golgi interface.

# **Conclusion**

In conclusion, this work indicates that leaf epidermal cell Golgi bodies are intimately associated with the ER and that the connection is most likely maintained by a tethering complex between the two organelles. This does not preclude the possibility that direct membrane continuity between the ER and Golgi may also play a mechanical role in organising the ER-Golgi interface, imaging of which would most likely be below the resolution afforded by conventional confocal/ TRIF microscopy. Super resolution fluorescence imaging coupled with electron microscopy will most likely answer this question.

## Supplementary data

Supplementary data are available at *JXB* online.

Video S1: confocal images of a 34.4 s time series showing Arabidopsis leaf epidermal cells with ER labelled with GFP-HDEL and Golgi bodies labelled with mRFP- AtCASP-∆CC.

Video S2: confocal images of a 70.4 s time series showing Arabidopsis leaf epidermal cells with ER labelled with GFP-HDEL and Golgi bodies labelled with mRFP-AtCASP-∆CC.

Video S3: confocal images of a 15.24 s time series showing Arabidopsis leaf epidermal cells with ER labelled with GFP-HDEL and Golgi bodies labelled with STtmd-mRFP.

Video S4: confocal images of a 10.40 s time series showing Arabidopsis leaf epidermal cells with ER labelled with GFP-HDEL and Golgi bodies labelled with mRFP-AtCASP.

Video S5: confocal images of a 10.27 s time series showing Arabidopsis leaf epidermal cells with ER labelled with GFP-HDEL and Golgi bodies labelled with mRFP-AtCASP-∆CC.

# Acknowledgements

We thank Janet Evins for help with growing plants. The work was supported by a BBSRC grant (BB/J000302/1) and a Royal Society Travel grant to CH.

# **References**

<span id="page-10-1"></span>Altan-Bonnet N, Sougrat R, Lippincott-Schwartz J. 2004. Molecular basis for Golgi maintenance and biogenesis. Current Opinion in Cell Biology 16, 364–372.

<span id="page-10-9"></span>Avisar D, Abu-Abied M, Belausov E, Sadot E, Hawes C, Sparkes IA. 2009. A comparative study of the involvement of 17 Arabidopsis myosin family members on the motility of Golgi and other organelles. Plant Physiology **150,** 700-709.

<span id="page-10-10"></span>**Barr FA, Short B.** 2003. Golgins in the structure and dynamics of the Golgi apparatus. Current Opinion in Cell Biology 15, 405–413.

<span id="page-10-6"></span>Boevink P, Oparka K, Santa Cruz S, Martin B, Betteridge A, Hawes C. 1998. Stacks on tracks: the plant Golgi apparatus traffics on an actin/ ER network. The Plant Journal 15, 441–447.

<span id="page-10-23"></span>Boyes DC, Zayed AM, Ascenzi R, McCaskill AJ, Hoffman NE, Davis KR, Görlach J. 2001. Growth stage-based phenotypic analysis of Arabidopsis: a model for high throughput functional genomics in plants. The Plant Cell 13, 1499–1510.

<span id="page-10-28"></span>**Brandizzi F, Barlowe C.** 2013. Organization of the ER-Golgi interface for membrane traffic control. Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology 14, 382–392.

<span id="page-10-24"></span>Brandizzi F, Snapp EL, Roberts AG, Lippincott-Schwartz J, Hawes C. 2002. Membrane protein transport between the endoplasmic reticulum and the Golgi in tobacco leaves is energy dependent but cytoskeleton independent: evidence from selective photobleaching. The Plant Cell 14, 1293–1309.

<span id="page-10-12"></span>Chia PZ, Gleeson PA. 2014. Membrane tethering. F1000Prime Reports 6, 74.

<span id="page-10-21"></span>Clough SJ, Bent AF. 1998. Floral dip: a simplified method for Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. The Plant Journal 16, 735–743.

<span id="page-10-7"></span>daSilva LL, Snapp EL, Denecke J, Lippincott-Schwartz J, Hawes C, Brandizzi F. 2004. Endoplasmic reticulum export sites and Golgi bodies behave as single mobile secretory units in plant cells. The Plant Cell 16, 1753–1771.

<span id="page-10-4"></span>Foresti O, Denecke J. 2008. Intermediate organelles of the plant secretory pathway: identity and function. Traffic 9, 1599-1612.

<span id="page-10-22"></span>Gao H, Metz J, Teanby NA, Ward AD, Botchway SW, Coles B, Pollard MR, Sparkes I. 2016. In vivo quantification of peroxisome tethering to chloroplasts in tobacco epidermal cells using optical tweezers. Plant Physiology 170, 263-272.

<span id="page-10-11"></span>Gillingham AK, Munro S. 2003. Long coiled-coil proteins and membrane traffic. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta 1641, 71–85.

<span id="page-10-19"></span>Gillingham AK, Pfeifer AC, Munro S. 2002. CASP, the alternatively spliced product of the gene encoding the CCAAT-displacement protein transcription factor, is a Golgi membrane protein related to giantin. Molecular Biology of the Cell 13, 3761–3774.

<span id="page-10-14"></span>Gilson PR, Vergara CE, Kjer-Nielsen L, Teasdale RD, Bacic A, Gleeson PA. 2004. Identification of a Golgi-localised GRIP domain protein from *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Planta 219, 1050–1056.

<span id="page-10-13"></span>Glick BS. 2014. Integrated self-organization of transitional ER and early Golgi compartments. BioEssays 36, 129–133.

<span id="page-10-8"></span>Hanton SL, Matheson LA, Chatre L, Brandizzi F. 2009. Dynamic organization of COPII coat proteins at endoplasmic reticulum export sites in plant cells. The Plant Journal 57, 963–974.

<span id="page-10-16"></span>Hanton SL, Renna L, Bortolotti LE, Chatre L, Stefano G, Brandizzi F. 2005. Diacidic motifs influence the export of transmembrane proteins from the endoplasmic reticulum in plant cells. The Plant Cell 17, 3081–3093.

<span id="page-10-3"></span>Hawes C, Osterrieder A, Hummel E, Sparkes I. 2008. The plant ER-Golgi interface. Traffic 9, 1571–1580.

<span id="page-10-5"></span>Hawes C, Satiat-Jeunemaitre B. 2005. The plant Golgi apparatusgoing with the flow. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta 1744, 466–480.

<span id="page-10-2"></span>Hawes C, Schoberer J, Hummel E, Osterrieder A. 2010. Biogenesis of the plant Golgi apparatus. Biochemical Society Transactions 38, 761–767.

<span id="page-10-27"></span>Ito Y, Uemura T, Shoda K, Fujimoto M, Ueda T, Nakano A. 2012. cis-Golgi proteins accumulate near the ER exit sites and act as the scaffold for Golgi regeneration after brefeldin A treatment in tobacco BY-2 cells. Molecular Biology of the Cell 23, 3203–3214.

<span id="page-10-25"></span>Kang BH, Staehelin LA. 2008. ER-to-Golgi transport by COPII vesicles in Arabidopsis involves a ribosome-excluding scaffold that is transferred with the vesicles to the Golgi matrix. Protoplasma 234, 51-64.

<span id="page-10-20"></span>Karimi M, Inzé D, Depicker A. 2002. GATEWAY vectors for Agrobacteriummediated plant transformation. Trends in Plant Science 7, 193–195.

<span id="page-10-0"></span>Klumperman J. 2011. Architecture of the mammalian Golgi. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology 3, a005181. doi:10.1101/cshperspect. a005181.

<span id="page-10-17"></span>Latijnhouwers M, Gillespie T, Boevink P, Kriechbaumer V, Hawes C, Carvalho CM. 2007. Localization and domain characterization of *Arabidopsis* golgin candidates. Journal of Experimental Botany 58, 4373–4386.

<span id="page-10-18"></span>Latijnhouwers M, Hawes C, Carvalho C. 2005a. Holding it all together? Candidate proteins for the plant Golgi matrix. Current Opinion in Plant Biology 8, 632–639.

<span id="page-10-15"></span>Latijnhouwers M, Hawes C, Carvalho C, Oparka K, Gillingham AK, Boevink P. 2005b. An *Arabidopsis* GRIP domain protein locates to the trans-Golgi and binds the small GTPase ARL1. The Plant Journal 44, 459–470.

<span id="page-10-26"></span>Lerich A, Hillmer S, Langhans M, Scheuring D, van Bentum P, Robinson DG. 2012. ER import sites and their relationship to ER exit sites: a new model for bidirectional ER-Golgi transport in higher plants. Frontiers in Plant Science 3, 143.

<span id="page-11-29"></span>Li JF, Nebenführ A. 2007. Organelle targeting of myosin XI is mediated by two globular tail subdomains with separate cargo binding sites. The Journal of Biological Chemistry 282, 20593–20602.

<span id="page-11-19"></span>Lievens PM, Tufarelli C, Donady JJ, Stagg A, Neufeld EJ. 1997. CASP, a novel, highly conserved alternative-splicing product of the CDP/ cut/cux gene, lacks cut-repeat and homeo DNA-binding domains, and interacts with full-length CDP in vitro. Gene 197, 73–81.

<span id="page-11-5"></span>Lorente-Rodriguez A, Barlowe C. 2011. Entry and exit mechanisms at the cis-face of the Golgi complex. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology 3, a005207. doi:10.1101/cshperspect.a005207.

<span id="page-11-20"></span>Malsam J, Satoh A, Pelletier L, Warren G. 2005. Golgin tethers define subpopulations of COPI vesicles. Science 307, 1095-1098.

<span id="page-11-15"></span>Malsam J, Söllner TH. 2011. Organization of SNAREs within the Golgi stack. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology 3, a005249.

<span id="page-11-16"></span>Matheson LA, Hanton SL, Rossi M, Latijnhouwers M, Stefano G, Renna L, Brandizzi F. 2007. Multiple roles of ADP-ribosylation factor 1 in plant cells include spatially regulated recruitment of coatomer and elements of the Golgi matrix. Plant Physiology 143, 1615–1627.

<span id="page-11-26"></span>Meijering E, Dzyubachyk O, Smal I. 2012. Methods for cell and particle tracking. Methods in Enzymology 504, 183–200.

<span id="page-11-9"></span>Nakamura N, Wei JH, Seemann J. 2012. Modular organization of the mammalian Golgi apparatus. Current Opinion in Cell Biology 24, 467–474.

<span id="page-11-10"></span>Nebenführ A, Gallagher LA, Dunahay TG, Frohlick JA, Mazurkiewicz AM, Meehl JB, Staehelin LA. 1999. Stop-and-go movements of plant Golgi stacks are mediated by the acto-myosin system. Plant Physiology 121, 1127–1142.

<span id="page-11-28"></span>Neuman KC, Block SM. 2004. Optical trapping. The Review of Scientific Instruments 75, 2787–2809.

<span id="page-11-17"></span>Osterrieder A. 2012. Tales of tethers and tentacles: golgins in plants. Journal of Microscopy 247, 68–77.

Osterrieder A, Hummel E, Carvalho CM, Hawes C. 2010. Golgi membrane dynamics after induction of a dominant-negative mutant Sar1 GTPase in tobacco. Journal of Experimental Botany 61, 405–422.

<span id="page-11-7"></span>Park M, Jurgens G. 2011. Membrane traffic and fusion at post-Golgi compartments. Frontiers in Plant Science2, 111.

<span id="page-11-1"></span>Polishchuk RS, Mironov AA. 2004. Structural aspects of Golgi function. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences 61, 146–158.

<span id="page-11-13"></span>Ramirez IB, Lowe M. 2009. Golgins and GRASPs: holding the Golgi together. Seminars in Cell & Developmental Biology 20, 770–779.

Renna L, Hanton SL, Stefano G, Bortolotti L, Misra V, Brandizzi F. 2005. Identification and characterization of AtCASP, a plant transmembrane Golgi matrix protein. Plant Molecular Biology 58, 109–122.

<span id="page-11-6"></span>Robinson DG, Brandizzi F, Hawes C, Nakano A. 2015. Vesicles versus tubes: is endoplasmic reticulum-Golgi transport in plants fundamentally different from other Eukaryotes? Plant Physiology 168, 393-406.

<span id="page-11-4"></span>Robinson DG, Herranz M-C, Bubeck J, Pepperkok R, Ritzenthaler C. 2007. Membrane dynamics in the early secretory pathway. Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences 26, 199–225.

<span id="page-11-27"></span>Runions J, Brach T, Kühner S, Hawes C. 2006. Photoactivation of GFP reveals protein dynamics within the endoplasmic reticulum membrane. Journal of Experimental Botany 57, 43–50.

<span id="page-11-22"></span>Sambrook J, Russell, DW. 2001. Molecular cloning-a laboratory manual. Cold Spring Harbor: Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.

<span id="page-11-25"></span>Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, *et al*. 2012. Fiji: an opensource platform for biological-image analysis. Nature Methods 9, 676–682.

Schoberer J, Runions J, Steinkellner H, Strasser R, Hawes C, **Osterrieder A.** 2010. Sequential depletion and acquisition of proteins during Golgi stack disassembly and reformation. Traffic 11, 1429–1444.

<span id="page-11-8"></span>Schoberer J. Strasser R. 2011. Sub-compartmental organization of Golgi-resident N-glycan processing enzymes in plants. Molecular Plant 4, 220–228.

<span id="page-11-12"></span>Short B, Haas A, Barr FA. 2005. Golgins and GTPases, giving identity and structure to the Golgi apparatus. Biochimica et Biophysica Acta 1744, 383–395.

<span id="page-11-21"></span>Sparkes I. 2016. Using optical tweezers to characterize physical tethers at membrane contact sites: grab it, pull it, set it free? Frontiers in Cell and Developmental Biology 4, 22.

Sparkes I, Runions J, Hawes C, Griffing L. 2009a. Movement and remodeling of the endoplasmic reticulum in nondividing cells of tobacco leaves. The Plant Cell 21, 3937–3949.

<span id="page-11-11"></span>Sparkes IA. 2010. Motoring around the plant cell: insights from plant myosins. Biochemical Society Transactions 38, 833–838.

Sparkes IA, Ketelaar T, de Ruijter NC, Hawes C. 2009b. Grab a Golgi: laser trapping of Golgi bodies reveals in vivo interactions with the endoplasmic reticulum. Traffic 10, 567-571.

<span id="page-11-23"></span>Sparkes IA, Runions J, Kearns A, Hawes C. 2006. Rapid, transient expression of fluorescent fusion proteins in tobacco plants and generation of stably transformed plants. Nature Protocols 1, 2019–2025.

Sparkes IA, Teanby NA, Hawes C. 2008. Truncated myosin XI tail fusions inhibit peroxisome, Golgi, and mitochondrial movement in tobacco leaf epidermal cells: a genetic tool for the next generation. Journal of Experimental Botany 59, 2499–2512.

<span id="page-11-0"></span>Staehelin LA, Moore I. 1995. The plant Golgi apparatus: Structure, functional organization and trafficking mechanisms. Annual Review of Plant Physiology and Plant Molecular Biology 46, 261–288.

<span id="page-11-30"></span>Takagi J, Renna L, Takahashi H, *et al*. 2013. MAIGO5 functions in protein export from Golgi-associated endoplasmic reticulum exit sites in *Arabidopsis*. The Plant Cell 25, 4658–4675.

<span id="page-11-18"></span>Takahashi H, Tamura K, Takagi J, Koumoto Y, Hara-Nishimura I, Shimada T. 2010. MAG4/Atp115 is a golgi-localized tethering factor that mediates efficient anterograde transport in *Arabidopsis*. Plant & Cell Physiology 51, 1777–1787.

<span id="page-11-2"></span>Wang Y, Seemann J. 2011. Golgi biogenesis. Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology 3, a005330.

<span id="page-11-3"></span>Wilson C, Ragnini-Wilson A. 2010. Conserved molecular mechanisms underlying homeostasis of the Golgi complex. International Journal of Cell Biology 2010, 758230.

<span id="page-11-14"></span>Wong M, Munro S. 2014. Membrane trafficking. The specificity of vesicle traffic to the Golgi is encoded in the golgin coiled-coil proteins. Science 346, 1256898.

<span id="page-11-24"></span>Zheng H, Kunst L, Hawes C, Moore I. 2004. A GFP-based assay reveals a role for RHD3 in transport between the endoplasmic reticulum and Golgi apparatus. The Plant Journal 37, 398–414.