

Barton, K, Schattat, M, Jakob, T, Hause, G, Wilhelm, C, Mckenna, J, Mathe, C, Runions, J, Van Damme, D and Mathur, J

Epidermal Pavement Cells of Arabidopsis Have Chloroplasts

Barton, K, Schattat, M, Jakob, T, Hause, G, Wilhelm, C, Mckenna, J, Mathe, C, Runions, J, Van Damme, D and Mathur, J (2016) Epidermal Pavement Cells of Arabidopsis Have Chloroplasts. *Plant Physiology*, 171 (2). pp. 723-726.

doi: 10.1104/pp.16.00608

This version is available: <https://radar.brookes.ac.uk/radar/items/07202800-d525-4719-93da-e7d79c980885/1/>

Available on RADAR: November 2016

Copyright © and Moral Rights are retained by the author(s) and/ or other copyright owners. A copy can be downloaded for personal non-commercial research or study, without prior permission or charge. This item cannot be reproduced or quoted extensively from without first obtaining permission in writing from the copyright holder(s). The content must not be changed in any way or sold commercially in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

This document is the post print version of the journal article. Some differences between the published version and this version may remain and you are advised to consult the published version if you wish to cite from it.

Epidermal pavement cells of *Arabidopsis thaliana* have chloroplasts

Plastids are multi-functional, pleomorphic organelles of purported endosymbiotic origin, that in plants and green algae display a characteristic double membrane envelope (Wise, 2007). Whereas all plastids originate from colourless pro-plastids a simple pigmentation-based classification distinguishes chloroplasts from other plastids by the presence of chlorophyll, chromoplasts by the predominance of other pigments, and leucoplasts by the absence of all pigmentation (Schimper, 1883; 1885). Plastids are able to inter-convert according to tissue and developmental requirements. (Schimper, 1883; 1885).

In higher plants the majority of chloroplasts are found in the leaf mesophyll tissue. The presence of chloroplasts in the epidermis of some higher plant species, including tobacco, is also generally accepted (Shaw and MacLachlan, 1954; Dupree et al., 1991; Brunkard et al., 2015). However, several modern textbooks and primary publications categorically state that the epidermis of higher plants contains chloroplasts only in the guard cells, while pavement and trichome cells have leucoplasts (MacDonald, 2003; Smith, 2005; Bowes and Mauseth, 2008; Solomon et al., 2010; Vaughan, 2013). In the model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* observations of leucoplasts in the unicellular trichomes are consistent, but there is considerable ambiguity regarding the presence or absence of chloroplasts in pavement cells (Table 1).

Whereas several publications show clear chloroplasts in the pavement cells of *Arabidopsis* a precise, observation-based statement that contradicts the common text book knowledge has been made by Pyke (2009): “In a leaf, the chloroplasts in the epidermal cells covering the leaf surface are significantly smaller and poorly developed compared with mesophyll chloroplasts, but do contain low levels of chlorophyll and should be considered as chloroplasts”. However, a degree of uncertainty is maintained since other investigators, who have also observed chlorophyll fluorescence in pavement cells, have either dismissed it as an artifact or have described such chloroplasts as not being fully developed (Haseloff et al., 1997; Chiang et al., 2012; Higa et al., 2014). The

uncertainty on the issue is apparent in a recent publication that uses the purported absence of chloroplasts in the pavement cells to explain the differences in plastid behaviour that they observe between cotyledon pavement and mesophyll cells in response to chemically induced redox stress (Brunkard et al., 2015).

Recognizing the correct plastid type in a tissue creates an association with specific attributes. The name influences our comprehension of its internal biochemistry, its response and susceptibility to environmental stimuli such as redox imbalances and its overall behaviour and interactions with other cytoplasmic components and compartments. For example, photosynthesis in chloroplasts suggests a primary source of sugars, whereas leucoplasts are recognized as sink plastids that receive already synthesized sugar molecules. For models that rely on identifying a plastid type to explain plastid behavior, a changed label can suggest a different but perhaps experimentally unsubstantiated interpretation.

Here, after recognizing the present ambiguity on the subject, we address the issue of the presence or absence of chloroplasts in epidermal pavement cells in *Arabidopsis* by presenting a few representative images and observations (Figure 1). The observations, undertaken independently in several different labs, routinely detect chlorophyll autofluorescence (emission peak 485nm) using epifluorescent microscopy (B-3A long pass filter set) as well as confocal laser scanning microscopy (excitation 488nm; emission collected 650-750nm) in pavement cell plastids. The observations remain consistent for plants in different stages of development, grown on soil or on sucrose-containing medium under varying light conditions (Figure 1A,B). As reported earlier by Pyke and Leech (1994), the number of chloroplasts in a pavement cell is nearly a tenth (10 ± 3) of that observed for mesophyll cells. In comparison to the clustered chloroplasts in mesophyll cells, pavement cell chloroplasts appear very dispersed, often located near the edges of the zigsaw puzzle-shaped cells. The average size of pavement cell chloroplasts is approximately half the size of a mesophyll chloroplast but slightly larger than guard cell chloroplasts. The average chlorophyll-a

fluorescence values of pavement cell chloroplasts lies between that of guard cell and mesophyll cell chloroplasts. Observations of low chlorophyll content are matched by ultrastructural details that show a small number of clearly defined grana (Fig. 1C-E). Although pulse amplitude modulated fluorescence (PAM) measurements provide lower values in comparison to mesophyll chloroplasts ($F_{max} = 0.62$ compared to 0.76 for palisade parenchyma chloroplasts) they clearly demonstrate that the pavement cell chloroplasts do have an active photosystem (PS) II.

Whereas each observation presented here supports earlier publications (referenced in Table 1) suggesting the presence of chloroplasts in Arabidopsis pavement cells there is some basis for their perceived absence too. One reason appears to lie in their low numbers and sparse distribution in pavement cells. Further, like chloroplasts in the mesophyll, pavement cell chloroplasts also exhibit light avoidance responses (Higa et al. 2014) and relocate to the lower lateral regions of the cells in tissue exposed to light. This location places them very close to the mesophyll layer so that when imaged from above, as is the usual practice, they are easily confused with mesophyll chloroplasts. Their location in the lower region of pavement cells also removes them from the focal plane for guard cell chloroplasts and conveys an impression of their absence. However, a comparison of chloroplast size and in cases where a stroma-targeted probe has been used clearly demonstrates their presence (Fig.1B). Another prevalent practice during multi-channel confocal imaging that might lead to a similar conclusion is the minimization of fluorescence detection levels for chlorophyll in order to obtain clear images of the strongly autofluorescent mesophyll chloroplasts. Since pavement cell chloroplasts display considerably lower autofluorescence there is a strong likelihood that their fluorescent signal falls below the detection range. As shown in Fig. 1A imaging a tissue from a lateral perspective in addition to the top-down view (Fig. 1B) allows all autofluorescent plastids to be detected and helps dispel the illusion of absence.

Another factor requiring consideration in the context of pavement cell chloroplasts is the intrinsic property of plastids to inter-convert from one kind to

another. Chlorophyll, the distinguishing feature of a chloroplast, is lost quite rapidly in senescing as well as wounded tissue. This would allow a plastid to be classified as a leucoplast. As cotyledons of varying ages have been used in some studies (Chiang et al., 2012; Brunkard et al., 2015), we observed this tissue carefully and found that pavement cells in older cotyledons and leaves do contain a mixture of chloroplasts and leucoplasts. Whether observations made on tissues with a mixture of plastid types should be presented as being representative and used to promote the view that pavement cells in *Arabidopsis* plants have only leucoplasts remains questionable.

It appears that considering plastids in pavement cells in *Arabidopsis thaliana* to be leucoplasts is largely due to limited information to the contrary, rather than evidence in favour of this conclusion. We believe that this letter will help to remove the existing ambiguity on the subject. While *Arabidopsis* becomes another lab plant, like tobacco (Dupree et al., 1991), where chloroplasts in pavement cells can be observed, it is noteworthy that independent surveys by Moore (1887) and Stohr (1879) had already indicated that between 85 and 95% of dicotyledonous species contain chlorophyll in the lower epidermis, while at least half of the 120 species investigated by Moore (1887) had chloroplasts in the upper epidermis. Recognition of small chloroplasts with a high stroma to grana ratio in the pavement cells should open out new avenues for research on their actual contribution to the general upkeep and functioning of the aerial plant epidermis.

Table1. Non-comprehensive list of publications reflecting on the status of chloroplasts in pavement cells in *Arabidopsis thaliana*.

Suggested	Basis	Reference
Absent	Chlorophyll auto-fluorescence in guard cells	Brunkard et al., 2015
Absent	Chlorophyll containing plastids not observed	Haseloff et al., 1997
Absent	Reported as being non-green. Chlorophyll signal not shown	Haswell and Meyerowitz 2006
Absent	Chlorophyll autofluorescence in guard cells	Chiang et al., 2012
Absent	Reported. Chlorophyll signal not shown	Bergmann et al., 2004
Absent	Expression of 35S-PAC-GFP construct only in guard cells. Chlorophyll signal not shown	Meurer et al., 1998
Absent	Stated in discussion, no citation.	Kagawa and Wada 2000
Ambiguous	Chlorophyll fluorescence; internal structure in embryo.	Tejos et al., 2010
Ambiguous	Chlorophyll auto-fluorescence in leaf primordia. Indicate loss of chlorophyll later.	Charuvi et al., 2012
Ambiguous	Chlorophyll auto-fluorescence	Higa et al., 2014
Present	Citation	Pyke and Page 1998
Present	Internal thylakoid ultrastructure observed	Robertson et al., 1996
Present	Chlorophyll auto-fluorescence	Kojo et al., 2009
Present	Chlorophyll auto-fluorescence	Fujiwara et al., 2015
Present	Chlorophyll auto-fluorescence	Holzinger et al., 2008
Present	Pale chloroplasts reported.	Pyke and Leech 1994
Present	Chlorophyll auto-fluorescence	Joo et al., 2005
Present	Acknowledged as chloroplasts.	Vitha et al., 2001

Kiah A. Barton ¹, Martin H. Schattat ², Torsten Jakob ³, Gerd Hause ⁴, Christian Wilhelm ³, Joseph F. Mckenna ⁵, Csaba Máthé ^{1,6}, John Runions ⁵, Daniel Van Damme ^{7,8}, Jaideep Mathur ^{1*}

1. Laboratory of Plant Development and Interactions, Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, University of Guelph, 50 Stone Rd., Guelph. ON. N1G2W1. Canada.

2. Martin-Luther-Universität Halle-Wittenberg, Institutsbereich Pflanzenphysiologie, Weinbergweg 10, 06120 Halle (Saale), Germany.

3. Department of Plant Physiology, Institute of Biology, Faculty of Biosciences, Pharmacy and Psychology, University of Leipzig, Leipzig, Germany.

4. Microscopy Unit. Biocenter. Martin-Luther-University Halle-Wittenberg. Weinbergweg 22. D-06120 Halle/Saale, Germany.

5. Oxford Brookes University. S209A Sinclair, Gypsy lane, Oxford, OX3 0BP, United Kingdom.

6. Department of Botany, University of Debrecen, Faculty of Science and Technology, Debrecen, Hungary.

7. VIB Department of Plant Systems Biology, Ghent University. Technologiepark 927, 9052 Gent, Belgium.

8. Department of Plant Biotechnology and Bioinformatics, Ghent University, 9052 Ghent, Belgium

* Author for correspondence

*JM jmathur@uoguelph.ca Tel:1 (519) 824 4120 ext. 56636: Fax: 1 (519) 837-1802

KAB bartonk@uoguelph.ca

MHS martin.schattat@pflanzenphys.uni-halle.de

TJ tjakob@rz.uni-leipzig.de

GH gerd.hause@biozentrum.uni-halle.de

CW cwilhelm@rz.uni-leipzig.de

JFM josephmckenna@brookes.ac.uk

CM mathe.csaba@science.unideb.hu

JR jrunions@brookes.ac.uk

DVD dadam@psb.vib-ugent.be

LITERATURE CITED

Bergmann DC, Lukowitz W, Somerville CR (2004) Stomatal development and pattern controlled by a MAPKK Kinase. *Science* **304**: 1494-1497

Bowes BG, Mauser JD (2008) *Plant Structure – A Colour Guide*, Ed 2. Manson Publishing Ltd, London, pp 123

Brunkard JO, Runkel AM, Zambryski PC (2015) Chloroplasts extend stromules independently and in response to internal redox signals. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **112**: 10044-10049

Charuvi D, Kiss V, Nevo R, Shimoni E, Adam Z, Reich Z (2012) Gain and loss of photosynthetic membranes during plastid differentiation in the shoot apex of *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* **24**: 1143-1157

Chiang YH, Zubo YO, Tapken W, Kim HJ, Lavanway AM, Howard L, Pilon M, Kieber JJ, Schaller GE (2012) Functional characterization of GATA transcription factors GNC and CGA1 reveals their key role in chloroplast development, growth, and division in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol* **160**: 332-348

Dupree P, Pwee KH, Gray JC (1991) Expression of photosynthesis gene-promoter fusions in leaf epidermal cells of transgenic tobacco plants. *Plant J* **1**: 115-120

Fujiwara MT, Kojo KH, Kazama Y, Sasaki S, Abe T, Itoh RD (2015) The *Arabidopsis minE* mutation causes new plastid and FtsZ1 localization phenotypes in the leaf epidermis. *Front Plant Sci* **6**: 823

Haseloff J, Siemering KR, Prasher DC, Hodge S (1997) Removal of a cryptic intron and subcellular localization of green fluorescent protein are required to mark transgenic *Arabidopsis* plants brightly. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **94**: 2122-2127

Haswell ES, Meyerowitz EM (2006) MscS-like proteins control plastid size and shape in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Curr Biol* **16**: 1-11

Higa T, Suetsugu N, Kong SG, Wada M (2014) Actin-dependent plastid movement is required for motive force generation in directional nuclear movement in plants. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* **111**: 4327-4331

Holzinger A, Kwok EY, Hanson MR (2008) Effects of *arc3*, *arc5* and *arc6* mutations on plastid morphology and stromule formation in green and nongreen tissues of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Photochem Photobiol* **84**: 1324-1335

Joo JH, Wang S, Chen JG, Jones AM, Fedoroff NV (2005) Different signaling and cell death roles of heterotrimeric G protein α and β subunits in the *Arabidopsis* oxidative stress response to ozone. *Plant Cell* **17**: 957-970

Kagawa T, Wada M (2000) Blue light-induced chloroplast relocation in *Arabidopsis thaliana* as analyzed by microbeam irradiation. *Plant Cell Physiol* **41**: 84-93

Kojo KH, Fujiwara MT, Itoh RD (2009) Involvement of *AtMinE1* in plastid morphogenesis in various tissues of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Biosci Biotechnol Biochem* **73**: 2632-2539

MacDonald MS (2003) Selected photobiological responses. In *Photobiology of Higher Plants*. John Wiley and Sons Ltd, Chichester, pp 274-301

Meurer J, Grevelding C, Westhoff P, Reiss B (1998) The PAC protein affects the maturation of specific chloroplast mRNAs in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Mol Gen Genet* **258**: 342-351

Moore SLM (1887) On epidermal chlorophyll. *J Bot* **25**: 358-363

Pyke KA (2009) *Plastid Biology*. Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, pp 13-18

Pyke KA, Leech RM (1994) A genetic analysis of chloroplast division and expansion in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Plant Physiol* **104**: 201-207

Pyke KA, Page AM (1998) Plastid ontogeny during petal development in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Physiol* **116**: 797-803

Robertson EJ, Rutherford SM, Leech RM (1996) Characterization of chloroplast division using the *Arabidopsis* mutant *arc5*. *Plant Physiol* **112**: 149-159

Schimper AFW (1883) Über die entwicklung der chlorophyllkörner und farbkörper. *Bot Zeit* **41**: 105–113

Schimper AFW (1885) Die entwicklung und gliederung des chromatophorensystems. In H Fitting, W Pfeffer, N Pringsheim, E Strasburger, eds, *Jahrbücher Für Wissenschaftliche Botanik*. G. Borntraeger, Berlin, pp 1-246

Shaw M, MacLachlan GA (1954) The physiology of stomata: Carbon dioxide fixation in guard cells. *Can J Bot* **32**: 784-794

Smith BN (2005) Photosynthesis, Respiration, and Growth. In M Pessaraki, ed, *Handbook of Photosynthesis*, Ed 2. Taylor & Francis Group, Boca Raton, pp 671-676

Solomon EP, Berg LR, Martin DW (2010) Biology, Ed 9. Brooks/Cole, Belmont, pp 732

Stohr A (1879) Über vorkommen von chlorophyll in der epidermis der phanerogamen-laubblätter. Sitzb der K Akad Wien **79**: 87-118

Tejos RI, Mercado AV, Meisel LA (2010) Analysis of chlorophyll fluorescence reveals stage specific patterns of chloroplast-containing cells during Arabidopsis embryogenesis. Biol Res **43**: 99-111

Vaughan K (2013) Immunocytochemistry of Plant Cells. Springer, Dordrecht, pp 1-129

Vitha S, McAndrew RS, Osteryoung KW (2001) FtsZ ring formation at the chloroplast division site in plants. J Cell Biol **153**: 111-119

Wise RR (2007) The diversity of plastid form and function. In R Wise, J Hooper, eds, Advances in Photosynthesis and Respiration: The Structure and Function of Plastids, Vol 23. Springer, Dordrecht, pp 3-26