


Monitoring and Review

Why is monitoring and review important?



Why is monitoring and review important?

Having good quality information and analysis to:

- Judge efficiency and effectiveness
- To provide challenge and look for continuous improvement

Making decisions about inefficient, ineffective and unsustainable services:

- Supporting and challenging
- Decommissioning and finding other provision

Institute of Public Care



Effective monitoring and review



Choosing performance measures

- What national measures do you have to collect or are set out?
- Where there are gaps in national information?
- What local measures do you already use to fill these gaps, and what else might you want to collect?
- Do you have an overview of what is collected from providers by the CQC, Ofsted, CCGs and the local authority?

Plenary Discussion


What performance measures do you currently use and how outcome focussed are they?

Measuring/monitoring for outcomes

<u>How much did we do?</u>	<u>How well did we do it?</u>
# Customers served (by customer characteristic)	% Common measures Workload ratio, staff turnover rate, staff morale, percent of staff fully trained, worker safety, unit cost, customer satisfaction: <i>Did we treat you well?</i>
# Activities (by type of activity)	% Activity-specific measures Percent of actions timely and correct, percent clients completing activity, percent of actions meeting standards
<u>Is Anyone Better Off?</u>	
# Skills / Knowledge	% Skills / Knowledge
# Attitude / Opinion	% Attitude / Opinion including customer satisfaction: <i>Did we help you with your problems?</i>
# Behavior	% Behavior
# Circumstance	% Circumstance


Mark Friedman 2005

Measuring outcomes



Example – outcomes star

- A tested and effective motivational tool, which services integrate into assessment and review
- Record where client is and how they progress in up to 10 areas of their life
- Scales are underpinned by a journey of change



Triangle Consulting Social Enterprise

Example - WEMWBS

- The Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Wellbeing Scale
- Developed to enable monitoring of mental wellbeing in the general population and the evaluation of projects, programmes and policies

Please tick (✓) the box that best describes your experience of each over the last 2 weeks

STATEMENTS	None of the time	Rarely	Some of the time	Often	All of the time
I've been feeling optimistic about the future	1	2	3	4	5
I've been feeling useful	1	2	3	4	5
I've been feeling relaxed	1	2	3	4	5
I've been feeling interested in other people	1	2	3	4	5
I've had energy to spare	1	2	3	4	5
I've been dealing with problems well	1	2	3	4	5
I've been thinking clearly	1	2	3	4	5
I've been feeling good about myself	1	2	3	4	5
I've been feeling close to other people	1	2	3	4	5
I've been feeling confident	1	2	3	4	5
I've been able to make up my own mind about things	1	2	3	4	5
I've been feeling loved	1	2	3		
I've been interested in new things	1	2	3		
I've been feeling cheerful	1	2	3		

Satisfaction

Affect

Competence

Relatedness

Autonomy

Selecting the right measures



- Seek input and buy-in from a wide range of stakeholders
- Acknowledge individual/local/national priorities
- Set realistic targets
- Select a mix of outcomes, outputs and process measures
- Prioritise the most important measures
- Be creative and flexible
- Consider how the data can be collected and analysed
- Keep it simple

SCIE, 2019

Effective monitoring and review



Improving performance through effective contract monitoring

- Proportional investment in monitoring with levels of action based on risk.
- Rely on providers' q.a. systems i.e. use self assessment and providers' information plus random samples/unannounced visits to ensure honesty and accuracy.
- Agree protocols on intervention with underperforming providers.
- Set-up systems to ensure action is taken.
- Publicise the results.

Managing poor performance

Aspects	Development Approach
Basic principles	Mistakes happen. Everyone should have the chance to learn from them and change. Support may be needed to prevent recurrence.
In practice	Purchaser and provider agree on what has gone wrong and why. Develop a corrective action plan (CAP), which may include additional monitoring and support.
Benefits	Reflects mutual dependence and partnership. Can enable 'business as usual' whilst some matters are resolved.
Risks	No immediate consequences for provider – long term deterrent? CAP may not resolve the problem; termination may only be delayed

Gosling

Managing poor performance

Aspect	Punitive Approach
Basic principles	Performance can never be below required standards. Financial or other punishments will prevent recurrence of problems. The provider must resolve their problems alone.
In practice	The threat or implementation of fine or restriction of new business. Suspension from accredited list. The contract must contain explicit powers.
Benefits	Clear relationship between performance and payments. Shows purchaser's serious intent from the outset.
Risks	Judgements open to legal challenge. Purchaser may be drawn into terminating contract sooner than they would want.

Gosling

What determines your approach?

- The seriousness of the matter
- The risk(s) involved
- Has the contract been breached?
- The relationship with the provider
- The providers response to poor performance

Managing poor performance

- What arrangements do you need to put in place to be able to respond robustly to poor contractual performance?

Effective monitoring and review




Evaluation and action

- Remember – monitoring performance alerts you to the fact that a problem exists, not why it exists.
- Explain rather than describe problems, including how they will be addressed.
- In order to address poor performance you need to analyse reasons behind it and take action.

ONE PAGE Turn the Curve Report: Performance

Program: _____

Performance Measure
Baseline



Story behind the baseline

----- (List as many as needed)

Partners

----- (List as many as needed)

Three Best Ideas – What Works

1. -----
2. -----
3. ----- No-cost / low-cost
4. ----- Off the Wall

Sharp
Edges

Mark Friedman 2005


Getting it right

- Be seen to be consistent, equitable and objective
- Work with providers to set up and manage the process
- Meet with providers or review monitoring information from providers regularly
- Review what matters and demonstrate that data is used



Your current approach

- Consider your current approach to monitoring and review
- Identify 2 key areas for improvement



More information

- Government Outcomes Lab (2017) [Setting and Measuring Outcomes](#)
- Social Finance (2015) [Technical Guide: Designing Outcome Metrics](#)
- CORC [Using Clinical Outcomes for Service Improvement: A Guide for Commissioners](#)
- Health Catalyst [The Top 7 Outcome Measures and 3 Measurement Essentials](#)
- [Results-based Accountability](#)
- National Audit Office (2016) [Good practice contract management framework](#)
- SCIE (2019) [Selecting the Right Measures to Understand and Measure Impact](#)

Contact us

- <https://ipc.brookes.ac.uk>
- `ipc@brookes.ac.uk`
- `@IPC_Brookes`
- `01865 790312`