RESEARCH ARTICLE Gerth et al., Microbial Genomics DOI 10.1099/mgen.0.000503



Rapid molecular evolution of *Spiroplasma* symbionts of *Drosophila*

Michael Gerth^{1,*},[†], Humberto Martinez-Montoya², Paulino Ramirez³, Florent Masson⁴, Joanne S. Griffin¹, Rodolfo Aramayo⁵, Stefanos Siozios¹, Bruno Lemaitre⁴, Mariana Mateos⁶ and Gregory D. D. Hurst¹

Abstract

Spiroplasma is a genus of *Mollicutes* whose members include plant pathogens, insect pathogens and endosymbionts of animals. *Spiroplasma* phenotypes have been repeatedly observed to be spontaneously lost in *Drosophila* cultures, and several studies have documented a high genomic turnover in *Spiroplasma* symbionts and plant pathogens. These observations suggest that *Spiroplasma* evolves quickly in comparison to other insect symbionts. Here, we systematically assess evolutionary rates and patterns of *Spiroplasma poulsonii*, a natural symbiont of *Drosophila*. We analysed genomic evolution of *s*Hy within flies, and *s*Mel within *in vitro* culture over several years. We observed that *S. poulsonii* substitution rates are among the highest reported for any bacteria, and around two orders of magnitude higher compared with other inherited arthropod endosymbionts. The absence of mismatch repair loci *mutS* and *mutL* is conserved across *Spiroplasma*, and likely contributes to elevated substitution rates. Further, the closely related strains *s*Mel and *s*Hy (>99.5% sequence identity in *s*Hy, a protective symbiont of *Drosophila hydei*. Finally, comparison across diverse *Spiroplasma* lineages confirms previous reports of dynamic evolution of toxins, and identifies loci similar to the male-killing toxin Spaid in several *Spiroplasma* lineages and other endosymbionts. Overall, our results highlight the peculiar nature of *Spiroplasma* genome evolution, which may explain unusual features of its evolutionary ecology.

DATA SUMMARY

All novel sequencing data are available through National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) repositories: raw reads for *s*Hy-Tx under BioProject accession PRJNA274591; raw reads for *s*Mel-Br under BioProject accession PRJNA507275; and all other raw reads and all assemblies under BioProject accession PRJNA640980.

Alignments for phylogenetic reconstructions, and a supplementary protocol are available from Zenodo under the DOI 10.5281/zenodo.3903209 (https://zenodo.org/record/ 3903209#.YBkwNzH7SM8).

Supplementary Material is available under the DOI 10.6084/ m9.figshare.13584902.

All *Spiroplasma* genome assemblies used for comparative genomics are available from NCBI repositories, with the accession numbers listed in Table S1.

Raw reads for sHy-Tx can be found under NCBI BioProject accession PRJNA274591 (BioSample SAMN08102256, SRA SRR6326389). Reads from sMeI-Br are stored under PRJNA507275 (SAMN10488582). All other reads and assemblies are available under NCBI BioProject PRJNA640980. **Data statement:** All supporting data, code and protocols have been provided within the article or through supplementary data files. Six supplementary figures and four supplementary tables are available under the DOI 10.6084/m9.figshare.13584902. Sequence alignments and a supplementary protocol are available from Zenodo under the DOI 10.5281/zenodo.3903208.



This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License. This article was made open access via a Publish and Read agreement between the Microbiology Society and the corresponding author's institution.

Received 15 December 2020; Accepted 22 January 2021; Published 16 February 2021

Author affiliations: ¹Institute of Infection, Veterinary and Ecological Sciences, University of Liverpool, Liverpool, UK; ²Laboratorio de Genética y Genómica Comparativa, Unidad Académica Multidisciplinaria Reynosa Aztlán, Universidad Autónoma de Tamaulipas, Reynosa, Mexico; ³Department of Cell Systems and Anatomy, University of Texas Health San Antonio, San Antonio, TX, USA; ⁴Global Health Institute, School of Life Sciences, Swiss Federal Institute of Technology Lausanne (École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne), Lausanne, Switzerland; ⁵Department of Biology, Texas A&M University, College Station, TX, USA; ⁴Correspondence: Michael Gerth, mgerth@brookes.ac.uk

Keywords: DNA repair; genome evolution ; genome reduction; mycoplasma; symbiosis.

Abbreviations: CDS, coding sequence; KEGG, Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes; NCBI, National Center for Biotechnology Information; RIP, ribosome-inactivating protein.

[†]Present address: Department of Biological and Medical Sciences, Oxford Brookes University, Oxford, UK.

INTRODUCTION

Many bacterial lineages have evolved to become associates of animal hosts [1]. In arthropods, such associations are the rule, and maternally inherited, endosymbiotic bacteria are especially common and diverse [2, 3]. Prominent examples include obligate nutritional symbionts of blood and sap feeders [4], reproductive manipulators [5], and protective symbionts [6]. Collectively, inherited symbionts of arthropods are highly diverse with respect to potential benefits and costs induced, and their degree of adaptation to hosts. For example, even within a single lineage of inherited symbionts – *Wolbachia* – there are nutritional mutualists [7], protective symbionts [8] and reproductive manipulators [9]. Importantly, these symbiont-conferred traits may be modulated by environmental factors [10] and host genetic background [11].

Spiroplasma are small, helical bacteria that lack cell walls. Like other Mollicutes (Mycoplasma-like organisms), they are exclusively found in host association and may be pathogenic [12]. Among the first discovered spiroplasmas were plant pathogens (reviewed by Saglio and Whitcomb [13]) and an inherited symbiont of Drosophila with the ability to shift sex ratios towards females [14]. Subsequently, Spiroplasma symbionts were found in a number of different Drosophila species [15], as well as many other arthropods [16]. More recently, molecular evidence was brought forward for Spiroplasma symbionts in non-arthropod animals [17, 18]. In insects, two phenotypes induced by inherited Spiroplasma have been studied in detail (reviewed by Anbutsu and Fukatsu [19], and Ballinger and Perlman [20]). Firstly, Spiroplasma can enhance survival rates of its hosts under parasite or parasitoid attack. In Drosophila neotestacea, Spiroplasma inhibits growth and reproduction of the parasitic nematode Howardula and, thus, reverses nematode-induced sterility [21]. Further, Spiroplasma symbionts may greatly enhance Drosophila survival when attacked by parasitoids [22, 23]. Both protective phenotypes are mediated by Spiroplasmaencoded RIP (ribosome-inactivating protein) toxins, which target the attackers' ribosomes [24-26]. These processes may be complementary to protection through competition between Spiroplasma and parasitoids for lipids in the host haemolymph [27]. Secondly, Spiroplasma kills males early in development in several species of Drosophila, planthoppers [28], ladybird beetles [29], lacewings [30] and butterflies [31]. The male-killing phenotype is also linked to a toxin: in Drosophila melanogaster, a Spiroplasma protein containing OTU (ovarian tumour-like deubiquitinase) and ankyrin domains was demonstrated to kill male embryos and, thus, termed Spiroplasma poulsonii androcidin ('Spaid') [32].

Spiroplasma-induced phenotypes have been commonly observed to be dynamic compared with other symbiont traits, with repeated observation of phenotype change within laboratory culture over relatively short time frames. For example, spontaneous loss of male killing was found in *Spiroplasma* symbionts of *Drosophila nebulosa* [33] and *Drosophila willistoni* [34], and spontaneous emergence of non-male-killing *Spiroplasma* in *D. melanogaster* has occurred at least twice

Impact Statement

Symbionts of arthropods are ubiguitous in nature and profoundly impact host biology. Most inherited bacterial symbionts of insects evade culturing outside their hosts, and as a consequence we have a limited understanding of evolutionary processes driving symbiont-host interactions. We studied Spiroplasma poulsonii, a naturally occurring symbiont of Drosophila that may act as male killer and protective symbiont. We monitored the evolution of the symbiont in the absence of selection for a hostassociated strain and for a strain in a recently established axenic culture. Using whole-genome sequencing and re-sequencing, we observed rates of molecular evolution in S. poulsonii that are two orders of magnitude faster than in other microbes with similar ecology. Our findings explain repeatedly observed spontaneous loss of Spiroplasma-induced phenotypes and peculiar aspects of Spiroplasma evolutionary ecology. They further demonstrate that Spiroplasma is a unique model for the study of the genomic basis of symbiont adaptation to hosts.

in a single culture [32, 35]. In addition, *Spiroplasma* symbionts artificially transferred from their native host *Drosophila hydei* into *D. melanogaster* initially caused pathogenesis, but evolved to become benign over just a few host generations [36]. Genomic analysis has further documented dynamic *Spiroplasma* evolution driven by viral proliferation [37–39] and by extensive transfer of genetic material between plasmids, and from plasmids to chromosomes [40, 41]. Notably, plasmids in *Spiroplasma* commonly encode the systems that establish their phenotypic effect on their host [42]. Examples include Spaid [32] and RIP genes [26], and rapid plasmid evolution may, therefore, contribute to the high phenotypic evolvability of *Spiroplasma*. Furthermore, elevated rates of evolution were found in various *Mycoplasma* species [43, 44], which are pathogens closely related to *Spiroplasma* [45].

Bacteria adapting to hosts often follow similar evolutionary trajectories, and both increased mutational rates and proliferation of mobile genetic elements are commonly observed in diverse symbiotic taxa [46]. Therefore, spontaneous loss of *Spiroplasma* phenotypes and high genomic turnover could be explained by evolutionary mechanisms common to all symbiotic taxa. Although independent findings discussed above suggest that *Spiroplasma* symbionts may evolve quickly, this has never been quantified, and it has remained unclear how substitution rates compare to those of other symbionts. However, rates and patterns of evolutionary change may have important implications for *Spiroplasma* evolutionary ecology, and for its potential use in biological-control programmes [47, 48].

In this study, we systematically investigated rates and patterns of *Spiroplasma* evolution. To this end, we employed two strains of *S. poulsonii*. (i) sHy is a natural associate of *D. hydei* [49] and

Table 1. S. poulsonii strains investigated in this study

lease note that we follow the naming scheme suggested by Ballinger and Perlman [26].				
Name used in the current study	Natural host	Establishment in fly or cell-free culture	Source and date of DNA extraction	Also known as
sHy				
sHy-Tx12	Drosophila hydei	01/2004 [53]	Haemolymph extract, 03/2012	Hap_1, TEN104-106
sHy-Liv18a	Drosophila hydei	06/2008 (copy of <i>s</i> Hy-Tx12)	Haemolymph extract, 04/2018	-
sHy-Liv18b	Drosophila hydei	03/2014 (copy of <i>s</i> Hy-Liv18A)	Haemolymph extract, 04/2018	-
sMel				
sMel-Ug16	Drosophila melanogaster	10/2016 [52]	Cell-free culture, four time points in 2016–2018	MSRO-Uganda
sMel-Br18	Drosophila melanogaster	07/1997 [54]	Whole fly, 03/2018	MSRO-RED42

P

confers protection against parasitic wasps [22]. We monitored its evolution over ~10 years in fly culture, and determined changes through de novo reference genome sequencing and re-sequencing of multiple isolates. (ii) sMel occurs naturally in D. melanogaster, where it kills male offspring [50] and protects from parasitoids [23]. Using the previously established complete genome [51] and cell-free culture [52], we



Fig. 1. Overview on S. poulsonii strains investigated in this study. Three sHy strains were sampled from D. hydei cultures over a total time span of 10 years. Blue dates denote splitting of D. hydei cultures, red dates mark Spiroplasma extraction for sequencing. For sMel, samples from four points of a time series of axenic culture were sequenced (HL, start of culture; P14, after 14 passages; P57, after 57 passages; P79, after 79 passages). Here, red dates correspond to the date of isolation for sequencing.

re-sequenced isolates spanning ~2.5 years of evolution. This approach enabled tracing *Spiroplasma* evolution on various levels: within-strain (<10 years divergence), between strains, and through comparison with other Spiroplasma genomes, across a whole clade of arthropod symbionts. It further allowed comparison between symbionts evolving in a host and in axenic culture. We observed that rates of molecular evolution and chromosomal rearrangements in S. poulsonii are substantially faster than in other inherited bacteria, and that this has resulted in markedly different genomic organization in sHy and sMel. Our work, thus, highlights S. poulsonii as an amenable, highly evolvable but potentially unpredictable model insect symbiont.

METHODS

Spiroplasma strains and genome sequencing

We documented the evolution of the S. poulsonii strains sHy and *s*Mel in fly hosts and in cell-free culture, respectively (Table 1). sHy is one of the Spiroplasma symbionts naturally associated with D. hydei [53]. Our evolution experiments covered approximately 10 years and three variants of sHy, all of which all originated from a single isolate (Fig. 1). Over the course of the study, D. hydei hosts were maintained on a standard corn meal agar diet (1% agarose, 8.5% sugar, 6% maize meal, 2% autolysed yeast, 0.25% nipagin), at 25 °C, and a 12h:12h light:dark cycle. To isolate Spiroplasma sHy-Liv DNA from D. hydei, we followed the protocol of Paredes et al. [51]. We collected approximately 300 D. hydei virgins and aged them for 4 weeks. The flies were then anesthetized with CO₂ and pricked in the anterior sternopleurum using a sterile needle. Haemolymph was extracted via centrifugation $(10000 g, 5 \min, at 4 °C)$ through a 0.45 µm filter (Corning Costar Spin-X). To avoid haemolymph coagulation, we only extracted batches of 20 flies at a time and immediately transferred extracted haemolymph into ice-cold PBS solution (1× PBS buffer: 137 mmol NaCl, 2.7 mmol KCl, 10 mmol Na₂HPO₄, 1.8 mmol KH₂PO₄). Extracts of all batches were

combined, and the PBS solution with *Spiroplasma* cells was centrifuged (12000 g, 5 min, at 4 °C). Supernatant PBS was discarded, and the cell pellet was subjected to DNA extraction using a phenol/chloroform protocol and subsequent ethanol precipitation. DNA was eluted in water, and sequenced at the Centre for Genomics Research at the University of Liverpool (UK). Libraries were prepared using a Nextera XT kit and sequenced as 2×250 bp runs on an Illumina MiSeq sequencer.

DNA extraction for the Spiroplasma strain sHy-Tx followed the protocol described above, with the following modifications: immediately after the piercing, 35-40 flies were placed into 0.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes previously pierced in the bottom, each of which was placed within a 1.5 ml microcentrifuge tube containing 20 μ l PBS, and centrifuged at 4500 g for 10 s to collect haemolymph. DNA was recovered using a chloroform/ ethanol procedure (Supplementary protocol 1) and diluted in AE buffer (Qiagen). A pair-ended library was constructed by Eureka Genomics. DNA was fragmented, end repaired, A' tagged, ligated to adaptors, size-selected and enriched with 25 cycles of PCR during which an index was incorporated to the sample. Sample preparation was performed according to Illumina's Multiplexing Sample Preparation Guide and Eureka Genomics' proprietary method. The resulting library was subjected to Illumina HiSeq 2500 sequencing at the Texas A&M AgriLife Genomics and Bioinformatics Services Facility (College Station, TX, USA).

sMel is a naturally occurring *Spiroplasma* symbiont of *D. melanogaster* best known for its male-killing phenotype [32, 54]. Cell-free culture of *s*Mel-Ug was recently accomplished [52], and we used a time series of this culture to investigate *s*Mel molecular rates of evolution outside of host tissues. The time series covered 29 months in total, and sequencing was performed at four time points (Fig. 1). Illumina DNA sequencing was performed at MicrobesNG using the 'standard service'. Culturing, passaging and DNA extraction was performed as described by Masson *et al.* [52].

We further sequenced a single isolate of sMel-Br deriving from a strain established in 1997 (Table 1). For Illumina sequencing, 1-2g of whole body flies from Spiroplasmapositive D. melanogaster were collected for CTAB (N-cetyl-N,N,N-trimethylammonium bromide)/phenol based DNA extraction. Illumina libraries were prepared with an Illumina TruSeq DNA kit and sequenced on an Illumina NovaSeq-6000 S2 150 paired-end flow cell by Texas A&M AgriLife Genomics and Bioinformatics Services Facility. For Oxford Nanopore MinION sequencing, heads were first removed by immersing whole flies in liquid nitrogen and vigorous shaking on a metal sieve. The headless specimens were immediately subjected to phenol/chloroform extraction. Nanopore library preparation was performed using an Oxford Nanopore ligation sequencing kit 1D (SQK-LSK108), following precautionary measures to ensure long read lengths. The library was then sequenced on a MinION sequencing device (MIN-101B) with a SpotON Flow Cell Mark 1 (R9.5-FLO-MIN107.1). Base calling was performed using Albacore version 2.0 (Oxford Nanopore) and the reads were processed with Porechop version 0.24 [55]

to remove adapter sequences. A draft assembly of *s*Mel-Br was created using Illumina and Nanopore reads and Unicycler version 0.4.7 [56]. The assembly was fragmented, but did contain three circular contigs with sequence similarity to other *Spiroplasma* contigs.

sHy reference genome sequencing and annotation

In order to reconstruct a high-quality reference genome for sHy, we extracted DNA from 30 D. hydei virgin females carrying the symbiont. To limit the impact of potentially heterogeneous Spiroplasma populations within the flies, we used F3 individuals from a single isofemale line for DNA extraction. The flies were anesthetized at -20 °C, washed with 70% ethanol and briefly dried. The flies were then homogenized in G2 lysis buffer from the Qiagen genomic DNA buffer set using a 1 ml dounce tissue grinder (DWK Life Science), and DNA was extracted from the homogenate using a Qiagen Genomic-Tip 20/G, following the protocol modifications from Miller et al. [57]. A total of 4 µg DNA was then used in the '1D gDNA long reads without BluePippin protocol' library preparation protocol with a 1D ligation sequencing kit (SQK-LSK108; Oxford Nanopore). Sequencing was performed on a MinION sequencer (Oxford Nanopore) using a single FLO-MIN106 R9 flow cell for 48h, and the raw Nanopore signals were base-called using Albacore version 2.3.1.

All reads passing the quality checks were used to create an assembly with UNICYCLER version 0.4.7 [56]. The resulting assembly contained six circular contigs (~15kb -~1.6Mbp) with high similarity to the previously published sMel genome as determined by BLAST+ version 2.9 searches [58] in Bandage [59]. We also created a hybrid assembly with Unicycler using all long reads and the sHy-Liv18a Illumina reads. The hybrid assembly was more fragmented, but did contain three contigs that spanned almost the entire 1.6 Mbp circular contig of the long-read-only assembly. Because hybrid assemblies are typically more accurate than assemblies based on long reads only [60], we corrected the long-read assembly using the hybrid contigs. This was achieved by calling differences between the assemblies with the 'dnadiff' function implemented in MUMMER version 3.18 [61], and correcting the assembly accordingly. Finally, PILON version 1.23 [62] was used to polish the corrected assembly, again using the sHy-Liv18a Illumina reads. After nine rounds of polishing with PILON, no further improvements could be observed and the assembly was considered final.

Rates of molecular evolution in sHy and sMel

We determined changes in *s*Hy and *s*Mel chromosomes over time by employing the SNIPPY pipeline version 4.1.0 [63]. We used our newly assembled *s*Hy genome and the previously sequenced *s*Mel genome [52] as references, annotated using the PROKKA pipeline version 1.13 with standard parameters and the *Spiroplasma* genetic code (translation table 4) [64]. *s*Hy and *s*Mel variants determined by SNIPPY were filtered to only include positions that were covered by all *s*Hy or all *s*Mel Illumina libraries with at least 5× coverage, respectively. Further, to compare rates of molecular evolution between Spiroplasma and other microbial species, we counted the number of changes in third codon positions of coding sequences (CDSs). For both strains, we only considered CDSs that were entirely covered by a sequencing depth of at least 5× in all Illumina libraries (see Table S2 for sequencing and mapping statistics). Rates of molecular evolution were calculated by number of observed changes at third codon positions/number of considered third codon positions/ time in years over which the changes were observed. For sHy, using sHy-Liv18a as a reference, we calculated two rate estimates, one based on comparison with sHy-Liv18b, and one by comparing sHy-Tx12 to the reference (Fig. 1). For sMel, the haemolymph extract from which the culture was established was used as the reference ('HL'; Fig. 1), and three rate estimates were calculated based on the changes observed in the three sampled time points of the culture (Fig. 1).

Comparative genomics

We first compared the newly assembled *s*Hy genome with the most closely related, fully sequenced *Spiroplasma* genome, *s*Mel. Both genomes were annotated using the Kyoto Encyclopedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) and BlastKOALA [65, 66], and orthologous protein sequences determined using ORTHOFINDER version 2.2.3 [67]. Synteny between the genomes was assessed through aligning the genomes with MINIMAP2 version 2.15 [68]. Genome degradation was investigated by documenting the number of unique KEGG numbers for *s*Hy and *s*Mel, all of which were verified by BLAST+ searches. For each strain, we considered genes to be likely pseudogenized or truncated if the longest CDS within an orthogroup spanned at most 60% of the CDS length from the other strain.

Secondly, we compared sHy with 17 Spiroplasma genomes from the Citri-Chrysopicola-Mirum clade (see Table S1 for a full list of names and accession numbers). All genomes were annotated using PROKKA version 1.4.0, and orthology between predicted CDSs was established using ORTHOFINDER. We extracted single-copy orthologues present in all strains, and aligned each locus separately with the L-INS-i method implemented in MAFFT version 7.450 [69]. Recombination was evaluated with pairwise homoplasy index and window sizes of 100, 50 and 20 amino acids for each locus [70], and any locus showing evidence for recombination was excluded. We used IQ-TREE version 1.6.10 [71] to reconstruct a coregenome phylogeny from the remaining 96 loci (covering 26019 amino acid positions). Each locus was treated as a separate partition with a distinct evolutionary rate [72], and optimal models and number of partitions were estimated with IQ-TREE [73, 74]. Branch support was assessed using 1000 replicates of ultrafast bootstraps [75], and approximate likelihood ratio test [76] in IQ-TREE.

For all genomes, insertion sequence elements were annotated with PROKKA. Prophage regions were predicted with PHISPY version 3.7.8, which uses typical prophage features (e.g. gene length, strand directionality, AT/GC skews, insertion points) for its predictions [77]. We also used the PHASTER web server, which uses sequence similarities and other criteria to predict prophages [78]. We screened for the presence of toxin genes implied in protective phenotypes [25, 26] and male killing [32]. To this end, we downloaded UniProt sequence alignments from the Pfam database [79] for the protein families RIP (PF00161) and OTU (PF02338, OTUlike cysteine protease), respectively. We used these alignments as databases for searches with HMMER version 3.2.1 [80], and protein sequences from all genomes as queries. Domain architecture of all matching proteins was then determined using PfamScan with an *E* value of 0.001 [81], SIGNALP 5.0 [82] and TMHMM 2.0 [83]. RIP domains showed a high degree of divergence and, therefore, were aligned to the reference RIP HMM (hidden Markov model) profile using hmmalign from the HMMER software package. From this alignment, positions present in fewer than three sequences were removed, and a phylogeny reconstructed with IQ-TREE. OTU domains were aligned using MAFFT, and a phylogeny reconstructed with IO-TREE.

Thirdly, we determined plasmid synteny across *S. poulsonii* strains. In addition to the plasmids of *s*Mel and *s*Hy, we also included a plasmid of *s*Neo and all circular contigs from our *s*Mel-Br assembly. Plasmids were annotated using PROKKA version 1.13 with a protein database composed of all plasmid proteins available from the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) GenBank. Plasmid alignment and visualization were performed with ALITV version 1.06 [84].

For an extended set of 31 *Spiroplasma* genomes that also included strains from the Apis clade (Table S1), we performed gene tree–species tree reconciliations to investigate the evolutionary history of prophage loci. To this end, we annotated all genomes and determined orthologous groups of loci as described above. Orthogroups were blasted against a database containing all available *Spiroplasma* virus proteins from NCBI Protein (*N*=192). Genes were classified as 'prophage related' if hits were at least 60% identical over 50% of the length of any viral protein. Reconciliation was performed with the prophage loci using GENERAX version 1.2.2, using maximum-likelihood gene trees determined with IQ-TREE as starting trees and the LG+G model for all loci. We predicted CRISPR/Cas systems and CRISPR arrays using the tools CRISPRCASTYPER version 1.2.1 [85] and CRISPRIDENTIFY [86], respectively.

Data visualization

Figures were prepared in R version 3.6.2 [87] using the packages 'ape' [88], 'cowplot' [89], 'ggalluvial' [90], 'ggplot2' [91], 'ggtree' [92] and 'ggridges' [93]. Phylogenetic trees and domain architecture of toxin loci were visualized with EVOLVIEW version 3.0 [94].

RESULTS

Rates and patterns of evolutionary change in *S. poulsonii*

The *S. poulsonii* sHy reference genome comprises a single circular chromosome (1625797 bp) and five circular contigs



Fig. 2. Comparison of estimated evolutionary rates across various microbes. Estimates obtained in this study are highlighted in bold. All bacterial rates are from chromosomal sequences only. DNA viruses – estimates as summarized by Duffy *et al.* [141], including ssDNA viruses and dsDNA viruses. RNA viruses – range of RNA virus substitution rates from Holmes [97]. Bacterial pathogens – approximate range of evolutionary rates estimated from genome-wide data of 16 bacterial pathogens (*Acinetobacter baumannii, Bordetella pertussis, Enterococcus faecium, Klebsiella pneumoniae, Mycobacterium leprae, Mycobacterium tuberculosis, Neisseria meningitidis, Pseudomonas aeruginosa, Salmonella enterica, Shigella dysenteriae, Shigella sonnei, Staphylococcus aureus, Streptococcus pneumoniae, Streptococcus pyogenes, Vibrio cholerae, Yersinia pestis) as determined by Duchêne <i>et al.* [96]. *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* – genome-wide rate estimated from multiple isolates over a 12 year period [44]. Blochmannia and Buchnera (blue line) – based on 16S rDNA, *gidA* and *groEL* sequences, taken from Degnan *et al.* [142]. *Buchnera* (yellow line) – genome-wide rate estimated from seven isolates [102]. *Wolbachia w*Mel – genome-wide data extracted from 179 *D. melanogaster* SRA libraries [108].

(23069–15710 bp) with sequence similarities to plasmids from other *Spiroplasma*. The chromosome contains 1584 predicted CDSs, a single rRNA cluster and 30 tRNAs. Overall, genome content and metabolic capacities are highly similar to the previously sequenced, very closely related *s*Mel genome [51], and a detailed comparison follows further below.

To estimate rates of molecular evolution in *S. poulsonii*, we measured chromosome-wide changes in CDSs of *Spiroplasma* from fly hosts (*s*Hy) and axenic culture (*s*Mel) over time. Our estimates for *s*Hy and *s*Mel are overlapping and range from $6.4 \times 10^{-6} - 1.8 \times 10^{-5}$ changes per position per year. This rate exceeds the rate reported for other symbiotic bacteria such as *Wolbachia* and *Buchnera* by at least two orders of magnitude (Fig. 2). Our estimate overlaps with rates calculated from some fast-evolving human pathogens (e.g. *Enterococcus faecium* and *Acinetobacter baumannii*), and with evolutionary rates observed in the poultry pathogen *Mycoplasma gallisepticum* (which is closely related to *Spiroplasma*). Indeed, *S. poulsonii* substitution rates fall at around the lower estimates for RNA viruses (Fig. 2).

Over ~10 years of evolution, we observed a similar absolute number of variants on the chromosome (~1.6 Mbp) and plasmids (~0.1 Mbp) of *s*Hy, i.e. relatively more changes on the plasmids (Fig. 3, Table S3). Most variants in CDSs affected hypothetical proteins, but were enriched in prophage-associated loci and adhesin-related proteins (Fig. 3). Overall, *s*Hy variants in CDSs were about equally

often found to be synonymous as non-synonymous (Fig. 3), and changes were biased towards GC >AT substitutions (Fig. S1). The changes in *s*Mel over ~2.5 years in culture affected only 15 different CDSs in total, of which 4 were adhesin-related proteins and 3 lipoproteins (Table S3). Thus, the rates and patterns of evolutionary change are similar between the axenically cultured *s*Mel and the host-associated *s*Hy.

Comparing the genomes of *s*Hy and *s*Mel revealed a notable contrast between the high degree of nucleotide sequence identity on the one hand, and striking structural and gene content differences on the other hand. In 604 single copy orthologues shared between the genomes, the mean nucleotide identity was >99.5%. However, compared with sMel, sHy is reduced in gene content (1584 vs 2388 genes), chromosome size (1.61 vs 1.88 Mbp) and coding density (65 vs 82%). Further, sHy contains fewer predicted insertion sequences (9 vs 89 in sMel) and intact prophages as predicted with PHASTER (sMel, 16 such regions spanning 426 kb; sHy, 2 regions, 14 kb), but not with PHISPY (Fig. S2). Both sMel and sHy have a number of missing or truncated (i.e. potentially pseudogenized) genes when compared with each other, but the level of genomic deterioration is higher in sHy, and covers a range of different genes (Fig. 4a, Table S4). Notable pseudogenized or absent loci in sHy include parts of the phosphotransferase system, in particular the loci required for the uptake of N-acetylmuramic acid and N-acetylglucosamine (see Table S3 for a full list).



Fig. 3. Overview of all observed variants in sHy (100%=570 variants). All annotations (type, feature, product, effect) are taken from results of the snippy pipeline. ARP, Adhesion-related protein; complex, variants that span multiple nucleotides and/or SNPs; FS, frame shift; IF, in frame; INDELs, insertions and deletions.

Further, while *recA* is truncated in *s*Mel, the copy in *s*Hy appears complete and functional. As suggested by Paredes *et al.* [51], the loss of *recA* function in *s*Mel is, therefore, likely very recent.

Strikingly, there is evidence for a history of extensive chromosomal rearrangements since the last common ancestor of *s*Hy and *s*Mel, and genome-wide synteny between the strains is low (Fig. 4b). On average, syntenic blocks between the strains contained fewer predicted CDSs for *s*Hy (on average -0.3CDSs kb⁻¹; Fig. 4c), in line with a higher degree of genomic deterioration in *s*Hy compared with *s*Mel. A comparison of plasmids across different *S. poulsonii* strains (*s*Hy, *s*Mel-Ug, *s*Mel-Br and *s*Neo) revealed similar gene content across the plasmids of different strains, but large differences in arrangement and number (Fig. S3).

Genome and toxin evolution in the genus Spiroplasma

Comparing *s*Hy with other sequenced strains of the *Spiroplasma* clades Citri, Poulsonii, Chrysopicola and Mirum showed dynamic genome evolution within this genus of symbionts (Fig. 5). All investigated spiroplasmas have reduced genomes (~1.1–1.9 Mbp), and the *S. poulsonii* strains *s*Hy, *s*Mel and *s*Neo are among the strains with the largest main

chromosomes (Fig. 5). Plasmid numbers range from 0 to 5, and sHy has the highest number of plasmids of any of the investigated strains, although plasmid number is unclear for some of the genomes with draft status, and Spiroplasma citri may have seven plasmids [95]. Prophage regions of varying sizes were predicted in all of the genomes (despite the lack of clear homologues to viral sequences in some of these) [39]. Reconciliation of prophage gene trees with the Spiroplasma species tree revealed that prophage proliferation has likely happened relatively recently, and repeatedly in the Citri and Poulsonii clades (Fig. S4). Prophage loci are entirely absent, or very low in number, for Spiroplasma strains that harbour CRISPR/Cas systems, or remnants thereof (Fig. S4). Further, Spiroplasma genome size correlated with the number of insertion sequences (Figs 5 and S5). The distribution of CDS lengths varies across the investigated genomes (Fig. 5), which may be explained by differences in proportion of prophage regions, level of pseudogenization and assembly quality. Overall, our comparison indicates that sHy may be more degraded than its closest relatives sNeo and sMel, with a smaller chromosome size, fewer predicted CDSs and mobile genetic elements, and the lowest coding density of these three (Fig. 5).

Spiroplasmas' most striking phenotypes in insects have been mechanistically linked to toxin genes. For example, RIPs may protect *Spiroplasma* hosts by cleaving ribosomal RNA



Fig. 4. Comparison of genomic features between sHy and sMel. (a) Number of genes with KEGG annotation that are present in one strain, but absent or truncated in the other. See Table S4 for a complete list. (b) Synteny as determined with minimap2. Syntenic blocks are coloured by sequence similarity. (c) Genomic map of *S. poulsonii* sHy with blocks syntenic to sMel highlighted. The mean number of predicted CDSs kb⁻¹ in these blocks is displayed as difference between sHy and sMel: positive and negative values indicate fewer CDSs in sHy or sMel, respectively. Syntenic blocks were determined with a BLAST+ search using the sMel chromosome sequence as query against a sHy chromosome database, keeping a single best match for any sHy region, and discarding hits below 1000 bp and under 95% nucleotide sequence similarity. Out of 635 syntenic blocks, 324 (spanning 852730 bp) contained fewer CDSs in sHy, and 164 (345546 bp) contained more CDSs in sHy, with 147 regions (334084 bp) showing no difference in CDS number.

of parasites and parasitoids [25]. Five RIP loci are present in *s*Mel (RIP1–5, of which 3–5 are almost identical copies). As expected, the protective *s*Hy also encodes RIPs, but only has a single orthologue for RIP1. However, it contains an additional RIP gene in three copies that appears to be absent in *s*Mel, and one of these copies also contains ankyrin repeats (Fig. S6).

Further, the male-killing phenotype of sMel was recently established to be caused by Spiroplasma androcidin (Spaid), and both ankyrin repeats and a deubiquitinase domain (OTU) of this gene are necessary to induce male killing [32]. HMMER searches using OTU domain profiles revealed a number of Spiroplasma loci similar to Spaid (Fig. 6) that, however, lack its characteristic domain composition. For example, sHy encodes three loci similar to Spaid: one lacks a signal peptide, one has no ankyrin repeats and another encodes an epsilon-toxinlike domain in addition to the OTU domain (Fig. 6). Other bacterial loci with notable similarities to the Spiroplasma OTU could only be detected in the symbiotic taxa Rickettsia and Wolbachia. In the phylogenetic reconstruction of OTU domains rooted with the eukaryotic sequences (from the ciliate Stentor coeruleus), Rickettsia and Wolbachia loci are nested within the Spiroplasma sequences. This topology suggests lateral gene transfer of OTU-domain-containing proteins from Spiroplasma to the other intracellular taxa, although differences in genetic code between Spiroplasma and other bacteria likely limit the probability for transfer of functional protein-encoding genes.

DISCUSSION

Spiroplasma, an exceptionally fast evolving symbiont

The substitution rates we observed in S. poulsonii are among the highest reported for any bacteria (Fig. 1). In a study comparing genome-wide evolutionary rates in bacterial human pathogens [96], most taxa showed considerably slower rates, and our estimate of S. poulsonii evolutionary rates overlaps with substitution rates of RNA viruses [97]. A number of reasons have been proposed for elevated substitution rates in bacterial symbionts and pathogens with small genome sizes. Firstly, host-associated microbes may acquire essential metabolic intermediates from their hosts and, thus, need not synthesize them [98]. Obsolete metabolic genes subsequently accumulate now neutral substitutions, resulting in pseudogenization. Secondly, reduced population sizes of symbionts, together with bottlenecks at transmission events, limit purifying selection of deleterious mutations [99] and mobile genetic elements [100] - both in turn create further genomic deterioration. Thirdly, genomes of host-associated microbes are AT rich [101], which makes introduction of errors through replication slippage more likely [102]. Fourthly, many symbionts lack DNA repair genes [103], which may result in increased substitution rates.

All of these points very likely apply for *S. poulsonii*: its metabolic capacities are reduced compared with free-living microbes, it has a high proportion of pseudogenes and prophages, very high AT content, and lacks several DNA



Fig. 5. Genome properties of *Spiroplasma* strains from Citri, Poulsonii, Chrysopicola and Mirum clades. Genome size (Mbp) and plasmid number were taken from the literature (Table S1). Coding density, CDS length and number of IS elements were determined with Prokka, and proportion of prophage was estimated with PhiSpy. *Spiroplasma* phylogeny is based on partitioned maximum-likelihood analysis of 96 concatenated single-copy genes present in all of the genomes (26019 amino acid positions). Scale bar corresponds to inferred subsitutions per site. All nodes were maximally supported by UFB and aLRT. Asterisks indicate strains with genomes with draft status (i.e. a chromosome assembly split into multiple contigs).



Fig. 6. Spaid-like proteins in *Spiroplasma* and other symbionts. The maximum-likelihood tree was reconstructed from an alignment of OTU domains (147 amino acid positions), and domain predictions are based on PFAMSCAN, SIGNALP and TMHMM. Scale bar correponds to inferred subsitutions per site. Ank, Ankyrin repeats; OTU, ovarian tumour-like deubiquitinase; ETX_MTX2, *Clostridium* epsilon toxin ETX/*Bacillus* mosquitocidal toxin MTX2; Tox-SGS, salivary gland secreted protein domain toxin; SEC-C, SEC-C nucleic acid binding domain.



Fig. 7. Absence (light colours) and presence (dark colours) of genes involved in DNA repair in *Buchnera aphidicola, Wolbachia w*Mel, *Mycoplasma gallisepticum*, and *S. poulsonii* sHy and sMel. *Arsenophonus nasoniae* is included as an example of a symbiont with a relatively large genome and complete DNA repair pathways. Genes involved in more than one pathway are listed only once, and those missing in all of the taxa are not displayed. Data is taken from the KEGG pathway database [66], and annotation of sMel and sHy genomes is as described in Methods.

repair genes. However, many symbiotic bacteria show similar trends of genome evolution [104] and it is, therefore, somewhat puzzling that S. poulsonii stands out with this exceptionally high substitution rate. Loss of DNA repair genes has been shown to co-occur with elevated mutation rates in intracellular bacteria [105-107], and may explain our observations. The mismatch repair protein-encoding loci *mutS* and *mutL* are universally lacking in *Spiroplasma*, but are present in the slower-evolving symbionts Wolbachia wMel and Buchnera aphidicola (Fig. 7) [108-110]. In Escherichia coli, hypermutator strains that have lost such loci have a ~10-300-fold increase of spontaneous mutation rates [111, 112], which is comparable to the approximately two orders of magnitude difference between substitution rates of Buchnera and Spiroplasma (Fig. 2). Like Spiroplasma, Mycoplasma universally lacks the mismatch repair loci mutS, mutL and mutH [113, 114]. In line with this observation, elevated evolutionary rates were hypothesized for mycoplasmas [43], and in our comparison, Mycoplasma gallisepticum appears to be the only bacterial taxon with substitution rates similar to S. poulsonii [44]. Absence of the DNA mismatch repair pathway may, thus, be ancestral to Entomoplasmatales (Spiroplasmataceae + Entomoplasmataceae) and contribute to the dynamic genome evolution across this taxon [115, 116]. Alternatively, increased substitutional rates caused by the loss of these loci could have arisen multiple times independently in Entomoplasmatales.

Further to absence of DNA repair genes causing elevated mutation rates, a recent comparative study demonstrated a strong negative correlation between mutation rate and genome size in free-living and endosymbiotic bacteria [117]. This correlation is, however, not apparent in the genomes of endosymbionts we have investigated. For example, the considerably slower evolving *Buchnera* genomes are much smaller than *Spiroplasma*, and *Wolbachia* would be predicted to have much larger genomes if their size were mainly determined by mutational rates. This suggests that substitution rates alone are a poor predictor for the sizes of genomes investigated here. Likely, these genome sizes result from an interplay of multiple factors such as population

size, patterns of DNA repair gene absence and mutational rates [118, 119].

Our rate estimate is potentially biased by at least two factors. Firstly, we have only investigated laboratory populations of S. poulsonii. Each vertical transmission event creates symbiont population bottlenecks potentially increasing genetic drift and, thus, substitution rates [46]. Because the number of generations in natural populations of the Spiroplasma host D. hydei is lower compared with laboratory reared hosts, vertical transmission events are rarer under natural conditions and, therefore, substitution rates potentially lower. Further, laboratory strains could experience relaxed selection compared with natural symbiont populations. This may lead to higher substitution rate estimates from laboratory populations compared with natural populations. Secondly, substitution rates often appear larger when estimated over brief time periods [120]. Duchene et al. found that substitution rates measured over 10 years can be up to one order of magnitude larger than those measured over 100 years [96]. In agreement with such bias, we found more variants in our sMel culture after 19 months than for the 29 months isolate (Table S3). The back mutations that likely have happened between 19 and 29 months of our sMel culture would go unnoticed when comparing genomes over larger time scales.

More generally, it is difficult to estimate divergence times between *Spiroplasma* strains. The substitution rates estimated in *s*Hy and *s*Mel would suggest the two strains have diverged 1120–2260 years ago. However, this estimate is unreliable due to a number of factors that we cannot control for. For example, the number of generations per year for *Drosophila* hosts of *Spiroplasma* differ depending on species and location, and is expected to be lower in the wild compared with laboratory strains. Further, *Spiroplasma* may move between species, for example via ectoparasitic mites [121]. A number of partially sympatric *Drosophila* species carry similar *Spiroplasma* strains (*D. hydei*, *D. melanogaster*, *D. willistoni*, *D. neotestacea*) [15], and with our data it is impossible to determine the number and direction of potential *Spiroplasma* transfers between these species.

Reductive genome evolution in Spiroplasma

According to a widely supported model of genome reduction in symbiotic bacteria [46], the first stages of host restriction involve accumulation of pseudogenes and mobile genetic elements, chromosomal rearrangements, increased substitution rates, and excess deletions. Advanced stages of host association are accompanied by further genome shrinkage, and purging of mobile genetic elements, which overall result in more stable chromosomes. Using these characteristics, different levels of genome reduction are apparent in the investigated Spiroplasma genomes, across which genome sizes correlate positively with number of mobile genetic elements (plasmids, prophages, insertion sequences; Figs 5 and S5). On this spectrum, Spiroplasma syrphidicola and Spiroplasma chrysopicola have the most reduced genomes, without homologues of prophage loci, and high levels of synteny between the two strains [39]. Therefore, it was argued that phages have likely invaded Spiroplasma only after the split of the Syrphidicola and Citri+Poulsonii clades [39]. Our prophage gene tree-species tree reconciliations are in line with this hypothesis, but also indicate that prophage proliferation has largely happened independently in different Spiroplasma lineages (Fig. S4). CRISPR/Cas systems have multiple origins in Spiroplasma [122] and only occur in strains lacking prophages (Fig. S4). While the absence of antiviral systems often coincides with prophage proliferation (e.g. in the Citri clade), several strains with compact, streamlined genomes lack CRISPR/Cas and prophages (e.g. TU-14 and NBRC-100390; Fig. S4). These strains also show other hallmarks of reduced symbiont genomes (small size, high coding density, lack of plasmids and transposons; Fig. 5), which is in line with the model of genome reduction discussed above and suggests prophage regions were purged from these genomes. Alternatively, these strains may never have been exposed to phages.

Using the model of genome reduction during restriction to the host environment introduced above, S. poulsonii's genome characteristics suggest that such restriction has happened relatively recently. Although very closely related (99.5% sequence identity) and found in very similar hosts, sMel and sHy differ markedly in coding density, genome size and proportion of prophage regions. Interestingly, PHASTER predicted 426kb of intact phage regions in sMel, but only 14kb for sHy (Fig. S2). Both phage proliferation in sMel and prophage loss in sHy could have contributed to this. However, when using the similarity agnostic tool PHISPY, the predicted prophage regions were similar in size between sHy and sMel (Fig. S2). This observation is compatible with degradation and/or pseudogenization of prophage regions in sHy, which would lead to reduced sequence similarity to viral loci (and, thus, reduced detectability by PHASTER), but not entirely blur prophage characteristics employed by PhiSpy (e.g. gene length, strand directionality, AT/GC skews, insertion points) [77]. Since the split of the lineages, sHy has not only lost prophage regions and insertion sequences compared with sMel, but also several genes that are often lost in host-restricted bacteria, such as parts of the phosphotransferase system for the uptake of carbohydrates, one tRNA locus, and *uvrD*, which plays a role in mismatch repair and nucleotide excision repair (Table S3).

Using signatures of genomic degradation as a proxy, our findings collectively suggest that *s*Hy is in a more advanced stage of host restriction than *s*Mel. This may indicate co-adaptation with the host as a result of the fitness benefits associated with *s*Hy under parasitoid pressure, and the absence of detectable costs for carrying *s*Hy in *D. hydei* [22, 123, 124]. However, the *Spiroplasma* symbiont of *D. neotestacea*, *s*Neo, is also protective, does not cause obvious fitness costs [21], but has a less reduced genome (Fig. 5) [26]. Further, it is also possible that genome reduction in *s*Hy was mainly driven by stochastic effects or even by adaptation to laboratory conditions, as we have not investigated contemporary *s*Hy from wild *D. hydei* populations.

Implications for Spiroplasma evolutionary ecology

Spiroplasma evolutionary ecology shows several parallels to that of the most widely distributed arthropod symbiont, *Wolbachia*: both symbionts are found in a range of different hosts [3], have the ability to invade novel hosts [125, 126], may confer protection [8, 22] but also kill males [127], and can spread across host populations swiftly [128, 129]. However, there are also pronounced differences between the symbionts: *Spiroplasma* rarely reaches the high infection frequencies often observed in *Wolbachia* [130, 131], and is arguably found in a more diverse host range that encompasses arthropods and plants [132], molluscs [18], echinoderms [17] and cnidarians [133]. Further, *Wolbachia* show greatly reduced spontaneous mutation rates compared with *Spiroplasma*, likely caused by a more complete set of DNA repair genes (Fig. 7).

In theory, fast evolutionary rates should enable Spiroplasma to adapt to novel hosts quickly (i.e. to reduce pathogenicity, and to maximize vertical transmission efficiency), and experimental studies have found high horizontal transmission efficiency of Spiroplasma [36, 134, 135]. Consistent with this, we found that genes implicated in host-symbiont compatibility and virulence have evolved especially fast in our evolution experiments. For example, adhesion-related proteins are important in cell invasion in other Spiroplasma species [136-138], and are enriched for evolutionary changes in sHy and sMel (Fig. 2). In addition, we documented dynamic evolution and turnover of toxin loci, which are important for host fitness and symbiont compatibility (Figs 6 and S6). This genomic flexibility may contribute to Spiroplasma's broader host range when compared with Wolbachia. However, elevated evolutionary rates also make deleterious changes more likely and, in the absence of strong selection, may result in faster loss of symbionts [139]. This may explain the generally low prevalence of Spiroplasma symbionts [15], which seems to increase only when carrying the symbiont is associated with a large fitness benefit [21]. In contrast, virtually identical Wolbachia strains ('superspreaders') are found in many different host species at very high frequencies [140] - demonstrating that stationary genomes may be evolutionary advantageous. In

summary, the nature of *Spiroplasma* genomic evolution likely contributes to its peculiar evolutionary ecology.

From a practical perspective, *S. poulsonii* has many features of a desirable model for symbiont-host interactions: fast rates of evolution make it more likely that adaptation and spontaneous changes in phenotypes can be determined over short time scales, as has been observed previously [32, 35, 36]. However, fast evolutionary changes make experiments less predictable, and because stochastic effects become more pronounced, links of genomic changes with phenotypes may be obscured. Further, the generalisability of experimental results may be limited for extremely fast evolving symbionts. Our findings, therefore, also underline the importance of regular validation of laboratory symbiont strains through re-sequencing.

Funding information

M. G. has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Research and Innovation Program under Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement 703 379, and from EMB0 through a long-term fellowship (ALTF 48–2015) co-funded by the European Commission (LTFCO-FUND2013, GA-2013–609409). Sequencing was supported by an award from the University of Liverpool Technology Directorate Voucher Scheme, and by a Texas A&M Genomics Seed Grant.

Author contributions

Designed and conceived project: M. G., G. D. D. H., M. M. Experimental passage of *Spiroplasma in vivo*: M. G., J. S. G., G. D. D. H., M. M. Experimental passage of *Spiroplasma in vitro*: F. M., B. L. Symbiont DNA purification and sequencing: M. G., P. R., S. S., R. A., H. M.-M., F. M. Assembly and annotation: M. G., H. M.-M., P. R., R. A. Molecular evolution analyses: M. G. Wrote first draft of paper: M. G., M. M., G. D. D. H. All authors contributed to revising the manuscript, and have read and approved the final manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest.

References

- McFall-Ngai M, Hadfield MG, Bosch TCG, Carey HV, Domazet-Lošo T et al. Animals in a bacterial world, a new imperative for the life sciences. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2013;110:3229–3236.
- Weinert LA, Araujo-Jnr EV, Ahmed MZ, Welch JJ. The incidence of bacterial endosymbionts in terrestrial arthropods. *Proc Biol Sci* 2015;282:20150249.
- Duron O, Bouchon D, Boutin S, Bellamy L, Zhou L et al. The diversity of reproductive parasites among arthropods: Wolbachia do not walk alone. BMC Biol 2008;6:27.
- Douglas AE. The microbial dimension in insect nutritional ecology. Funct Ecol 2009;23:38–47.
- Drew GC, Frost CL, Hurst GDD. Reproductive parasitism and positive fitness effects of heritable microbes. *eLS* 2019;2:a0028327.
- Oliver KM, Smith AH, Russell JA. Defensive symbiosis in the real world - advancing ecological studies of heritable, protective bacteria in aphids and beyond. *Funct Ecol* 2014;28:341–355.
- Hosokawa T, Koga R, Kikuchi Y, Meng X-Y, Fukatsu T. Wolbachia as a bacteriocyte-associated nutritional mutualist. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2010;107:769–774.
- Teixeira L, Ferreira Á, Ashburner M. The bacterial symbiont Wolbachia induces resistance to RNA viral infections in Drosophila melanogaster. PLoS Biol 2008;6:e1000002.
- Dyson EA, Kamath MK, Hurst G. Wolbachia infection associated with all-female broods in *Hypolimnas bolina* (Lepidoptera: Nymphalidae): evidence for horizontal transmission of a butterfly male killer. *Heredity* 2002;88:166–171.

- Corbin C, Heyworth ER, Ferrari J, Hurst GDD. Heritable symbionts in a world of varying temperature. *Heredity* 2017;118:10–20.
- Hornett EA, Duplouy AMR, Davies N, Roderick GK, Wedell N et al. You can't keep a good parasite down: evolution of a male-killer suppressor uncovers cytoplasmic incompatibility. Evolution 2008;62:1258–1263.
- Razin S. The genus Mycoplasma and related genera (class Mollicutes). In: Dworkin M, Falkow S, Rosenberg E, Schleifer KH, Stackebrandt E (eds). *The Prokaryotes*. New York: Springer; 2006. pp. 836–904.
- Saglio PHM, Whitcomb RF. Diversity of wall-less prokaryotes in plant vascular tissue, fungi, and invertebrate animals. In: Whitcomb RF, Tully JG (eds). *The Mycoplasmas*. New York: Academic Press; 1979. pp. 1–36.
- 14. Poulson DF, Sakaguchi B. Nature of 'sex-ratio' agent in Drosophila. Science 1961;133:1489–1490.
- 15. Haselkorn TS. The *Spiroplasma* heritable bacterial endosymbiont of *Drosophila*. *Fly* 2010;4:80–87.
- 16. **Gasparich GE**. Spiroplasmas: evolution, adaptation and diversity. *Front Biosci* 2002;7:d619–d640.
- He L-S, Zhang P-W, Huang J-M, Zhu F-C, Danchin A et al. The enigmatic genome of an obligate ancient *Spiroplasma* symbiontholothurian. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2018;84:e01965-17.
- Duperron S, Pottier M-A, Léger N, Gaudron SM, Puillandre N et al. A tale of two chitons: is habitat specialisation linked to distinct associated bacterial communities? FEMS Microbiol Ecol 2013;83:552–567.
- Anbutsu H, Fukatsu T. Spiroplasma as a model insect endosymbiont. Environ Microbiol Rep 2011;3:144–153.
- Ballinger MJ, Perlman SJ. The defensive Spiroplasma. Curr Opin Insect Sci 2019;32:36–41.
- Jaenike J, Unckless R, Cockburn SN, Boelio LM, Perlman SJ. Adaptation via symbiosis: recent spread of a *Drosophila* defensive symbiont. *Science* 2010;329:212–215.
- Xie JL, Vilchez I, Mateos M. Spiroplasma bacteria enhance survival of Drosophila hydei attacked by the parasitic wasp Leptopilina heterotoma. PLoS One 2010;5:e12149.
- Xie J, Butler S, Sanchez G, Mateos M. Male killing Spiroplasma protects Drosophila melanogaster against two parasitoid wasps. Heredity 2014;112:399–408.
- Hamilton PT, Leong JS, Koop BF, Perlman SJ. Transcriptional responses in a Drosophila defensive symbiosis. Mol Ecol 2014;23:1558–1570.
- 25. Hamilton PT, Peng F, Boulanger MJ, Perlman SJ. A ribosomeinactivating protein in a *Drosophila* defensive symbiont. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2016;113:350–355.
- Ballinger MJ, Perlman SJ. Generality of toxins in defensive symbiosis: ribosome-inactivating proteins and defense against parasitic wasps in *Drosophila*. PLoS Pathog 2017;13:e1006431.
- 27. Paredes JC, Herren JK, Schüpfer F, Lemaitre B. The role of lipid competition for endosymbiont-mediated protection against parasitoid wasps in *Drosophila. mBio* 2016;7:e01006-16.
- 28. Sanada-Morimura S, Matsumura M, Noda H. Male killing caused by a *Spiroplasma* symbiont in the small brown planthopper, *Laodelphax striatellus. J Hered* 2013;104:821–829.
- Tinsley MC, Majerus MEN. A new male-killing parasitism: Spiroplasma bacteria infect the ladybird beetle Anisosticta novemdecimpunctata (Coleoptera: Coccinellidae). Parasitology 2006;132:757–765.
- Hayashi M, Watanabe M, Yukuhiro F, Nomura M, Kageyama D. A nightmare for males? A maternally transmitted male-killing bacterium and strong female bias in a green lacewing population. *PLoS One* 2016;11:e0155794.
- 31. Jiggins FM, Hurst GDD, Jiggins CD, von der Schulenburg JHG, Majerus MEN. The butterfly *Danaus chrysippus* is infected by a male-killing *Spiroplasma* bacterium. *Parasitology* 2000;120:439–446.

- Harumoto T, Lemaitre B. Male-killing toxin in a bacterial symbiont of Drosophila. Nature 2018;557:252–255.
- Yamada M-A, Nawa S, Watanabe TK. A mutant of SR organism (SR0) in *Drosophila* that does not kill the host males. *Jpn J Genet* 1982;57:301–305.
- Ebbert MA. The interaction phenotype in the Drosophila willistoni-Spiroplasma symbiosis. Evolution 1991;45:971–988.
- Masson F, Calderon-Copete S, Schüpfer F, Vigneron A, Rommelaere S et al. Blind killing of both male and female Drosophila embryos by a natural variant of the endosymbiotic bacterium Spiroplasma poulsonii. Cell Microbiol 2020;22:e13156.
- Nakayama S, Parratt SR, Hutchence KJ, Lewis Z, Price TAR et al. Can maternally inherited endosymbionts adapt to a novel host? Direct costs of *Spiroplasma* infection, but not vertical transmission efficiency, evolve rapidly after horizontal transfer into *D. melanogaster*. *Heredity* 2015;114:539–543.
- Ye F, Melcher U, Rascoe JE, Fletcher J. Extensive chromosome aberrations in *Spiroplasma citri* strain BR3. *Biochem Genet* 1996;34:269–286.
- Carle P, Saillard C, Duret S, Carrère N et al. Partial chromosome sequence of *Spiroplasma citri* reveals extensive viral invasion and important gene decay. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2010;76:3420–3426.
- Ku C, Lo W-S, Chen L-L, Kuo C-H. Complete genomes of two dipteran-associated spiroplasmas provided insights into the origin, dynamics, and impacts of viral invasion in *Spiroplasma*. *Genome Biol Evol* 2013;5:1151–1164.
- Mouches C, Barroso G, Gadeau A, Bové JM. Characterization of two cryptic plasmids from *Spiroplasma citri* and occurrence of their DNA sequences among various spiroplasmas. *Ann Inst Pasteur Microbiol* 1984;135:17–24.
- Joshi BD, Berg M, Rogers J, Fletcher J, Melcher U. Sequence comparisons of plasmids pBJS-0 of *Spiroplasma citri* and pSKU146 of *S. kunkelii*: implications for plasmid evolution. *BMC Genomics* 2005;6:175.
- Berho N, Duret S, Danet J-L, Renaudin J. Plasmid pSci6 from Spiroplasma citri GII-3 confers insect transmissibility to the nontransmissible strain S. citri 44. Microbiology 2006;152:2703–2716.
- Woese CR, Stackebrandt E, Ludwig W. What are mycoplasmas: the relationship of tempo and mode in bacterial evolution. J Mol Evol 1985;21:305–316.
- Delaney NF, Balenger S, Bonneaud C, Marx CJ, Hill GE et al. Ultrafast evolution and loss of CRISPRs following a host shift in a novel wildlife pathogen, *Mycoplasma gallisepticum*. *PLoS Genet* 2012;8:e1002511.
- 45. Gupta RS, Son J, Oren A. A phylogenomic and molecular markers based taxonomic framework for members of the order *Entomoplasmatales*: proposal for an emended order *Mycoplasmatales* containing the family *Spiroplasmataceae* and emended family *Mycoplasmataceae* comprised of six genera. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek* 2019;112:561–588.
- McCutcheon JP, Moran NA. Extreme genome reduction in symbiotic bacteria. Nat Rev Microbiol 2012;10:13–26.
- Clark TB, Whitcomb RF. Pathogenicity of mollicutes for insects: possible use in biological control. Ann Microbiol 1984;135A:141–150.
- Schneider DI, Saarman N, Onyango MG, Hyseni C, Opiro R et al. Spatio-temporal distribution of *Spiroplasma* infections in the tsetse fly (*Glossina fuscipes fuscipes*) in northern Uganda. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* 2019;13:e0007340.
- 49. Ota T, Kawabe M, Oishi K, Poulson DF. Non-male-killing spiroplasmas in *Drosophila hydei*. J Hered 1979;70:211–213.
- Montenegro H, Solferini VN, Klaczko LB, Hurst GDD. Male-killing Spiroplasma naturally infecting Drosophila melanogaster. Insect Mol Biol 2005;14:281–287.
- Paredes JC, Herren JK, Schüpfer F, Marin R, Claverol S et al. Genome sequence of the Drosophila melanogaster male-killing Spiroplasma strain MSRO endosymbiont. mBio 2015;6:e02437-14.

- 52. Masson F, Calderon Copete S, Schüpfer F, Garcia-Arraez G, Lemaitre B. *In vitro* culture of the insect endosymbiont *Spiroplasma poulsonii* highlights bacterial genes involved in hostsymbiont interaction. *mBio* 2018;9:e00024-18.
- Mateos M, Castrezana SJ, Nankivell BJ, Estes AM, Markow TA et al. Heritable endosymbionts of Drosophila. Genetics 2006;174:363–376.
- Montenegro H, Souza WN, Da Silva Leite D, Klaczko LB. Malekilling selfish cytoplasmic element causes sex-ratio distortion in Drosophila melanogaster. Heredity 2000;85:465–470.
- 55. Wick RR, Judd LM, Gorrie CL, Holt KE. Completing bacterial genome assemblies with multiplex MinION sequencing. *Microb Genom* 2017;3:e000132.
- Wick RR, Judd LM, Gorrie CL, Holt KE. Unicycler: resolving bacterial genome assemblies from short and long sequencing reads. *PLoS Comput Biol* 2017;13:e1005595.
- Miller DE, Staber C, Zeitlinger J, Hawley RS. Highly contiguous genome assemblies of 15 *Drosophila* species generated using nanopore sequencing. *G3* 2018;8:3131–3141.
- Camacho C, Coulouris G, Avagyan V, Ma N, Papadopoulos J et al. BLAST+: architecture and applications. BMC Bioinformatics 2009;10:421.
- Wick RR, Schultz MB, Zobel J, Holt KE. Bandage: interactive visualization of *de novo* genome assemblies. *Bioinformatics* 2015;31:3350–3352.
- De Maio N, Shaw LP, Hubbard A, George S, Sanderson ND et al. Comparison of long-read sequencing technologies in the hybrid assembly of complex bacterial genomes. *Microb Genom* 2019;5:e000294.
- 61. Kurtz S, Phillippy A, Delcher AL, Smoot M, Shumway M et al. Versatile and open software for comparing large genomes. *Genome Biol* 2004;5:R12.
- 62. Walker BJ, Abeel T, Shea T, Priest M, Abouelliel A *et al.* Pilon: an integrated tool for comprehensive microbial variant detection and genome assembly improvement. *PLoS One* 2014;9:e112963.
- 63. Seemann T. Snippy: fast bacterial variant calling from NGS reads; 2015.
- 64. Seemann T. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinformatics* 2014;30:2068–2069.
- Kanehisa M, Sato Y, Morishima K. BlastKOALA and GhostKOALA: KEGG tools for functional characterization of genome and metagenome sequences. J Mol Biol 2016;428:726–731.
- Kanehisa M, Goto S, Hattori M, Aoki-Kinoshita KF, Itoh M et al. From genomics to chemical genomics: new developments in KEGG. Nucleic Acids Res 2006;34:D354–D357.
- 67. Emms DM, Kelly S. OrthoFinder: solving fundamental biases in whole genome comparisons dramatically improves orthogroup inference accuracy. *Genome Biol* 2015;16:157.
- Li H. Minimap2: pairwise alignment for nucleotide sequences. Bioinformatics 2018;34:3094–3100.
- Katoh K, Standley DM. MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Mol Biol Evol* 2013;30:772–780.
- Bruen TC, Philippe H, Bryant D. A simple and robust statistical test for detecting the presence of recombination. *Genetics* 2006;172:2665–2681.
- Nguyen L-T, Schmidt HA, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximumlikelihood phylogenies. *Mol Biol Evol* 2015;32:268–274.
- 72. Chernomor O, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ. Terrace aware data structure for phylogenomic inference from supermatrices. *Syst Biol* 2016;65:997–1008.
- Lanfear R, Calcott B, Ho SYW, Guindon S. Partitionfinder: combined selection of partitioning schemes and substitution models for phylogenetic analyses. *Mol Biol Evol* 2012;29:1695–1701.

- Kalyaanamoorthy S, Minh BQ, Wong TKF, von Haeseler A, Jermiin LS. ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nat Methods* 2017;14:587–589.
- Hoang DT, Chernomor O, von Haeseler A, Minh BQ, Vinh LS. UFBoot2: improving the ultrafast bootstrap approximation. *Mol Biol Evol* 2018;35:518–522.
- Guindon S, Dufayard J-F, Lefort V, Anisimova M, Hordijk W et al. New algorithms and methods to estimate maximum-likelihood phylogenies: assessing the performance of PhyML 3.0. Syst Biol 2010;59:307–321.
- Akhter S, Aziz RK, Edwards RA. PhiSpy: a novel algorithm for finding prophages in bacterial genomes that combines similarity- and composition-based strategies. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2012;40:e126.
- Arndt D, Grant JR, Marcu A, Sajed T, Pon A et al. PHASTER: a better, faster version of the PHAST phage search tool. *Nucleic* Acids Res 2016;44:W16–W21.
- Finn RD, Coggill P, Eberhardt RY, Eddy SR, Mistry J et al. The Pfam protein families database: towards a more sustainable future. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2016;44:D279–D285.
- Eddy SR. Accelerated profile HMM searches. PLoS Comput Biol 2011;7:e1002195.
- Madeira F, Park YM, Lee J, Buso N, Gur T et al. The EMBL-EBI search and sequence analysis tools APIs in 2019. Nucleic Acids Res 2019;47:W636–W641.
- Almagro Armenteros JJ, Tsirigos KD, Sønderby CK, Petersen TN, Winther O et al. SignalP 5.0 improves signal peptide predictions using deep neural networks. Nat Biotechnol 2019;37:420–423.
- Krogh A, Larsson B, von Heijne G, Sonnhammer EL. Predicting transmembrane protein topology with a hidden Markov model: application to complete genomes. J Mol Biol 2001;305:567–580.
- Ankenbrand MJ, Hohlfeld S, Hackl T, Förster F. AliTV—interactive visualization of whole genome comparisons. *PeerJ Computer Science* 2017;3:e116.
- Russel J, Pinilla-Redondo R, Mayo-Muñoz D, Shah SA, Sørensen SJ. CRISPRCasTyper: automated identification, annotation, and classification of CRISPR-Cas loci. CRISPR J 2020;3:462–469.
- Mitrofanov A, Alkhnbashi OS, Shmakov SA, Makarova KS, Koonin EV et al. CRISPRidentify: identification of CRISPR arrays using machine learning approach. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2020 [Epub ahead of print 08 Dec 2020].
- R Core Team. R: a Language and Environment for Statistical Computing. Vienna: R Foundation for Statistical Computing; 2016.
- Paradis E, Schliep K. ape 5.0: an environment for modern phylogenetics and evolutionary analyses in R. *Bioinformatics* 2019;35:526–528.
- Wilke CO. cowplot: streamlined plot theme and plot annotations for 'ggplot2'; 2019. https://CRAN.R-project.org/package=cowplot
- Brunson JC. ggalluvial: alluvial plots in 'ggplot2'; 2019. https:// CRAN.R-project.org/package=ggalluvial
- 91. Wickham H. ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis. New York: Springer; 2009.
- Yu G, Smith DK, Zhu H, Guan Y, Lam TT. ggtree: an r package for visualization and annotation of phylogenetic trees with their covariates and other associated data. *Methods Ecol Evol* 2017;8:28–36.
- Wilke CO. ggridges: ridgeline plots in 'ggplot2'; 2020. https:// CRAN.R-project.org/package=ggridges
- Subramanian B, Gao S, Lercher MJ, Hu S, Chen W-H. Evolview v3: a webserver for visualization, annotation, and management of phylogenetic trees. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2019;47:W270–W275.
- Saillard C, Carle P, Duret-Nurbel S, Henri R, Killiny N et al. The abundant extrachromosomal DNA content of the Spiroplasma citri GII3-3X genome. BMC Genomics 2008;9:195.

- Duchêne S, Holt KE, Weill F-X, Le Hello S, Hawkey J et al. Genome-scale rates of evolutionary change in bacteria. *Microb Genom* 2016;2:e000094.
- 97. Holmes EC. The Evolution and Emergence of RNA Viruses. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 2009.
- Moran NA. Tracing the evolution of gene loss in obligate bacterial symbionts. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 2003;6:512–518.
- Moran NA. Accelerated evolution and Muller's rachet in endosymbiotic bacteria. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1996;93:2873–2878.
- Lynch M. Streamlining and simplification of microbial genome architecture. Annu Rev Microbiol 2006;60:327–349.
- Rocha EPC, Danchin A. Base composition bias might result from competition for metabolic resources. *Trends Gene* 2002;18:291–294.
- Moran NA, McLaughlin HJ, Sorek R. The dynamics and time scale of ongoing genomic erosion in symbiotic bacteria. *Science* 2009;323:379–382.
- Moran NA, McCutcheon JP, Nakabachi A. Genomics and evolution of heritable bacterial symbionts. *Annu Rev Genet* 2008;42:165–190.
- 104. **Burger G**, Lang BF. Parallels in genome evolution in mitochondria and bacterial symbionts. *IUBMB Life* 2003;55:205–212.
- Itoh T, Martin W, Nei M. Acceleration of genomic evolution caused by enhanced mutation rate in endocellular symbionts. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2002;99:12944–12948.
- Massey SE. The proteomic constraint and its role in molecular evolution. *Mol Biol Evol* 2008;25:2557–2565.
- Koonin EV, Mushegian AR, Rudd KE. Sequencing and analysis of bacterial genomes. *Curr Biol* 1996;6:404–416.
- Richardson MF, Weinert LA, Welch JJ, Linheiro RS, Magwire MM et al. Population genomics of the Wolbachia endosymbiont in Drosophila melanogaster. PLoS Genet 2012;8:e1003129.
- Wu M, Sun LV, Vamathevan J, Riegler M, Deboy R et al. Phylogenomics of the reproductive parasite Wolbachia pipientis wMel: a streamlined genome overrun by mobile genetic elements. PLoS Biol 2004;2:e69.
- 110. Shigenobu S, Watanabe H, Hattori M, Sakaki Y, Ishikawa H. Genome sequence of the endocellular bacterial symbiont of aphids *Buchnera* sp. APS. *Nature* 2000;407:81–86.
- LeClerc JE, Li B, Payne WL, Cebula TA. High mutation frequencies among *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella* pathogens. *Science* 1996;274:1208–1211.
- Lee H, Popodi E, Tang H, Foster PL. Rate and molecular spectrum of spontaneous mutations in the bacterium *Escherichia coli* as determined by whole-genome sequencing. *Proc Natl Acad Sci* USA 2012;109:E2774–E2783.
- 113. Carvalho FM, Fonseca MM, Batistuzzo De Medeiros S, Scortecci KC, Blaha CAG et al. DNA repair in reduced genome: the Mycoplasma model. Gene 2005;360:111–119.
- Chen L-L, Chung W-C, Lin C-P, Kuo C-H. Comparative analysis of gene content evolution in phytoplasmas and mycoplasmas. *PLoS One* 2012;7:e34407.
- 115. Rocha EPC, Blanchard A. Genomic repeats, genome plasticity and the dynamics of *Mycoplasma* evolution. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2002;30:2031–2042.
- Lo W-S, Huang Y-Y, Kuo C-H. Winding paths to simplicity: genome evolution in facultative insect symbionts. *FEMS Microbiol Rev* 2016;40:855–874.
- Bourguignon T, Kinjo Y, Villa-Martín P, Coleman NV, Tang Q et al. Increased mutation rate is linked to genome reduction in prokaryotes. *Curr Biol* 2020;30:3848–3855.
- 118. Kuo C-H, Moran NA, Ochman H. The consequences of genetic drift for bacterial genome complexity. *Genome Res* 2009;19:1450–1454.
- Marais GAB, Batut B, Daubin V. Genome evolution: mutation is the main driver of genome size in prokaryotes. *Curr Biol* 2020;30:R1083-R1085.

- 120. Ho SYW, Phillips MJ, Cooper A, Drummond AJ. Time dependency of molecular rate estimates and systematic overestimation of recent divergence times. *Mol Biol Evol* 2005;22:1561–1568.
- Jaenike J, Polak M, Fiskin A, Helou M, Minhas M. Interspecific transmission of endosymbiotic *Spiroplasma* by mites. *Biol Lett* 2007;3:23–25.
- 122. Ipoutcha T, Tsarmpopoulos I, Talenton V, Gaspin C, Moisan A et al. Multiple origins and specific evolution of CRISPR/Cas9 systems in minimal bacteria (*Mollicutes*). Front Microbiol 2019;10:2701.
- Xie J, Winter C, Winter L, Mateos M. Rapid spread of the defensive endosymbiont *Spiroplasma* in *Drosophila hydei* under high parasitoid wasp pressure. *FEMS Microbiol Ecol* 2015;91:fiu017.
- 124. Osaka R, Ichizono T, Kageyama D, Nomura M, Watada M. Natural variation in population densities and vertical transmission rates of a *Spiroplasma* endosymbiont in *Drosophila hydei*. *Symbiosis* 2013;60:73–78.
- Haselkorn TS, Markow TA, Moran NA. Multiple introductions of the Spiroplasma bacterial endosymbiont into Drosophila. Mol Ecol 2009;18:1294–1305.
- 126. Conner WR, Blaxter ML, Anfora G, Ometto L, Rota-Stabelli O et al. Genome comparisons indicate recent transfer of wRi-like Wolbachia between sister species Drosophila suzukii and D. subpulchrella. Ecol Evol 2017;7:9391–9404.
- Hurst GDD, Jiggins FM. Male-killing bacteria in insects: mechanisms, incidence, and implications. *Emerg Infect Dis* 2000;6:329–336.
- Turelli M, Hoffmann AA. Rapid spread of an inherited incompatibility factor in California *Drosophila*. *Nature* 1991;353:440–442.
- Cockburn SN, Haselkorn TS, Hamilton PT, Landzberg E, Jaenike J et al. Dynamics of the continent-wide spread of a Drosophila defensive symbiont. Ecol Lett 2013;16:609–616.
- Watts T, Haselkorn TS, Moran NA, Markow TA. Variable incidence of *Spiroplasma* infections in natural populations of *Drosophila* species. *PLoS One* 2009;4:e5703.
- Hilgenboecker K, Hammerstein P, Schlattmann P, Telschow A, Werren JH. How many species are infected with Wolbachia? – a statistical analysis of current data. FEMS Microbiol Lett 2008;281:215–220.

- 132. Regassa LB, Gasparich GE. Spiroplasmas: evolutionary relationships and biodiversity. *Front Biosci* 2006;11:2983–3002.
- 133. Viver T, Orellana LH, Hatt JK, Urdiain M, Díaz S et al. The low diverse gastric microbiome of the jellyfish *Cotylorhiza tuber-culata* is dominated by four novel taxa. *Environ Microbiol* 2017;19:3039–3058.
- Haselkorn TS, Cockburn SN, Hamilton PT, Perlman SJ, Jaenike J. Infectious adaptation: potential host range of a defensive endosymbiont in *Drosophila*. *Evolution* 2013;67:934–945.
- Haselkorn TS, Jaenike J. Macroevolutionary persistence of heritable endosymbionts: acquisition, retention and expression of adaptive phenotypes in *Spiroplasma. Mol Ecol* 2015;24:3752–3765.
- Hou L, Liu Y, Gao Q, Xu X, Ning M et al. Spiroplasma eriocheiris adhesin-like protein (ALP) Interacts with epidermal growth factor (EGF) domain proteins to facilitate infection. Front Cell Infect Microbiol 2017;7:13.
- 137. **Béven L, Duret S, Batailler B, Dubrana M-P, Saillard C** *et al.* The repetitive domain of ScARP3d triggers entry of *Spiroplasma citri* into cultured cells of the vector *Circulifer haematoceps. PLoS One* 2012;7:e48606.
- Dubrana MP, Béven L, Arricau-Bouvery N, Duret S, Claverol S et al. Differential expression of *Spiroplasma citri* surface protein genes in the plant and insect hosts. *BMC Microbiol* 2016;16:53.
- 139. Jaenike J. Population genetics of beneficial heritable symbionts. *Trends Ecol Evol* 2012;27:226–232.
- 140. Turelli M, Cooper BS, Richardson KM, Ginsberg PS, Peckenpaugh B *et al.* Rapid global spread of wRi-like *Wolbachia* across multiple *Drosophila. Curr Biol* 2018;28:963–971.
- 141. Duffy S, Shackelton LA, Holmes EC. Rates of evolutionary change in viruses: patterns and determinants. *Nat Rev Genet* 2008;9:267–276.
- 142. Degnan PH, Lazarus AB, Brock CD, Wernegreen JJ. Hostsymbiont stability and fast evolutionary rates in an antbacterium association: cospeciation of *Camponotus* species and their endosymbionts, *Candidatus* Blochmannia. *Syst Biol* 2004;53:95–110.

Five reasons to publish your next article with a Microbiology Society journal

- 1. The Microbiology Society is a not-for-profit organization.
- 2. We offer fast and rigorous peer review average time to first decision is 4–6 weeks.
- 3. Our journals have a global readership with subscriptions held in research institutions around the world.
- 4. 80% of our authors rate our submission process as 'excellent' or 'very good'.
- 5. Your article will be published on an interactive journal platform with advanced metrics.

Find out more and submit your article at microbiologyresearch.org.