Mapping trends in the concept of nurse rounding: a bibliometric analysis and

research agenda

**Hutchinson & Jackson** 

**Abstract** 

Aims: Examine the growth and diffusion of research on the concept of nurse rounding and

provide definitional clarity on forms of nurse rounding.

**Methods:** Bibliometric and content analysis of primary research on nurse rounding were

employed to map development of the field. Manuscripts were identified from a keyword

search of MEDLINE, CINAHL and PsycINFO databases for the period 2000 to 2015.

Titles, country of origin and year of publication along with details on the characteristics

and sample of each study were coded on a database. Content analyses was performed on

the coded data to derive a taxonomic understanding and identify publication trends.

**Results:** Thirty eight primary research studies were identified. Overall there has been an

increase in the number and diversity of studies on nursing rounding. A typology of four

variants of nurse rounding was devised to enhance clarity and enable comparative

analysis.

**Conclusion:** There has been continued interest in nurse rounding and its potential benefits.

However, poor definitional clarity is evident in this body of research, with various label

used interchangeably in studies reporting similar rounding designs. The field would

benefit from improved conceptual clarity and investigation into forms of nurse rounding

that remain largely unexamined.

**Keywords:** rounding, intentional rounding, nursing workplace, bibliometric analysis,

1

## **Summary statement**

What is already known about this topic?

 There is increasing interest in nurse rounding as a means of enhancing patient safety and care quality.

## What this paper adds:

- Provides clarity on four primary forms of nurse rounding evident in the nursing literature
- Identifies rounding as a strategy that can optimise skilled nursing surveillance, and/or act as a vehicle for collaboration and sharing of nursing expertise.

## The implications of this paper:

- The frequency, intent and characteristics of nurse rounding are highly variable
- The taxonomy proposed in this paper provides a useful framework for greater clarity and consistency in future studies of nurse rounding

### Introduction

Attempts to systematically organise and improve the quality and safety of nursing care are not new. Considerable attention has been given to establishing and evaluating models and approaches to the delivery of nursing care. Nurse rounding is one strategy employed to improve care quality through structuring the delivery of nursing care. Ward rounds are an established process for clinical review, and connecting clinicians with patients. Historically the ward round was framed as a process through which medical staff, generally accompanied by nurses, attended the bedside of patients and made clinical decisions. (Ahmad, Purewal, Sharma, & Weston, 2011; Nikendei, Kraus, Schrauth, Briem, & Junger, 2008). In many settings this traditional form of rounding continues today. Ward rounds have evolved into more regular multidisciplinary review processes, (Fiddler et al., 2010), often with structured or scripted processes that aim to enhance communication among the team. (Gurses & Xiao, 2006). As a strategy for organising nursing work, rounding involves nurses or the nursing team (including care workers who deliver nursing care) attending to patients at regular intervals; thereby affording a systematic approach to ensuring patients and nurses are in regular contact.

A number of systematic reviews have examined the impact of multidisciplinary rounding on patient satisfaction (Gurses & Xiao, 2006), length of stay (Mercedes, Fairman, Hogan, Thomas, & Slyer, 2015), patient satisfaction (Tan & Lang, 2015), and reduction in call bell use and patient falls (Mant, Dunning, & Hutchinson, 2012; (Mitchell, Lavenberg, Trotta, & Umscheid, 2014). Criticism of the merits of rounding include the view that it is a return to routinised or thoughtless care (Dix & Braide, 2012) and driven by political whim (Snellink, 2003). Other critics note that rounding is unnecessarily task focused (Lyons, Brunero, & Lamont, 2015) and associated with increasing work

intensification (Willis et al., 2015). Greater clarity on the nature and purpose of rounding is required to address these concerns.

## **Background**

In the United States (US), forms of rounding have gained prominence in response to growing healthcare consumerism (Fabry, 2015; Studer, 2003) and value-based funding models, which have tied patient satisfaction to hospital funding (Willis et al., 2015). In this context, rounding has been implemented as a driver of patient satisfaction and efficiency, which has been measured through items such as call bell usage and general nursing responsiveness (Meade, Bursell & Ketelsen, 2016). To demonstrate compliance with rounding, nurses and care workers log their rounding activities (Deitrick, Baker, Paxton, Flores, & Swavely, 2012). These scripted and tightly structured forms of nurse rounding are often labelled "intentional". Rounding is usually conducted at one or two hour intervals, with care staff attending to specific aspects of patient care according to a pre-determined script (The King's College London, 2013). Informed by models of rounding, largely emerging from the US, nurse rounding was put forward by politicians in the United Kingdom (UK) as a strategy to address public perceptions of shortcomings in nursing care standards (Dix & Braide, 2012).

Attention has also turned to nurse rounding as a vehicle for ensuring care quality and assuring patient safety (Author, 2015). This focus has occurred against a backdrop of chronic and acute nursing shortages, and pressure for increased efficiency that has led to growing numbers of unqualified or certified care workers delivering nursing care (Gardner, Woollett, Daly, & Richardson, 2009). These changes in the profile of the nursing workforce has meant that professional models of nursing care, which rely upon the skilled professional judgement of nurses, are being supplemented by strategies to provide guidance to less qualified care

workers engaged in nursing care delivery. Moreover, as patient acuity levels and the demands on nurses' time has increased, and the number of care support workers has grown, structured approaches have been adopted to ensure care is regularly performed and there is sufficient nursing oversight of patient's fundamental care needs (The King's College London, 2013). In environments where care workers deliver nursing care, rounding has been adopted to guide these workers in emulating professional nursing behaviour and systematically attend to care (Tan & Lang, 2014).

Another form of rounding is daily rounding. This form of rounding has often been employed by bedside nurses and unit managers to improve care safety and quality (Ybarra, 2015). It has also been employed to engage clinical leaders and executives at the service delivery interface (Burnett et al., 2008). Rounding has also been incorporated as a strategy to structure nursing handover and to detect the deteriorating patient (Anderson & Mangino, 2006). Thus, the frequency, intent and characteristics of nurse rounding are highly variable, and one form of rounding is not necessarily comparable to another.

The need for definitional clarity and consistency

Evident in the nursing literature, including a number of systematic reviews, is a lack of definitional consistency on the essential characteristics of nurse rounding. For example, the stated aim of the systematic review by Mant and colleagues (2012) was to examine the impact of hourly rounding on falls prevention. However, the definition of rounding employed in this review included hourly and second hourly rounding (Mant, Dunning & Hutchinson, 2012). Similarly in another systematic review, the stated aim was to examine "the effectiveness of structured multidisciplinary rounding in acute care units on length of hospital stay (Mercedes, Fairman, Hogan, Thomas & Slyer, 2015 p, 141). However, the

search terms for this review did not include terms that related to "structured rounding". Authors of other systematic reviews described multidisciplinary rounds as "patient care rounds" (Lane, Ferri, Lemaire, McLaughlin, & Stelfox, 2013), or aimed to examine hourly rounding, but included studies of hourly and second hourly rounding that employed various structures and processes for the rounding process itself (Mitchell, Lavenberg, Trotta & Umscheid, 2014). Thus, this body of systematic review evidence that synthesises and compares studies with little homogeneity in their purpose, design and intent has significant limitation (Akobeng, 2005).

In addition to issues of definitional clarity, the accuracy with which the findings of studies of nurse rounding have been interpreted and represented in the literature requires careful consideration. Snelling has cautioned that claims made are not borne out in the evidence (Snelling, 2013). Misinterpreting the weight of evidence, authors have reported findings from an integrative literature review as findings from a meta-analysis (Emerson, Chmura & Walker, 2014). Moreover, many of the studies included in systematic reviews of nurse rounding do not meet basic criteria required of primary research.

Given the growing emphasis upon nurse rounding, the lack of definitional consensus on types of rounding, and the contested perspective on the merits and models of nurse rounding, we aimed to provide clarity through a bibliometric analysis of the literature on nurse rounding. In undertaking the analysis, we sought to map the forms of nurse rounding occurring, reveal evolving trends, and provide definitional clarity on the patterns and types of nurse rounding occurring in different countries and contexts.

#### Method

Initially a structured search of the literature was undertaken to identify manuscripts reporting studies on nurse rounding.

## Design

Search strategy: The databases searched were PubMed, CINAHL and PsycINFO, using the keywords nurs\* or nurse and "rounding" or "rounds" or "intentional rounding" or "purpose\* round\*". The approach was to combine a term for nurse with a term for rounding using the "AND" Boolean search operator, and the combining additional search terms using the "OR" operator. To capture evolution of thought in the field the period for the search was 2000 to 2015. The initial searches were undertaken by the second author under the guidance of a health services librarian.

Eligibility criteria: Screening for eligibility was undertaken independently by two members of the team. The following criteria were employed to identify suitable papers: i) primary research studies focused upon nurse rounding, ii) written in English, and iii) published in peer reviewed journals.

Screening process: All manuscripts identified from the search were screened for eligibility. After which the full text of relevant manuscripts were reviewed. Excluded during this review were manuscripts (n=26) focused upon non-relevant rounding types (handover or case review, medication or pharmacy rounds, multidisciplinary rounds that were not nursingled or that failed to define specific nursing roles, rounding by rapid response teams, studies focused upon family involvement in ward rounds or those addressing rounding as a student learning strategy, handover and staff perceptions or experiences of rounding). Manuscripts reporting quality assurance or service improvement activities (did not report approval from an institutional Human Research Ethics Committee or lacked sufficient methodological detail to be considered research) were also excluded during this process (n=14). The country of

origin for studies excluded on the basis of being quality assurance activities were the United Kingdom (n = 10), Canada (n = 1), United States (n = 2) and Singapore (n = 1).

# Analysis

Bibliometric analysis is a form of quantitative document analysis that seeks to identify patterns or trends in a body of published work (Pendlebury, 2008). It is commonly employed in the analysis of research systems and scholarly outputs, and is has become an important tool in evaluating performance and establishing trends. Though bibliometric analysis often remains at the level of classifying publication characteristics (such as journal, date, country), it can be adapted to include analysis of the focus of studies reviewed (Almeida-Filho, Kawachi, Filho & Dachs, 2003).

In this study, we sought to establish trends in the nature, focus and spread of studies on nurse rounding. To allow more detailed analysis an individual summary of the characteristics of each study was undertaken by the first author and coded to an Excel spreadsheet. Studies were coded by author, country, methodology, year, and rounding type. As there is no existing definitional clarity on types of nurse rounding, descriptions of the defining characteristics or primary focus of rounding in each study were extracted, coded and clustered to derive definitional categories suitable for comparative analysis. By categorising studies in this way a taxonomic understanding of forms of nurse rounding could be derived (Jokiniemi et al., 2012). Following this process, a descriptive and comparative analysis was performed using SPSS V22.

### **Results**

After removal of duplicates, and screening titles and abstracts, thirty eight primary research studies of nurse rounding were retained for further analysis (Figure 1). Only eight manuscripts in the current review, were also reported in the earlier review by Mitchell, Lavenberg, Trotta & Umscheid (2014). Seven studies included in this earlier review were excluded from the current study as they did not receive ethical approval as research studies.

# \*\*Insert figure 1 about here\*\*

The period of publications retained spanned from 2006-2015 (see Table 1). The bulk of studies were undertaken in the United States (US) (n=27, 71%), followed by the United Kingdom (UK) (n=4, 10.5%), Australia (n=3, 12.5%) and Europe/Asia (EUA) (n=3, 7.6%). Figure 2 illustrates that, over the ten year period, publications initially peaked in 2009, followed by a more significant peak in 2012, after which publication rates have been sustained at a higher level than earlier periods. The majority of studies were cross sectional or quasi-experimental pre-post designs (n=28, 73.4%) or quality assurance initiatives that had received appropriate ethical approval and reported a structured research design (n=10, 26.3%).

\*\*Insert table 1 about here\*\*

\*\*Insert figure 2 about here\*\*

Breakdown according to journal outlet (see Table 2) indicated that few journals published more than one manuscript on nurse rounding, with the exception of the International Journal of Nursing Practice (n=4), MedSurg Nursing (n=2) and Nursing Standard (n=2) and Journal of Nursing Care Quality (n=3).

## \*\*Insert table 2 about here\*\*

Analysis of the papers according to first author indicated that half of the first authors were clinical nurse specialists and nurse consultants (or similar nursing roles) (n=20, 50%), followed by nurse researchers (n=13, 32.5%). Closer scrutiny of the category of care worker delivering rounding identified that, many of the studies delivered rounding via unlicensed assistive personal accompanied by nurses. In order of frequency, the category of workers in the studies were described as Registered Nurses and/or unlicensed assistive personnel (n=13, 34.2%), nurses (n=12, 31.5%), Nurse Leaders or specialist nurses (n=7, 18.4), staff not specified (n=3, 7.9%) and nurses assistants (n=1, 2.6%).

A common theme across all rounding types was that rounding was employed as a strategy to organise nursing work in pursuit of improved patient outcomes. Frequency analysis of the types of nurse rounding identified four primary forms of rounding (see Table 3). The four forms of rounding had different goals and rounding processes and were defined as follows:

- Scripted rounding. This form of rounding is a structured nurse patient interaction that occurs within specified timeframes and follows a standardised script or uses key words and actions to cue nurse and/or care worker and patient interactions. Usually included in the scripted rounding is an assessment of patient comfort, whether the patient has unmet needs or requires assistance with toileting, and assistance with other tasks related to patient comfort, safety or satisfaction.
- Targeted rounding. This form of rounding involved regular attendance by nursing or care staff at the patient bedside targeting specific preventive or early detection activities.

- *Leader rounding:* This form of rounding involved unit nurse managers regularly attending the patient bedside to monitor appropriate care delivery.
- Collaborative rounding: This form of rounding involved specialist, expert or
  advanced practice nurses regularly attending the patient bedside to guide, lead or
  support nursing staff or the inter-professional team in the delivery of nursing care.

Examination of the trends in rounding type identified that scripted rounding gained considerable attention in the period 2010-2012. Although scripted rounding remains the common focus of investigation, collaborative rounding has emerged more recently as a focus (see Figure 3).

# \*\*Insert figure 3 about here\*\*

Consistent with the goal of examining trends in nurse rounding over time, and to provide a longitudinal perspective of the development of the field, a variable was created by clustering studies into three year periods. These periods were sufficient to provide a finer grained interpretation of changes in the nature and focus of rounding as it has evolved.

Comparative analysis across these timeframes illustrated that for the period 2006-2009, 88% (n=5) of papers originated from the US; in 2010-2013, 65% (n=11) were from the US; and in 2014-2015, 69.2% (n=9) of papers originated from the US reflecting the international investigation of this initiative. A breakdown of rounding types during each these periods is summarised in Table 3. This table shows that scripted rounding peaked during 2010-2013, at 65% of papers published, by 2014-2015 scripted rounding had fallen to 46.1% of papers, with collaborative rounding constituting 30.7% and patient satisfaction focused at 15.3%. These results suggest that as the field has matured, a more nuanced interpretation of nurse rounding is emerging.

#### **Discussion**

It is evident from our analysis that exploring the nature and benefits that arise from nurse rounding has remained a consistent theme of nursing research over the last decade. It is also clear that the focus of nurse rounding has shifted over the period reviewed. Moving from a focus on rounding as a vehicle to assure patient comfort, towards two broad strands of activity. The first strand positions rounding as a care assurance strategy in workforces characterised by a high dependency on nursing assistants. In this context, scripted rounding is a mechanism to structure the delivery of fundamental nursing care. The second strand positions rounding as a strategy to optimise skilled nursing surveillance, and as a vehicle for collaboration and sharing of nursing expertise. Underpinning both of these strands of activity is acknowledgement of the link between the delivery of timely and responsive nursing care and patient safety (Mitchell, Lavenberg & Trotta, 2014; Twigg, Myers, Duffield, Giles & Evans (2015). Attention to investigating the nature and impact of these two broad forms of rounding highlight that both basic (Alaloul, Williams, Myers, Jones & Logsdon, 2014; Blakley, Kroth & Gregson, 2011) and expert nursing care (Catangui & Slark, 2012) is linked to patient safety and improved patient outcomes.

The frequency of research attests that both of these dimensions of nursing practice remain important. Importantly, nurse rounding has evolved into a work management strategy that provides the ability to oversight and coordinate multiple aspects of nursing care, with the surveillance and coordination functions of rounding reducing preventable missed or adverse care.

Our analysis highlighted poor definitional clarify on forms of rounding. In order of frequency, rounding was most commonly described as hourly (even when it occurred outside of hourly timeframes), followed by intentional, proactive, structured or routine. Scripted rounding was presented in the studies reviewed under various labels (such as intentional, hourly, and 3 or 4Ps rounding). A consequence of this lack of definitional clarity there is poor homogeneity in studies compared in published systematic reviews (Mant & Hutchinson, 2012). It is remarkable that research has progressed in this field with little attention to more carefully conceptualising the nature or purpose of the various forms of rounding.

This paper provides a detailed examination of research activity on nurse rounding and offers a classification to usefully differentiate the forms of rounding identified in the body of studies reviewed. In proposing a typology of four variants of nurse rounding, we provide a framework to enhance consistency and clarity for researchers in the field. Furthermore, as this field of nursing research and quality improvement activity continues to grow, it is important that careful consideration is given to the labels used to describe types of rounding. We suggest that attention be given to more clearly delineating whether scripted rounding is a form of nurse rounding, or whether this should be positioned as a tool to regulate the delivery of nursing care by workforces constituted by a significant proportion of unlicensed care workers. There is a risk that continuing to frame this strategy as "nurse rounding" masks that, this form of rounding has largely been implemented as a risk mitigation strategy in workforces characterised by increasing levels of unskilled or certified workers. Nurse researchers, managers and scholars should give careful attention to the political and organisational agendas that are not made evident currently in this body of work.

Although we created the category of targeted rounding, this remains an under developed strand of nursing research. In searching the literature we identified a number of studies of rapid response teams (RRTs), many of which were led by nurses or dependent upon nurses for the assessment and rounding that triggers the rapid response (Winters et al., 2007).

While a number of these papers made reference to nurse rounding, the role of nurse rounding (either proactive rounding by the RRT nurse, or rounding by ward nurses) is largely invisible in the body of literature on RRTs, and has received little substantive attention from nurse researchers. Systematic reviews on this topic focus upon RRTs and patient outcomes without consideration of whether a nurse rounding processes underpinned the RRT systems (Chan, Jain, Nallmothu, Berg & Sasson, 2010). Other authors report that proactive rounding by RRTs reduce inpatient cardiac arrests (Guirgis, Gerdik, Wears, Williams, Sabato & Godwin, 2013), yet it appears in this study that the rounding was undertaken by nurses and not the RRT.

### **Conclusion**

Our analysis has highlighted a number of trends in the field of nurse rounding. Firstly, the field has diversified as attention has been given to collaborative forms of rounding. The analysis presented provides an evolutionary perspective on this development. Secondly, while there has been continued research activity, there is poor definitional clarity between different forms of rounding, with little homogeneity in studies for which comparisons have been made. In order to enhance the evidence base in this field we encourage future research give more careful attention to defining the concepts under study and adopting consistency in nomenclature and definitions. Our framework provides a putative model for further testing.

#### REFERENCES

- Ahmad A., Purewal T.S., Sharma D. & Weston P.J. (2011) The impact of twice-daily consultant ward rounds on the length of stay in two general medical wards. *Clinical Medicine*, 11(6), 524-528.
- Aiken, L.M., Burmeister, E., Clayton, S., Dalais, C & Gardner, G. (2011). The impact of nursing rounds on the practice environmet and nurse satisfaction in intensive care: pre-test post-test comparative study. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 48. 918-925. doi: 10.1016/j.ijnurstu.2010.10.004
- Almeida-Filho N., Kawachi I., Filho A.P. & Dachs J.N.W. (2003) Research on Health Inequalities in Latin America and the Caribbean: Bibliometric Analysis (1971–2000) and Descriptive Content Analysis (1971–1995). *American Journal of Public Health*, 93(12), 2037-2043.
- Anderson M, Finch Guthrie P, Kraft W, Reicks P, Skay C & Beal (2015). Universal Pressure Ulcer Prevention Bundle with WOC Nurse Support. *Journal of Wound Ostomy and Continence Nursing*, 42, 217-225.
- Akobeng A.K. (2005) Understanding systematic reviews and meta-analysis. *Archives of Disease in Childhood*, 90(8), 845-848.
- Alaloul F., Williams K., Myers J., Jones K.D. & Logsdon M.C. (2014) Impact of a Script-based Communication Intervention on Patient Satisfaction with Pain Management. *Pain Management Nursing*. 16(3)321-7. doi: 10.1016/j.pmn.2014.08.008
- Anderson C.D. & Mangino R.R. (2006) Nurse shift report: who says you can't talk in front of the patient? *Nursing Administration Quarterly*, 30(2), 112-122.
- Barra, M., & Guttman, M. (2012). One less bell to answer: improving clinical outcomes in an assisted living facility with bedside shift reports and hourly rounds. UPNAAI Nursing Journal, 8(1), 19.
- Blakley D., Kroth M. & Gregson J. (2011) The impact of nurse rounding on patient satisfaction in a medical-surgical hospital unit. *Medsurg Nursing*, 20(6), 327.
- Brosey LA, March KS. Effectiveness of structured hourly nurse rounding on patient satisfaction and clinical outcomes. *Journal of Nursing Care Quality* 2015; 30, 153-159.
- Brunero S. & Lamont S. (2015) A return to nursing rounds person centred or a task too far? *Australian Nursing and Midwifery Journal*, 22(9), 30-33.
- Burnett S., Parand A., Benn J., Pinto A., Iskander S. & Vincent C. (2008) Learning about leadership from Patient Safety WalkRounds<sup>TM</sup>. *International Journal of Clinical Leadership*, 16(4), 185-192.
- Catangui E.J. & Slark J. (2012) Nurse-led ward rounds: a valuable contribution to acute stroke care. *British Journal of Nursing*, 21(13), 801-805.
- Chan P.S., Jain R., Nallmothu B.K., Berg R.A. & Sasson C. (2010) Rapid response teams: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Archives of Internal Medicine*, 170(1), 18-26.
- Deitrick L.M., Baker K., Paxton H., Flores M. & Swavely D. (2012) Hourly rounding: challenges with implementation of an evidence-based process. *Journal of Nursing Care Quality*, 27(1), 13-19.
- Dewing, J., O'Meara, B.L., (2013). Introducing intentional rounding: a pilot project. *Nursing Standard*, 28 37-44 doi: 10.7748/ns2013.10.28.6.37.e7652.
- Dix G & Braide M. (2012). Engaging staff with intentional rounding. *Nursing Times*, 108 14-16.
- Emerson B.L., Chmura K.B. & Walker D. (2014) Hourly rounding in the pediatric emergency department: patient and family safety and satisfaction rRounds. *The Journal of emergency medicine*, 47(1), 99-104.
- Fabry D. (2015) Hourly rounding: perspectives and perceptions of the frontline nursing staff. *Journal of Nursing Management*, 23(2), 200-210.

- Fiddler, M., Borglin, G., Galloway, A., Jackson, C., McGowan, L. (2010). Once-a-week psychiatric ward round or daily inpatient team meeting? A multidisciplinary mental health team's experience of new ways of working. *International Journal of Mental Health Nursing*, 19,119-127.
- Gardner G., Woollett K., Daly N. & Richardson B. (2009) Measuring the effect of patient comfort rounds on practice environment and patient satisfaction: A pilot study. *International Journal of Nursing Practice*, 15(4), 287-293.
- Goldsack J, Bergey M, Mascioli S, Cunningham J. (2015) Hourly rounding and patient falls: what factors boost success? *Nursing*, 45, 25-30.
- Graci A. (2013). A rounding system to enhance patient, parent, and neonatal nurse interactions and promote patient safety. *Journal of Obstetric, Gynecologic, & Neonatal Nursing,* 42: 239-242. doi: 10.1111/1552-6909.12011.
- Guirgis F., Gerdik C., Wears R., Williams D., Sabato J. & Godwin S. (2013) Successful implementation of proactive rounding by a rapid response team in a tertiary, academic, Level 1 trauma center reduces inpatient cardiac arrests and facilitates preemptive transfer to a higher level of care. *Annals of Emergency Medicine*, 4(62), S114.
- Gurses A.P. & Xiao Y. (2006) A systematic review of the literature on multidisciplinary rounds to design information technology. *Journal of the American Medical Informatics Association*, 13(3), 267-27
- Harrington A, Bradley S, Jeffers L, Linedale E, Kelman S, Killington G. (2013) The implementation of intentional rounding using participatory action research. *International Journal of Nursing Practice*, 19, 523-529.
- Jokiniemi K., Pietilä A.M., Kylmä J., & Haatainen K. (2012). Advanced nursing roles: a systematic review. *Nursing and Health Sciences*, 14(3), 421-431.
- Lane D., Ferri M., Lemaire J., McLaughlin K. & Stelfox H.T. (2013) A systematic review of evidence-informed practices for patient care rounds in the ICU. *Critical Care Medicine*, 41(8), 2015-2029.
- Lee S, Manley, B. (2008). Nurse Director rounds to ensure service quality. *Journal of Nursing Administration*, 38(10): 435-40.
- Mant T., Dunning T. & Hutchinson A. (2012) The clinical effectiveness of hourly rounding on fall-related incidents involving adult patients in an acute care setting: a systematic review. *The JBI Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports*, 10(56 Suppl), 63-74.
- Mercedes A., Fairman P., Hogan L., Thomas R. & Slyer J.T. (2015) The effectiveness of structured multidisciplinary rounding in acute care units on length of hospital stay and satisfaction of patients and staff: a systematic review protocol. *The JBI Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports*, 13(8), 41-53.
- Mitchell M.D., Lavenberg J.G., Trotta R.L. & Umscheid C.A. (2014) Hourly rounding to improve nursing responsiveness: a systematic review. *Journal of Nursing Administration*, 44(9), 462-472.
- Murphy T., Labonte Pl, Klock, M & Houser, L. (2008). Falls prevention for elders in acute care: an evidence based nuring practice initiative. *Critical Care Nursing Quarterly*, 31(1) 33-39.
- Nikendei C., Kraus B., Schrauth M., Briem S. & Junger J. (2008) Ward rounds: how prepared are future doctors? *Medical Teacher*, 30(1), 88-91. doi: 10.1080/01421590701753468.
- Pendlebury D.A. (2008) White paper: using bibliometrics in evaluating research. Research Department, Thomson Reuters, Philadelphia, USA.
- Pritts K.E. & Hiller L.G. (2014). Implementation of physician and nurse rounding on a 42-bed medical unit. *MedSurg Nursing*, 23(6), 408-413.

- Snellink P. (2003) Rounding is an evidence-free idea driven by political whim. *Nursing Times*, 109 (13), 9.
- Snelling P. (2013) Intentional rounding: a critique of the evidence. Nursing Times, 109, 19-21.
- Studer Q. (2003) How healthcare wins with consumers who want more. *Frontiers of Health Services Management*, 19(4), 3-16.
- Tan M. & Lang D. (2014) Effectiveness of nurse leader rounding and postdischarge telephone calls on patient satisfaction: a systematic review. *The JBI Databse of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports*, 12(1).
- Tan M, Lang D. (2015). Effectiveness of nurse leader rounding and post-discharge telephone calls in patient satisfaction: a systematic review. *The JBI Database of Systematic Reviews and Implementation Reports*; 13: 154-176.
- The King's College London (2013) Intentional rounding: what is the evidence? *Policy+Policy Plus Evidence, Issues and Opinions in Healthcare*, 35 (April).
- Willis E., Toffoli L., Henderson J., Couzner L., Hamilton P., Verrall C. & Blackman I. (2015) Rounding, work intensification and new public management. *Nursing Inquiry*, 23(2), 158-68. doi: 10.1111/nin.12116
- Ybarra N. (2015) Daily rounding for patient safety and nursing satisfaction. *Journal of Obstetric, Gynecologic, & Neonatal Nursing*, 44(s1), S32-S33.
- Twigg D.E., Myers H., Duffield C., Giles M. & Evans G. (2015) Is there an economic case for investing in nursing care—what does the literature tell us? *Journal of advanced nursing*, 71(5), 975-990.
- Winters B.D., Pham J.C., Hunt E.A., Guallar E., Berenholtz S. & Pronovost P.J. (2007) Rapid response systems: A systematic review. *Critical Care Medicine*, 35(5), 1238-1243.
- Lowe L, Hodgson G. (2012) Hourly rounding in a high dependency unit. *Nursing Standard* 27, 35-40.
- Meehan, A., Beinlich, N. (2014). Peer-to-peer learning/teaching: an effective strategy for changing practice and preventing pressure ulcers in the surgical patient. *International Journal of Orthopaedic and Trauma Nursing*, 18: 122-128.
- Kessler B, Claude-Gutekunst M, Donchez AM, Dries RF, Snyder MM. (2012) The merry-goround of patient rounding: assure your patients get the brass ring. *Medsurg Nursing*, 21, 240.
- Krepper, R., B. Vallejo, C. Smith, C. Lindy, C. Fullmer, S. Messimer, Y. Xing and K. Myers (2014) Evaluation of a standardized hourly rounding process (SHaRP). *Journal for Healthcare Quality*, 36: 62-69.
- Mahanes, D., Quatrara, B.D., Shaw, K.D. (2013). APN-led nursing rounds: an emphasis on evidence-based nursing care. *Intenmurphysive and Critical Care Nursing*, 29: 256-260. doi: 10.1016/j.iccn.2013.03.004.
- Meade CM, Bursell AL, Ketelsen L. (2006) Effects of nursing rounds: on patients' call light use, satisfaction, and safety. *AJN The American Journal of Nursing*, 106, 58-70.
- Mower-Wade D, Pirrung JM. (2010) Advanced practice nurses making a difference: Implementation of a formal rounding process. *Journal of Trauma Nursing*, 17, 69-71.
- Negarandeh R, Bahabadi AH, Mamaghani JA. (2014) Impact of regular nursing rounds on patient satisfaction with nursing care. *Asian Nursing Research*, 8: 282-285.
- Olrich T, Kalman M, Nigolian C. (2012) Hourly rounding: a replication study. *Medsurg Nursing*, 21, 23-36.
- Petras DM, Dudjak LA, Bender CM. (2013) Piloting patient rounding as a quality improvement initiative. *Nursing Management*, 44: 19-23.
- Purvis, S., T. Gion, G. Kennedy, S. Rees, N. Safdar, S. VanDenBergh and J. Weber (2014) Catheter-associated urinary tract infection: a successful prevention effort employing a

- multipronged initiative at an academic medical center. *Journal of Nursing Care Quality*, 29, 141-148.
- Reimer N, Herbener L. (2014) Round and round we go: rounding strategies to impact exemplary professional practice. *Clinical Journal of Oncology Nursing*, 18, 654-660.
- Saleh BS, Nusair H, Al Zubadi N, Al Shloul S, Saleh U. (2011). Retracted: The nursing rounds system: Effect of patient's call light use, bed sores, fall and satisfaction level. *International Journal of Nursing Practice*, 17, 299-303.
- Sobaski T, Abraham M, Fillmore R, McFall DE, Davidhizar R. (2008). The effect of routine rounding by nursing staff on patient satisfaction on a cardiac telemetry unit. *The Health Care Manager*, 27, 332-337.
- Spanaki, M. V., C. McCloskey, V. Remedio, D. Budzyn, J. Guanio, T. Monroe, G. L. Barkley and L. Schultz. (2012). Developing a culture of safety in the epilepsy monitoring unit: A retrospective study of safety outcomes. *Epilepsy & Behavior*, 25, 185-188.
- Tea C, Ellison M, Feghali F. (2008). Proactive patient rounding to increase customer service and satisfaction on an orthopaedic unit. *Orthopaedic Nursing*, 27, 233-240.
- Tucker SJ, Bieber PL, Attlesey-Pries JM, Olson ME, Dierkhising RA. (2012) Outcomes and cpenges in implementing hourly rounds to reduce falls in orthopedic units. *Worldviews on Evidence-Based Nursing*, 9, 18-29.
- Walker K, Duff J, Fitzgerald K. (2015) Rounding for better patient care: an evaluation of an improvement intervention implementation. *International Journal of Nursing Practice*, 21, 207-213.
- Wickson-Griffiths, A., S. Kaasalainen, K. Brazil, C. McAiney, D. Crawshaw, M. Turner and M. L. Kelley (2015) Comfort care rounds: A staff capacity-building initiative in long-term care homes. *Journal of Gerontological Nursing*, 41, 42.
- Yevchak, A. M., D. M. Fick, J. McDowell, T. Monroe, K. May, L. Grove, A. M. Kolanowski, J. L. Waller and S. K. Inouye (2013) Barriers and facilitators to implementing delirium rounds in a clinical trial across three diverse hospital settings. *Clinical Nursing Research* Doi 1054773813505321.

Table 1: Publication details and rounding type

| Autorul, Williams, Myers, Jones &   2014   US  |   | Authors  | Year | Country | Study design | Setting<br>Participant number | Scripted | Targeted | Leader | Collabor<br>ative |
|--|---|--|------|---------|--------------|-------------------------------|----------|----------|--------|-------------------|
| Asidem, LM, Burmerisier, E, Clayon, S. & Gardner C, Clayon, S. & Gardner C, Anderson, M., Firth, G., Kraft, W., Reckis, P., Say, C. Baal, L., Barro, & Guttman, Blakley, Kroth & Gregon 2011 US Pre-post Medical surgical N-2000 Pre-post Brosey & March 2012 US US Pre-post Medical surgical N-2000 Pre-post Medical surgical N-200 Pre-post Med   |   |  | 2014 | US      | Pre-post     | Med surgical                  | •        |          |        |                   |
| Andreson, M., Finch, G., Kraft, W., Weskes, P., Salay, C., Beal, L., Barra & Guttman, Blakley, Kroth & Gregon 2011 US Prepost Blackey, Kroth & Gregon 2015 US Prepost US Descriptive Emerson, Chrura, & Walker 2012 US Ethnographic Emerson, Chrura, & Walker 2014 US Prepost Paddition (Proposition Proposition P |   |  | 2011 | US      |              | ICU N=171                     | •        |          |        |                   |
| Balrak Cuttman,   2012   US   Pre-post   Medical surgical N=2000   Medical surgical N=81   New York, Kroth & Gragon   2015   US   Pre-post   Medical surgical N=81   New York, Kroth & Gragon   New York, Kroth & Gragon   New York, Walker   N   |   | Anderson, M., Finch, G., Kraft,  | 2015 | AU      |              | ICU N=146                     |          |          |        | •                 |
| Biskley, Kroth, & Grageon   2011   US  |   | -  | 2012 | US      |              |                               |          | •        |        |                   |
| Brosey & March   2015  |   | · ·  |      |         | Pre-post     | Med surgical N=2000           | •        |          |        |                   |
| Destrick, Baker, Paxton, Flores & Swavely  |   |  | 2015 | US      | Pre-post     |                               | •        |          |        |                   |
| Swavely   Emerson, Chmura, & Walker   2014   US   2015   US   20   |   | Catangui & Slark   | 2012 | UK      | Descriptive  | Acute setting N=108           |          |          |        | •                 |
| Fabry, D.   Gardner, Woollett, Daly & Richardson †   2009   AU   US   Descriptive   Pre-post   Pr   |   |  | 2012 | US      | Ethnographic | Inpatient units N=2           | •        |          |        |                   |
| Gardner, Woollett, Daly & Richardson †   Goldsack, Bergey & Mascioli   2015   US   Non-random   Surgical N=129   |   | Emerson, Chmura, & Walker  | 2014 | US      | Pre-post     | Paediatric N=200              | •        |          |        |                   |
| Richardson † Goldsack, Bergey & Mascioli Guirgis, Gerdik, Wears, Williams, Sabato & Godwin, Wears, Williams, Linedalie, Kelman & Kilington Myer Medhan & Belnilich Merchan & Belnilich Merch Vallejo, Smith, Lindy, Fullmer, Messimer, Xing & Myers Lee, SM & Manley, Lowe & Hodgson Mahanes, Qualtrara & Shaw Mede, Bursell & Ketelsen Mede, Bursell & Ketelsen Mower-Wade & Pirrung Murphy, et al., Mower-Wade & Pirrung Murphy, et al., Merchandeh, Bahabadi & Mamaghani Oirich, Kelman & Nigolian † Purvis, Gion, Kennedy, Rees, Saldar, VanDenBergh & Weber Pritts & Hiller Person, Bion, Kennedy, Rees, Saldar, VanDenBergh & Weber Pritts & Hiller Relmer & Herbener + Soabask, Moralsm, Eilmore, Mcfall & Davidhizar, † Soabask, McCloskey, Remedio, Budryn, Guanio, Monroe & Barfey Tea, Ellison & Fragenit Vickson-Sriffiths, Kaasalainen, Brazil, McAlney, Crawshw, Tuner & Kelley Wookward, † Werchak, Fick, McDowel & Woodward, † Werchak, Fick, McDowel & Woodward, † Wenchak, Fick, McDowel & Us Cluster RCT Us Clust |   | Fabry, D.,   | 2014 | US      | Descriptive  | Hospital (N=67)               | •        |          |        |                   |
| Guirgis, Gerdik, Wears, Williams, Sabato & Godwin Harrington, Bradley, Jeffers, Linedale, Kelman & Killington Myer Meehan & Beinlich Kessler, Claude-Gutekunst, 2012 US Descriptive Neesher, Claude-Gutekunst, 2012 US Descriptive Nine Hospital N=unreported Nined Nerper, Vallejo, Smith, Lindy, Pellmer, Messimer, Xing & Myers Lee, SM & Manley, 2008 US Lee, SM & Manley, 2012 US Descriptive Neade, Bursell & Ketelsen US Descriptive Neade, Bursell & Ketelsen Nower-Wade & Pirrung Murphy, et al., 2008 US Negarandeh, Bahabadi & 2014 EUA Nagarandeh, Bahabadi & 2014 EUA Nagarandeh, Bahabadi & 2014 EUA Purvis, Gion, Kennedy, Rees, 2014 US Per-post with control Olirich, Kalman & Nigolian † Purvis, Gion, Kennedy, Rees, 2014 US Saleh, Nusair, Zubadi, Al Shloul & 2011 EUA Saleh, Nusair, Zubadi, Al Shloul & 2011 EUA Saleh, Nusair, Zubadi, Al Shloul & 2012 EUA Pre-post Nusair, Subadiirar Sobaski, Arraham, Fillmore, McFall & Davis, Bardey, Care Statisey Tee, Bardey Tee, Bilson & Feghali † Nurphy, Ganla, McCloskey, Remedio, Burdy, Guanio, Monroe & Bardley Tee, Bilson & Feghali † Nurley, Guanio, Monroe & Bardley Wickson-Griffiths, Kasasalainen, Brazil, McKliney, Crawdhw, Tuner & Kelley Woodward, † Nunckon-Griffiths, Kasasalainen, Brazil, McKlosky, Kasasalainen, Brazil, McKlosky, Crawdhw, Tuner & Kelley Woodward, † Nunckon-May, Grove & VS Nunckson-May, Grove & VS Nunckon-May,  |   |  | 2009 | AU      | 1            | Hospital N=129                | •        |          |        |                   |
| Sabato & Godwin Harrington, Bradley, Jeffers, Linedale, Kelman & Killington Myer Meehan & Beinlich Kessler, Claude-Gutekunst, Donchez, Dries & Snyder † Krepper, Vallejo, Smith, Lindy, Fullmer, Messimer, King & Myers Lee, SM & Manley, Lowe & Hodgson Meade, Bursell & Ketelsen Mahanes, Quatrara & Shaw Meade, Bursell & Ketelsen Mower-Wade & Pirrung Murphy, et al., Negarandeh, Bahabadi & Murphy, et al., Negarandeh, Bahabadi & Marmaghani Oririch, Kalman & Nigolian † Purvis, Gion, Kennedy, Rees, Safdar, VanDenBergh & Weber Pritts & Hiller Pritts & Hiller Reimer & Herbener † Saleh, Nusair, Zubadi, Al Shloul & Saleh, Reimer & Herbener † Saleh & Weimer & Herbener † Saleh &  |   | Goldsack, Bergey & Mascioli  | 2015 | US      | Non-random   | Surgical N=129                | •        |          |        |                   |
| Harrington, Bradley, Jeffers, Linedale, Kelman & Killington  Myer  Meehan & Beinlich  Myer  Meehan & Seinlich  Meers, Claude-Gutekunst, Donchez, Dries & Snyder †  Krepper, Vallejo, Smith, Lindy, Fullmer, Messimer, Xing & Myers  Lee, SM & Manley, Low & Hodgson  Mahanes, Quatrara & Shaw  Mahanes, Quatrara & Shaw  Mahanes, Quatrara & Shaw  Mower-Wade & Pirrung  Mower-Wade &  |   |  | 2013 | US      | •            | Inpatient N=153,138           |          | •        |        |                   |
| . Myer   |   | Harrington, Bradley, Jeffers,  | 2013 | AU      |              | Inpatient N=86                | •        |          |        |                   |
| Mechan & Beinlich   Z012   |   | _  | 2015 | US      |              |                               |          |          | •      |                   |
| Nessler, Claude-Gutekunst, Donchez, Dries & Snyder † Nerpoper, Vallejo, Smith, Lindy, Fullmer, Messimer, Xing & Myers  |   | •  |      |         | Descriptive  | Hospital N=15                 |          |          |        | •                 |
| Rrepper, Vallejo, Smith, Lindy, Fullmer, Messimer, Xing & Myers Lee, SM & Manley, Lowe & Hodgson Mahanes, Quartara & Shaw Meade, Bursell & Ketelsen Mower-Wade & Pirrung Murphy, et al., Meadeh, Bahabadi & Mamaghani Olirich, Kalman & Nigolian † Olirich, Kalman & Nigolian † Pre-post Mere & Herbener † Saleh, Nusair, Zubadi, Al Shloul & Saleh Herbener † Saleh, Nusair, Zubadi, Al Shloul & Saleh Herbener † Saleh, Musair, Zubadi, Al Shloul & Saleh Herbener & Saleh, Musair, Gubadi, Al Shloul & Saleh Herbener & Saleh, Musair, Gubadi, Al Shloul & Saleh Herbener & Saleh, Musair, Gubadi, Al Shloul & Saleh Herbener & Saleh, Musair, Gubadi, Al Shloul & Saleh Herbener & Saleh, Musair, Gubadi, Al Shloul & Saleh Murp, Guanio, Monroe & Barkley Tea, Ellison & Feghali † Sobaski, Abraham, Fillmore, McGali & Dous Care Herbener & Saleh, Musair, Subadi, Mornoe & Barkley Tea, Ellison & Feghali † Sobaski, Abraham, Fillmore, Olos, Olos, & Direkhisting + Sudayn, Guanio, Monroe & Saleh, Muskir, Guandi, Mornoe & Saleh, Muskir, Guandi, Mu |   |  | 2012 |         | •            | •                             | •        |          |        |                   |
| . Lee, SM & Manley, . Low & Hodgson . Low & Hodgson . Mahanes, Quatrara & Shaw . Mahanes, Quatrara & Shaw . Meade, Bursell & Ketelsen . Mower-Wade & Pirrung . Mower-Wade & Pirrung . Murphy, et al., . Negarandeh, Bahabadi & 2014 . Mamaghani . Olirich, Kalman & Nigolian † . Purvis, Gion, Kennedy, Rees, . Safdar, VanDenBergh & Weber . Pritts & Hiller . Pritts & Hiller . Pre-post . Reimer & Herbener ≠ . Saleh, Nusair, Zubadi, Al Shloul & 2011 . Saleh†# . Sobaski, Abraham, Fillmore, . McCloskey, Remedio, . Budzyn, Guanio, Monroe & Barkley . Tae, Ellison & Feghali † . Spanaki, McCloskey, Remedio, . Budzyn, Guanio, Monroe & Barkley . Tae, Ellison & Feghali † . Wickson-Griffiths, Kaasalainen, . Brazil, McAiney, Crawshw, Tuner . & Kelley . Woodward, † . Woodward, † . Woodward, † . Woodward, † . Woodward, & 2009 . Yer-post . Cluster RCT . Inpatient N=192 . Pre-post . Solaria N=201 . Solaria, McCloswel, . Woodward, † . Woodward, & 2009 . Woodward, & 2014 . Woodward, & 2009 . Woodward, & 2014 . Woodward, Fick, McDowell, . Monroe, May, Grove & . Woodward, Fick, McDowell, . Monroe, May, Grove & . Woodward, Fick, McDowell, . Monroe, May, Grove & . Woodward, Fick, McDowell, . Monroe, May, Grove & . Woodward, Fick, McDowell, . Monroe, May, Grove & . Woodward, Fick, McDowell, . Monroe, May, Grove & . Woodward, Fick, McDowell, . Monroe, May, Grove & . Woodward, Fick, McDowell, . Monroe, May, Grove & . Woodward, Fick, McDowell, . Monroe, May, Grove & . Woodward, Fick, McDowell, . Monroe, May, Grove & . Woodward, Fick, McDowell, . Woodward, Fick, McDowell, . Monroe, May, Grove & . Woodward, Fick, McDowell, . Monroe, May, Grove & . Woodward, Fick, McDowell, . Woodward, Fick,  |   | Krepper, Vallejo, Smith, Lindy,  | 2014 | US      |              | Surgical N= unreported        | •        |          |        |                   |
| . Lowe & Hodgson 2012 US Descriptive Mahanes, Quatrara & Shaw 2013 US Descriptive Hospital N=44 Hos  |   |  | 2008 | US      | _ ·          |                               |          |          | •      |                   |
| . Meade, Bursell & Ketelsen  . Mower-Wade & Pirrung . Murphy, et al.,  . Negarandeh, Bahabadi & 2014   |   |  |      |         | T            | Hospital N=44                 | •        |          |        |                   |
| . Mower-Wade & Pirrung . Murphy, et al., . Negarandeh, Bahabadi & 2014   |   | <u> </u>   | 2013 | US      | Descriptive  | Hospital N= unreported        |          |          |        | •                 |
| Murphy, et al.,  Negarandeh, Bahabadi & 2014 EUA Pre-post with control Control Mamaghani  Olrich, Kalman & Nigolian † 2012 US Pre-post Med surgical N=50  Purvis, Gion, Kennedy, Rees, Safdar, VanbenBergh & Weber Pritts & Hiller 2014 US Pre-post Trauma centre N=38  Reimer & Herbener ‡ 2014 US Pre-post Inpatient Stroke N=104  Saleh, Nusair, Zubadi, Al Shloul & 2011 EUA Pre-post Inpatient stroke N=104  Saleh †#  Sobaski, Abraham, Fillmore, McFall & Davidhizar. †  Spanaki, McCloskey, Remedio, Budzyn, Guanio, Monroe & Barkley  Tea, Ellison & Feghali † 2008 US Pre-post Orthopaedic N=2170  Olson, & Direkhising. †  Walker, Duff & Fitzgerald 2015 AU Qualitative Med surgical N=2008  Wickson-Griffiths, Kaasalainen, Brazil, McAiney, Crawshw, Tuner & Kelley  Woodward, † 2009 US Pre-post Surgical N=0192  Woodward, † 2009 US Pre-post Surgical N=0192  Pre-post Surgical N=4418  Med surgical N=4418  Med surgical N=4418  Med surgical N=650  Cardiac telemetry N=0104  Pre-post Epilepsy unit N=971  Pre-post Orthopaedic N=202  Orthopaedic N=2170  Orthopaedic N=2170  Orthopaedic N=2170  Orthopaedic N=2170  Orthopaedic N=2170  Orthopaedic N=02170  Ort |   |  | 2006 | US      | Pre-post     | •                             | •        |          |        |                   |
| Negarandeh, Bahabadi & 2014 EUA Pre-post with control Olrich, Kalman & Nigolian † 2012 US Pre-post Med surgical N=50  Purvis, Gion, Kennedy, Rees, Safdar, VanDenBergh & Weber Pritts & Hiller 2014 US Pre-post Inpatient N= unreported unreported Inpatient N= unreported Inp |   | Mower-Wade & Pirrung   | 2010 | US      | Descriptive  | Hospital N=unreported         |          |          |        | •                 |
| Mamaghani Olrich, Kalman & Nigolian † 2012 US Pre-post Med surgical N=4418 Purvis, Gion, Kennedy, Rees, Safdar, VanDenBergh & Weber Pritts & Hiller 2014 US Pre-post Unreported Reimer & Herbener ‡ 2014 UK Pre-post Inpatient N= unreported Saleh 1# Sobaski, Abraham, Fillmore, McFall & Davidhizar. † Spanaki, McCloskey, Remedio, Budzyn, Guanio, Monroe & Barkley Tea, Ellison & Feghali † 2008 US Pre-post Orthopaedic N=2170 Sleber, Attlesey-Pries, Olson, & Direkhising. † Walker, Duff & Fitzgerald Wickson-Griffiths, Kaasalainen, Brazil, McAiney, Crawshw, Tuner & Kelley Woodward, † Woodward, † Wedsurgical N= unreported Inpatient N=  |   | Murphy, et al.,  | 2008 | US      | Descriptive  | Hospital                      | •        |          |        |                   |
| Purvis, Gion, Kennedy, Rees, Safdar, VanDenBergh & Weber Pritts & Hiller Pre-post Reimer & Herbener ‡ Saleh, Nusair, Zubadi, Al Shloul & 2011 EUA Pre-post Inpatient N= unreported Pre-post Inpatient N= unreported Pre-post Inpatient N= unreported Inpatient N= unreported Inpatient N= unreported Pre-post Inpatient N= unreported  |   | _  | 2014 | EUA     |              | Med surgical N=50             | •        |          |        |                   |
| Safdar, VanDenBergh & Weber Pritts & Hiller Pritts & Hiller Reimer & Herbener ‡ Saleh, Nusair, Zubadi, Al Shloul & Saleh †# Sobaski, Abraham, Fillmore, McFall & Davidhizar. † Spanaki, McCloskey, Remedio, Barkley Tea, Ellison & Feghali † Tauda centre N=38 Inpatient N= unreported Inpatient stroke N=104 Inpatient stroke N=104  Saleh, Nusair, Zubadi, Al Shloul & Saleh †# Sobaski, Abraham, Fillmore, McFall & Davidhizar. † Spanaki, McCloskey, Remedio, Barkley Tea, Ellison & Feghali † Walker, Bieber, Attlesey-Pries, Olson, & Direkhising. † Walker, Duff & Fitzgerald  Wickson-Griffiths, Kaasalainen, Brazil, McAiney, Crawshw, Tuner & Kelley Woodward, † Woodward, † Yevchak, Fick, McDowell, Monroe, May, Grove &  US Pre-post Cardiac telemetry N=unreported Epilepsy unit N=971  Orthopaedic N=202 Orthopaedic N=202 Orthopaedic N=2170  Med surgical N=unreported N=unreported Long-term N=40  Pre-post Surgical N=unreported Inpatient N=192  Oullitative Inpatient N=192  Oullitative Inpatient N=192  Oullitative Inpatient N=192   |   | Olrich, Kalman & Nigolian †  | 2012 | US      | Pre-post     | Med surgical N=4418           | •        |          |        |                   |
| Reimer & Herbener ‡ Saleh, Nusair, Zubadi, Al Shloul & 2011 Saleh # Sobaski, Abraham, Fillmore, McFall & Davidhizar. † Spanaki, McCloskey, Remedio, Budzyn, Guanio, Monroe & Barkley Tea, Ellison & Feghali † Tucker, Bieber, Attlesey-Pries, Olson, & Direkhising. † Walker, Duff & Fitzgerald Walker, Duff & Fitzgerald Wickson-Griffiths, Kaasalainen, Brazil, McAiney, Crawshw, Tuner & Kelley Woodward, † Woodward, † Woodward, † Woodward, † Woodward, † Wevchak, Fick, McDowell, Monroe, May, Grove &  Religion Saleh # Walker Number of the fit of the pre-post of the |   | The state of the s | 2014 | US      | Descriptive  |                               |          |          |        | •                 |
| Saleh, Nusair, Zubadi, Al Shloul & Saleh†#  Sobaski, Abraham, Fillmore, McFall & Davidhizar. †  Sobaski, McCloskey, Remedio, Budzyn, Guanio, Monroe & Barkley  Tea, Ellison & Feghali †  Walker, Duff & Fitzgerald  Wickson-Griffiths, Kaasalainen, Brazil, McAiney, Crawshw, Tuner & Kelley  Woodward, †  Woodward, †  Yevchak, Fick, McDowell, Monroe, May, Grove & McFall, McCloskey, Remedio, 2012  BUS  Pre-post  Cardiac telemetry N=unreported Epilepsy unit N=971  Orthopaedic N=202 Orthopaedic N=202 Orthopaedic N=2170  Orthopaedic N=2170  Med surgical N=unreported Long-term N=40  Pre-post Cardiac telemetry N=unreported Epilepsy unit N=971  Mounreported Epilepsy unit N=971  Orthopaedic N=202  Orthopaedic N=2170  Orthopaedic N=202  Orthopaedic N=202  Orthopaedic N=202  Orthopaedic N=201  Orthopaedic N=202  Orthopaedic N=202  Orthopaedic N=202  Orthopaedic N=202  Orthopaedic N=201  Orthopaedic N=202  Orthopaedic N=202  Orthopaedic N=202  Orthopaedic N=202  Orthopaedic N=201  Orthopaedic N=202  Orthopaedic  |   | Pritts & Hiller  | 2014 | US      | Pre-post     | Trauma centre N=38            |          |          |        | •                 |
| Saleh†#  Sobaski, Abraham, Fillmore, McFall & Davidhizar. †  Spanaki, McCloskey, Remedio, Budzyn, Guanio, Monroe & Barkley  Tea, Ellison & Feghali †  Walker, Duff & Fitzgerald  Wickson-Griffiths, Kaasalainen, Brazil, McAiney, Crawshw, Tuner & Kelley  Woodward, †  Woodward, †  Woodward, †  Woodward, †  Woodward, Fick, McDowell, Monroe, May, Grove & Wickson-Griffick, McDowell, Monroe, May, Grove & Wickson-May, Grove & Wickson-M |   | Reimer & Herbener ‡  | 2014 | UK      | 1            | •                             | •        |          |        |                   |
| McFall & Davidhizar. † Spanaki, McCloskey, Remedio, Budzyn, Guanio, Monroe & Barkley Tea, Ellison & Feghali † Walker, Duff & Fitzgerald Wickson-Griffiths, Kaasalainen, Brazil, McAiney, Crawshw, Tuner & Kelley Woodward, † Yevchak, Fick, McDowell, Monroe, May, Grove &  N=unreported Epilepsy unit N=971  Orthopaedic N=202  Orthopaedic N=2170  Orthopaedic N=2170  Orthopaedic N=202  Orthopaedic N=2170  Orthopaedic N=202  Orthopaedic N=2170  Orthopaedic N=202  Orthopaedic N=202  Orthopaedic N=202  Orthopaedic N=2170  Orthopaedic N=201  Orthopaedic N=202  Orthopaedic N=202  Orthopaedic N=2170  Orthopaedic N=2170  Orthopaedic N=202  Orthopaedic N=201  Orthopaedic N=202  Orthopaedic N |   |  | 2011 | EUA     | Pre-post     | Inpatient stroke N=104        | •        |          |        |                   |
| Budzyn, Guanio, Monroe & Barkley  Tea, Ellison & Feghali † 2008 US Pre-post Orthopaedic N=202  Tucker, Bieber, Attlesey-Pries, Olson, & Direkhising. † 2012 UK Pre-post Orthopaedic N=2170  Walker, Duff & Fitzgerald 2015 AU Qualitative Med surgical N=unreported  Wickson-Griffiths, Kaasalainen, Brazil, McAiney, Crawshw, Tuner & Kelley  Woodward, † 2009 US Pre-post Surgical N=unreported  Yevchak, Fick, McDowell, Monroe, May, Grove & US Cluster RCT Inpatient N=192  |   |  | 2008 | US      | Pre-post     | 1                             | •        |          |        |                   |
| Tea, Ellison & Feghali † 2008 US Pre-post Orthopaedic N=202 Tucker, Bieber, Attlesey-Pries, Olson, & Direkhising. † Walker, Duff & Fitzgerald 2015 AU Qualitative Med surgical N=unreported Wickson-Griffiths, Kaasalainen, Brazil, McAiney, Crawshw, Tuner & Kelley Woodward, † Yevchak, Fick, McDowell, Monroe, May, Grove &  US Pre-post Orthopaedic N=2170  Wed surgical N=unreported Long-term N=40  Surgical N=unreported Inpatient N=192  | ٠ | Budzyn, Guanio, Monroe &   | 2012 | EUA     | Pre-post     | Epilepsy unit N=971           | •        |          |        |                   |
| <ul> <li>Tucker, Bieber, Attlesey-Pries, Olson, &amp; Direkhising. †</li> <li>Walker, Duff &amp; Fitzgerald</li> <li>Wickson-Griffiths, Kaasalainen, Brazil, McAiney, Crawshw, Tuner &amp; Kelley</li> <li>Woodward, †</li> <li>Yevchak, Fick, McDowell, Monroe, May, Grove &amp;</li> <li>UK Pre-post Orthopaedic N=2170</li> <li>Med surgical N=unreported</li> <li>Long-term N=40</li> <li>Surgical N=unreported</li> <li>Inpatient N=192</li> <li>Inpatient N=192</li> </ul>   |   | •  | 2008 | US      | Pre-post     | Orthopaedic N=202             | •        |          |        |                   |
| <ul> <li>Walker, Duff &amp; Fitzgerald</li> <li>Wickson-Griffiths, Kaasalainen, Brazil, McAiney, Crawshw, Tuner &amp; Kelley</li> <li>Woodward, †</li> <li>Yevchak, Fick, McDowell, Monroe, May, Grove &amp;</li> <li>Walker, Duff &amp; Fitzgerald</li> <li>Qualitative</li> <li>Qualitative</li> <li>Qualitative</li> <li>Long-term N=40</li> <li>Surgical N=unreported</li> <li>Inpatient N=192</li> </ul>  |   | Tucker, Bieber, Attlesey-Pries,  |      |         | •            | ·                             | •        |          |        |                   |
| <ul> <li>Wickson-Griffiths, Kaasalainen, Brazil, McAiney, Crawshw, Tuner &amp; Kelley</li> <li>Woodward, †</li> <li>Yevchak, Fick, McDowell, Monroe, May, Grove &amp;</li> </ul> US Qualitative Long-term N=40 <ul> <li>Long-term N=40</li> <li>Surgical N=unreported</li> <li>Inpatient N=192</li> <li>Monroe, May, Grove &amp;</li> </ul>  |   |  | 2015 | AU      | Qualitative  |                               | •        |          |        |                   |
| <ul> <li>Woodward, †</li> <li>Yevchak, Fick, McDowell,</li> <li>Monroe, May, Grove &amp;</li> </ul> US Pre-post Cluster RCT Cluster RCT Surgical N=unreported Inpatient N=192 • •  |   | Brazil, McAiney, Crawshw, Tuner  | 2015 | US      | Qualitative  | •                             |          |          |        | •                 |
| Yevchak, Fick, McDowell, Monroe, May, Grove &  Cluster RCT Inpatient N=192   |   | •  | 2000 | 110     | Pre-nost     | Surgical Neuproported         |          |          |        |                   |
| Monroe, May, Grove &   |   | •  |      |         | 1            |                               |          |          |        | •                 |
|  |   |  | 2014 | 03      | Ciustei NCI  | inpatient N=132               |          |          |        |                   |
| 1 NOTION I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I   |   | Kolanowski   |      |         |              |                               |          |          |        |                   |

†Included in systematic review Mitchell et al., (2014) ‡ Included in the systematic review Mercedes et al., 2015; # Subsequently retracted by Journal

Table 2: Breakdown of publications by Journal (n=38)

| International Journal of Nursing Practice               | 4<br>2 |  |  |
|---|--------|--|--|
| MedSurg Nursing   |        |  |  |
| Nursing Standard  |        |  |  |
| Journal of Nursing Care Quality                         |        |  |  |
| Pain Management Nursing                                 | 1      |  |  |
| Journal for Healthcare Quality                          | 1      |  |  |
| The Journal of Emergency Medicine                       | 1      |  |  |
| Journal of Trauma Nursing                               | 1      |  |  |
| JOGNN   | 1      |  |  |
| International Journal of Orthopaedic and Trauma Nursing | 1      |  |  |
| J Wound Ostomy Continence Nursing                       | 1      |  |  |
| Clinical Nurse Specialist                               | 1      |  |  |
| Clinical Journal of Oncology Nursing                    | 1      |  |  |
| British Journal of Nursing                              | 1      |  |  |
| American Journal of Nursing                             | 1      |  |  |
| The Health Care Manager                                 | 1      |  |  |
| International Journal of Orthopaedic and Trauma Nursing | 1      |  |  |
| Orthopaedic Nursing                                     | 1      |  |  |
| Journal of Gerentological Nursing                       | 1      |  |  |
| Nursing Administration Quarterly                        | 1      |  |  |
| Nursing Management                                      | 1      |  |  |
| Resuscitation   | 1      |  |  |
| Journal of Trauma Nursing                               | 1      |  |  |
| Critical Care Nursing Quarterly                         | 1      |  |  |
| Nursing 2015  | 1      |  |  |
| Intensive and Critical Care Nursing                     | 1      |  |  |
| Asian Nursing Research                                  | 1      |  |  |
| Clinical Nursing Research                               | 1      |  |  |
| Worldviews on Evidence-Based Nursing                    | 1      |  |  |
| Epilepsy and Behaviour                                  | 1      |  |  |

Table 3: Breakdown of publications by rounding type

| Rounding Type                               | Frequency | (%)    |
|---|-----------|--------|
| Scripted                                    | 24        | (63.1) |
| Collaborative                               | 9         | (23.6) |
| Nurse-led inter-professional rounding       | 4         |        |
| Specialist nurse or peer rounding           | 5         |        |
| Leader                                      | 3         | ( 7.9) |
| Targeted                                    | 2         | (5.3)  |
| Rounding to target pain or falls prevention | 1         |        |
| Early detection                             | 1         |        |