DOI: 10.1111/mec.17518

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

The last days of *Aporia crataegi* **(L.) in Britain: Evaluating genomic erosion in an extirpated butterfly**

Rebecca Whitl[a1](#page-0-0) | **Korneel Hen[s1,2](#page-0-0)** | **James Hoga[n3](#page-0-1)** | **Geoff Martin[4](#page-0-2)** | **Casper** Breuker^{1,2} \bullet | Timothy G. Shreeve¹ \bullet | Saad Arif^{1,2} \bullet

1 Department of Biological and Medical Sciences, Oxford Brookes University, Oxford, UK

² Centre for Functional Genomics, Oxford Brookes University, Oxford, UK

3 Oxford University Museum of Natural History, Oxford, UK

4 Insects Division, Natural History Museum, London, UK

Correspondence

Saad Arif, Centre for Functional Genomics, Oxford Brookes University, Oxford OX3 0BP, UK. Email: sarif@brookes.ac.uk

Handling Editor: Luke Browne

Abstract

Current rates of habitat degradation and climate change are causing unprecedented declines in global biodiversity. Studies on vertebrates highlight how conservation genomics can be effective in identifying and managing threatened populations, but it is unclear how vertebrate-derived metrics of genomic erosion translate to invertebrates, with their markedly different population sizes and life histories. The Black-veined White butterfly (*Aporia crataegi*) was extirpated from Britain in the 1920s. Here, we sequenced historical DNA from 17 specimens collected between 1854 and 1924 to reconstruct demography and compare levels of genomic erosion between extirpated British and extant European mainland populations. We contrast these results using modern samples of the Common Blue butterfly (*Polyommatus icarus*); a species with relatively stable demographic trends in Great Britain. We provide evidence for bottlenecks in both these species around the period of post-glacial colonization of the British Isles. Our results reveal different demographic histories and N_e for both species, consistent with their fates in Britain, likely driven by differences in life history, ecology and genome size. Despite a difference, by an order of magnitude, in historical effective population sizes (N_e), reduction in genome-wide heterozygosity in *A. crataegi* was comparable to that in *P. icarus*. Symptomatic of *A. crataegi*'s disappearance were marked increases in runs-of-homozygosity (RoH), potentially indicative of recent inbreeding, and accumulation of putatively mildly and weakly deleterious variants. Our results provide a rare glimpse of genomic erosion in a regionally extinct insect and support the potential use of genomic erosion metrics in identifying invertebrate populations or species in decline.

KEYWORDS

Aporia crataegi, genetic diversity, genetic load, genomic erosion, historical DNA, inbreeding

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons [Attribution](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/) License, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. © 2024 The Author(s). *Molecular Ecology* published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd.

2 of 16 [|] WHITLA et al.

1 | **INTRODUCTION**

Global extinction rates have increased over the last 500 years (Barnosky et al., [2011](#page-12-0); Ceballos et al., [2015;](#page-12-1) Cowie et al., [2022](#page-12-2)), accelerating over the last 100 years with circa 25% of species recently assessed by the IUCN are now threatened with extinction (IPBES, [2019](#page-13-0)). In Great Britain, *c*. 16.1% of assessed flora and fauna species (10,008) are classified as threatened, and 146 species have gone extinct (with 52 species 'likely extinct') since 1500 (Burns et al., [2023](#page-12-3)). Invertebrates count for 97% of all animals globally and are a major component of terrestrial biodiversity with important roles in ecosystem functioning. Assessments for many invertebrates are data deficient, precluding accurate determination of their status (Karam-Gemael et al., [2020](#page-13-1)). Reasons for insufficient data include underfunding for less charismatic and economically important groups (Cardoso & Leather, [2019](#page-12-4)) and difficulties in sampling and identification (Wagner et al., [2021](#page-14-0)).

Among insects, butterflies are one of the best-studied groups (for example, Dincă et al., [2015](#page-12-5); Middleton-Welling et al., [2020](#page-14-1); Thomas, [2005](#page-14-2); Warren, [1992](#page-14-3); Warren et al., [2021](#page-14-4)), can be used as bioindicators of environmental and climate change (Dennis et al., [2003](#page-12-6); Hill et al., [2021](#page-13-2)) and serve as an important invertebrate model for communicating science to society (Preston et al., [2021](#page-14-5)). Since the 1970s, standardized and systematic monitoring of butterflies across Europe has provided a comprehensive and continuous record of changes in biodiversity. Compared to most of continental Europe, a larger proportion of UK butterflies are now either extirpated (4 of 62 species) or considered as critically endangered or vulnerable (19/62) (Fox et al., [2022](#page-13-3)).

By quantifying genomic erosion, conservation genomics can be a valuable tool in conservation, helping identify vulnerable populations and informing translocations and/or breeding programmes (Bosse & van Loon, [2022](#page-12-7); Díez-del-Molino et al., [2018](#page-12-8)). Metrics for genomic erosion, associated with reductions of fitness (Bosse & van Loon, [2022](#page-12-7)), aim to estimate genetic diversity, effective population size (N_e), levels of inbreeding and genetic load. All have a strong theoretical basis to be correlated with and potentially exacerbate extinction risk in small and vulnerable populations (Charlesworth & Willis, [2009](#page-12-9); Gomulkiewicz & Holt, [1995](#page-13-4); Lynch, Conery, & Bürger, [1995](#page-13-5)). Negative impact of inbreeding depression in small populations is well established (Mattila et al., [2012](#page-14-6); Neaves et al., [2015](#page-14-7); Rowe et al., [2017](#page-14-8); Saccheri et al., [1998](#page-14-9)), but the utility of such metrics of, particularly those relying solely on genome-wide, putatively neutral genetic markers in predicting extinction risk is currently under debate (Dussex et al., [2023](#page-13-6); Kardos et al., [2016](#page-13-7); Teixeira & Huber, [2021](#page-14-10)). A better understanding is emerging from the accumulation of genomic data of small and threatened populations using whole genomes to estimate multiple metrics of genomic erosion simultaneously (Bosse & van Loon, [2022](#page-12-7); DeWoody et al., [2021](#page-12-10); Dussex et al., [2023](#page-13-6)), but is largely limited to examples from terrestrial vertebrates, and our understanding of genomic erosion in insects is far more limited (Webster et al., [2023](#page-14-11); but see Bortoluzzi et al., [2023](#page-12-11); de-Dios et al., [2023](#page-12-12)). Insects can have markedly higher population sizes and different life histories, compared to vertebrates, and it is unclear how this would impact readouts of genetic diversity,

effective population size (N_e), levels of inbreeding and genetic load in threatened insect populations. Comparative analyses of genomic erosion between endangered and stable or expanding insect populations could prove extremely useful for detecting insect declines or identifying vulnerable species or populations from small or limited samples and for determining effective metrics to identify these in insects.

Here, we examine trends of genomic erosion in *Aporia crataegi* (L.) (Black-veined White butterfly), which was extirpated from the British Isles *c*. 1925 (Pratt, [1983](#page-14-12)), contrasting these with populations in continental Europe. We also provide a comparison between British and continental European populations of *Polyommatus icarus* (Rott.) (Common Blue butterfly); a species that has exhibited little change in long-term population trends ([https://www.gov.uk/government/](https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/butterflies-in-the-wider-countryside-uk) [statistics/butterflies-in-the-wider-countryside-uk](https://www.gov.uk/government/statistics/butterflies-in-the-wider-countryside-uk)). *A. crataegi* is widespread throughout much of Europe, Asia and north Africa. It has recently been lost from the Netherlands, Czechia (van Swaay et al., [2010](#page-14-13)) and South Korea (Kim et al., [2015](#page-13-8)) and is declining in other European countries (van Swaay et al., [2010](#page-14-13)). Prior to extinction in Britain, it was restricted to southern and central England and Wales, and there is evidence that populations were in decline from the mid to late 19th century (Dale, [1887](#page-12-13); Pratt, [1983](#page-14-12); South, [1906](#page-14-14)) and was primarily only found in southeast England by the end of the 19th century. Its decline in Europe is typically attributed to habitat loss, but this does not seem to be the case for its extirpation in Britain (MacLachlan, [1893](#page-14-15)) with pesticide use, parasites, microbial or fungal pathogens and predation by small birds all being suggested as potential reasons instead (reviewed in Pratt, [1983](#page-14-12)). Numerous 'accidental' releases, recorded as early as the 19th century (Pratt, [1983](#page-14-12)), from butterfly enthusiasts to reintroduce the species from continental European stock have been made, but none have been successful for establishment of the species.

To examine whether *A. crataegi* in Britain exhibited any symptoms of genomic erosion, we sequenced historical specimens of *A. crataegi* from Britain and continental Europe dating from 1854 to 1924. We specifically addressed whether the British *A. crataegi* were distinct from continental Europe based on genetic structuring and demographic history prior to 10 Kya. We examine individual heterozygosity, runs-of-homozygosity ((RoH), a genomic measure of inbreeding) and genetic load to explain the vulnerability of the British Isles populations prior to extinction and contextualize these findings by comparison with corresponding analyses of the relatively stable British and continental European *P. icarus*.

2 | **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

2.1 | **Historical** *A. crataegi* **specimen selection**

Seventeen *A. crataegi* specimens from Britain (GB), Belgium and France (Figure [1a,](#page-2-0) Table [S1](#page-15-0)) were sampled from collections at Oxford University Museum of Natural History (OUMNH), Oxford, England, and the Natural History Museum (NHM), London, England. Specimen dates ranged from 1854 to 1924. For comparison, we only used

museum samples of continental populations to avoid any potential bias stemming from comparing degraded historical DNA with modern DNA. Up to two legs per specimen were taken from pinned samples for DNA extraction, although in some cases only a single leg was available.

2.2 | **Extraction, library preparation and sequencing of historical DNA**

DNA extractions were undertaken on a laboratory bench used only for historical DNA (hDNA) extractions to prevent contamination

FIGURE 1 (a) Collection locations (based on museum records) of historical *A. crataegi* specimens (circles) and of modern *P. icarus* (triangles). NHM prefix refers to specimens obtained from Natural History Museum, London, and OX for those obtained from Oxford University Natural History Museum, Oxford. (b) Principal component analysis (PCA) for *A. crataegi* SNPs. The PCA is based on 14,930 LD-pruned SNPs based on hard genotype calls. A PCA using genotype likelihoods shows a similar pattern (Figure [S3\)](#page-15-1). Asterisks indicate UK-collected specimens that have clustered with European specimens. (c) PCA for *P. icarus* specimens based on 197,182 LD-pruned SNPs showing similar differentiation between British and European specimens. Inset images: A pinned *A. crataegi* specimen and live *P. icarus* in their respective plots.

4 of 16 | WII FY-MOLECULAR ECOLOGY | WHITLA ET AL.

from modern DNA. Extraction blanks were prepared alongside samples to monitor potential contamination. Legs of specimens were fully macerated using a Tissuelyser II bead mill (Qiagen) and extracted using a QIAamp DNA Micro kit (Qiagen) using the standard protocol with a final elution volume of 20 μL. Total DNA yield was measured using a Qubit 4 Fluorometer (Life Technologies) with a Qubit dsDNA HS Assay Kit. Eluted DNA was stored in Eppendorf DNA LoBind Tubes at −20°C until library preparation.

Genomic library preparation of hDNA was performed using the NEBNext Ultra II DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina. A CUT&RUN (Liu, [2019](#page-13-9)) protocol was used, modified for use with low-yield samples and samples with short fragment sizes. NEBNext® Dual Index Multiplex Oligos for Illumina (Set 1) Primers were used to construct multiplexed libraries. SPRIselect beads (Beckman Coulter) were used for size exclusion post primer ligation at 1.75x or 2.2x concentration.

The number of PCR cycles used for amplification was dependent on the input DNA quantity; 12 cycles for samples <5 ng and 10 cycles for samples \geq 5 ng. Libraries were then purified with 1.2 \times SPRIselect beads to remove adapter dimer. Library enrichment was performed using either a Q5U® Hot Start High-Fidelity DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs) or the NEBNext Ultra II Q5DNA polymerase (Table [S1\)](#page-15-0). We did not attempt to repair the hDNA template prior to library preparation. However, the NEBNext Ultra II DNA Library Prep Kit makes use of USER enzyme during the adapter ligation step. This excises Uracil bases from the ligated adapters and is required when using NEBNext adapters, but in case of hDNA template with post-mortem deamination damage, the enzyme will also excise Uracil bases on the 5′ end of the hDNA template. With subsequent paired-end Illumina sequencing this results in partial repair with 5′ ends exhibiting little to no signs of historical damage whereas the damage profile on 3′ ends reflects typical post-mortem DNA damage.

Quality control for the libraries was performed using a Qubit 4 Fluorometer (Life Technologies) and an Agilent Bioanalyser, using the Agilent High Sensitivity DNA analysis Chip Kit. Sample libraries were pooled equimolarly, with extraction blanks pooled at a 1:10 molar ratio in proportion to sample libraries. Sequencing was performed using the NovaSeq Illumina platform using a 150 base pair (bp) paired-end (150PE) strategy at Novogene (Cambridge).

2.3 | **Sampling modern specimens of** *P. icarus*

Four individuals of *P. icarus* were collected from across the British Isles and an additional three from Averyon, France, between 2017 and 2018 (Table [S2](#page-15-0), Figure [1a](#page-2-0)), and DNA extraction and further de-tails of these samples are as described in Arif et al. ([2021](#page-12-14)). DNA was normalized to 10 ng/uL, and library preparation and sequencing, to a theoretical coverage of $15-50\times$ (Table [S2\)](#page-15-0), was performed using the NovaSeq Illumina platform with a 150PE strategy at Novogene (Cambridge).

2.4 | **Data processing**

The GenErode pipeline v0.4.2 (Kutschera et al., [2022](#page-13-10)), suitable for processing both modern and historical short-read data, was used to trim and process all modern and historical sequence data along with highly contiguous reference genomes for *A. crataegi* (Ebdon et al., [2022](#page-13-11)) or *P. icarus* (Lohse, [2023](#page-13-12)). Both these reference genome assemblies are high quality, produced from single male specimens, with an assembled Z chromosome (Lepidopteran males are commonly the homogametic sex). The *A. crataegi* assembly is ~230 Megabases (Mb) long and is scaffolded into 26 pseudochromosomes and a Z chromosome. The *P. icarus* assembly is ~512 Mb scaffolded into 23 pseudochromosomes and a Z.

FastQC v0.11.9 (Andrews et al., [2010](#page-12-15)) and fastp v0.20.1 (Chen et al., [2018](#page-12-16)) were used to trim adapters and merge paired sequences (for historical samples). Trimmed reads were aligned to reference genomes using BWA aln for hDNA and BWA mem for modern data (Li & Durbin, [2009](#page-13-13)) with default settings in the GenErode pipeline. Postmapping, any samples sequenced across multiple lanes were merged and PCR duplicates removed. MapDamage v2.0 (Jónsson et al., [2013](#page-13-14)) was used to estimate damage in historical samples and rescale base quality scores. Next, GATK IndelRealigner (van der Auwera & O'Connor, [2020](#page-14-16)) was used to remap any reads around indels to improve mapping accuracy. For the modern, *P. icarus* data, all resulting BAM files were subsampled to $10\times$ to mitigate the influence of varying coverage on heterozygous calls. These BAM files were processed further to call variants and reconstruct historical demography. For the latter, we used full coverage data for the modern *P. icarus* samples.

Variants calls were made on a per-sample basis using Bcftools mpileup (Danecek et al., [2021](#page-12-17)) with a minimum depth threshold of one third of average coverage (with an absolute minimum of 3×) and a maximum of ten times the average coverage while minimum mapping quality and minimum base quality were both set to 30 (−Q 30 −q 30). Additional filters as a part of the GenErode pipeline, included an allelic imbalance filter for heterozygous sites, removal of variants in repetitive regions of the genome and removal of variants in CpG regions. A final VCF was generated that consisted of only biallelic single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) and genotypes with no more than 10% missing data across all samples (historical *A. crataegi* samples, containing 305,394 SNPs) or no missing data (modern *P. icarus* data, containing 5,656,704 SNPs). SNPs from these VCFs were used for downstream analyses unless otherwise stated. Our configuration files for the GenErode pipeline are available at [https://github.com/](https://github.com/rmwhitla/BVWpaper) [rmwhitla/BVWpaper](https://github.com/rmwhitla/BVWpaper).

2.5 | **Population structure**

Population structure of both the historical *A. crataegi* and modern *P. icarus* samples were compared using principal component analysis (PCA) based on filtered genotypes in VCF format. Before PCA, any SNPs on the Z chromosome were filtered out. Furthermore, we pruned the remaining SNP sets for linkage disequilibrium over windows of 10 kilobases with a step size of 10 bases and an r^2 of .1

using Plink 1.9 (Purcell et al., [2007](#page-14-17)) resulting in a total of 14,930 (*A. crataegi*) or 197,182 (*P. icarus*) SNPs. PCA was performed using Plink v1.9 with a minor allele frequency (MAF) threshold of 10% (historical *A. crataegi*) or 15% (modern *P. icarus*).

Given the low and variable coverage (2–13×) of the historical *A*. *crataegi* samples, we also performed PCA based on genotype likelihoods, which accounts for statistical uncertainty in called genotypes arising from low coverage data (Korneliussen et al., [2014](#page-13-15)). Genotype likelihoods were calculated using ANGSD (Korneliussen et al., [2014](#page-13-15)) from BAM files produced as part of the GenErode pipeline with a minimum mapping quality at 30 and minimum base quality at 20 (-minMapQ 30 -minQ 20) filtering only for the same sites used with the PCA for hard genotype calls. Pcangsd (Meisner & Albrechtsen, [2018](#page-14-18)) was used for the PCA of genotype likelihoods with a minimum allele frequency of 10% (−minMaf 0.1).

2.6 | **Historical demography reconstruction**

We used the Pairwise Sequentially Markovian Coalescent model as implemented in PSMC v0.6.5 (Li & Durbin, [2011](#page-13-16)) to determine if British populations of *A. crataegi* and *P. icarus* exhibit similar trends of historical change in effective population size (N_a) following permanent colonization of the British Isles after the Younger Dryas *c*.11.5 Kya and permanent flooding of the Channel *c*. 9 Kya (Dennis, [1992](#page-12-18)). As input for PSMC, we generated a consensus fastq file from BAM files, using only samples with average coverage greater than 5×. Consensus fastq files were generated with Bcftools pileup and vcfutils.pl, filtering positions with minimum depth less than 5x or maximum depth greater than 100x in A. *crataegi* and with minimum depth less than 5× or maximum depth greater than 500× in *P. icarus*. Additionally, any positions in repeat masked regions or CpG regions (historical samples only) or Z chromosomes were also removed. PSMC was run with: number of iterations -*N* = 25, maximum coalescent time -*t*= 8 for *A. crataegi* or *t*= 9 fpr *P. icarus* and the atomic time interval (−p) at 66 (for *A. crataegi*: 4 + 25*2 + 3 + 9) and 66 (for *P. icarus*: 27*2 + 4 + 8). The atomic time interval and maximum coalescent time were chosen to avoid overfitting by ensuring that at least 10 recombination events occurred over each interval after the 20th iteration of PSMC (Li & Durbin, [2011](#page-13-16)). To account for low coverage and stochastic loss of heterozygosity, the initial theta/rho ratio parameter for each sample was calculated based on false-negative rates (FNR) corresponding to the coverage of that sample. FNR for different coverages were determined based on the recommendation of Li and Durbin ([2011](#page-13-16)) (<https://github.com/lh3/psmc>) and as implemented by Sarabia et al. ([2021](#page-14-19)). To obtain FNR estimated for *A. crataegi*, we used a subsampled version of a publicly available high coverage (23×) modern *A. crataegi* (accession: SRR7948941) specimen from Japan that was sequentially subsampled to $5x$, $8x$, $10x$, $15x$ and 20×. For FNR correction for low coverage *P. icarus* samples, we used the same subsampling strategy as for *A. crataegi* using one of our high coverage samples (DGCM100, coverage: >50×).

 <u>MHITLA ET AL. 5 of 16 **b** $\overline{MOLECULAR ECOLOGY - WII}$ **F** \overline{Y} </u>

The initial theta/rho (−*r*) parameter for PSMC was then set to 5/ (1-FNR). To visualize the variability in our PSMC estimates, we ran 100 bootstraps for each sample over 5 Mb segments using the same initial parameters as the original run for each sample.

To scale population size and times resulting from the PSMC analysis, we used a direct estimate of spontaneous mutation rate of 2.9 × 10−9 from *Heliconius melpomene* (Keightley et al., [2015](#page-13-17)). For *A. crataegi*, which is univoltine in the geographic range our samples came from (Solonkin et al., [2021](#page-14-20)), we used 1 generation per year. For *P. icarus*, we used 2 generations per year, although the number of broods is variable in this species (one in Scotland but two or three in Southern England and parts of Europe). We tested the sensitivity of the PSMC curves for *P. icarus* by changing the generation time to 1 and 3 broods per year.

2.7 | **Genetic diversity**

Genome-wide heterozygosity was estimated using ANGSD, which utilizes a genotype likelihood approach that is more suitable for low coverage data (Korneliussen et al., [2014](#page-13-15)). We calculated genotype likelihoods as described above (PCA using genotype likelihoods), except in this case no LD pruning was performed. To estimate global heterozygosity, we calculated the unfolded site frequency spectrum (SFS) using the realSFS function in ANGSD.

2.8 | Inbreeding and contemporary N_c

We estimated individual inbreeding coefficients based on the detection of the number and size of Runs of Homozygosity (RoH). RoH were calculated using Plink v1.9 (Purcell et al., [2007](#page-14-17)). We used a sliding window size of 100 SNPs (homozyg-window-snp 100). The maximum number of heterozygotes per window was 2 (homozygwindow-het 2). Minimum SNP count for each RoH was 25 (homozygsnp 25) and the minimum size was set to 50 kb (homozyg-kb 50). We allowed 10 missing sites per window (intermediate filtering - homozyg-window-missing 10). For the maximum number of heterozygote sites within a RoH, we allowed 3 (homozyg-het3). Using these results, $F_{R\diamond H}$, the inbreeding coefficient, was determined using the overall proportion of the autosomal genome in RoH. Results from Plink were summarized in R v.4.3.0 (R Core Team, [2023](#page-14-21)) using the package DetectRuns (Biscarini et al., [2018](#page-12-19)). F_{ROH} was estimated for RoH ≥100 Kilobases (Kb), RoH ≥500 Kb or RoH ≥1 Megabase (Mb) for samples with average coverage ≥5×. RoH ≥100 Kb and less than 1 Mb may result from background inbreeding due to drift whereas RoH ≥1 Mb result from recent consanguineous mating (Ceballos et al., [2018](#page-12-20)).

Inference of N_e from PSMC is limited over the last 10 Kya, but the length and distributions of RoHs can be informative of more recent *N_e*. To compare our historical estimates of *N_e* (~10 Kya) estimated from PSMC with those observed from RoH, we calculated the probability of observing at least one $RoH = 1Mb$, in a genome

6 of 16 | WII FY-MOLECULAR ECOLOGY | WHITLA ET AL.

of size 230 Mb (*A. crataegi*) or 512 Mb (*P. icarus*). Assuming a neutrally evolving Wright-Fisher population, the probability of a randomly chosen region of length 10⁶ bases being an ROH can be computed as the probability that pairwise coalescence happens before either sequence recombines (Hudson, [1990;](#page-13-18) Mackintosh et al., [2023](#page-14-22)):

$$
P(RoH = 1Mb) \approx \frac{\frac{1}{2N_e}}{\left(\frac{1}{2N_e} + 2 * 10^6 r\right)}
$$

where N_e was based on the PSMC estimate at ~10 Kya and *r* represents the recombination rate and was set to 20 times 2.9×10^{-9} (the spontaneous mutation rate). This choice of recombination rate is empirically plausible for butterflies which can be 20-fold higher than the mutation rate (e.g. Jiggins et al., [2005;](#page-13-19) Palahí i Torres et al., [2023](#page-14-23)).

2.9 | **Genetic load estimation**

To estimate the number of deleterious mutations or the genetic load we used snpEff (Cingolani et al., [2012](#page-12-21)) to annotate genetic variants of high impact (likely highly disruptive to protein, e.g. loss of function (LoF) variants), moderate impact (e.g. missense variants) and low impact (synonymous, likely harmless but may be weakly deleterious under strong codon bias), as well as modifier (non-coding) variants. We focused on annotated variants within coding regions (LoF, missense or synonymous). snpEFF only predicts the potential phenotypic impact of the variants, and we lack any prior knowledge of the selection or dominance coefficients for these variants.

For the analysis of genetic load, we only used samples with $\geq 5 \times$ average depth and no missing data across samples. This resulted in 179,135 SNPs for historical *A. crataegi* and 5,656,704 SNPs for *P. icarus*. Gene annotations (as GTF files) for both species were retrieved from Ensembl's Darwin Tree of Life data portal ([https://proje](https://projects.ensembl.org/darwin-tree-of-life/) [cts.ensembl.org/darwin-tree-of-life/](https://projects.ensembl.org/darwin-tree-of-life/)). Both annotations were gen-erated by the Ensembl Gene Annotation System (Aken et al., [2017](#page-12-22)) optimized for non-vertebrates. The annotation for *A. crataegi* (Ebdon et al., [2022](#page-13-11)) is based on a single male from Catalunya, Spain, and the annotation for *P. icarus* (Lohse, [2023](#page-13-12)) is based on a single male from Scotland.

We also determined the relative excess in the frequency of shared derived alleles (ignoring the impact of de novo mutations) across the LoF, missense and synonymous categories in both species. We used an approach previously described by Xue et al. ([2015](#page-14-24)) as implemented by van der Valk et al. ([2019](#page-14-25)). For each variant category we calculate a ratio R_{xy} that is a measure of shared derived alleles with a higher frequency in population X (British) compared to population Y (European). An R_{xy} >1 implies a relative increase in frequency in population and X compared to Y, whereas ≤ 1 implies a relative decrease in X relative to Y. To estimate confidence intervals for our R_{xx} ratios we used a jackknife approach dropping a single chromosome at a time.

2.10 | **Statistical analysis**

For all statistical analysis between historical specimens of *A. crataegi* we used a linear model with average coverage as a covariate to account for variation in coverage between specimens. Marginal means of all estimates for each group were estimated using the R package emmeans (Lenth, [2023](#page-13-20)). For *P. icarus*, statistical comparisons were made using Welch's two sample t-tests. All statistical comparisons were performed in R v.4.3.0 (R Core Team, [2023](#page-14-21)).

3 | **RESULTS**

3.1 | **Resequencing of hDNA**

The final sequencing depth of the 17 historical *A. crataegi* specimens varied between $2x$ and $13x$, with higher mean coverage of European samples (10.13×) compared to British specimens (6.03×) and sequencing depth varied from $2 \times$ to $13 \times$ (Table [S1](#page-15-0)). The average proportion of the genome sequenced to a depth of 5× or greater was 50.77% (Table [S1\)](#page-15-0).

Post-mortem degradation was apparent in the 3′ ends of our historical reads (Figure [S1\)](#page-15-1) as an increase in G > A transversions. The 5′ ends of our fragments show little to no sign of historical damage due to the application of the USER enzyme during library preparation. Mean fragment length of sequencing reads, after trimming and merging reads, was 49 bp with average fragment length per sample ranging from 44 to 65 bp (Table [S1](#page-15-0)). There was a significant positive correlation between mean fragment length and age of sample (Figure [S2\)](#page-15-1) with fragment lengths increasing closer to more recent times (Mullin et al., [2023](#page-14-26)).

In our extraction blanks (BL1 and BL2), 0.49% and 0.43% of reads mapped to the *A. crataegi* genome respectively. In the blanks, 0.2% of bases had $1\times$ coverage, and 0% of bases had higher coverage. The average read lengths in the two blanks were 91 and 97. Overall, analysis of the mapping profile of the blanks suggests no evidence for cross-contamination during DNA extraction and library preparation of the historical samples.

3.2 | **Spatial genetic structuring between Great British and European mainland butterflies**

A PCA using LD-pruned SNPs of all historical *A. crataegi* specimens identifies spatial genetic structuring across historical samples regardless of collection date (Figure [1b](#page-2-0)). A PCA based on genotype likelihoods (Figure [S3](#page-15-1)), undertaken because of low to moderate coverage of the historic specimens, yielded visually similar results to the one based on hard genotype calls (Figure [1b](#page-2-0)).

PC1 explained 10.8% of the variation, while PC2 explained 8.6% of variation. Spatial genetic structuring is apparent along PC1 with European samples tightly clustering on one end with negative values for PC1, whereas points with greater values of PC1 represent two specimens from range margins (Wales; see Figure [S3](#page-15-1) for a labelled PCA, but note the axes are reversed) but also include a sample with unknown origins (K05L) and one specimen from Kent (NHM026). In contrast to the geographical cline apparent along PC1, there is no clear cline present along PC2, but the points with the most extreme values represent the most recent specimens from Kent (NHM928 and NHM019). *P. icarus* exhibit similar structuring along PC1 with the southern England sample (Figure [1c](#page-2-0)) sorting in between the European and marginal Scottish samples. Overall, sorting of specimens along PC1 for both species reveals a tight clustering among European butterflies and more geographic structuring within GB samples.

Three historical *A. crataegi* specimens (NHM898, NHM027 and NHM918; Figure [1b](#page-2-0)) labelled as GB clustered closer to French and Belgium samples rather than the GB samples. Rather than a case of mislabelling, these specimens may be potential European migrants or European specimens released in Britain. The latter was not an uncommon practice from the late 19th to early 20th century (Pratt, [1983](#page-14-12)). For subsequent analyses, these three samples are grouped with the other mainland European samples.

3.3 | **Demographic reconstruction**

Historical demographic reconstruction of *A. crataegi* using PSMC shows similar trajectories of effective population size (N_e; Figures [2a](#page-7-0) and [S4](#page-15-1)) for both British and European samples up to the last glacial maximum (LGM, *c*. 22 to 17 Kya). British *A. crataegi* show a marked decrease in N_e around the LGM and Younger Dryas (12.8-11.5 Kya). A similar pattern of trajectories is also observed in *P. icarus* (Figures [2b](#page-7-0) and [S5\)](#page-15-1), but the divergence in N_e appears to happen earlier, just before the LGM, but this trend is sensitive to the number of generations per year (Figure [S6](#page-15-1)). Both reconstructions indicate British A. crataegi and P. icarus show reduced N_e before or around 12 Kya.

Approximation of *N_e* at the time of the Younger Dryas (~10 Kya) are 3.87 $\times 10^4$ for A. crataegi and [2](#page-7-0).14 $\times 10^5$ for P. icarus (Figure 2). We note that although we used higher coverage samples to estimate the FNR for low coverage samples, for samples below 8× (*A. crataegi*) or 14x (*P. icarus*) we could not recover N_e estimated for the high coverage data before 50 Kya (Figures [S7](#page-15-1) and [S8](#page-15-1)). However, this discrepancy was limited to the magnitude of N_e and not the trajectories themselves.

3.4 | **Estimates of heterozygosity**

Individual genome-wide heterozygosity (Figure [3a,b](#page-8-0)) rates were lower for British samples for both *A. crataegi* and *P. icarus*. Heterozygosity between British and European *A. crataegi* was sig-nificantly different (F_{1,14}=25.21, p=.000187, Figure [3a](#page-8-0)), after accounting for variability in sample coverage. British *A. crataegi* exhibited an average reduction of 17.9% in heterozygosity relative to

 WHITLA et al. **[|] 7 of 16**

1.962.94% on the created with the company with the set with the set of DVD/2024). See the terms and Conditions (improving the set with your properties on the properties of the set of the set of the set of the set of the se 365294x, 0, Downloaded from https://onlineibrary.wiley.com/do/10.1111/mec.17318 by Test, Wiley Online Library on [20092024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlineibrary.wiley.com/terms s-and-conditions) on Wiley Online Library for rules of use; OA articles are governed by the applicable Creative Commons License

European samples. Heterozygosity was also significantly different between the British and French *P. icarus* (*t =* 9.26, *degrees of freedom* $(df) = 3.52$, $p = .001356$; Figure [3b](#page-8-0)) samples, with an average reduction of 14.5%.

3.5 | **Inbreeding and concordance of historical and recent N_c**

For detecting RoHs we used three thresholds: ≥ 100 kb, ≥ 500 kb or ≥1 Mb. British *A. crataegi* showed a much higher proportion of the genome in RoH (7.6% in ≥100 Kb, 3.2% in ≥500 kb and 1.6% in ≥1 Mb; Figure [3c](#page-8-0)) than European *A. crataegi* (0.6% in ≥100 Kb, 0.2% in ≥500 kb, and 0.1% in ≥1 Mb; Figure [3c](#page-8-0)). Additionally, the differences in all RoH categories were significantly different (≥ 100 Kb: *F*_{1,10} = 43.84, *p* = .00005919; ≥ 500*kb*: *F*_{1,10} = 28.47 *p* = .00033; ≥ 1 MB: *F*1,10= 16.69, *p*= .002918) with all British *A. crataegi* harbouring at least one RoH ≥1 Mb (Figure [S9](#page-15-1)), whereas only one of seven among European specimens harboured an RoH ≥1 Mb. In contrast, British *P. icarus* had a relatively smaller proportion (1.9%) of its genome in RoHs ≥100 Kb, 0.2% in ≥500 Kb, and none in regions ≥1 Mb (Figure [3d](#page-8-0)), while French *P. icarus* had negligible RoHs in ≥100 Kb (0.03%) and none in ≥500 kb or ≥1 Mb. The difference in in RoH segments ≥100 Kb between British and French *P. icarus* was marginally significant (*t*= −3.15, *df*= 3, *p*= .0507; Figure [3d](#page-8-0)).

Given a historical effective population size of 3.87×10^4 for A. *crataegi*, the probability of observing at least one RoH ≥1 Mb in a genome of 230 Mb is 0.051. Given that all British *A. crataegi* harbour at least one RoH ≥1 Mb (Figure [S9\)](#page-15-1) this could indicate a lower recent *N_c* consistent with more recent inbreeding. With a historical N_e of 2.14 $\times 10^5$, the same probability for *P*. icarus was 0.021 respectively, consistent with this historical *N_e*, no RoH ≥1 Mb were observed.

3.6 | **Estimation of genetic load**

In *A. crataegi* (Figure [4a](#page-9-0)), the mean number of LoF variants was not significantly different $(F_{1,10} = 2.8, p = .125)$ between the British (17.5) and European specimens (20.2). However, the total numbers of missense and synonymous were significantly lower in British *A. crataegi* (Figure [4b,c](#page-9-0)). In *P. icarus*, all variant classes were significantly lower in British samples (Figure [4c,d](#page-9-0)). These are indicative of either no change (LoF) or reduction (missense and synonymous) in genetic load in British specimens.

Realized genetic load (deleterious variants exposed in homozygosity, assuming most deleterious variants are partially recessive) was determined by comparing the predicted variant classes in homozygous (Figure [S10a–c](#page-15-1)) state. For *A. crataegi* there was no significant increase in homozygosity for LoF and missense classes, but there was a statistically significant increase in synonymous variants $(F_{1,10} = 6.37, p = .03)$ between the British specimens (893) and European (864) specimens. British *P. icarus* show no

FIGURE 2 (a) PSMC plot for *A. crataegi* specimens, showing changing N_e over time and population divergence between a GB specimen (blue curve) and a European specimen (yellow curve). All *A. crataegi* specimens' PSMC curves are shown in S4. (b) PSMC for modern *P. icarus* specimens, with a GB specimen (blue curve) and a European specimen (yellow curve). Divergence timing is shown as before the LGM due to interval settings during the PSMC run (−p). EEM, Eemian Interglacial Period; LGM, Last Glacial Maximum; YD, Younger Dryas.

significant change in homozygosity across all three variant classes (Figure [S11\)](#page-15-1).

Genetic load in terms of derived alleles for LoF variants was not significantly different between British *A. crataegi* and mainland specimens albeit with a trend of decrease in the British specimens (Figure [5a](#page-10-0)). However, there was a statistically significant increase in frequencies of missense and synonymous variants in British specimens relative to mainland specimens. Shared derived alleles in British *P. icarus* (Figure [5b](#page-10-0)) exhibit a significant decrease in frequencies of

missense and synonymous variants, but no statistically significant change in LoF frequency.

4 | **DISCUSSION**

Our extraction of historic DNA was contaminant free, and we obtained short fragment lengths which decreased in length with specimen age, a degradation pattern consistent with other studies using

FIGURE 3 (a) Individual heterozygosity rates in *A. crataegi* specimens from Europe and GB, calculated using ANGSD. Dashed lines show heterozygosity difference between Europe and GB. Significance codes: n.s. = not significant, **p*< .05, ***p*< .01, ****p*< .001. (b) Individual heterozygosity rates in *P. icarus* specimens from Europe and GB. (c) RoH in *A. crataegi* specimens from Europe and GB. Open bars show the total proportion of the genome in RoH ≥100 KB, light grey bars show RoH ≥ 500 kb and dark grey bars show proportions in RoH ≥1 MB. (d) RoH in *P. icarus* European and GB specimens. Dashed lines in (c) and (d) show significance of difference between European and GB specimens.

historical DNA (e.g. Mullin et al., [2023](#page-14-26)). We used these historical genomes of *A. crataegi* to provide a genomic perspective on its extirpation from the British Isles in the early 20th century and their post-glacial colonization history in Britain. We complemented our analysis with a similar approach using *P. icarus*, a butterfly with longterm stable population size within the British Isles. Our results also provide genomic insights into the extirpation of *A. crataegi* and the utility of genomic erosion metrics to identify vulnerable insect populations. This approach should be applicable to other insect species

4.1 | **Different demographic histories of** *A. crataegi* **and** *P. icarus* **in Britain**

Our population structure analysis (Figure [1b,c](#page-2-0)) and PSMC-based demographic reconstruction (Figure [2](#page-7-0)) suggest that British populations of both *A. crataegi* and *P. icarus* are genetically distinct from their continental European counterparts. However, there was evidence of strong structuring within the British populations (Figure [1b,c](#page-2-0)). Structuring apparent within the British specimens could result from recent gene flow with European mainland populations or from sequential founder events and isolation by distance following colonization and subsequent founder events or a combination of these two hypotheses. Gene flow and/or sequential founder events are a likely explanation for the structuring observed in *P. icarus* as has been suggested by Arif et al. ([2021](#page-12-14)). However, for *A. crataegi*, the consistency of demographic reconstructions (Figures [2](#page-7-0) and [S4\)](#page-15-1), genome-wide heterozygosity (Figure [2a](#page-7-0)), RoHs (Figure [2c](#page-7-0)) and genetic load (Figure [3a–c](#page-8-0)) among the British specimens does not favour a hypothesis of gene flow or admixture from the continent.

The post-glacial colonization of the British Isles by *A. crataegi* and *P. icarus* are likely to have been different (Figure [2](#page-7-0)), based on their differing resource requirements (Middleton-Welling et al., [2020](#page-14-1)) and climate tolerances (Dennis, [1992](#page-12-18)). *A. crataegi* uses wood edge shrub and tree species as hostplants and is primarily a species associated with grassland edge/scrub matrices and requires relatively warm summer temperatures while *P. icarus* is associated with pioneer or persistent short grassland locations in which its hostplants occur (De Keyser et al., [2012;](#page-12-23) Howe et al., [2007](#page-13-22)) and is tolerant of lower temperatures than *A. crataegi* (Dennis, [1992](#page-12-18)). Conditions in Britain and the adjacent European mainland during the Younger Dryas would not have permitted the occurrence of *A. crataegi*, and it is most likely to have colonized Britain in the short period from the late Boreal to the elimination of channel land c.11.5–8.5 Kya (Dennis, [1992](#page-12-18)), probably arriving from mid-European latitudes. By contrast, *P. icarus* may have persisted in sheltered southern valleys further north than *A. crataegi* during the Younger Dryas, possibly in what is currently southern Britain, northern France and possibly channel land, having originally reached southern Britain as early as the late Glacial period (c.14.5–13.5 Kya) (Dennis, [1992](#page-12-18)). Thus, gene flow and admixture during the colonization period are more likely for *P. icarus* than for *A. crataegi*. For *P. icarus* it is also possible that there were at least two colonization periods, with good evidence for mixing of different lineages from distinct glacial refugia (Arif et al., [2021](#page-12-14)). Furthermore, admixture and gene flow are likely to be higher in *P. icarus* because of its multivoltine life history, compared to the univoltine strategy of *A.*

FIGURE 4 (a–c) Total LoF, Missense and Synonymous variants found using snpEff in historic *A. crataegi* (A.c.) specimens. (d–f) Total LoF, Missense and Synonymous variants found in *P. icarus*. Dashed lines show difference between Europe and GB. Significance codes: n.s. = not significant, **p*< .05, ***p*< .01, ****p*< .001. A.c: Linear model with average coverage as a covariate, P.i. Welch's two sample t-test.

crataegi which reduces the number of generations over which these can occur over any time period.

Our PSMC-based demographic reconstructions and species life histories explain changes of effective population sizes and the spatial structuring of populations. Both species underwent decreases of *N_e* (Figures [2](#page-7-0) and [S4](#page-15-1)) around the passing of the Eemian interglacial

period (~125 Kya) until around the last glacial maximum (LGM, ~20 Kya). After this time (~15 Kya) British *A. crataegi* exhibit a marked reduction in N_e, consistent with a genetic bottleneck associated with a founder event and earlier northward spread from southern glacial refugia. For British A. *crataegi t*his N_e (≈10⁴) is a magnitude smaller than the commonly observed sizes of 10^5 or greater reported in

FIGURE 5 (a) R_{xy} ratio of shared derived alleles classified Synonymous, Missense and LoF variants in *A. crataegi*. Red whiskers represent 95% confidence intervals based on jackknife estimates over chromosomes. A ratio of > 1 represents an increase in frequency of alleles in population X (GB) relative to population Y (Europe), 1 reflects no change and $<$ 1 a decrease. (b) $R_{\rm v}$ ratio of shared derived alleles of each class in *P. icarus*.

butterflies (Ebdon et al., [2021;](#page-13-23) Mackintosh et al., [2019](#page-14-27)) but is consistent with PSMC-based estimates of several other British Lepidoptera (Bortoluzzi et al., [2023](#page-12-11)). At the same time, European *A. crataegi* show a drastic increase in N_e presumably because of mixing of distinct populations from previously isolated glacial refugia (Hewitt, [1999](#page-13-24); Hinojosa et al., [2019](#page-13-25)). It is likely that colonization occurred over a relatively short time-period and possibly by individuals from few source populations. Our results also indicate a reduction of N_a and a bottleneck for *P. icarus* with divergence from mainland European populations just before the LGM, but also note that inference of the precise timing of the *P. icarus* bottleneck is dependent on the number of generations per year which may vary from 1 to 3 (Figure [S6](#page-15-1)). A bottleneck around the time of colonization of Britain at the end of the last glacial would be consistent with the interpretation of allo-zyme data by De Keyser et al. ([2012](#page-12-23)); however, it is also possible that the lineages of *P. icarus* that colonized Britain never recovered from pre-LGM reductions in N_e, which may be the case for rapidly colonizing species. The discrepancy in the timings of our demographic reconstructions (Figure [2](#page-7-0)) and the exact timing of the colonization of the British Isles by these species may also result from bias in the PSMC method. Simulations by Bortoluzzi et al. ([2023](#page-12-11)) suggest that PSMC reconstructions for species with small genomes and a high ratio of recombination to mutation rate may reflect linked selection rather than true demographic history. We cannot rule this out for either species, and this bias could potentially explain differences in the demographic timing (along with uncertainty in generation time for *P. icarus*). We note that our PSMC-based trajectories recapitulate changes in N_e that are largely consistent with major climatic events of the last 10-125 Kya. Finally, we note that absolute N_e of British A. *crataegi (*≈10⁴) and P. ic*arus (*≈10⁵) are a function of their speciesspecific *N_e*, which could be considerably smaller in *A. crataegi*, given that genetic diversity correlates negatively with body size and positively with genome size in Lepidoptera (Mackintosh et al., [2019](#page-14-27)).

 WHITLA et al. **[|] 11 of 16**

4.2 | **Genomic symptoms of the demise of** *A. crataegi* **in Britain**

The last recorded sighting of *A. crataegi* in Britain dates from *c*. 1925 (Pratt, [1983](#page-14-12)). However, by this time, the species was thought to be limited to the south-eastern regions of Kent and had already disappeared from other parts of its range as early as 1880 (Pratt, [1983,](#page-14-12) and references therein). Consistent with these observations, three of 13 of our British samples, samples collected in 1888, 1908 and 1924, appear to be of European origin in terms of population structure, demographic history and genomic erosion. These specimens may have been captive-bred or wild-caught European (potentially from imported German larvae (Pratt, [1983](#page-14-12))) stock released into British habitats or potential migrants.

There was a reduction in genome-wide heterozygosity in British specimens of both species, but reductions (compared to European populations) in both the extirpated *A. crataegi* and the extant and stable *P. icarus* were roughly comparable (17.9 and 14.5%, respectively). As a comparison, the observed reduction in genome-wide heterozygosity in the extinct *Glaucopsyche xerces* (Xerces Blue butterfly; de-Dios et al., [2023](#page-12-12)) was 22%, hence greater than what we observed for British *A. crataegi*. However, the former was calculated in comparison to a sister species (*Glaucopsyche lygdamus*), and hence it is unclear whether these estimates of reductions in genome-wide heterozygosity are strictly comparable.

We saw significant increases in homozygous regions of small (≥100 Kb), intermediate (≥ 500 Kb) and large (≥1 Mb) varieties (Figures [3c](#page-8-0) and [S9\)](#page-15-1) in British *A. crataegi*. In contrast, no category of F_{RoH} was significantly different between British and continental European *P. icarus* suggesting this population likely does not suffer from inbreeding. Additionally, the probability of observing large RoHs (≥1 Mb) for both *A. crategi* and *P. icarus* were of a similar magnitude (0.051 and 0.021, respectively), yet such RoH were more prevalent in *A. crategi*. The presence of the large RoHs raises the possibility of more recent inbreeding, consistent with a spasmodic rather than instant decline of the species in the 19th century.

Accumulation of mildly or weakly deleterious variants, solely due to drift after a bottleneck, is expected to lead to decrease in the total number of such variants but increase in homozygosity across all variant classes (Dussex et al., [2023](#page-13-6)). However, purging of deleterious variants through purifying selection should lead to a decrease in strongly deleterious variants (LoF) in both homozygous and heterozygous states, whereas homozygosity of mildly or weakly deleterious variants can still increase and inflate the genetic load. The accumulation of genetic load in small populations has been observed in many vertebrate populations (see references in Dussex et al., [2023](#page-13-6)) and in the Xerces blue butterfly (de-Dios et al., [2023](#page-12-12)). However, there has also been evidence documentation of purging of the most deleterious variants and accumulation of less deleterious variants in the same system in Alpine Ibex (Grossen et al., [2020](#page-13-26)) and Indian tigers (Khan et al., [2021](#page-13-27)) and is supported by simulations (Dussex et al., [2023](#page-13-6)). Although we observed no clear evidence of purging of LoF in British *A. crataegi* (Figures [4a,](#page-9-0) [S10a,d](#page-15-1), and [5a](#page-10-0)), we **12 of 16 MILEY-MOLECULAR ECOLOGY**

did see an increase in shared derived allele frequency in the missense and synonymous categories which may have contributed to extirpation by reducing fitness and population viability. Additionally, we also observed a significant increase in realized load, but this was limited to only synonymous variants. Although synonymous variants are normally classified as neutral, they can be weakly deleterious under codon bias and Lepidoptera do exhibit an A/T codon usage bias (Näsvall et al., [2023](#page-14-28)). In contrast, *P. icarus* displayed a decrease in realized load (Figure [S11\)](#page-15-1) and a decrease in frequencies of shared derived alleles of the missense and synonymous categories (Figure [5b](#page-10-0)), suggesting these populations may have been less influenced by genetic drift. These results raise the possibility that the accumulation of mildly and weakly deleterious mutations contributed to the decline of *A. crataegi* in Britain by triggering a mutational meltdown (Lynch, Conery, & Burger, [1995](#page-14-29)). However, it should be noted that the actual fitness effects including selection and dominance coefficients of the annotated variants remain unknown. Moreover, given our limited sample sizes and lack of statistical significance in some cases, our results on genetic load should be interpreted with caution. Finally, given that the annotation for *P. icarus* (Lohse, [2023](#page-13-12)) is based on a single male from Scotland, our predictions of phenotypic impact of variants for this species may suffer from annotation bias, for example, by reducing the number of predicted LoF and missense variants.

Overall, we provide compelling evidence that *A. crataegi* suffered a severe bottleneck during the colonization of the British Isles. Based on our analysis it is likely that N_e likely remained low following the bottleneck and further reduced by more recent inbreeding, leading to the accumulation of weak or mild deleterious mutations and potentially an increase in realized load, which may have further exacerbated population persistence in the short term. Interestingly, two other butterfly species, *Lycaena dispar* (Large copper butterfly) and *Cyaniris semiargus* (Mazarine blue butterfly) were also extirpated in Britain between the mid and late 19th century. Like *A. crataegi*, both species expressed single broods in Great Britain, but unlike *A. crataegi* both species are smaller with potentially larger genomes and hence are expected to have higher ancestral *N_a* (Mackintosh et al., [2019](#page-14-27)); however, as yet nothing is known about their demographic history in Britain. Hence, it would be instructive to examine whether genomes of these extirpated populations exhibit similar symptoms of genomic erosion observed in *A. crataegi* and *G. xerces*.

4.3 | **Metrics of genomic erosion for identifying vulnerable insect populations**

Conservation genomics could be an extremely helpful tool in identifying populations or species at risk of extinction or extirpation. This could be particularly relevant for invertebrates, whereas opposed to vertebrates, reductions in census population size may not be easily monitored (Cardoso et al., [2011](#page-12-24); Cardoso & Leather, [2019;](#page-12-4) Didham et al., [2020](#page-12-25)). Our results suggest that a consistently small N₂ on the order of ≈10⁴, inbreeding in the recent past and accumulation of

deleterious variants could be viable indicators of population decline in species with generally large N_e. Indeed, similar signals of genomic erosion have also been detected in the genomes of the extinct *G. xerces* (de-Dios et al., [2023](#page-12-12)).

To evaluate how genomic erosion translates into population persistence or extinction we require further empirical studies of not only declining but stable and expanding populations as well. Isolated populations on islands, including the British Isles, offer an excellent system to study the genomics of populations with contrasting or unknown fates (e.g. Bortoluzzi et al., [2023](#page-12-11); Kyriazis et al., [2023](#page-13-21)). Museum collections can provide a substantial and unequivocal source of threatened populations (e.g. samples from extirpated or extinct populations). Recent advances in resequencing of museum samples (Korlević et al., [2021;](#page-13-28) Mullin et al., [2023](#page-14-26)), bioinformatic tools (e.g. Korneliussen et al., [2014](#page-13-15); Kutschera et al., [2022](#page-13-10)) and the availability of high-quality genomes with annotations (DToL, [2022](#page-12-26); Formenti et al., [2022](#page-13-29); Rhie et al., [2021](#page-14-30)) are providing much-needed resources for such work. Although challenges remain, for example, evaluating and interpreting fitness effects of deleterious mutations (de Valles-Ibáñez et al., [2016](#page-12-27); Robinson et al., [2023](#page-14-31)), we expect museomics in combination with contemporary samples will expand our understanding of the genomics of extinction but also improve feasibility to monitor and manage threatened insect populations (Bortoluzzi et al., [2023;](#page-12-11) Díez-del-Molino et al., [2018](#page-12-8); Jensen et al., [2022](#page-13-30)).

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

TGS, SA and RW designed the study. JH and GM helped procure museum specimens. TGS, SA and RW collected data. RW completed all molecular work with supervision and support from KH, CB and SA. RW analysed all the data with the help of SA. SA and RW wrote the paper with input from all authors.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was supported by a Nigel Groome PhD Studentship (Department of Biological and Medical Sciences, Oxford Brookes University) to RW and a pilot funding grant from the Centre for Functional Genomics, Oxford Brookes University to SA. We would like to thank the Oxford University Museum of Natural History (OUMNH) and the Natural History Museum, London (NHMUK), for permission to obtain the Black-veined white museum samples. We would also like to thank Joe Middleton-Welling for obtaining the samples for a pilot study from the OUMNH and the Darwin Tree of Life for the Black-veined White genome assembly. We would also like to thank Konrad Lohse for help in calculating coalescent probabilities. We thank Laura Lukens for helpful comments on improving the manuscript.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST STATEMENT

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

Raw sequence data is available under project accessing PRJEB70473 (for *A. crataegi*) and PRJEB70726 (for *P. icarus*) on the European **<u>MHITLA ET AL.</u> 13 of 16**

MOLECULAR ECOLOGY - WILL FY $\frac{13 \text{ of 16}}{2}$

Nucleotide Archive (ENA). Configuration files for GenErode and additional script files are available at [https://github.com/rmwhitla/](https://github.com/rmwhitla/BVWpaper) [BVWpaper](https://github.com/rmwhitla/BVWpaper). Protocol for library preparation of historical samples is available at [https://www.protocols.io/view/library-prep-for-cut](https://www.protocols.io/view/library-prep-for-cut-run-with-nebnext-ultra-ii-dna-bwgjpbun)[run-with-nebnext-ultra-ii-dna-bwgjpbun](https://www.protocols.io/view/library-prep-for-cut-run-with-nebnext-ultra-ii-dna-bwgjpbun).

ORCID

Casper Breuke[r](https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7909-1950) <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7909-1950> *Timothy G. Shreeve* <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-1863-221X> *Saad Arif* <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0811-8604>

REFERENCES

- Aken, B. L., Achuthan, P., Akanni, W., Amode, M. R., Bernsdorff, F., Bhai, J., Billis, K., Carvalho-Silva, D., Cummins, C., Clapham, P., Gil, L., Girón, C. G., Gordon, L., Hourlier, T., Hunt, S. E., Janacek, S. H., Juettemann, T., Keenan, S., Laird, M. R., … Flicek, P. (2017). Ensembl 2017. *Nucleic Acids Research*, *45*, D635–D642. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw1104) [1093/nar/gkw1104](https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkw1104)
- Andrews, S. (2010). FastQC: A quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. [http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/proje](http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/) [cts/fastqc/](http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/)
- Arif, S., Gerth, M., Hone-Millard, W. G., Nunes, M. D. S., Dapporto, L., & Shreeve, T. G. (2021). Evidence for multiple colonisations and *Wolbachia* infections shaping the genetic structure of the widespread butterfly *Polyommatus icarus* in the British Isles. *Molecular Ecology*, *30*, 5196–5213. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.16126>
- Barnosky, A. D., Matzke, N., Tomiya, S., Wogan, G. O. U., Swartz, B., Quental, T. B., Marshall, C., McGuire, J. L., Lindsey, E. L., Maguire, K. C., Mersey, B., & Ferrer, E. A. (2011). Has the Earth's sixth mass extinction already arrived? *Nature*, *471*, 51–57. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09678) [1038/nature09678](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09678)
- Biscarini, F., Cozzi, P., Gaspa, G., & Marras, G. (2018). detectRUNS: Detect runs of homozygosity and runs of heterozygosity in diploid genomes [WWW Document]. [https://cran.r-project.org/web/](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/detectRUNS/index.html) [packages/detectRUNS/index.html](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/detectRUNS/index.html)
- Bortoluzzi, C., Wright, C. J., Lee, S., Cousins, T., Genez, T. A. L., Thybert, D., Martin, F. J., Haggerty, L., The Darwin Tree of Life Project Consortium, Blaxter, M., & Durbin, R. (2023). Lepidoptera genomics based on 88 chromosomal reference sequences informs population genetic parameters for conservation. *bioRxiv* 2023.04.14.536868. [PREPRINT]. [https://doi.org/10.1101/](https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.04.14.536868) [2023.04.14.536868](https://doi.org/10.1101/2023.04.14.536868)
- Bosse, M., & van Loon, S. (2022). Challenges in quantifying genome erosion for conservation. *Frontiers in Genetics*, *13*, 960958. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2022.960958) [org/10.3389/fgene.2022.960958](https://doi.org/10.3389/fgene.2022.960958)
- Burns, F., Mordue, S., al Fulaij, N., Boersch-Supan, P. H., Boswell, J., Boyd, R. J., Bradfer-Lawrence, T., de Ornellas, P., de Palma, A., de Zylva, P., Dennis, E. B., Foster, S., Gilbert, G., Halliwell, L., Hawkins, K., Kaysom, K. A., Holland, M. M., Hughes, J., Jackson, A. C., … Gregory, R. D. (2023). State of nature 2023, the state of nature partnership. www.stateofnature.org.uk
- Cardoso, P., Erwin, T. L., Borges, P. A. V., & New, T. R. (2011). The seven impediments in invertebrate conservation and how to overcome them. *Biological Conservation*, *144*, 2647–2655. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2011.07.024) [1016/j.biocon.2011.07.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biocon.2011.07.024)
- Cardoso, P., & Leather, S. R. (2019). Predicting a global insect apocalypse. *Insect Conservation and Diversity*, *12*, 263–267. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/icad.12367) [1111/icad.12367](https://doi.org/10.1111/icad.12367)
- Ceballos, F. C., Joshi, P. K., Clark, D. W., Ramsay, M., & Wilson, J. F. (2018). Runs of homozygosity: Windows into population history and trait architecture. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, *19*, 220–234. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg.2017.109) [10.1038/nrg.2017.109](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg.2017.109)
- Ceballos, G., Ehrlich, P. R., Barnosky, A. D., García, A., Pringle, R. M., & Palmer, T. M. (2015). Accelerated modern human-induced species losses: Entering the sixth mass extinction. *Science Advances*, *1*, e1400253. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1400253>
- Charlesworth, D., & Willis, J. H. (2009). The genetics of inbreeding depression. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, *10*, 783–796. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2664) [1038/nrg2664](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg2664)
- Chen, S., Zhou, Y., Chen, Y., & Gu, J. (2018). Fastp: An ultra-fast all-in-one FASTQ preprocessor. *Bioinformatics*, *34*, i884–i890. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560) [org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560](https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/bty560)
- Cingolani, P., Platts, A., Wang, L. L., Coon, M., Nguyen, T., Wang, L., Land, S. J., Lu, X., & Ruden, D. M. (2012). A program for annotating and predicting the effects of single nucleotide polymorphisms, SnpEff. *Fly (Austin)*, *6*, 80–92. <https://doi.org/10.4161/fly.19695>
- Cowie, R. H., Bouchet, P., & Fontaine, B. (2022). The sixth mass extinction: Fact, fiction or speculation? *Biological Reviews*, *97*, 640–663. <https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12816>
- Dale, C. W. (1887). Query regarding *Aporia crataegi*. *The Entomologist's Monthly Magazine*, *23*, 214.
- Danecek, P., Bonfield, J. K., Liddle, J., Marshall, J., Ohan, V., Pollard, M. O., Whitwham, A., Keane, T., McCarthy, S. A., Davies, R. M., & Li, H. (2021). Twelve years of SAMtools and BCFtools. *GigaScience*, *10*, giab008. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gigascience/giab008>
- Darwin Tree of Life Project Consortium. (2022). Sequence locally, think globally: The Darwin tree of life project. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *119*, e2115642118. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2115642118>
- De Keyser, R., Shreeve, T. G., Breuker, C. J., Hails, R. S., & Schmitt, T. (2012). *Polyommatus icarus* butterflies in the British Isles: Evidence for a bottleneck. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, *107*, 123– 136. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8312.2012.01925.x>
- de Valles-Ibáñez, G., Hernandez-Rodriguez, J., Prado-Martinez, J., Luisi, P., Marquès-Bonet, T., & Casals, F. (2016). Genetic load of loss-offunction polymorphic variants in great apes. *Genome Biology and Evolution*, *8*, 871–877.<https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evw040>
- de-Dios, T., Fontsere, C., Renom, P., Stiller, J., Llovera, L., Uliano-Silva, M., Sánchez-Gracia, A., Wright, C., Lizano, E., Caballero, B., Navarro, A., Civit, S., Robbins, R. K., Blaxter, M., Marquès-Bonet, T., Vila, R., & Lalueza-Fox, C. (2023). Whole-genomes from the extinct xerces blue butterfly can help identify declining insect species. *eLife*, *12:RP87928*. <https://doi.org/10.7554/eLife.87928>
- Dennis, R. L. H. (1992). An evolutionary history of British butterflies. In R. L. H. Dennis (Ed.), *The ecology of butterflies in Britain* (pp. 217– 245). Oxford University Press.
- Dennis, R. L. H., Shreeve, T. G., & Van Dyck, H. (2003). Towards a functional resource-based concept for habitat: A butterfly biology viewpoint. *Oikos*, *102*, 417–426. [https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-](https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0579.2003.12492.x) [0579.2003.12492.x](https://doi.org/10.1034/j.1600-0579.2003.12492.x)
- DeWoody, J. A., Harder, A. M., Mathur, S., & Willoughby, J. R. (2021). The long-standing significance of genetic diversity in conservation. *Molecular Ecology*, *30*, 4147–4154. [https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.](https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.16051) [16051](https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.16051)
- Didham, R. K., Basset, Y., Collins, C. M., Leather, S. R., Littlewood, N. A., Menz, M. H. M., Müller, J., Packer, L., Saunders, M. E., Schönrogge, K., Stewart, A. J. A., Yanoviak, S. P., & Hassall, C. (2020). Interpreting insect declines: Seven challenges and a way forward. *Insect Conservation and Diversity*, *13*, 103–114. [https://doi.org/10.1111/](https://doi.org/10.1111/icad.12408) [icad.12408](https://doi.org/10.1111/icad.12408)
- Díez-del-Molino, D., Sánchez-Barreiro, F., Barnes, I., Gilbert, M. T. P., & Dalén, L. (2018). Quantifying temporal genomic erosion in endangered species. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *33*, 176–185. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2017.12.002) doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2017.12.002
- Dincă, V., Montagud, S., Talavera, G., Hernández-Roldán, J., Munguira, M. L., García-Barros, E., Hebert, P. D. N., & Vila, R. (2015). DNA barcode reference library for Iberian butterflies enables a continental-scale

14 of 16 MILEY-MOLECULAR ECOLOGY

preview of potential cryptic diversity. *Scientific Reports*, *5*, 12395. <https://doi.org/10.1038/srep12395>

- Dussex, N., Morales, H. E., Grossen, C., Dalén, L., & van Oosterhout, C. (2023). Purging and accumulation of genetic load in conservation. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *38*, 961–969. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2023.05.008) [1016/j.tree.2023.05.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2023.05.008)
- Ebdon, S., Laetsch, D. R., Dapporto, L., Hayward, A., Ritchie, M. G., Dincӑ, V., Vila, R., & Lohse, K. (2021). The Pleistocene species pump past its prime: Evidence from European butterfly sister species. *Molecular Ecology*, *30*, 3575–3589. [https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.](https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15981) [15981](https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15981)
- Ebdon, S., Mackintosh, A., Lohse, K., Hayward, A., Arif, S., & Whitla, R. (2022). The genome sequence of the black-veined white butterfly, *Aporia crataegi* (Linnaeus, 1758) [version 1; peer review: 1 approved, 1 not approved]. *Wellcome Open Research*, *7*, 81. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.17709.1) [org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.17709.1](https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.17709.1)
- Formenti, G., Theissinger, K., Fernandes, C., Bista, I., Bombarely, A., Bleidorn, C., Ciofi, C., Crottini, A., Godoy, J. A., Höglund, J., Malukiewicz, J., Mouton, A., Oomen, R. A., Paez, S., Palsbøll, P. J., Pampoulie, C., Ruiz-López, M. J., Svardal, H., Theofanopoulou, C., … Zammit, G. (2022). The era of reference genomes in conservation genomics. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *37*, 197–202. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2021.11.008) [org/10.1016/j.tree.2021.11.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2021.11.008)
- Fox, R., Dennis, E. B., Brown, A. F., & Curson, J. (2022). A revised red list of British butterflies. *Insect Conservation and Diversity*, *15*, 485– 495.<https://doi.org/10.1111/icad.12582>
- Gomulkiewicz, R., & Holt, R. D. (1995). When does evolution by natural selection prevent extinction? *Evolution*, *49*, 201–207. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.2307/2410305) [org/10.2307/2410305](https://doi.org/10.2307/2410305)
- Grossen, C., Guillaume, F., Keller, L. F., & Croll, D. (2020). Purging of highly deleterious mutations through severe bottlenecks in alpine ibex. *Nature Communications*, *11*, 1001. [https://doi.org/10.1038/](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-14803-1) [s41467-020-14803-1](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-020-14803-1)
- Hewitt, G. M. (1999). Post-glacial re-colonization of European biota. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, *68*, 87–112. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8312.1999.tb01160.x) [10.1111/j.1095-8312.1999.tb01160.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1095-8312.1999.tb01160.x)
- Hill, G. M., Kawahara, A. Y., Daniels, J. C., Bateman, C. C., & Scheffers, B. R. (2021). Climate change effects on animal ecology: Butterflies and moths as a case study. *Biological Reviews of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, *96*, 2113–2126. [https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.](https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12746) [12746](https://doi.org/10.1111/brv.12746)
- Hinojosa, J. C., Koubínová, D., Szenteczki, M. A., Pitteloud, C., Dincă, V., Alvarez, N., & Vila, R. (2019). A mirage of cryptic species: Genomics uncover striking mitonuclear discordance in the butterfly *Thymelicus sylvestris*. *Molecular Ecology*, *28*, 3857–3868. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15153) doi.org/10.1111/mec.15153
- Howe, P. D., Bryant, S. R., & Shreeve, T. G. (2007). Predicting body temperature and activity of adult *Polyommatus icarus* using neural network models under current and projected climate scenarios. *Oecologia*, *153*(4), 857–869. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s0044](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-007-0782-3) [2-007-0782-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00442-007-0782-3)
- Hudson, R. R. (1990). Gene genealogies and the coalescent process. In D. Futuyma & J. Antonovics (Eds.), *Oxford surveys in evolutionary biology* (Vol. *7*, pp. 1–44). Oxford University Press.
- IPBES. (2019). Summary for policymakers of the global assessment report on biodiversity and ecosystem services. IPBES Plenary at its Seventh Session (IPBES 7, Paris, 2019) 29 April - 4 May 2019. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3553579>
- Jensen, E. L., Díez-del-Molino, D., Gilbert, M. T. P., Bertola, L. D., Borges, F., Cubric-Curik, V., de Navascués, M., Frandsen, P., Heuertz, M., Hvilsom, C., Jiménez-Mena, B., Miettinen, A., Moest, M., Pečnerová, P., Barnes, I., & Vernesi, C. (2022). Ancient and historical DNA in conservation policy. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, *37*, 420–429. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2021.12.010>
- Jiggins, C. D., Mavarez, J., Beltrán, M., McMillan, W. O., Johnston, J. S., & Bermingham, E. (2005). A genetic linkage map of the mimetic

butterfly *Heliconius melpomene*. *Genetics*, *171*(2), 557–570. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.104.034686) doi.org/10.1534/genetics.104.034686

- Jónsson, H., Ginolhac, A., Schubert, M., Johnson, P. L. F., & Orlando, L. (2013). mapDamage2.0: Fast approximate Bayesian estimates of ancient DNA damage parameters. *Bioinformatics*, *29*, 1682–1684. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btt193>
- Karam-Gemael, M., Decker, P., Stoev, P., Marques, M. I., & Chagas, A., Jr. (2020). Conservation of terrestrial invertebrates: A review of IUCN and regional red lists for Myriapoda. *ZooKeys*, *930*, 221–229. <https://doi.org/10.3897/zookeys.930.48943>
- Kardos, M., Taylor, H. R., Ellegren, H., Luikart, G., & Allendorf, F. W. (2016). Genomics advances the study of inbreeding depression in the wild. *Evolutionary Applications*, *9*, 1205–1218. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12414) [10.1111/eva.12414](https://doi.org/10.1111/eva.12414)
- Keightley, P. D., Pinharanda, A., Ness, R. W., Simpson, F., Dasmahapatra, K. K., Mallet, J., Davey, J. W., & Jiggins, C. D. (2015). Estimation of the spontaneous mutation rate in *Heliconius melpomene*. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *32*, 239–243.<https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msu302>
- Khan, A., Patel, K., Shukla, H., Viswanathan, A., van der Valk, T., Borthakur, U., Nigam, P., Zachariah, A., Jhala, Y. V., Kardos, M., & Ramakrishnan, U. (2021). Genomic evidence for inbreeding depression and purging of deleterious genetic variation in Indian tigers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *118*, e2023018118. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2023018118>
- Kim, T., Han, Y.-G., Kwon, O., & Cho, Y. (2015). Changes in *Aporia crataegi*'s potential habitats in accordance with climate changes in the northeast Asia. *Journal of Ecology and Environment*, *38*, 15–23. <https://doi.org/10.5141/ecoenv.2015.002>
- Korlević, P., McAlister, E., Mayho, M., Makunin, A., Flicek, P., & Lawniczak, M. K. N. (2021). A minimally morphologically destructive approach for DNA retrieval and whole-genome shotgun sequencing of pinned historic dipteran vector species. *Genome Biology and Evolution*, *13*, evab226. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evab226>
- Korneliussen, T. S., Albrechtsen, A., & Nielsen, R. (2014). ANGSD: Analysis of next generation sequencing data. *BMC Bioinformatics*, *15*, 356. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-014-0356-4>
- Kutschera, V. E., Kierczak, M., van der Valk, T., von Seth, J., Dussex, N., Lord, E., Dehasque, M., Stanton, D. W. G., Khoonsari, P. E., Nystedt, B., Dalén, L., & Díez-del-Molino, D. (2022). GenErode: A bioinformatics pipeline to investigate genome erosion in endangered and extinct species. *BMC Bioinformatics*, *23*, 228. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-022-04757-0) [1186/s12859-022-04757-0](https://doi.org/10.1186/s12859-022-04757-0)
- Kyriazis, C. C., Beichman, A. C., Brzeski, K. E., Hoy, S. R., Peterson, R. O., Vucetich, J. A., Vucetich, L. M., Lohmueller, K. E., & Wayne, R. K. (2023). Genomic underpinnings of population persistence in isle Royale moose. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, *40*, msad021. <https://doi.org/10.1093/molbev/msad021>
- Lenth, R. (2023). Emmeans: Estimated marginal means, aka least-squares means. R Package Version 1.8.7. [https://github.com/rvlenth/](https://github.com/rvlenth/emmeans) [emmeans](https://github.com/rvlenth/emmeans)
- Li, H., & Durbin, R. (2009). Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows–Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics*, *25*, 1754–1760. <https://doi.org/10.1093/bioinformatics/btp324>
- Li, H., & Durbin, R. (2011). Inference of human population history from individual whole-genome sequences. *Nature*, *475*, 493–496. [https://](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature10231) doi.org/10.1038/nature10231
- Liu, N. (2019). Library Prep for CUT&RUN with NEBNext® Ultra™ II DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina® (E7645). protocols.io. [https://](https://doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.wvgfe3w) doi.org/10.17504/protocols.io.wvgfe3w
- Lohse, K. (2023). The genome sequence of the Common Blue, *Polyommatus icarus* (Rottemburg, 1775). [version 1; peer review: 1 approved]. *Wellcome Open Research*, *8*, 72. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.18772.1) [12688/wellcomeopenres.18772.1](https://doi.org/10.12688/wellcomeopenres.18772.1)
- Lynch, M., Conery, J., & Bürger, R. (1995). Mutational meltdowns in sexual populations. *Evolution*, *49*, 1067–1080. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1995.tb04434.x) [1111/j.1558-5646.1995.tb04434.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1558-5646.1995.tb04434.x)

1.962.94% on the created with the company with the set with the set of DVD/2024). See the terms and Conditions (improving the set with your properties on the properties of the set of the set of the set of the set of the se 136294x, 0, Downloaded from https://op.yorg/0010111/I/me..17518 by Test, Wiley Online Library on [20092024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://online/library on [20092024]. See the Terms and Conditions (https://onlinel

- Lynch, M., Conery, J., & Burger, R. (1995). Mutation accumulation and the extinction of small populations. *The American Naturalist*, *146*, 489–518.<https://doi.org/10.1086/285812>
- Mackintosh, A., Laetsch, D. R., Hayward, A., Charlesworth, B., Waterfall, M., Vila, R., & Lohse, K. (2019). The determinants of genetic diversity in butterflies. *Nature Communications*, *10*, 3466. [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-11308-4) [org/10.1038/s41467-019-11308-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-11308-4)
- Mackintosh, A., Vila, R., Martin, S. H., Setter, D., & Lohse, K. (2023). Do chromosome rearrangements fix by genetic drift or natural selection? Insights from *Brenthis* butterflies. *Molecular Ecology*, 00, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.17146>
- MacLachlan, R. (1893). The decadence of British butterflies. *The Entomologist's Monthly Magazine*, *29*, 132–138.
- Mattila, A. L. K., Duplouy, A., Kirjokangas, M., Lehtonen, R., Rastas, P., & Hanski, I. (2012). High genetic load in an old isolated butterfly population. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *109*, E2496–E2505. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1205789109>
- Meisner, J., & Albrechtsen, A. (2018). Inferring population structure and admixture proportions in low-depth NGS data. *Genetics*, *210*, 719– 731.<https://doi.org/10.1534/genetics.118.301336>
- Middleton-Welling, J., Dapporto, L., García-Barros, E., Wiemers, M., Nowicki, P., Plazio, E., Bonelli, S., Zaccagno, M., Šašić, M., Liparova, J., Schweiger, O., Harpke, A., Musche, M., Settele, J., Schmucki, R., & Shreeve, T. (2020). A new comprehensive trait database of European and Maghreb butterflies, Papilionoidea. *Scientific Data*, *7*, 351.<https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-020-00697-7>
- Mullin, V. E., Stephen, W., Arce, A. N., Nash, W., Raine, C., Notton, D. G., Whiffin, A., Blagderov, V., Gharbi, K., Hogan, J., Hunter, T., Irish, N., Jackson, S., Judd, S., Watkins, C., Haerty, W., Ollerton, J., Brace, S., Gill, R. J., & Barnes, I. (2023). First large-scale quantification study of DNA preservation in insects from natural history collections using genome-wide sequencing. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, *14*, 360–371. <https://doi.org/10.1111/2041-210X.13945>
- Näsvall, K., Boman, J., Talla, V., & Backström, N. (2023). Base composition, codon usage, and patterns of gene sequence evolution in butterflies. *Genome Biology and Evolution*, *15*, evad150. [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evad150) [10.1093/gbe/evad150](https://doi.org/10.1093/gbe/evad150)
- Neaves, L. E., Eales, J., Whitlock, R., Hollingsworth, P. M., Burke, T., & Pullin, A. S. (2015). The fitness consequences of inbreeding in natural populations and their implications for species conservation – A systematic map. *Environmental Evidence*, *4*, 5. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13750-015-0031-x) [1186/s13750-015-0031-x](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13750-015-0031-x)
- Palahí I Torres, A., Höök, L., Näsvall, K., Shipilina, D., Wiklund, C., Vila, R., Pruisscher, P., & Backström, N. (2023). The fine-scale recombination rate variation and associations with genomic features in a butterfly. *Genome Research*, *33*(5), 810–823. [https://doi.org/10.](https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.277414.122) [1101/gr.277414.122](https://doi.org/10.1101/gr.277414.122)
- Pratt, C. (1983). A modern review of the demise of *Aporia crataegi L*.: The black veined white. *The Entomologist's Record and Journal of Variation*, *95*, 161–166.
- Preston, S. D., Liao, J. D., Toombs, T. P., Romero-Canyas, R., Speiser, J., & Seifert, C. M. (2021). A case study of a conservation flagship species: The monarch butterfly. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, *30*, 2057–2077. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10531-021-02183-x>
- Purcell, S., Neale, B., Todd-Brown, K., Thomas, L., Ferreira, M. A. R., Bender, D., Maller, J., Sklar, P., de Bakker, P. I. W., Daly, M. J., & Sham, P. C. (2007). PLINK: A tool set for whole-genome association and population-based linkage analyses. *American Journal of Human Genetics*, *81*, 559–575. <https://doi.org/10.1086/519795>
- R Core Team. (2023). *R: A language and environment for statistical computing*. R Foundation for Statistical Computing.
- Rhie, A., McCarthy, S. A., Fedrigo, O., Damas, J., Formenti, G., Koren, S., Uliano-Silva, M., Chow, W., Fungtammasan, A., Kim, J., Lee, C., Ko, B. J., Chaisson, M., Gedman, G. L., Cantin, L. J., Thibaud-Nissen,

F., Haggerty, L., Bista, I., Smith, M., … Jarvis, E. D. (2021). Towards complete and error-free genome assemblies of all vertebrate species. *Nature*, *592*, 737–746. [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03451-0) [03451-0](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41586-021-03451-0)

- Robinson, J., Kyriazis, C. C., Yuan, S. C., & Lohmueller, K. E. (2023). Deleterious variation in natural populations and implications for conservation genetics. *Annual Review of Animal Biosciences*, *11*, 93–114. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-animal-080522-093311>
- Rowe, G., Sweet, M., & Beebee, T. (2017). *An introduction to molecular ecology* (Third ed.). Oxford University Press.
- Saccheri, I., Kuussaari, M., Kankare, M., Vikman, P., Fortelius, W., & Hanski, I. (1998). Inbreeding and extinction in a butterfly metapopulation. *Nature*, *392*, 491–494.<https://doi.org/10.1038/33136>
- Sarabia, C., vonHoldt, B., Larrasoaña, J. C., Uríos, V., & Leonard, J. A. (2021). Pleistocene climate fluctuations drove demographic history of African golden wolves (*Canis lupaster*). *Molecular Ecology*, *30*, 6101–6120. <https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.15784>
- Solonkin, I. A., Shkurikhin, A. O., Oslina, T. S., & Zakharova, E. Y. (2021). Changes in the body size of black-veined white, *Aporia crataegi* (lepidoptera: Pieridae), recorded in a natural population in response to different spring weather conditions and at different phases of an outbreak. *European Journal of Entomology*, *118*, 214–224. [https://](https://doi.org/10.14411/eje.2021.023) doi.org/10.14411/eje.2021.023
- South, R. (1906). *The butterflies of the British Isles*. Frederick Warne.
- Teixeira, J. C., & Huber, C. D. (2021). The inflated significance of neutral genetic diversity in conservation genetics. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *118*, e2015096118.<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2015096118>
- Thomas, J. A. (2005). Monitoring change in the abundance and distribution of insects using butterflies and other indicator groups. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society, B: Biological Sciences*, *360*, 339–357. <https://doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2004.1585>
- van der Auwera, G., & O'Connor, B. D. (2020). *Genomics in the cloud: Using docker, GATK, and WDL in Terra*. O'Reilly Media, Incorporated.
- van der Valk, T., Díez-Del-Molino, D., Marques-Bonet, T., Guschanski, K., & Dalén, L. (2019). Historical genomes reveal the genomic consequences of recent population decline in eastern gorillas. *Current Biology*, *29*, 165–170.e6. [https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.11.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.11.055) [055](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2018.11.055)
- van Swaay, C., Wynhoff, I., Verovnik, R., Wiemers, M., López Munguira, M., Maes, D., Sasic, M., Verstrael, T., Warren, M., & Settele, J. (2010). *Aporia crataegi* (Europe assessment). The IUCN red list of threatened species 2010: E.T159814A5339278. Accessed on 17 January 2024.
- Wagner, D. L., Grames, E. M., Forister, M. L., Berenbaum, M. R., & Stopak, D. (2021). Insect decline in the Anthropocene: Death by a thousand cuts. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *118*, e2023989118.<https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2023989118>
- Warren, M. S. (1992). The conservation of British butterflies. In R. L. H. Dennis (Ed.), *The ecology of butterflies in Britain* (pp. 246–274). Oxford University Press.
- Warren, M. S., Maes, D., van Swaay, C. A. M., Goffart, P., Van Dyck, H., Bourn, N. A. D., Wynhoff, I., Hoare, D., & Ellis, S. (2021). The decline of butterflies in Europe: Problems, significance, and possible solutions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, *118*, e2002551117. [https://doi.org/10.1073/](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2002551117) [pnas.2002551117](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2002551117)
- Webster, M. T., Beaurepaire, A., Neumann, P., & Stolle, E. (2023). Population genomics for insect conservation. *Annual Review of Animal Biosciences*, *11*, 115–140. [https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-animal-122221-075025)[animal-122221-075025](https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-animal-122221-075025)
- Xue, Y., Prado-Martinez, J., Sudmant, P. H., Narasimhan, V., Ayub, Q., Szpak, M., Frandsen, P., Chen, Y., Yngvadottir, B., Cooper, D. N., de Manuel, M., Hernandez-Rodriguez, J., Lobon, I., Siegismund, H. R.,

16 of 16 [|] WHITLA et al.

Pagani, L., Quail, M. A., Hvilsom, C., Mudakikwa, A., Eichler, E. E., … Scally, A. (2015). Mountain gorilla genomes reveal the impact of long-term population decline and inbreeding. *Science*, *348*, 242– 245.<https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aaa3952>

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

How to cite this article: Whitla, R., Hens, K., Hogan, J., Martin, G., Breuker, C., Shreeve, T. G., & Arif, S. (2024). The last days of *Aporia crataegi* (L.) in Britain: Evaluating genomic erosion in an extirpated butterfly. *Molecular Ecology*, *00*, e17518.<https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.17518>