Assessment criteria

Themes

Safeguarding

Innovation

Cost – financial implications

Social value

Service user involvement and engagement

Unintended consequences

Project Beneficiaries

Risks

Themes cont…..

Sustainability

Measures

Outcomes

Evaluation plan

Exit strategy

Capacity

Resources

Requirements set out in the envelope

Method statements

What is different or innovate about this particular project that makes it unique in your Local Authority ?

Project paper will need to highlight the purpose

Project paper will need to highlight the benefits to the community

Project paper would need to give due consideration to any safeguarding matters

Project paper would need provide clear projections of any financial considerations and existing resources

Project paper will need to demonstrate how it can be scaled up

Method statements cont..

Project paper will need to evidence engagement with citizens and partners

Project paper will be based on prior research

Project paper will need to contain an impact assessment and SWOT analysis

Project paper will need to evidence ‘who’ this impacts and ‘how’

Weighting

Scoring for each method statement will be undertaken. We will attribute a score to each statement

Excellent • An excellent response provided, clearly demonstrating a very high level of understanding of the contract requirements. • The response inspired an extremely high level of confidence in the Tenderer's understanding of the contract requirements. • No weaknesses or concerns.	5
Very Good • A very good response provided, demonstrating a very good level of understanding of the contract requirements. • The response inspired a very good level of confidence in the Tenderer's understanding of the contract requirements. • Strengths far outweighed any weaknesses in the response.	4
Good • A good response provided, demonstrating a good level of understanding of the contract requirements. • The response inspired a good level of confidence in the Tenderer's understanding of the contract requirements. • Strengths outweighed any weaknesses in the response in most but not all respects.	3
Satisfactory • A satisfactory response provided, outlining a satisfactory level of understanding of the contract requirements. • The response inspired a satisfactory level of understanding of the contract requirements. • Strengths marginally outweighed the weaknesses in the response.	2
Limited • A limited response provided, outlining a limited understanding of the contract requirements. • The response inspired a low level of confidence in the Tenderer's understanding of the contract requirements. • Weaknesses far outweighed any strength in the response or contained significant omissions or concerns.	1
Unacceptable • No response or unacceptable or insufficient information provided. • Response fails to meet the requirements with no relevant detail provided to demonstrate any understanding of the contract requirements. • No strong aspects to the response.	0

Feedback

Commissioning will formally reply to you

All evaluation notes can be shared with you

Overall feedback will be provided on the quality of the bid