

Di Simplicio M, Holmes EA, Rathbone CJ

Self-images in the present and future: Role of affect and the bipolar phenotype.

Di Simplicio M, Holmes EA and Rathbone CJ (2015) Self-images in the present and future: Role of affect and the bipolar phenotype. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 187. pp. 97-100.

doi: [10.1016/j.jad.2015.08.042](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2015.08.042)

This version is available: <https://radar.brookes.ac.uk/radar/items/9895a605-9515-49ad-bed4-e77b8282705b/1/>

Available on RADAR: January 2016

Copyright © and Moral Rights are retained by the author(s) and/ or other copyright owners. A copy can be downloaded for personal non-commercial research or study, without prior permission or charge. This item cannot be reproduced or quoted extensively from without first obtaining permission in writing from the copyright holder(s). The content must not be changed in any way or sold commercially in any format or medium without the formal permission of the copyright holders.

This document is the published version of the journal article.



Short Communication

Self-images in the present and future: Role of affect and the bipolar phenotype

Martina Di Simplicio^{a,*}, Emily A. Holmes^{a,b}, Clare J. Rathbone^c^a MRC Cognition and Brain Sciences Unit, Cambridge, UK^b Department of Clinical Neuroscience, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden^c Department of Psychology, Social Work and Public Health, Oxford Brookes University, Oxford, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 28 May 2015

Received in revised form

26 July 2015

Accepted 12 August 2015

Available online 21 August 2015

Keywords:

Hypomania

Self-image

Identity

Mood

Anxiety

Prospection

Bipolar disorder

ABSTRACT

Background: Bipolar Spectrum Disorder (BPSD) is associated with changes in self-related processing and affect, yet the relationship between self-image and affect in the BPSD phenotype is unclear.

Methods: 47 young adults were assessed for hypomanic experiences (BPSD phenotype) using the Mood Disorders Questionnaire. Current and future self-images (e.g. I am... I will be...) were generated and rated for emotional valence, stability, and (for future self-images only) certainty. The relationship between self-image ratings and measures of affect (depression, anxiety and mania) were analysed in relation to the BPSD phenotype.

Results: The presence of the BPSD phenotype significantly moderated the relationship between (1) affect and stability ratings for negative self-images, and (2) affect and certainty ratings for positive future self-images. Higher positivity ratings for current self-images were associated with lower depression and anxiety scores.

Limitations: This was a non-clinical group of young adults sampled for hypomanic experiences, which limits the extension of the work to clinical levels of psychopathology. This study cannot address the causal relationships between affect, self-images, and BPSD. Future work should use clinical samples and experimental mood manipulation designs.

Conclusions: BPSD phenotype can shape the relationship between affect and current and future self-images. This finding will guide future clinical research to elucidate BPSD vulnerability mechanisms and, consequently, the development of early interventions.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

1. Introduction

It is increasingly recognised that the way we view ourselves (self-image) is related to our mood (Rathbone et al., 2015). Bipolar spectrum disorders (BPSD) are characterized by mood disturbances which warrant further examination at a psychological level. Very little work has directly addressed the relationship between self and affect in BPSD, or young adults in particular. Cognitive-behavioural therapy for BPSD has shown mixed outcomes so far (Thase et al., 2014), with some evidence that assumptions and beliefs about the self may moderate response to treatment (Lam et al., 2005). Onset of BPSD is typically in early adulthood (Merikangas et al., 2011), which represents an important period for development of the self (Fitzgerald, 1988; Rathbone et al., 2008; Burnett Heyes et al., 2013). Psychopathology research can

contribute to treatment innovation by focusing on aspects of psychopathology that remain insufficiently explored yet (Di Simplicio et al., 2012). Therefore, investigating the relationship between self and affect in young adults with hypomanic experiences (BPSD phenotype) may help elucidate mechanisms underpinning psychopathology prior to its full development and in the absence of active illness confounders. This can aid development of early psychological interventions much needed for this younger age group.

A healthy self-identity is flexible and adaptable to environmental changes, including variations in affect (e.g. Bonanno et al., 2004; Kashdan and Rottenberg, 2010). Whilst perceptions of the self vary and are influenced by present contingencies, an adaptive characteristic of human nature is the ability to project oneself into the future with an optimistic self-bias (Weinstein, 1980). The self is not a unitary structure; it comprises various self-related processes and conceptions (Markus and Kunda, 1986), including self-images relating to the present (e.g. I am hard-working) and future (e.g. I will be rich). Furthermore, whilst some self-conceptions are malleable and context-dependent, others are more stable and

* Correspondence to: MRC Cognition and Brain Sciences Unit, 15 Chaucer Road, Cambridge CB2 7EF, UK.

E-mail address: martina.disimplicio@mrc-cbu.cam.ac.uk (M. Di Simplicio).

consistently accessible (Markus and Kunda, 1986). Stable and persistent negative self-images (e.g. I am a failure) are thought to play a role in the maintenance and relapse of depressive states (e.g. Beck, 1967), both in terms of the presence of negative current self-images, which stay rigid regardless of current affect, and fewer positive future self-images.

BPSD is characterised by negative self-related processing (Mansell and Scott, 2006; Whitney et al., 2012) including in vulnerable samples (Lardi Robyn et al., 2012), as well as by self-descriptors linked to high goal-attainment and hypomania (Lee et al., 2010). This hyper-positive sense of self has also been associated with greater relapse after CBT (Lam et al., 2005). Previous work has demonstrated the relevance of examining self-images in psychopathology (e.g. Bennouna-Greene et al., 2012; Jobson and O'Kearney, 2008) and the strong relationship between emotional valence of self-images and well-being in non-clinical samples (Rathbone et al., 2015). Furthermore, it has been argued that BPSD is characterised by an excess of mental imagery (Holmes et al., 2008, 2011) suggesting that investigating self-images may hold particular relevance for this group. However, we know little about the relationship between self-image and affect in BPSD. It is not clear whether, given the mood instability associated with BPSD, the emotional valence (i.e. positive versus negative) of self-images is particularly dependent on mood, or whether other variables play a role (for example, how stable one's self-images are, or the degree of certainty attributed to future self-images).

The aim of the present study was to examine current and future self-image valence, stability over time, and certainty ratings for future self-images and explore how affect and self-image valence, stability and certainty are associated in relation to the bipolar phenotype.

2. Method

2.1. Participants and procedures

Participants were recruited via advertisements in local newspapers and student groups in the Oxford area based on age (18–50) and scores on the Mood Disorders Questionnaire (MDQ, Hirschfeld et al., 2000) completed online. The MDQ was used to select groups with high versus low hypomanic experience (high=MDQ ≥ 7 versus low= ≤ 3) (Rock et al., 2010), who were then invited for further assessment at the University Department of Psychiatry, Warneford Hospital, Oxford, UK (study approved by the Research Ethics Committee South Central Oxford B:12/SC/0326). All participants underwent a Structured Clinical Interview for DSM IV-TR (SCID) (First et al., 2002). Exclusion criteria were current or past psychiatric history based on the SCID, any major neurological disorder, and any psychotropic medication. Participants excluded after SCID screening were: 14 participants with high MDQ due to BPSD or past/present depressive episode diagnosis and 4 participants with low MDQ due to past/present depressive episode or eating disorder diagnosis. Eligible participants completed a testing battery (including the measures reported below) immediately after the screening assessment and received reimbursement for their time. One participant failed to complete the full session, resulting in a total sample of 47 participants (66% female, mean age: 23.35, SD=6.07).

2.2. Measures

The Mood Disorders Questionnaire (MDQ, Hirschfeld et al., 2000) was used to assess BPSD vulnerability, with cut offs for high and low groups as outlined above. Affect was assessed in terms of depression (QIDS, Rush et al., 2003), anxiety (STAI-S, Spielberger

et al., 1983) and mania (ASRM; Altman et al., 1997). Current and future self-images were examined using two open-ended measures of the self (e.g. Rathbone et al., 2008; 2011). Participants completed 10 statements beginning 'I am...' and 10 beginning 'I will be...'. All self-images were rated from 0 to 100 for emotional valence (100=very positive) and temporal stability (e.g. 'How much of the time does this statement describe you?' or 'How much of the time in the future might this statement describe you?' (100=all of the time). I will be statements were also rated for certainty (100=very certain).

2.3. Statistical analyses

To test the hypothesis that MDQ group (high versus low) would moderate the relationship between affect (as measured by the QIDS, STAI-state, and ASRM) and self-images, hierarchical multiple regression analyses were performed. Scores on the QIDS, STAI-state and ARSM were entered in the first block, followed by three separate analyses in which the second block examined the interaction between MDQ group and a) QIDS, b) STAI-state, and c) ASRM, respectively. This approach was taken for all regression analyses reported below (only significant results are discussed).

3. Results

Mean affect scores and self-image ratings are shown in Table 1. As expected, the high MDQ group reported significantly higher depression (QIDS) and mania (ASRM) scores than the low MDQ group.

All participants reported at least one positive current and future self-image. However, and in line with predictions, 75% of the participants in the high MDQ group generated at least one negative current self-image, compared to 48% of participants in the low MDQ group ($\chi^2[1, N=47]=3.67, p=.055$). There was no significant difference ($p=.48$) between groups in the proportion of

Table 1
Participant demographics and mean self-image valence, stability and certainty subscale scores.

Scale	Low MDQ (N=23) Mean (SD)	High MDQ (N=24) Mean (SD)	t	Cohen's d
Age	23.43 (4.62)	22.46 (5.30)	0.67 [*]	/
Female: Male	15: 8	16: 8	/	/
Years of education	16.22 (2.34)	16.08 (2.70)	0.18	/
QIDS	2.26 (2.05)	6.83 (5.26)	3.96 ^{**}	–1.03
STAI-S	30.00 (9.53)	35.08 (11.99)	1.61	–0.46
ASRM	1.00 (1.31)	4.17 (2.57)	5.36 ^{**}	–1.55
Current self-image valence	73.79 (12.68)	66.70 (11.89)	1.98	0.57
Future self-image valence	84.24 (11.30)	82.98 (11.14)	0.39	0.11
Current positive self-image stability	82.55(7.24)	79.03 (10.17)	1.36	0.39
Current negative self-image stability	65.61 (20.63)	67.81 (13.58)	–0.35	–0.12
Future positive self-image stability	78.79 (10.78)	78.04 (10.52)	0.24	0.07
Future negative self-image stability	64.44 (15.03)	58.89 (19.17)	0.42	0.32
Future positive self-image certainty	74.84 (10.76)	72.37 (12.10)	0.74	0.21
Future negative self-image certainty	73.33 (15.28)	62.50 (14.67)	1.03	0.72

Note. Degrees of freedom were 45 for all scores apart from QIDS (30.1) and ASRM (34.6) following correction for equality of variances (Levene's test)

^{*} $p < .05$.

^{**} $p < .001$.

Table 2
Hierarchical regression analyses.

Predictor variables	Valence of current self-image	Stability of negative current self-image	Certainty of positive future self-image
Standardised Betas			
Model 1:			
QIDS	–.381*	–0.216	–.338*
STAI-S	–.318*	0.460	–0.193
ASRM	0.197	–0.044	0.304*
Model 2:			
QIDS × Group	0.122	–0.449	–0.204
STAI-S × Group	0.097	–.476*	0.065
ASRM × Group	0.033	–.719**	0.385*

Note. Group=high MDQ versus low MDQ. Grand mean-centred scores were used. All regressions (separate regressions for each potential interaction (a, b, c) included a first step, Model 1, and a second step, Model 2. Model 2 analysed each potential interaction (a, b, c) by adding the interaction term to the Model 1, plus Group, predictors (Model 2). As the purpose of the Model 2 regressions was to investigate potential interactions between Group and Mood, only these regression coefficients are reported here. For valence of current self-image, Model 1: $F=6.61$, $p=.001$; Model 2: QIDS × Group $F=4.47$, $p=.002$; STAI-S × Group $F=4.48$, $p=.002$; ASRM × Group $F=4.34$, $p=.002$; For stability of negative current self-image Model 1 $F=1.79$, $p=.17$; Model 2: QIDS × Group $F=1.83$, $p=.138$; STAI-S × Group $F=2.72$, $p=.039$; ASRM × Group $F=3.16$, $p=.021$; For certainty of positive future self-image Model 1: $F=3.57$, $p=.020$; Model 2: QIDS × Group $F=2.47$, $p=.045$; STAI-S × Group $F=2.25$, $p=.064$; ASRM × Group $F=3.39$, $p=.011$.

* $p < .05$.

** $p < .01$.

participants generating negative future self-images (13% of low MDQ produced at least one negative future self-image, compared to 21% of high MDQ). There were no significant differences in direct between group comparisons on the self-image subscale measures (Table 1).

First we examine self-image emotional valence. Critically, as shown in Table 2, the QIDS and the STAI-state were significant predictors of self-image valence: the lower the ratings for depression and anxiety, the more positive the self-image score.

Next we examine self-image stability. There were significant moderating effects of MDQ group on the relationship between STAI-state score and ASRM score and stability of negative self-images. This indicates that, for those with high MDQ scores, the relationship between affect and perception of the stability of negative self-images is different compared to those with low MDQ scores.

Finally, certainty ratings for positive future self-images were significantly predicted by the QIDS and ASRM, and there was a significant moderating effect of group on the relationship between ASRM and certainty ratings. Thus, again, there was an effect of BPSD phenotype on the relationship between affect and perceptions of the self in the future.

4. Discussion

Results suggest that a bipolar phenotype can shape images of the present and future self in young people. This is the first study, to our knowledge, to examine the valence and stability over time of current and future self-images, and certainty ratings for future self-images, and explore their relationship with affect in a group of young people with and without hypomanic experiences. We showed that the presence of a bipolar phenotype modifies (1) the relationship between affect and the stability of present negative self-images and (2) the relationship between affect and the certainty of positive future self-images; moreover, (3) levels of low

mood and anxiety predict the valence of present self-images only, regardless of bipolar phenotype.

The bipolar phenotype predicted the degree of association between affect (both in terms of anxiety and manic features) and the stability of negative current self-images. This suggests that, for young people with hypomanic experiences, there is a different relationship between how anxious or elated they are and how stable their current negative self-images feel, compared to individuals without hypomanic experiences. For example, individuals in the high MDQ group reported negative self-images such as “*most of the time ... I am shy, a worrier, quiet, and traditional*”, regardless of their affect state. Instead, individuals in the low MDQ group would generate negative self-images such as “*I am often ... lazy, disorganised, slow at doing things*” only when presenting with higher anxiety scores. This is consistent with previous evidence of patients with BPSD maintaining a higher number of dysfunctional assumptions about the self after a positive mood induction compared to healthy controls (Lomax and Lam, 2001). A better understanding of this relationship using experimental manipulations may inform psychological treatment improvement. For example, it is possible that cognitive restructuring strategies for BPSD need to intervene directly on the malleability of negative self-images regardless of mood.

Interestingly, BPSD phenotype also predicted the degree of association between elated mood features and certainty ratings about positive future self-images. In those with hypomanic experiences the interaction between elated mood and how certain they feel about positive future self-images was different compared to those without. For example, at similar scores of elated mood a high MDQ participant described their future self-image as “*I will possibly be a mother, a wife, famous, able to drive, physically strong, relaxed, a writer and able to cook*”, while a low MDQ participant generated future self-image such as “*I will certainly be a graduate, a wife, a mother, a specialised psychologist, career driven, more independent, a role model (for my children)*.” Further investigations of this association may help understand how mania relates to grandiose future projections (or vice versa), consistent with previous data on hyper-positive sense of self (Lee et al., 2010). Previous research highlights biases in future cognitions in BPSD, in particular mental images of the future and their emotional impact (Deeprouse et al., 2011; Ivins et al., 2014). Our findings are the first to identify the potential role of certainty estimations. Future studies need to clarify whether BPSD is characterised by feeling increasingly certain about positive self-images becoming true as mood gets ‘high’, or whether greater uncertainty about a positive future self is unaffected by mood state. Alternatively, uncertainty about future self may directly contribute to mood instability. As the transition into mania remains poorly understood, investigating these cognitive mechanisms is key for mania relapse prevention.

As expected, individuals with a BPSD phenotype presented higher levels of both subsyndromal depressive and manic symptoms over the previous week, compared to those without hypomanic experiences. However these differences in affect did not correspond with self-image characteristics. In fact, the valence of current (but not future) self-images was predicted by negative affect regardless of BPSD phenotype. These data indicates that higher levels of low mood and anxiety, even within subsyndromal range, account for rating self-images as more intensely negative and less intensely positive. Instead, the valence ratings of future self-images were not associated with current affect. This suggests that when people imagine themselves in the future they can detach from their current mood, consistent with the role of projection as a strategy to overcome present difficulties and regulate emotion, fostering hopefulness and optimism (Szpunar et al., 2014). Critically, this self-regulation ability appears to be preserved in young individuals with hypomanic experiences. In fact, while

more participants in the BPSD phenotype group (75%) were likely to endorse negative images of the current self compared to the group without hypomanic experiences (less than 50%), almost none – regardless of group – had negative images of the future self.

5. Limitations

This was a non-clinical sample of young adults assessed for hypomanic experiences, which limits the extension of this work to clinical levels of psychopathology. This study cannot address the causal relationships between affect, self-images, and BPSD. Future work should use clinical samples and experimental mood manipulation designs in order to address these limitations.

6. Conclusions

The self-images we use to define ourselves are closely linked to affect and well-being. BPSD phenotype presents with both alterations and resilience in how self-images and mood shape each other. Further investigations could elucidate how this relationship is affected by illness progression and offer targets for early interventions based on experimental cognitive models.

Acknowledgements

Martina Di Simplicio is supported by a Medical Research Council Career development Fellowship and was supported by an Equilibrium Foundation donation. Clare Rathbone is supported by the Economic and Social Research Council (ES/K000918/1). Emily Holmes is supported by the Medical Research Council (United Kingdom) intramural programme [MC-A060-5PR50], and a Wellcome Trust Clinical Fellowship [WT088217], and the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Oxford Biomedical Research Centre Programme. The views expressed are those of the authors and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health. We would like to thank William Kerrigan for his contribution to the data collection.

References

- Altman, E.G., Hedeker, D., Peterson, J.L., Davis, J.M., 1997. The Altman self-rating mania scale. *Biol. Psychiatry* 42 (10), 948–955.
- Beck, A.T., 1967. *Depression: Clinical, Experimental and Theoretical Assumptions*. Harper and Row, New York.
- Bennouna-Greene, M., Berna, F., Conway, M.A., Rathbone, C.J., Vidailhet, P., Danion, J.M., 2012. Self-images and related autobiographical memories in schizophrenia. *Conscious. Cogn.* 21 (1), 247–257.
- Bonanno, G.A., Papa, A., Lalande, K., Westphal, M., Coifman, K., 2004. The importance of being flexible: the ability to enhance and suppress emotional expression predicts long-term adjustment. *Psychol. Sci.* 15, 482–487.
- Burnett Heyes, S., Lau, J.Y., Holmes, E.A., 2013. Mental imagery, emotion and psychopathology across child and adolescent development. *Dev. Cogn. Neurosci.* 5, 119–133.
- Deeprouse, C., Malik, A., Holmes, E.A., 2011. Measuring intrusive prospective imagery using the Impact of Future Events Scale (IFES): psychometric properties and relation to risk for bipolar disorder. *Int. J. Cogn. Ther.* 4 (2), 187–196.
- Di Simplicio, M., McInerney, J.E., Goodwin, G.M., Attenburrow, M.J., Holmes, E.A., 2012. Revealing the mind's eye: bringing (mental) images into psychiatry. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 169 (12), 1245–1246.
- First, M.B., Spitzer, R.L., Gibbon, M., Williams, J.B.W., 2002. *Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV-TR Axis I Disorders, Research Version, Patient Edition*. (SCID-I/P). Biometrics Research, New York State Psychiatric Institute, New York.
- Fitzgerald, J.M., 1988. Vivid memories and the reminiscence phenomenon—the role of a self narrative. *Hum. Dev.* 31 (5), 261–273.
- Hirschfeld, R.M.A., Williams, J.B.W., Spitzer, R.L., Calabrese, J.R., Flynn, L., Keck, P.E., Lewis, L., McElroy, S.L., Post, R.M., Rappaport, D.J., Russell, J.M., Sacks, G.S., Zajecka, J., 2000. Development and validation of a screening instrument for bipolar spectrum disorder: the Mood Disorder Questionnaire. *Am. J. Psychiatry* 157, pp. 1873–1875.
- Holmes, E.A., Geddes, J.R., Colom, F., Goodwin, G.M., 2008. Mental imagery as an emotional amplifier: application to bipolar disorder. *Behav. Res. Ther.* 46 (12), 1251–1258.
- Holmes, E.A., Deeprouse, C., Fairburn, C.G., Wallace-Hadrill, S.M., Bonsall, M.B., Geddes, J.R., Goodwin, G.M., 2011. Mood stability versus mood instability in bipolar disorder: a possible role for emotional mental imagery. *Behav. Res. Ther.* 49 (10), 707–713.
- Ivins, A., Di Simplicio, M., Close, H., Goodwin, G.M., Holmes, E.A., 2014. Mental imagery in bipolar affective disorder versus unipolar depression: investigating cognitions at times of 'positive' mood. *J. Affect. Disord.* 166, 234–242.
- Jobson, L., O'Kearney, R., 2008. Cultural differences in personal identity in post-traumatic stress disorder. *Br. J. Clin. Psychol.* 47, 95–109.
- Kashdan, T., Rottenberg, J., 2010. Psychological flexibility as a fundamental aspect of health. *Clin. Psychol. Rev.* 30 (7), 865–878.
- Lam, D., Wright, K., Sham, P., 2005. Sense of hyper-positive self and response to cognitive therapy in bipolar disorder. *Psychol. Med.* 35 (1), 69–77.
- Lardi Robyn, C., Ghisletta, P., Van der Linden, M., 2012. Self-defining memories and self-defining future projections in hypomania-prone individuals. *Conscious Cogn.* 21 (2), 764–774.
- Lee, R., Lam, D., Mansell, W., Farmer, A., 2010. Sense of hyper-positive self, goal-attainment beliefs and coping strategies in bipolar I disorder. *Psychol. Med.* 40 (6), 967–975.
- Lomax, C.L., Lam, D., 2001. Investigation into activation of dysfunctional schemas in euthymic bipolar disorder following positive mood induction. *Br. J. Clin. Psychol.* 50 (2), 115–126.
- Mansell, W., Scott, J., 2006. Dysfunctional beliefs in individuals with bipolar disorders. In: Jones, S.H., Bentall, R.P. (Eds.), *The Psychology of Bipolar Disorder. New Developments and Research Strategies*. Oxford University Press, New York, pp. 73–90.
- Markus, H., Kunda, Z., 1986. Stability and malleability of the self-concept. *J. Personal. Soc. Psychol.* 51 (4), 858–866.
- Merikangas, K.R., Jin, R., He, J.P., Kessler, R.C., Lee, S., Sampson, N.A., Viana, M.C., Andrade, L.H., Hu, C., Karam, E.G., Ladea, M., Medina-Mora, M.E., Ono, Y., Posada-Villa, J., Sagar, R., Wells, J.E., Zarkov, Z., 2011. Prevalence and correlates of bipolar spectrum disorder in the world mental health survey initiative. *Arch. Gen. Psychiatry* 68 (3), 241–251.
- Rathbone, C.J., Conway, M.A., Moulin, C.J.A., 2011. Remembering and imagining: the role of the self. *Conscious. Cogn.* 20 (4), 1175–1182.
- Rathbone, C.J., Holmes, E.A., Murphy, S.E., Ellis, J.A., 2015. Autobiographical memory and well-being in aging: the central role of semantic self-images. *Conscious. Cogn.* 33, 422–431.
- Rathbone, C.J., Moulin, C.J.A., Conway, M.A., 2008. Self-centred memories: the reminiscence bump and the self. *Mem. Cogn.* 36 (8), 1403–1414.
- Rush, A.J., Trivedi, M.H., Ibrahim, H.M., Carmody, T.J., Arnow, B., Klein, D.N., Markowitz, J.C., Ninan, P.T., Kornstein, S., Manber, R., Thase, M.E., Kocsis, J.H., Keller, M.B., 2003. The 16-item Quick Inventory of Depressive Symptomatology (QIDS) Clinician Rating (QIDS-C) and Self-Report (QIDS-SR): a psychometric evaluation in patients with chronic major depression. *Biol. Psychiatry* 54, 573–583.
- Rock, P.L., Goodwin, G.M., Harmer, C.J., 2010. The common adolescent bipolar phenotype shows positive biases in emotional processing. *Bipolar Disord.* 12 (6), 606–615.
- Spielberger, C.D., Gorsuch, R.L., Lushene, P.R., Vagg, P.R., Jacobs, G.A., 1983. *Manual for the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory*. Consulting Psychologists Press, Inc., Palo Alto, CA.
- Szpunar, K.K., Spreng, R.N., Schacter, D.L., 2014. A taxonomy of prospection: introducing an organizational framework for future-oriented cognition. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 111 (52), 18414–18421.
- Thase, M.E., Kingdon, D., Turkington, D., 2014. The promise of cognitive behavior therapy for treatment of severe mental disorders: a review of recent developments. *World Psychiatry* 13 (3), 244–250.
- Weinstein, N.D., 1980. Unrealistic optimism about future life events. *J. Personal. Soc. Psychol.* 39, 806–820.
- Whitney, J., Joormann, J., Gotlib, I.H., Kelley, R.G., Acquaye, T., Howe, M., Chang, K.D., Singh, M.K., 2012. Information processing in adolescents with bipolar I disorder. *J. Child Psychol. Psychiatry* 53 (9), 937–945.