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**Assignment Title Page**

**Outcomes Based Commissioning**

**The Redesign of Contract Monitoring Processes for a Move-on and Enablement Service for Young People in Mirkwood**

1. **Project Rationale**

**1.1 Background of the Move-on and Enablement Service**

The Mirkwood Move-on and Enablement Service is a supported housing scheme for 18 to 25-year-olds living with learning disabilities in Mirkwood, one of Rivendell’s six districts (Action for Children, 2022).

The service aims to empower young people to develop independence skills, take responsibility for their lives and manage their transition from adolescence to adulthood (Action for Children, 2022). The overarching aim is for the young person to develop the skills to live in their own homes with minimal support within two years, by moving onto a more independent setting or home. This aligns with Rivendell County Council’s aim to help people prevent, reduce, and delay their care needs (Rivendell County Council, 2018).

The council have funded this service, delivered by Action for Children, for 20 years. In April 2022, the contract was retendered and after a comprehensive tender process, Action for Children were successfully awarded the contract. This contract commenced on the 1st of October 2022, running for three years with an option to extend by a further two.

Due to the new contract, we felt reviewing monitoring processes of the service was pertinent to ensure it continued to meet bespoke outcomes for Individual’s and provided sufficient value for money for the council.

**1.2 Guidance Driving this Project**

Local and national guidance drives this project. This includes:

**1.2.1 The Care Act (2014)**

The Care Act (2014) ensures local authorities provide services for people that prevent care needs becoming more serious (Department of Health and Social Care, 2016). Additionally, services must focus on the Individual’s wellbeing (Department of Health and Social Care, 2014a), and should prevent or delay needs for formal care and support (Department of Health and Social Care, 2014b).

The service specification is aligned to these priorities, and contract monitoring documents should ensure the provider effectively achieves these objectives whilst identifying opportunities for continuous improvement.

**1.2.2 Three Tier Conversation and Enhanced Independence**

Rivendell County Council’s Market Position Statement shows the council aim to help people prevent, reduce, and delay their care needs. This model promotes self-care and time limited support, before long-term solutions are explored (Rivendell County Council, 2018).

A screenshot of the Three-Tier Conversation (Rivendell County Council, 2018) is shown in appendix one. The Move-on Service sits within tier two, providing help to learn life skills and build strengths for the future (Rivendell County Council, 2018). The monitoring process must ensure this outcome is achieved, leading to increased independence for Individual’s using this service.

**1.2.3 CQC**

Inspections for local authority adult social care departments will be reintroduced by the government (Carter, 2021). This means the Care Quality Commission (CQC) will be responsible for reviewing, assessing, and reporting on adult social care functions, including prevention, information and advice, support, and market shaping (Local Government Association, 2022). This project must adhere to the principles set out by the CQC and monitoring documents should be robust enough to evidence prevention and support.

**1.3 Best Practice**

**1.3.1 Coproduction and Personalisation**

Boyle and Harris (2009) define coproduction as delivering public services through equal and reciprocal relationships between professionals, people using the service and their families. Boyle and Harris state when outcomes and services are coproduced, they become more effective (cited by Fairlie, 2015). In this paper, Fairlie states there are opportunities to implement coproduction with people who use services at all levels, concluding it is empowering for those involved, solicits insight from those who would not otherwise have a voice, helps identify blind spots, and produces better services.

Elwyn et al (2020) agree, stating coproduction increases satisfaction and enables cost-effective, high-quality care services.

This shows to implement best practice, I should coproduce contract monitoring processes, outcomes, and measurable key performance indicators.

**1.3.2 Commissioning for Outcomes**

The Financial Conduct Authority (2015) found a commissioning approach focusing on services rather than outcomes does not guarantee effective preventative results. Instead, the report promotes an outcomes-based commissioning approach, moving away from measuring outputs of the service to considering whether the service achieves its intended impact. In practice, this means moving away from measuring the number of residents using the service, to understanding whether after two years they have developed the skills to live independently.

To do this, outcome indicators must be used to measure effectiveness. The distance travelled towards achievement of each outcome can be tracked alongside long-term targets (New Economics Foundation, 2009). This can be implemented by using tools such as the Outcomes Star (Outcomes Star, 2022).

**1.4 Commissioning Arrangements Self-Assessment**

Extracts from my Commissioning Arrangements Self-Assessment are shown in appendix two.

The assessment shows I must ensure the contract monitoring process is better equipped to measure outcomes and value of the service, to ensure our commissioning practice and the providers service continuously improves via critical reflection. I must also move from measuring outputs to measuring outcomes.

**1.5 Further Challenges this Project Addresses: Transitions**

The recruitment and retention challenges in Health and Social Care are well documented. In 2021, the Migration Advisory Committee were commissioned to undertake a review of adult social care and how these difficulties could be addressed. The report found Brexit and COVID-19 has enhanced recruitment challenges. This is further evidenced by the Department of Education, who surveyed managers in 2021, and found there were a limited number of people who were qualified and experienced candidates within the sector.

Due to these shortages, a proportion of support for young people is commissioned via spot purchase, whilst adults support is commissioned via a framework agreement. This leads to providers charging different rates and creates obstacles when young people transition to adulthood because providers delivering their support are reluctant to accept the different rate.

The Move-on Service addresses this gap, whilst supporting eligible young people to develop skills to live a more independent life. Effectively monitoring the value of the service will enable the council to consider whether duplicating this scheme in other districts could effectively address transition challenges in the future.

**1.6 Kotter’s Eight-Step Change Model**

For this project, I will implement Kotter’s Eight-Step Change Management Model (Kotter, 2012).

**Paraphrased, Kotter’s Eight-Step Change Model is as follows:**

1. Create a sense of urgency.
2. Form a team to implement the change process.
3. Form an appropriate vision and strategy.
4. Communicate the vision.
5. Engage and empower relevant stakeholders.
6. Produce short-term wins.
7. Consolidate gains.
8. Embed changes and culture.

My project plan is shown in appendix three, which follows Kotter’s Eight-Step Change Model.

The first step is to create a sense of urgency for the change, summarised in section 1.7.

**1.7 Objectives of this Project**

The overarching aims of this project are:

1. Redesign the contract monitoring processes for the Mirkwood Move-on Service, ensuring work aligns to national guidance and council strategy.
2. Measure outcomes such as independence against achievable key performance indicators.
3. Coproduce processes with Individuals using services, the provider, colleagues, and other professionals where appropriate.
4. Effectively measure the value of the service, investigating whether it could be an effective way of supporting young people to transition into adulthood.
5. Improve my commissioning practice.

This project fits within the ‘review’ quadrant of the Institute of Public Care Commissioning Cycle (cited by National Commissioning Board, 2017) and shown in appendix four. This includes contract monitoring, review individual outcomes, and review strategic outcomes.

During the project, I will implement accessible working and communication practice, to ensure the documents are coproduced in a way that is inclusive. This will ensure equality and diversity targets are met.

1. **Demonstrate Appropriate Commissioning Practice: A Commentary on Key Activities Carried Out**

I was responsible for designing and implementing a contract monitoring process for the Mirkwood Move-on Service, achieving the objectives set in section 1.7.

**2.1 Implementing Change – Building a Team and Sharing a Vision**

Kotter (2012, p.54) writes to implement change, a “strong guiding coalition is always needed.” Burnes (2004) states effective communication is not just informing people impacted about the change but involving them in discussions about proposed change (cited in Parkin, 2009).

This shows the importance of building a team and considering the vision for change. This included the provider and staff, who formed the team alongside the Senior Commissioning Manager and I, Experts-by-Experience and the Individuals who live at the setting.

In a meeting in August, I discussed our objectives (outlined in section 1.7), and we considered why change was needed. This conversation was driven by a Force Field Analysis, a model developed by Lewin (1951) to consider forces for change verses forces resisting change (cited in Shani and Noumair, 2019).

The Force Field Analysis enabled the team to develop a shared vision, which Kotter (2012) proclaims is paramount to clarifying the direction of change, motivates stakeholders to action, and helps coordinate activities.

The Force Field Analysis is shown in appendix five. A summary is presented below.

**Table One: Summary of the Force Field Analysis**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Forces for Change** | **Forces Resisting Change** |
| * Evidence achievement of outcomes. * Evidence value for money, protecting the services future. * Evidence future demand. * Evidence prevention or delay of health and care needs. * Coproduce new processes, so the Individuals voice is heard. * Continuous improvement. * Evidence best practice for CQC inspections. * Consider if the service is a solution to barriers to transition. | * Capacity to redesign process. * Capacity to implement a new process. * Reluctance to change existing and established monitoring processes. * Learning a new process. * Threat to power. |

An extract of the meeting minutes is shown in appendix six and a strategy including actions going forwards was listed. This included meeting with residents, Experts-by-Experience, the service leadership team, and colleagues who were responsible for collating the monitoring information. During this meeting, I communicated the vision to stakeholder groups, removing potential barriers to effective implementation of the new monitoring and contract management processes. This minimised resisting forces shown in the Force-Field Analysis.

During the meeting, we discussed what the key performance indicators to be reviewed. They included the objectives outlined in the service specification, such as move on within two years, social value, supporting Individuals to achieve bespoke outcomes and determining the value and efficiency of the service.

**2.2 Engaging with Stakeholders**

Section 1.3.1 describes how coproducing the monitoring and contract management processes was critical. A Swedish study explained services should always be coproduced, a joint activity leading to co-creation, co-designing, co-delivering, co-assessing, and co-evaluating. The study concludes this process improves the Individuals and next-of-kin perspectives (Hedberg *et al.,* 2022). This shows the value of embedding coproduction in my work.

**2.2.1 How I Prepared to Implement Coproduction**

I met with a colleague (Senior Commissioning Manager – Autism) who leads workshops for our team regarding effective coproduction. This allowed me to improve my understanding of coproduction best practice. Meeting minutes are shown in appendix seven.

I discussed outcomes-based commissioning, and the Warwick-Edinburgh Mental Wellbeing Scale (Warwick Medical School, 2020) and Outcomes Star (Outcomes Star, 2022) were recommended.

Importantly, my colleague highlighted coproduction doesn’t end once the documents are designed. Going forwards, we must hear the Individuals voice throughout the quality and monitoring process, including in all quarterly reports. This changed my thinking, as previously I hadn’t considered the involvement of Individuals in the process post development of the documents. My focus changed to how Individuals would have their voice heard for the duration of the contract.

Additionally, I enrolled in a personalisation course, hosted by the Social Care Institute for Excellence (2017). The course highlighted the importance of ensuring Individuals can design the support they need and explained professionals must facilitate this.

**Key learning outcomes included:**

* Coproduction leads to better services.
* Coproduced services are more likely to achieve better outcomes.
* Effective coproduction means working with people and families as equal partners.

My personalisation course notes are shown in appendix eight.

To ensure my communication was effective, I enrolled in a communication course hosted by the Social Care Institute for Excellence (2008). The course explained Individuals often feel professionals listen, but don’t hear what is being communicated. This made me consider tokenism. Sweeney (2009) states this is where Individual’s participate to ‘tick the box’ for service user involvement, rather than having an opportunity to contribute meaningfully (cited in Barnes and Cotterell, 2011). To ensure an equal partnership when designing these tools, Barnes and Cotterell (2011) recommend working cooperatively throughout the process, being transparent, and considering the capabilities and skills of everyone.

**Other key learning outcomes from this course included:**

* Overcoming barriers to effective communication.
* Effective communication techniques.

My learning from this course is shown in appendix nine.

**2.2.3 Meeting with Experts-by-Experience**

I met with Experts-by-Experience, including existing residents of the setting, and a previous resident who has since moved onto independent living. The meeting minutes are shown in appendix ten.

I opened the meeting by introducing myself and explaining my role, what we wanted to learn from the engagement and how it would improve the service in the future.

I ensured the questions were accessible, as people must receive information in a way they understand (NHS, 2018). The questions were:

1. What is important to you?
2. How should we evaluate the service?
3. Would you like to be more involved in this?

The questions were broad, allowing for flexible conversations amongst participants.

Key learning points from meeting with Experts-by-Experience included:

* Review the providers ‘Outcomes Shape’ and use it to communicate outcomes during monitoring.
* Everyone’s outcomes are different, and it is impossible to list them all in one meeting. I must ensure the Outcomes Shape is flexible enough to consider new or different outcomes as people join the service.
* Individuals are to be more involved in measuring achievement of their outcomes.
* Individuals want to communicate with commissioners, including through the monitoring documents and in face-to-face meetings.

**2.3 Creating Short-Term Wins**

Following the meeting with Experts-by-Experience, I took time to draft the monitoring documents. When they were ready, I scheduled a meeting with the Action for Children Service Manager and Administrator to ascertain their opinion on the new document.

The team were anxious, as the forums required more detail than historic monitoring processes. I promised to work alongside them until they were confident enough to complete the documents on their own.

We met on 10 October 2022, and despite quarter two (July – September) not being covered by the new contract, decided it would be good to present the quarters monitoring information in the new format in preparation for the contract start date. I discussed what was presented in the document, how we had decided this information was important (historic meetings with the project team and Experts-by-Experience), and gave them an opportunity to identify weaknesses, challenges, and better ways of presenting this information.

After discussing the process, adjusting the forms, and altering measures, we worked through the document. The team members were satisfied the process was realistic and achievable. They completed the document to a good standard, creating a short-term win that increased motivation for change. Minutes are shown in appendix eleven.

A week later, during a project meeting, the team reaffirmed they were happy with the new process and bought into the benefits that came with it. This included presenting the value of the service which contributed towards evidencing demand and their achievements, recognition for the quality of their work, and improving practice for the Individuals they support. The process encouraged them to think critically about their provision, leading to continuous improvement. The feedback I received evidenced the team had bought into the new processes and aligned to Herzberg’s (1976) two-factor theory on motivation, which states achievement, recognition, responsibility, and advancement can lead to job satisfaction and increased performance (cited in Miner, 2005).

**2.4 Consolidating Gains and Embedding Changes in Culture**

Kotter (2012) states overcoming resistance to change takes time, and many forces can stall progress short of the initiatives being fully implemented.

This means I must continue to generate short-term wins, and work together to embed the new monitoring processes into practice in the future to achieve the full benefits of this change process.

1. **Critical Reflection of the Effectiveness of my Commissioning Practice and the Impact Within My Organisation.**

Critical thinking is described as a way of improving professional practice, by reviewing and conducting a self-assessment on performance (Smith, 2011).

Brookfield (1987) states critical reflection supports professional development through assessing decisions and outcomes, leading to continuous improvement in service delivery (cited in Smith, 2011).

The following section critically evaluates the effectiveness of my practice, considering the strengths and weaknesses of activities outlined in section two.

**3.1 Creating a Sense of Urgency, Forming a Team, Developing and Communicating the Vision**

**3.1.1 Creating a Sense of Urgency**

A sense of urgency was created alongside other commissioners, and this was communicated effectively to stakeholders, as shown in section 2.1.

However, the change objectives listed in part 1.7 of this report were not developed in partnership with other stakeholders, including the provider or Individuals using the service.

The definition of coproduction in section one shows it involves equal and reciprocal relationships, meaning Individuals and the provider should have been involved in the creation of objectives to create a sense of urgency. Not involving Individuals threatened the effectiveness of coproduction and meant their involvement could have been described as participation, when Individuals make suggestions that professionals are responsible for implementing (Mind, 2022).

I acknowledged Individuals input would shape the service and monitoring processes, but in the future, I must consult them on the driving need to implement these changes, and not create them solely from a commissioner’s perspective.

Despite this, implementing Lewin’s Force-Field Analysis (cited in Shani and Noumair, 2019) allowed me to consult the full project team, communicate the need to change, and enabled the group to develop a shared vision. This pushed the project forwards, led to colleagues supporting the objectives and motivated them to action (Kotter, 2012). Taghizadegan (2013) agrees, stating the Force-Field Analysis energises people to achieve project goals.

**3.1.2 Managing Change**

Understanding emotions and how change impacts colleagues is an important part of change management and effective leadership (Wiggins and Hunter, 2016).

I considered the thoughts and feelings of stakeholders, particularly the providers team who were responsible for actioning new processes. In section 2.3, after a meeting in October, I notice the team were anxious about the change and I committed to working alongside them to implement the project. This was undoubtably the correct action, as by the end of this meeting and during a project meeting a week later, the team communicated they were happy with the new process and understood the value of the change. This shows these activities led to successful implementation of change.

However, recognising the teams concern about the change and adjusting how I would work with them came relatively late. We had already met and discussed what was required, and I had spoken to Experts-by-Experience.

On reflection, I should have considered the Kubler-Ross Change Curve (cited in Wiggins and Hunter, 2016). This model supports leaders to understand change, the stages people go through, and can be used to help people adapt to change (Belyh, 2022). Considering this model more rigorously would have enabled me to identify team members anxiety about their own capability sooner and supported them to move through the process.

I had thought team members may be reluctant to implement new processes, but I hadn’t factored they may be concerned about their own capability. Furthermore, I was surprised a team member was still in the early stages of the cycle in October. However, Wiggins and Hunter (2016) state people move through the cycle of emotions at different speeds. I must acknowledge this in my future practice.

This suggests I could improve my emotional intelligence, particularly the social dimension of emotional intelligence (Sterrett, 2000). This includes:

* Empathy – reading and understandings others.
* Motivation – having a positive outlook, taking the initiative and inspiring others.
* Social competency – establishing a rapport, having positive relationships, and acting with integrity.

During this project, I feel I effectively motivated people and built strong relationships. However, I could improve my reading and understanding of others. This includes understanding nonverbal signs, for example facial expressions or body language (Cherry, 2022).

To improve emotional intelligence, Cherry (2022) recommends:

* Considering different factors that may be contributing to emotion if you sense a team member is uncomfortable.
* Place yourself in the other person’s shoes.
* Reflection – consider why people may be feeling a certain way.

**3.2 Engage with Relevant Stakeholders and Creating Short-Term Wins**

**3.2.1 Engaging with the Provider**

In section 3.1 I describe how my relationships with stakeholders could have been enhanced by more effective application of the Change Curve and improved emotional intelligence. This overlaps with this section.

I felt my relationships with stakeholders and the project team was strong throughout implementation of the monitoring processes. Effective communication was a leading factor for this and enabled me to build trust, which Kotter (2012) states is a critical component towards creating teamwork and effective change.

I communicated and met with the provider and team throughout this project and committed to supporting them to implement the changes myself, rather than expecting them to make the changes without training and support. I believe this created buy-in and motivation for the new processes, made the team feel valued and provided short-term wins when we were able to implement July, August, and September’s monitoring information into the new template. This meeting ended positively, and the providers team agreed the new process would support them with their own internal quality audits, providing value to their organisation as well as the council.

Giving the team an opportunity to adjust the forms, alter measures and input their thoughts enhanced motivation and made them feel part of the process as we were making the changes together. This is evidence of effective transformational leadership, which motivates people by encouraging them to use new ideas in their practice (Kalsoom, Khan and Zubair, 2018).

During this project, transformational leadership was evidenced by (East, 2018):

* Idealised influence – leading by example, setting high standards.
* Inspirational motivation – communicating and building a vision aligned with the goals of others.
* Intellectual stimulation – promoting creativity, innovation, and challenging the status quo.
* Individualised consideration – creation of a supporting environment.

These activities led to effective implementation of this project which will create a higher quality service.

**3.2.2 Engaging with Experts-by-Experience**

My engagement with Experts-by-Experience was effective. It helped me to understand that Individual’s using the service, now and in the future, would have bespoke outcomes. Therefore, the document produced was flexible enough to incorporate different objectives.

Enrolling into the training course ensured I listened to and considered the views of Experts-by-Experience, and I have committed to meeting and speaking to them at regular intervals in the future. In addition, the document has a space for them, so their voice will be heard at every opportunity going forwards. This ensures coproduction will be embedded in practice for the duration of this contract.

I used NHS guidance to ensure my questions were accessible. However, on reflection, I should have considered additional accessible formats, which could have enhanced Individual’s feedback and promoted equality. For example, I could have included a written, easy-read document supported by images for people who didn’t want to engage verbally (NHS 2018).

Finally, to enhance engagement, I could have consulted with local resources and partners such as Inclusion Rivendell, who provide a service supporting professionals to develop easy-read and accessible information (2022).

As an action, I have enrolled in Easy Read Training, delivered by Inclusion Rivendell. This will support me to improve my practice in the future.

**3.3 Impact for the Council**

This report has considered how the monitoring document will measure long-term value to the council, because of a reduced reliance on paid-for services through the development of independence related outcomes. However, as noted in section 1.2.3, the project must also consider a looming CQC inspection.

I feel the project, process and implementation of monitoring documents support the council’s desire for a positive inspection. The CQC website (2022) lists one of its aims as ‘people and communities’, meaning services are driven by Individual’s needs, focusing on what is important to them. The CQC (2022) also states it aims to prioritise “learning and improvement” and systems that “improve the quality of care.”

I feel my project effectively addresses these principles, and therefore the project has supported this service to be ready for inspection. Nevertheless, continuous improvement is important, and will be considered within the final section of this report.

1. **Reflective Commentary Demonstrating my Personal Development and Learning**

The final section of this report considers personal learning, and how my practice has changed since completing this course. To enhance my reflection, I will consider Driscoll’s Model of Reflection, which aims to support practitioners learn, better understand, reflect, and enhance their own practice (Driscoll and Teh, 2001). The model contains three questions applied in different stages to form a learning cycle. The questions are (Driscoll and Teh, 2001):

1. What?
2. So what?
3. Now what?

**4.1 What? A Description of the Event**

During this project, I have worked with a team made up of commissioners, the provider and their team members, and Experts-by-Experience, to coproduce new contract monitoring processes for the Mirkwood Move-on Service. To achieve this, I have implemented Kotter’s Eight-Step Change Model (Kotter, 2012).

The coproduced document measures Individuals bespoke independence skills and ability to live independently (the outcome). It reviews the long-term value of the service, impact it has on transitions in Rivendell, provides an opportunity for Individuals to give feedback and communicate with commissioners, and considers whether people who have used the service have moved onto independent or semi-independent living within two years (a key performance indicator). This meets all objectives listed in section 1.7.

**4.1.1 So What? An Analysis of the Event**

Through working alongside colleagues, implementing learning from this course and my additional reading, I have developed a better understanding of person-centred and person-focused commissioning. MacKeith (2007) states this includes focusing on the person using the service, the effectiveness of the service in delivering outcomes, evidencing the benefit (or value) of the service, achievement of bespoke outcomes, and promoting independence (cited in Chartered Institute of Housing, 2008). From my own perspective, this will lead to greater quality services and improve the Individuals quality of life.

The project has evidenced the benefits of coproduction to me, it is clear it leads to more effective services. This was evidenced by input from Experts-by-Experience, and their desire to be involved in monitoring and continuous improvement throughout the duration of the contract. I have learnt the value of their input and importance of their voice being heard throughout contract monitoring, not just in the creation of services and processes.

My future practice will be enhanced by learning about the difference between outputs and outcomes. The New Economics Foundation (2009) state after contract award, a monitoring framework must be implemented and capture performance against outcomes, a shift from monitoring output targets. The self-assessment (appendix two) identified this as an area of improvement for my organisation. Previously, commissioners have measured the number of people using and moving on from the service as a key performance indicator. This is an output. As a result of this project, monitoring considers progress towards long-term independence, how the service reduces the need for long-term care, and how the transition from childhood to adulthood has been enhanced. Embedding this outcomes-based commissioning will lead to effective services in the future.

I have prioritised working with Experts-by-Experience, so their voice will be heard throughout contract monitoring. Additionally, I have strengthened my relationships with the provider and their team, to ensure our goals were supported. This was enabled by development of communication skills and a better understanding of change management.

To manage change, I improved and tested my leadership skills, such as cooperation, being dependable, creative, diplomatic, knowledgeable, socially skilled and strong organisational skills (Stogdill, 1974 cited Arnold and Ukpere, 2014). Learning how to implement these skills has led to personal development, as it will enable me to be an effective leader in the future.

Overall, this project has supported me to implement a new contract monitoring process which has been coproduced, is outcomes focused and personalised.

Finally, in section 3.1.2 I critically review my management of change. It was not without challenge, and I didn’t recognise the emotions of others until further into the project than I should have. However, being able to reflect on this has improved my practice as I am now more aware of colleague’s thoughts and feelings. I will be more considerate of this in the future, which will enhance my management of change.

**4.3 Now What? Proposed Actions Following the Event**

Section 1.3.1 presented Boyle and Harris’s (2009) argument that coproduction leads to the achievement of better outcomes (cited by Fairlie, 2015). This project has shown that this, as well as the importance of different perspectives being considered, creates more effective services. In the future, this will improve my practice because coproduction will be considered where possible in my work, to improve the quality and effectiveness of provision.

The project has highlighted the importance of continuous improvement, the systematic and sustainable approach to enhancing the quality of care and outcomes of people who use services (KPMG International Limited, 2019). Continuous improvement will be part of my practice in the future, and is evidenced in the critical reflection of this project, including the following actions:

* Easy-read training to make communication more accessible.
* Improving emotional intelligence skills, so I can effectively implement change in the future.

Hoogveld (2017) states continuous improvement is everyone’s responsibility, and small, daily steps result in enhanced operational standards. I believe the monitoring document facilitates continuous improvement. However, going forwards more robust monitoring and contract management processes should be implemented within all contracts and services, so commissioners ensure bespoke outcomes for Individuals are achieved across Rivendell. This means reflecting on the impact of this project and updating contract management processes going forwards.
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