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Abstract

Purpose - This study investigates the use of gamification in promoting the silver generation’s adoption of mobile payment technology through the gamified cultural practice of gifting red packets. It considers the effectiveness of using gamification in a cultural context to promote technology acceptance among older adults. This crossover between digital technology and cultural traditions brings unique gaming elements to the adoption of technology.

Design/methodology/approach – Drawing upon Technology Acceptance Model (TAM) and prospect theory, a research model is evaluated using structural equation modeling. Data were collected via survey from elderly consumers who are current users of WeChat but are yet to use its mobile payment functions.

Findings - The results reveal the perceived effectiveness of gamification is determined by the perceived enjoyment of the game and contributes to users’ attitude development, directly and through its perceived usefulness. Perceived risks were identified as a barrier to converting positive attitude into adoption intention.

Research implications - The findings contribute to the conceptualization and understanding of the effectiveness of gamification in technology adoption, specifically among the silver generation.

Originality/value - In contrast with previous gamification studies on gamified experience, this study introduces a new conceptualization of the perceived effectiveness of gamification and its measurement. This study validates game engagement as being effective in encouraging seniors to adopt a technology. In an era of an aging population where digitization is a norm, improving the digital literacy and digital inclusion of elders by encouraging them to adopt technology is essential to developing a more accessible and inclusive social environment.
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1. Introduction

The ubiquity of smartphone adoption has led to the development of associated functions augmenting daily activities. Mobile payment is one such application which has digitized the payment method of individuals’ day-to-day transactions (Diniz et al., 2016; Liébana-Cabanillas et al., 2018; Masamila et al., 2010). Although consumers are benefiting from the ease and convenience of using this new payment mode, not all members of society have accepted and adopted it (Diniz et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2016; de Luna et al., 2019). As with the use of most electronic gadgets and technologies, the adoption rate of mobile payment among the silver generation (i.e. adults aged 50 and above) remains low, compared to the rest of the population (Choudrie et al., 2014; Mullin, 2018). While research acknowledges that advanced technology has been shown to play an important role in assisting older adults with their daily living and quality of life, a major challenge remains in integrating the appropriate use of such technologies into their lives (Choudrie et al., 2014; Kim et al., 2016; Lien and Cao, 2014). This challenge is exacerbated as mobile payment technologies and their interfaces continue to evolve and the digital inclusion gap continues to widen. To make new technologies usable and useful for older adults, it is important to examine the complex patterns involved in technology acceptance and use among this population. Although technology acceptance has been widely studied, research on how to accelerate the digital inclusion of the senior population efficiently remains scarce (Benson et al., 2018; Wang and Sun, 2016). The existing literature issues urgent calls to identify the group-specific factors that influence the silver generation’s adoption of mobile technology (Comunello et al., 2017).

In recent years, in order to encourage greater customer usage of and engagement in mobile payment technology, there has been a growing trend to develop business applications that are integrated with game features. Referred to as gamification, this appeals to user experience and creates active participation and adoption (Prestopnik and Crowston, 2011; Prestopnik et al., 2017). Gamification was initially implemented widely in technologies that involve health and well-being monitoring (e.g. gerontechnology) to encourage users’ engagement (Hamari and Koivisto, 2015; Johnson et al., 2016). Gamification is also increasingly being used in the financial sector to promote its services (Baptista and Oliveira, 2017). Although mobile payment has become commonplace in recent years, the application and effectiveness of gamification in facilitating mobile payment adoption has not been fully explored. In addition, gamification research is often associated with the younger generations (Wang and Sun, 2016; Skinner et al., 2018). The current, and limited, research into technology
acceptance by older adults has not yet considered the issue of gamification in promoting technology acceptance to any great extent (Benson et al., 2018).

To address these knowledge gaps, this study considers technology use specifically and investigates the effectiveness of gamification in influencing the silver generation’s adoption of mobile payment technology. China is one of the largest aging societies in the world and is also experiencing the rapid spread of mobile payment (United Nations, 2018). Commercial research suggests that in 2019 about half the world’s digital mobile payments were made in China, predominantly through the mobile payment apps Alipay and WeChat Pay (PwC, 2019). These leading service providers of mobile payment applications have embedded and gamified the cultural practice of gifting red packets (hongbao, which are monetary gifts placed in red envelopes) to facilitate the penetration of mobile payment in the country. This provides an important context in which to answer the research questions.

The objective of this study is threefold. First, the study assesses the effects of determinants related to the adoption and use of mobile payment among older adults. Second, the study examines the effectiveness of ‘gamified money’, underpinned by the concept of gamification in the augmentation of the adoption and usage of mobile payment among the silver generation. Third, by incorporating a context-specific technology resistance factor, this study also explores senior adults’ trade-off decisions in relation to mobile payment technology adoption. Referencing the technology adoption model (TAM) (Davis et al., 1989) and prospect theory (Kahneman and Tversky, 1979), this study contributes to the advancement of knowledge on the mechanism of how gamification can encourage the use of mobile payment and/or the latest technologies among the silver generation. Understanding the effects of gamification on mobile payment adoption further contributes to the development of the conceptualization of gamification, particularly its effectiveness from a user’s perspective. The study also sheds light on how to facilitate digital inclusion in an aging society through the digitalization of cultural traditions and the implementation of gaming elements in technology applications. The remaining sections of the paper are structured as follows. The paper first contextualizes the application of gamification in mobile payment technology within the research context. Drawing upon prior research on technology adoption and gamification, Section 3 develops the theoretical framework and Section 4 outlines the hypotheses. Section 5 explains the methodology and the data collection and analysis processes. Section 6 presents the analysis and results and Section 7 discusses the findings, the theoretical contributions and the practical implications of the research. The paper concludes with the limitations and suggestions for future research opportunities.
2. Background: mobile payment and gamification among the silver generation in China

2.1 Technology adoption among different generations

Existing research shows notable differences in the usage of technologies between younger and older generations (Chung et al., 2010; Metallo and Agrifoglio, 2015; Vodanovich et al., 2010). Studies within the information technology literature highlight how generational differences shape the entire process of technology acceptance and adoption (Burton-Jones and Hubona, 2006; Chung et al., 2010; Ha et al., 2007; Morris and Venkatesh, 2000). The motivations behind technology use, cognitive processes on learning how to use technologies, and the ways in which how technology designs are being perceived, are often the focus of investigations into technology adoption when comparing different generations (Fox and Connolly, 2018; Helsper, 2010; Norton and Bass, 1987; Selwyn, 2004; Vallespin et al., 2017).

Such differences across generations highlight that the mechanism of technology adoption is generation-specific; technology developers need to design distinctive technology penetration strategies targeting at different consumer age cohorts (Birnholtz, 2010; Glynn et al., 2012; Tilvawala et al., 2011; Vodanovich et al., 2010). Understanding that technology-usage behavior differs between generations provides practical implications to encourage the uptake of technology among different consumer age groups.

As mentioned earlier, the silver generation in this study refers to the population over the age of 50 (European Commission, 2018; Griesel, 2018). Referencing Prensky’s (2001) generational categories, members of the silver generation, who have had to adopt digital technology later in life, are termed ‘digital immigrants’. Growing up surrounded by and immersed in digital technology, Generation Y (those born after 1980) and Generation Z (born after 1995) constitute a distinctive group of ‘digital natives’ (Priporas et al., 2017; Zhang et al., 2017). Adoption and usage patterns of digital technology when comparing the silver and younger generations are vastly different due to their varying lifestyles and priorities. Digital natives are generally early adopters of new technologies and are often digitally savvy (Kim and Yang, 2016). Having been socialized in a technological environment that facilitates familiarity with digital services, they are often substantial users of mobile services (Hoffmann et al., 2014; Kumar and Lim, 2008). Digital immigrants, conversely, grew up having to adopt digital technology and are often skeptical toward the latest technologies (Wang et al., 2018). They experienced digitization at a later stage in their adult lives and may lack the technological fluency of digital natives. They are generally more averse to new technologies and/or are
passive users of digital technology (Hoffmann et al., 2014). However, the digital divide revealed during the recent outbreaks of COVID-19 highlights the importance and long-term implications of the inclusion of the elderly in societal progress (Conger and Griffith, 2020; Gallagher, 2020; Kominers, 2020).

Studies suggest that older people tend to be resistant to change and typically maintain the habits, behavior and lifestyle they are accustomed to following (Deng et al., 2014; Nikou, 2015). Consequently, the elderly might not be comfortable with the use of newer technologies and thus reluctant to engage with them. This is labeled ‘technology anxiety’ (Lim and Lee, 2010; Nikou, 2015) or ‘technophobia’ (Di Giacomo et al., 2019) in the literature and is a common phenomenon among the silver generation. The phenomenon often plays a crucial role in the perceptions of mobile technology adoption among the older generation (Lorenz and Oppermann, 2009; Pedlow et al., 2010; Seto et al., 2010). With their distinctive user habits and daily routines, digital immigrants give different meanings to mobile technology than do digital natives who grew up in a more recent technological environment (Nikou, 2015; Oksman, 2006).

Although a focus on traditional technology adoption and acceptance models provides important information, due to the physical and functional challenges experienced by older people, there is insufficient insight into the dynamics of their mobile payment technology adoption (Nikou, 2015). Studies on the adoption of mobile payment technology (e.g. Rodrigues, Costa and Oliveira, 2016; Rodrigues, Oliveira and Costa, 2016) suggest that gamification is one such relevant determinant. However, most gamified systems are conceptualized for a younger audience and do not account for perceptions of game elements among the aged (Altmeyer et al., 2018; Wang and Sun, 2016; Skinner et al., 2018). Studies also reveal that the use of game elements in non-game contexts resonates well with older adults and potentially influences their technology adoption decision (Altmeyer et al., 2018; Chesham et al., 2017). The literature recognizes the differences between the silver and younger generations in terms of technology adoption and highlights the limited knowledge of the effects of gamification among the older generation. Therefore, this study seeks to conceptualize a theoretical model to inform the design of gamified applications that are inclusive of the elderly and that they will find user friendly, thereby encouraging their extended use of mobile technology (e.g. mobile payment).

Additionally, with their growing spending power, older adults as a group represent a large part of the general consumer economy, termed the ‘silver economy’ (European Commission, 2018; Kumar and Lim, 2008). The silver generation is one of the main economic powers in the current market yet financial technology (fintech), as a facilitator of modern consumption, has not tapped fully into this consumer segment (Chaouali and Souiden, 2019).
The spending power of the silver generation is estimated to reach US$15 trillion in 2020 globally (Barclays, 2019; European Commission, 2018). With its potential spending power, attention needs to be paid to the silver generation to better understand its perceptions of and behavioral patterns in the adoption of financial technologies, thereby accelerating their technology-driven consumption and digital inclusion (Filho et al., 2019; Taipale, 2016). This will not only help fintech service providers tailor their services and embed them seamlessly into the lives of the aging population but will also close the digital divide by encompassing the silver generation in the digitized world.

2.2 Mobile payment and its use among the elderly

In contemporary research on technology, mobile payment is conceptualized by de Luna et al. (2019, p. 932) as “a type of financial process of a private or business nature, in which an electronic mobile communication device is used to initiate, authorize and carry out a financial transaction”. With the introduction of mobile payment technology via Alipay and WeChat Pay, China became one of the world’s fastest-growing cashless societies. Smart payments are now commonplace in Chinese cities and some vendors have even refused to accept cash (Wildau and Jia, 2019). The push for a cashless society has brought into sharp focus the inability of the older generation to adapt to mobile payment technology. Although digital technology has already been suggested as a valuable tool for enriching the lives of older people (Wang and Sun, 2016), studies have shown that older users are often less willing and more hesitant to adopt new technology (Benson et al., 2018; Fernández-Ardèvol, 2011; Kim et al., 2016; Wang and Sun, 2016). It is, therefore, of great interest to understand the use and adoption of mobile payment technology among this age cohort.

Of the two dominant mobile payment providers in China, WeChat Pay is currently the leading service provider, drawing over 900 million monthly active users in China. Its smart payment is an extended function of the WeChat application, developed initially by Tencent in 2011 for messaging and social media purposes (Jacobs, 2018). A recent report suggests that members of the silver generation in China are now the most frequent users of WeChat, although the conversion rate (from WeChat to WeChat Pay) is lower compared to other age groups (Yuan, 2018). Given this unique background, this study investigates the Chinese silver generation’s adoption of mobile payment and uses WeChat Pay as the context of its examination.
2.3 Gamified money – WeChat red packet

Before WeChat Pay came to be widely used, Alipay was China’s largest mobile payment application (Wu et al., 2017). In order to compete with Alipay, Tencent needed to grow its user base by developing a ‘killer app’ to convert existing WeChat users to WeChat Pay users and to cultivate user habits and stickiness to WeChat Pay. Tencent found an answer in the introduction of digital red packet. The gifting of a red packet is a traditional Chinese custom of sending a monetary gift in a red envelope, usually from older to younger family members and friends, particularly during Chinese New Year and important celebrations (e.g. birthdays and weddings). A red packet symbolizes prosperity and good luck and the Chinese enjoy receiving and sending them to family and friends (Che and Ip, 2017). WeChat recognized this and seized the opportunity to develop a digitized red packet and embed it in their application, which enabled the delivery of virtual money through WeChat Pay launched in 2014, the WeChat digital red packet is a game that involves grabbing and/or exchanging red packets among users and organizations/companies. This game has not only encouraged the take-up of WeChat Pay, but has also fueled growth in the social functions promoted by WeChat (e.g. the engagement of digital red packet triggered messaging and social media interaction on WeChat) (Che and Ip, 2017).

As a result of the implementation of red packet games, Tencent experienced a huge spike in subscriptions to WeChat Pay (Holmes et al., 2015). Since WeChat users are only able to send or receive red packets by linking a bank account to their WeChat red packet account, red packet games facilitate the user in becoming fully equipped for the use of WeChat Pay. The introduction of the WeChat digital red packet has resulted in hundreds of millions of new users signing up to WeChat Pay, including the silver generation, among whom the rate of adoption of new technology had previously been low (Choudrie et al., 2014; Choudrie et al. 2018).

WeChat’s digital red packet not only embraces traditional culture, but also embodies an important element of Chinese relationships within a social network environment (Che and Ip, 2017). However, the most noteworthy innovation is the use of a game to catalyze the popularization of mobile payment. Although technologies and games are commonly seen as the domain of young people, the concept of ‘gamified money’ has seemingly transcended conventional barriers to the use of modern technology among older adults (Wang and Sun, 2016). Given the above context and practical observations, this study seeks to examine the
effectiveness of gamification in the adoption of mobile payment technology among elderly Chinese, specifically through the application of the red packet game and WeChat Pay.

3. Theoretical background

3.1 Technology acceptance model (TAM)

Among the various theoretical perspectives used to explore technology adoption, Davis et al.’s (1989) technology acceptance model (TAM) is regarded as the most widely acknowledged and influential theoretical model of behavior (Huang et al., 2019; Kim and Crowston, 2011; Muñoz-Leiva et al., 2017). TAM has been used in studies on gamified technologies (Rodrigues et al., 2017; Wang and Sun, 2016; Zheng, 2019) and technology adoption among the elderly (Wu et al., 2017). Those studies suggest that TAM-based models can be used to understand the adoption of innovative technology among the elderly. The central tenet of TAM is the mechanism of individuals’ perceptions regarding the usefulness and ease of use of a technology in forming subsequent attitudes and behaviors (Davis et al., 1989). However, in recent decades, critical voices have suggested modernizing and contextualizing how TAM is used to understand individuals’ technology adoption behaviors in an increasingly digitized world (Hossain and Prybutok, 2008; Stockless, 2018). First, recent research on TAM suggests that modern trends and techniques (e.g. gamification) that assist technology adoption need to be considered and included when examining adoption behaviors (Rodrigues, Costa and Oliveira, 2016). Second, scholars argue that although TAM is a robust and influential model in which empirical results in general lean toward a positive relationship between attitude and behavioral intention, there remain mixed outcomes in terms of research findings (Chen and Chan, 2011; Wang and Sun, 2016). This suggests a gap between attitude and intention, whereby a favorable attitude does not always lead to stronger adoption intention. Factors that deter individuals from adopting technology need to be taken into account (Shin, 2013; Shin and Kim, 2015). Based on these considerations, TAM is used here as a loose theoretical foundation on which to advance a conceptual model by integrating the main determining variables in TAM and the context-specific elements associated with the silver generation’s adoption of gamified mobile payment technology. Therefore, the proposed theoretical model considers the role of gamification in the adoption process and perceived risks as a specific barrier to fintech among the elderly.
3.2 Gamification

Conceptualization of gamification

Gamification, a term coined in 2008, refers to the use of game design elements in non-game contexts (Deterding et al., 2011). Although this definition has been widely cited by scholars, it has been criticized for its design-centric perspective (Huotari and Hamari, 2012). Huotari and Hamari (2017) thus incorporate service marketing theory and conceptualize gamification as “a process of enhancing a service with affordances for gameful experiences in order to support users’ overall value creation” (p. 25). Their definition emphasizes the goal and value of gamification, which lie in the experiences it creates rather than the approaches used in designing the game elements. Klapztein and Cipolla (2016) indicate that there are similarities between the fields of game studies and service marketing and that “both can be understood from a point of view where game design elements can be described as services and games as service systems” (p. 570). More recently, in line with Landers’ view (2019), Leclercq et al. (2020) advance the conceptualization of gamification by suggesting a user-centered approach. Landers et al. claim that the study of gamification should be undertaken to understand “how to best influence human behavior, attitudes, and other states with designed interventions derived from games” (Landers et al., 2018, p. 318), an area to which this study seeks to contribute. Table 1 summarizes key studies on the conceptualization of gamification.

[Insert Table 1 here]

Contextualization of gamification

When gamification was initially applied in marketing and information system designs, the empirical research focused predominantly on a few selected sectors in which technology had conventionally been associated with enhancing provision, such as education/learning, health/exercise and crowdsourcing (Koivisto and Hamari, 2019). In recent years, empirical research started to explore the impact of gamification on shaping individuals’ perceptions toward the gamified objects and facilitating related behavioral changes across various contexts through different applications and designs (Cardador et al., 2017; Landers et al., 2020). For example, gamification was found to improve consumers’ innovative product adoption (e.g. Müller-Stewens et al., 2017); trigger favorable responses to smartphone marketing techniques, such as advertising and loyalty schemes (e.g. Hwang and Choi, 2020; Högberg et al., 2019; Jang et al., 2018); and reduce energy consumption (e.g. Günther et al., 2020). In addition, other research revealed that the application of gamification had shaped individuals’ behavior through
fintech, such as improvements in financial management through the facilitation of customer engagement in e-banking usage (e.g. Bayuk and Altobello, 2019; Rodrigues, Costa and Oliveira, 2016; Rodrigues, Oliveira and Costa, 2016).

The above research sums up the popular application of gamification as a strategy in aiding the penetration of fintech and highlights the important role of social inclusivity in gamification designs, particularly in an aging society. Critical scholars have raised an important point, in that business should not adopt gamification for its own sake (Lucassen and Jansen, 2014; Poncin et al., 2017). Most of the gaming elements applied in ‘non-game’ contexts have been adopted directly from gaming design (such as points, scores, badges and medals), which lacks diversity and contextual distinctiveness (Koivisto and Hamari, 2019). The contextualization of money gamified through digital red packets in this study not only represents a unique gaming element with a cultural context, but its popularity also confirms the successful integration of gamification and further extends the sector to the application of gamification in fintech. This research, therefore, looks specifically at the gaming elements and features of gamification that facilitate individuals’ engagement behavior with technology that could enrich their lives.

**Perceived effectiveness of gamification**

Koivisto and Hamari (2019) conduct a systematic literature review of gamification studies and revealed that the prime focus in the examination of gamification centers around its impact on human behavior. Considering that the underpinning purpose of gamification is to influence motivations and behavior (Huotari and Hamari, 2017), this focus is intuitively understandable. Although studies on gamification have recognized that games improve the user’s experience, learning process and enjoyment, which, in turn, facilitates the adoption of digital technology, significantly less attention has been paid to issues and aspects that precede the effects of gamification (Koivisto and Hamari, 2019). As Koivisto and Hamari (2019) suggest, it is important to understand the effectiveness of gamification from the user’s perspective; research into the effectiveness and adoption of gamification should consider the role of the user and the way in which the user perceives gamification, which is highly dependent on the user’s attributes. For instance, the gamification of a health service might be perceived differently from the gamification of a learning service when comparing younger and older people. Hamari (2013) also suggests in an earlier study that the context of gamification (i.e. the environment in which the gamification takes place), as well as the specific activity that users are encouraged to perform, should also be taken into consideration to better understand the effectiveness and...
adoption of gamification. To address this knowledge gap, this study considers the widely popular yet unique context of gamified money to conceptualize and understand the effectiveness of gamification in enhancing technology adoption among the silver generation.

Drawing upon previous development of gamification in technology adoption research (see Table 1), this study conceptualizes the perceived effectiveness of gamification as a determinant that precedes the technology adoption process. In other words, the perceived effectiveness of gamification refers to the extent to which users perceive that gaming elements facilitate their involvement and engagement with the technology (Hamari and Koivisto, 2015). This aspect is important in understanding the antecedents of the effective implementation of gamification and the mechanism of technology adoption through gamification. It represents users’ direct perception, through participation, of the gamified element. An understanding of this relationship reflects the perceived association between a game and an intention to adopt the technology and elucidates the determinant of the success of the phenomenon.

3.3 Prospect theory

Reflecting upon the criticism of TAM mentioned earlier (see Section 3.1), there is no doubt that mobile payment offers several advantages (e.g. convenience and time saving) for users compared to traditional (cash) payments; however, the technology is not always readily accepted and adopted by users, particularly among older adults, due to concerns associated with such technologies (Benson et al., 2018; Wang and Sun, 2016). Against this background, prospect theory, used here to supplement TAM, offers a holistic theoretical view that explains the individual decision making that weighs both the ‘gains’ and ‘losses’ of a target behavior (Kahneman and Tversky, 1979). The central proposition of prospect theory in behavioral studies highlights that behavioral tendency is a result of the integrative evaluation of benefits and costs (Chiu et al., 2014; Chung and Koo, 2015). As mentioned previously, TAM focuses on the effects of positive perceptions of a technology (i.e. its usefulness and ease of use) on its adoption. However, from the perspective of prospect theory, a user’s adoption intention is not only indicated by the ‘gains’ (i.e. the perceived effectiveness of gamification, usefulness and ease of use), but also shaped by potential ‘losses’ (e.g. risk and complexity). These negative perceptions toward technology in explaining adoption behavior should, therefore, also be considered when employing TAM (Featherman and Paulou, 2003; Lee, 2009). This implies that individuals assess the costs and benefits of a target behavior to inform their behavioral tendency (Chiu et al., 2012). It has also been suggested that the attitude-intention relationship should take context-specific factors into consideration (Chen and Chan, 2011; Wang and Sun,
such as older adults’ psychosocial characteristics, habits and abilities associated with new technology. In this respect, extending TAM through the inclusion of potential users’ psychological evaluation of costs and benefits of technology adoption would be necessary. Drawing on prospect theory would provide further insights into the explanation of the variation in the attitude-behavior relation, which is currently under-researched (Shin, 2013; Shin and Kim, 2015).

Studies on user acceptance and/or resistance to mobile payment also confirm that behavioral tendency is shaped by both positive and negative perceptions that individuals draw from such technology (de Luna et al., 2019; Liébana-Cabanillas et al., 2014b; Qasim and Abu-Shanab, 2016; Schierz et al., 2010). Although TAM-based research tends to emphasize the impact of the perceived benefits of technology adoption (e.g. perceived ease of use, perceived usefulness, compatibility and trialability), researchers have also recently examined the barriers (i.e. losses/costs) to adoption, including perceived complexity (Al-Jabri and Sohail, 2012; Naicker and Van Der Merwe, 2018), lack of relative advantage (Crosno and Cui, 2014; Mehrtens et al., 2001), inability to trial (Patsiotis et al., 2012, 2013) and lack of human interaction (Kaufman-Scarborough and Lindquist, 2002; Rotchanakitumnuai and Speece, 2003). Nevertheless, in line with prospect theory, the most significant barrier that consumers face, particularly in fintech adoption, is perceived risks (Chen, 2008; Liébana-Cabanillas et al., 2014a, b; Liébana-Cabanillas and Lara-Rubio, 2017).

### 3.4 Perceived risks

The concept of perceived risks was introduced by Bauer (1960) through the analysis of two factors: first, uncertainty arising from lack of knowledge regarding the possible outcome of a certain transaction; and second, the possible negative consequences derived from a purchase transaction. Any user behavior could be associated with particular risks if the consequences of a particular behavior cannot be properly assessed beforehand (Bauer, 1967). Studies have revealed that perceived risks negatively influence the intention to adopt smart technologies (Ma et al., 2016; Wang and Sun, 2016), e-commerce (Crespo and Del Bosque, 2010; Herrero and San Martín, 2012) and mobile payment systems (Chen, 2008; Liébana-Cabanillas et al., 2014a, b; Liébana-Cabanillas and Lara-Rubio, 2017) among elderly people (Kim et al., 2016; Ma et al., 2016; Wang and Sun, 2016). As digital immigrants (Loos, 2012; Prensky, 2001), senior adults demonstrate risk avoidance more prominently than their younger counterparts due to the former’s perceived uncertainty and possible adverse consequences pertaining to and resulting from technological innovation (Kim et al., 2016; Liébana-Cabanillas et al., 2014a, b).
Perceived risk is attracting special attention in fintech adoption as financial technology takes over the control of financial resources from humans to resources being managed virtually (Caffaro et al., 2018; Paliwoda et al., 2007). Consumers’ trust (or lack of) in the reliability of fintech also contributes to their perceptions of risk (Xu et al., 2017). In the existing literature, perceived risk appears to be a recurrent negative factor examined in most of the mobile payment acceptance literature (Dahlberg et al., 2015; Wu, Liu and Huang, 2016). TAM fails to capture the effects of negative evaluative beliefs on technology adoption. More importantly, supported by prospect theory, perceived risks could be seen as the major ‘losses’ that individuals consider when it comes to behavioral choice in the adoption of fintech (Yang et al., 2015). Therefore, we highlight the significance of perceived risks in shaping elderly users’ adoption intention of mobile payment.

4. Development of the hypotheses and the conceptual model

Based on the theorization and conceptualization discussed above, TAM can now be extended by using gamification as a facilitator and perceived risks as a barrier in the silver generation’s adoption process of mobile payment. Figure 1 shows the conceptualized model developed from the postulated relationships. The following sections first rationalize the hypotheses drawn from the antecedents introduced in this study, and then explain the hypothesized effect of these antecedents in the extended TAM.

[Insert Figure 1 here]

4.1 Effects of perceived enjoyment on the perceived effectiveness of gamification

Prior to gamification, research dedicated to TAM extension highlighted that the enjoyment and playfulness that technology potentially imparts to individuals facilitate the adoption of the technology itself (Chen et al., 2002; Moon and Kim, 2001). In gamification, the pleasantness of the gaming experience is the main benchmark for measuring the success of applying gamification (Koivisto and Hamari, 2019; Rodrigues, Costa, and Oliveira, 2016). Game designs are expected to trigger positive emotional responses in the users through the game flow experience (Hamari and Koivisto, 2015). Ramanathan and McGill (2007) suggest that an affective experience with an object contributes to that individual’s cognitive evaluation of the object. Therefore, the perceived effectiveness of gamification reflects users’ evaluative beliefs toward the application of gaming elements in a technology and could be influenced by users’
affective experience of those elements. Perceived enjoyment captures the positive affective responses drawn from the gaming experience and reflects the extent to which the gaming experience is enjoyable (Rouibah et al., 2016). Earlier research on gamification suggested that perceived enjoyment and perceived playfulness positively contribute to users’ evaluation of the embedded game elements, as well as game-associated end-goal behaviors (Fitz-Walter et al., 2017; Rodrigues, Costa and Oliveira, 2016). Therefore, the following is proposed:

**Hypothesis 1** Perceived enjoyment of the game has a positive effect on the perceived effectiveness of gamification.

### 4.2 Effects of the perceived effectiveness of gamification and perceived ease of use on attitude

In order to understand the specific determinants of gamification that lead to technology adoption, gamification is here further theorized by introducing the perceived effectiveness of gamification (PEG) into TAM. Based on this conceptualization, PEG reflects users’ perceptions and evaluative beliefs regarding the extent to which the gaming elements facilitate their engagement with the technology. In TAM, attitude captures overall evaluative beliefs and is largely influenced by the individual’s perceptions of the end behavior (i.e. adoption) (Ajzen and Fishbein, 1977; Davis et al., 1989). The effective implementation of gamification in a piece of technology results in a more positive evaluation of the technology and a more favorable attitude toward adoption (Hamari and Koivisto, 2013). Therefore, PEG, drawn from a game embedded in the technology, is expected to make a positive contribution to users’ attitude toward technology adoption. It is postulated that:

**Hypothesis 2** Perceived effectiveness of gamification has a positive effect on attitude toward technology adoption.

According to TAM, perceived ease of use (PEOU) by an individual is one of the factors that determine that person’s attitude toward the use of a specific technology and consequently determines its intended use, which results in its adoption (Davis, 1989). PEOU is defined as “the degree to which a person believes that using a particular system would be free of effort within an organizational context” (Davis, 1989, p. 320). The impact of PEOU on attitude toward the adoption of mobile payment has been empirically validated in studies (see for
instance Liébana-Cabanillas et al., 2014b; Wang and Sun, 2016; Wu, Liu and Huang, 2016; Zhang et al., 2011). As suggested by Hew et al. (2015), apps that are conceived as being easy to use will attract consumers. The design of the engagement and/or interaction process should be fully adaptable to the capabilities and skills of the expected consumers, this being particularly pertinent to people who are not familiar with technology (Kourothananasis et al., 2010); hence, this is considered one of the most influential attributes in the adoption of new technology. Thus, it is proposed that:

**Hypothesis 3** Perceived ease of use has a positive effect on attitude toward technology adoption.

### 4.3 Mediating role of perceived usefulness

Perceived usefulness (PU) is another essential factor that determines attitude toward behavioral intention in TAM. PU, in accordance with TAM, is defined as “the degree to which a person believes that using a specific system will increase his or her job performance” (Davis et al., 1989, p. 985). Applied in the context of this study, PU is defined as the degree to which an elderly person believes that using mobile payment will help him or her to complete a transaction. Where PU is the determining factor in an individual’s attitude toward technology adoption in TAM, consumers’ perception of the usefulness of technology has been found to mediate the relationship between PEOU and attitude toward the adoption of technology (Benson et al., 2018; Rodrigues, Costa and Oliveira, 2016; Venkatesh, 2000). Researchers have also suggested that the effective embedment of gaming elements in technology positively influences consumers’ perception of the technology’s usefulness (Rodrigues, Oliveira and Costa, 2016). Yang et al.’s study (2017) provides support for the use of gamification as a technological platform that influences consumers’ attitude and behaviors. Although perceived effectiveness of gamification is expected to be an important component of attitude formation, this could be more efficiently harnessed for the development of evaluative beliefs on adoption through enhancing perceived usefulness of adoption (Davis et al., 1989; Sheng and Zolfagharian, 2014). After all, PU plays a determining role in shaping potential users’ attitude toward and adoption of technology (Davis et al., 1989). Perceived effectiveness of gamification could contribute to and enhance the development of a favorable attitude toward adoption if it enables users to be aware of the usefulness of the technology (Rodrigues, Costa and Oliveira, 2016).
Therefore, this study extends TAM by exploring two possible types of relationship between the three constructs of PU, PEOU and PEG. First, PEOU and PEG can act independently, each affecting attitude toward adoption directly. Second, there may be mediating effects. That is, PEOU influences PU, which, in turn, affects attitude (Davis et al., 1989; Rodrigues, Oliveira and Costa, 2016; Venkatash, 2000; Yang et al., 2017). Similarly, PEG may also have indirect effects on attitude via PU (Rodrigues, Oliveira and Costa, 2016). Thus, it is proposed:

**Hypothesis 4** Perceived usefulness mediates the relationship between perceived effectiveness of gamification and attitude toward technology adoption.

**Hypothesis 5** Perceived usefulness mediates the relationship between perceived ease of use and attitude toward technology adoption.

### 4.4 Effects of attitude on adoption intention

Social cognitive theories (such as TAM) suggest that attitude, which captures an individual’s attitudinal tendency (i.e. good vs. bad, favored vs. not favored and positive vs. negative), is an essential antecedent to intention (i.e. behavioral manner – to adopt vs. not to adopt) when it comes to the development of a particular adoption behavior (Davis et al., 1989). As discussed earlier, if a technology is perceived as useful and easy to use, and the application of gaming elements is seen as an effective value-adding tool, the potential user will develop the evaluative beliefs regarding the technology. Studies have shown that individuals will form a strong behavioral intention to adopt innovative technology if they have a positive attitude toward the technology and its adoption (Herz and Rauschnabel, 2019; Hsu and Lin, 2016). A positive attitude will contribute to forming a stronger technology adoption intention (Davis et al., 1989). Therefore, the following is proposed:

**Hypothesis 6** A positive attitude toward technology adoption has a positive effect on adoption intention.

### 4.5 Moderating role of perceived risks

As mentioned earlier, a key criticism of TAM is its lack of consideration of the mechanism that converts an attitude into a behavioral intention (Shin, 2013; Shin and Kim, 2015). Empirical evidence drawing upon social cognitive theories has suggested that a range of moderators exist
in the attitude-behavioral intention relationship across different individual behaviors, such as social influence or subjective norms (e.g. Hassan, Shiu, and Parry, 2016; Povey et al., 2000), habit (e.g. Hua et al., 2017), group identification (e.g. Terry and Hogg, 1996) and trust (e.g. Fang, Shao and Lan, 2009). More specifically, in the context of TAM, pioneering scholars identified various moderators between attitude and adoption intention, such as age, gender, prior experience, religiosity and technology anxiety, in adopting new technologies (Agag and El-Masry, 2016; Forsythe, 2008; Kasilingam, 2020). The empirical evidence in this regard jointly supports Ajzen’s (2002, 2005) assertion that, compared to everyday routine behavior during which attitude translates into intention automatically, novel behaviors and unfamiliar situations (e.g. technology adoption) are likely to evoke careful deliberation in converting attitude into behavioral intention. The association between attitude and behavioral intention is contingent on context-specific factors (Ajzen, 2002, 2005; Graafland, 2017). Here, context-specific factors are considered to be the researched population’s perceptions that are drawn from a specific research context and shape an individual’s behavior in that context (Ajzen, 2002; Arduini et al., 2010). In order to examine the moderator between attitude and behavioral intention toward mobile payment adoption, particularly among the silver generation, the context-specific factor is elderly adults’ perceptions associated with new technology adoption. Here, we highlight the perceived risks as the moderator that weakens the positive relationship between attitude and intention, for several reasons.

First, drawing upon prospect theory, we argue that adoption intention is shaped by both the costs and benefits of adoption (Chiu et al., 2014; Chung and Koo, 2015). Since TAM does not particularly consider the costs of adoption, the perceived risks as the cost of adoption could be considered in the deliberation prior to the development of adoption intention (Ajzen, 2002). Unlike a routine behavior (e.g. regular daily exercise), adopting mobile payment technology as a novel behavior is usually thoroughly considered, particularly among senior consumers. Previous research also indicates that perceived risk may negatively moderate the attitude-behavior relationship, especially in novel behaviors (e.g. Campbell and Goldstein, 2001; Gurhan-Canli and Batra, 2004; Ho, Ocasio-Velázquez and Booth, 2017). Integrating TAM with prospect theory, we therefore consider if perceived risks capturing the main costs of adoption moderates (weakens) the impact of attitude on technology adoption intention among the silver generation.

Second, moderation between attitude and intention needs to be considered as a context-specific factor (Ajzen, 2002, 2005; Graafland, 2017) and the concept of perceived risk is particularly important in the context of the silver generation’s mobile payment adoption. This
is because studies suggest that older adults are more susceptible to perceiving risk with regard to the use of mobile payment (Kim et al., 2016; Liébana-Cabanillas et al., 2014a, b; Wang and Sun, 2016). The elderly population tends to embark on less risk-taking behavior than do younger people and they prefer to have more control over financial resources (Caffaro et al., 2018; Paliwoda et al., 2007). In addition, the concept of ‘virtual’ cash digitized by the use of mobile payment seems to remove human control from the processing of transactions and is thus perceived among older people as risky. Research reveals that fear of loss of financial control is likely to impede the rate of adoption and increase reluctance regarding the use of such mobile payment technology among the elderly (Plouffe et al., 2001; Worthington et al., 2011). It is reasonable to anticipate that an elderly consumer is more likely to perceive a higher level of risk associated with adopting mobile payment. This type of context-specific perception is shaped by the uncertainties drawn from the adoption decision and hinders the conversion from attitude to adoption intention (Bennett and Harrell, 1975; Tuu and Olsen, 2012).

Therefore, considering the significance of perceived risks from both the theoretical and contextual perspectives, it is postulated:

**Hypothesis 7** Perceived risks moderate (weaken) the positive relationship between attitude and adoption intention.

5. Method

5.1 Data collection

Data was collected using an interviewer-administered questionnaire survey. The questions were drafted in English, with the intention of administering them in Chinese among older WeChat users. To assess the equivalence between the original English instrument and the translated Chinese (Mandarin) instrument, a translation and subsequent back-translation process was undertaken through a ‘team translation’ approach (Douglas and Craig, 2007). The survey items were first translated into Chinese by two of the bilingual authors independently and the results compared and collated. The items were then back-translated into English by two other natives of China who are academics and fluent in English. A fifth native of China with vast experience of translations in academic and business environments verified the accuracy of the translation by checking differences in meaning between the original and back-translated instruments. The comparison of the two versions led to the conclusion that the instruments were equivalent.
The questionnaires were distributed in various residential areas of Suzhou, a major city in China, between March and May 2019, the period immediately after Chinese New Year when hongbao is commonly used. Trained Chinese research assistants conducted face-to-face surveys with consumers aged 50 and over in local communities and colleges for the elderly. For this study, current users of WeChat yet to use its mobile payment functions were considered eligible for inclusion in the survey and 633 individuals agreed to take part (a 67.5% response rate); 582 of those invited to participate were currently using WeChat, 163 of whom already used mobile payment, including WeChat Pay or other mobile payment apps. The penetration rates of WeChat and WeChat Pay were 92% and 28%, respectively. To better address the research objectives, only WeChat users who had not adopted WeChat Pay were invited to complete the questionnaire. This resulted in a final sample of 419 valid responses from WeChat users who were in the pre-adoption stage of WeChat Pay. The age range of the sample was 50-80 years, with an average age of 56.11 (standard deviation [SD]=6.43); 59.2% were female; just over half (54.7%) were not employed; and 69.5% of the respondents had a monthly income of 2,000-8,000 yuan (equivalent to around $295-$1,180). The majority of the sample (77.3%) had used WeChat for two to four years (see Table 2).

[Insert Table 2 here]

5.2. Measures

The measurement items for each construct were adapted from the literature and measured by 7-point Likert scales, ranging from “Strongly disagree” to “Strongly agree”. Perceived enjoyment was measured by a 4-item scale modified from Davis (1989), Koivisto and Hamari (2014) and van der Heijden (2004). Perceived ease of use was measured using a modified 4-item scale based on studies by Wakefield et al. (2011) and Venkatesh and Davis (2000). The conceptualization of the perceived effectiveness of gamification was measured using a 3-item scale modified from Rodrigues, Oliveira and Costa (2016) and Wu, Peng, Li and Chen (2016). Perceived usefulness was measured using a 4-item scale adopted from Wu et al. (2017). Ajzen’s (1991) 4-item measurement scale was used to measure attitude. Adoption intention was measured using a 4-item scale from Rodrigues, Costa and Oliveira (2016). Perceived risk was measured using a 4-item scale from Wu et al. (2017).
6. Analysis and results

The survey data were analyzed in two stages. In the first stage, exploratory factor analysis (EFA) and confirmatory factor analysis (CFA) were conducted in order to establish scale reliability and validity, as it has been suggested that EFA and CFA should be employed to validate scales originally developed in the West when applying them to other cultural contexts (Zhou et al., 2012). In the second stage, statistical testing with structural equation modeling (SEM) was carried out, which included indirect paths (for testing the mediating effects) and an interaction term (for testing the moderating effects). The results are presented in the following sections.

6.1 Construct measurement

Measurement scales were first subjected to EFA using SPSS 25.0 software. Maximum likelihood factor analysis with oblique rotation (direct oblimin) was used as the factors were expected to be correlated. The EFA results confirmed the factor structure in the proposed model. At this stage, poorly performing items with low item-total correlations could be eliminated. Eighteen of the 23 items were retained, comprising six factors, which is consistent with the proposed measurement structure.

The psychometric properties of the constructs were examined by CFA using the AMOS 25.0 program. The CFA results for the 18-item model demonstrated good model fit ($\chi^2$/df=2.86, IFI=.96, TLI=.95, CFI=.95, RMSEA=.07). The mean value for perceived enjoyment was very positive (mean=6.16; SD=1.11). Respondents also reported a high level of perceived effectiveness of gamification (mean=5.61; SD=.78), perceived ease of use (mean=5.26; SD=.78) and perceived usefulness (mean=5.52; SD=.86). They also held a positive attitude (mean=5.78; SD=.89) and showed a high level of adoption intention (mean=5.80; SD=.93). The respondents also perceived a lower level of risk (mean=3.99; SD=.67).

The validated measures showed good internal consistency and reliability as measured using Cronbach’s alpha. All the constructs had composite reliability values greater than the recommended level of .75 (see Table 3). Convergent and discriminant validity was also evident in the average variance extracted (AVE) values of more than 0.5, with the square root of the AVEs greater than the correlations of the constructs (Fornell and Larcker, 1981). See Appendix A for all validated scale items.

[Insert Table 3 here]
6.2 Testing direct paths and mediation effects

The second stage of the data analysis involved examining the significance and strength of each of the hypothesized relationships. SEM was employed to do this. The fit indices of the structural model were adequate ($\chi^2/df=2.86$, IFI=.96, TLI=.95, CFI=.96, RMSEA=.07). To test the mediating effect of PU in the relationships between PEG and attitude and between PEOU and attitude, the bootstrapping bias-corrected confidence interval procedure in AMOS was used (Preacher and Hayes, 2008; Zhao et al., 2010), rather than the widely employed causal steps approach for testing mediation (Baron and Kenny, 1986), which has a number of limitations (Hayes, 2009). Bootstrapping, which is a ‘nonparametric’ way of computing a sampling distribution, is recommended as a more powerful method of testing mediation effects (Preacher and Hayes, 2008). This study used 2,000 bootstrapped samples and the bias-corrected percentile approach generated 95% confidence intervals. Overall, the proposed direct effects were all accepted: H1 ($\beta=.44; p<.01$), H2 ($\beta=.19; p<.01$), H3 ($\beta=.32; p<.01$), and H6 ($\beta=.81; p<.01$). Both proposed mediating effects, PEG and PEOU on attitude via PU, were positive and significant at the p<.01 level and, therefore, H4 and H5 were supported (see Table 4).

[Insert Table 4 here]

6.3 Testing the moderating effect of perceived risks

The hypothesis is that the relationship between attitude and adoption intention (AI) may be weakened by perceived risks (PR). The moderating relationships were tested by SEM using AMOS. A new variable, the cross-product between the predictor (attitude) and the moderator (PR), was created and included in the path model. The analysis bootstrapped 2,000 samples to obtain 95% bias-corrected confidence intervals. The fit indices of the structural model were excellent ($\chi^2/df=2.03$, IFI=.99, TLI=.97, CFI=.99, RMSEA=.05). The results showed a significant direct positive effect of attitude on AI ($\beta=.81; p<.001$), and a negative moderating effect of PR. The path coefficient of the interaction was -.09 (p<.01). H7 was, therefore, supported.

The analysis was carried out using two models: the first model examined the main (direct and indirect) effects specified in hypotheses H1-H6; the second model added the moderating effects hypothesized in H7. The coefficients and model fit indices are presented in Table 5.
To understand the form of the interaction, the independent value of attitude for the outcome variable of adoption intention was plotted based on the level of perceived risk (see Figure 2). Figure 2 illustrates the change in the relationship between attitude and adoption intention at different levels of perceived risk. With an increase in perceived risks, the slope of the regression line becomes flatter. In other words, the positive relationship between attitudes and intention to use appears to be moderated (weakened) as an individual’s perceived risk increases.

7. Discussion

7.1 General discussion

This study elucidates how gamification can be harnessed effectively in facilitating the adoption of mobile payment technology among the silver generation. Drawing upon prospect theory and the literature of technology acceptance and gamification, this study highlights the significance of an enjoyment-initiated gamified experience in improving the effectiveness of gamification. The study also demonstrates the mechanisms of PEG and PEOU in helping the older generation to develop a favorable attitude toward mobile payment technology. In line with prospect theory and earlier studies, perceived risk was identified as a significant barrier to converting a positive attitude into the intention to adopt mobile payment among older users. The following discussion details the rationale and explanations indicated by the findings.

First, the findings suggest that perceived enjoyment positively influences the effectiveness of gamification. Most research on gamification emphasizes the playfulness-oriented experience and asserts that individuals obtain a sense of enjoyment through participation in games. This sense of enjoyment in turn enhances users’ perceived effectiveness of gamification in technology adoption (Eppmann et al., 2018; Hofacker et al., 2016; Robson et al., 2015; Tan, 2018). Unlike previous studies that suggest gamification is oriented by a gamified experience, the conceptualization of gamification in this study is exploratory and concentrates on the effectiveness of games in facilitating users’ adoption of technology. In other words, this study explores the extent to which a gamified element can play a role in motivating an individual’s involvement and engagement with the technology. Gamification functions as an accelerator that facilitates consumers’ technology involvement, perception and
ultimate adoption behavior, triggered through the gaming element. This finding echoes Koivisto and Hamari’s (2014) suggestion that an enjoyable experience with aspects that are fun and playful is essential to the design of gamified elements. This finding adds value to existing knowledge of the effects of gamification from a sociodemographic perspective. Previous studies on gamification focused either on the digital natives or the general public, without drawing sociodemographic boundaries (Brigham, 2015; Buckley and Doyle, 2017). In the contemporary world where an aging population is becoming the norm, research on technology should take this into consideration (Pan and Jordan-Marsh, 2010; Wang and Sun, 2016), which this study has fulfilled. The positive association between enjoyment and the effectiveness of gamification revealed in this study also suggests that gamification is not a domain reserved for the young. Gamified elements in technology are also much enjoyed by the silver generation. Therefore, having enjoyable games that are compatible with the target group can be a practical step toward support for digital inclusion and technology engagement among the silver generation.

Second, the findings reveal that perceived effectiveness of gamification, perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use of technology contribute to developing a positive attitude toward mobile payment. In line with the literature on technology acceptance, perceived usefulness and perceived ease of use are key determinants in the formation of attitudes toward different technologies. Specifically, in this study, perceived usefulness reflected the extent to which senior consumers believed that mobile payment enhanced their payment experience. That is, the greater the benefit that is perceived from mobile payment technology, the higher the likelihood that a favorable attitude toward the technology will be developed. PEOU simply represents, in this case, senior consumers’ belief regarding the extent to which using mobile payment will be effort-free (Davis et al., 1989; Liébana-Cabanillas et al., 2019). Such beliefs also contribute to forming a positive attitude toward technology. In addition to perceived usefulness and ease of use, PEG is identified as a new dimension that contributes to consumers’ attitude toward a technology. This study has argued that gamification facilitates an individual’s familiarity and involvement with a new technology that extends from a technology that has been adopted (Hamari and Koivisto, 2015). In reference to this study, WeChat was initially developed as a messaging and social networking app. With the addition of the mobile payment function to the app, the implementation of the digital red packet game contributes to senior users’ understanding of mobile payment technology, thereby shaping their attitude toward mobile payment. More importantly, in addition to the direct effects discussed above, the results of this study also suggest that perceived usefulness mediates the effects that PEOU and PEG
have on users’ attitude formation. This finding has important implications. First, regardless of how easily a technology can be navigated, without being perceived as a useful item, its PEOU cannot have an impact on the formation of a favorable attitude toward the technology (Chang et al., 2015; Rahman et al., 2017). Second, applying a similar logic, effective gamification advances users’ understanding of the benefits of that technology and further contributes to developing a more favorable attitude toward it (Sheng and Zolfagharian, 2014).

Third, the findings suggest a positive association between attitude and users’ intention to adopt technology and support the suggestion of the moderating role of perceived risk in the relationship. Social cognitive theorists (e.g., Ajzen and Fishbein, 1977; Bandura, 1986; Davis et al., 1989) investigating individual behaviors also provide support for a positive relationship between attitude and behavioral intention, as adopted in technology acceptance studies (e.g. TAM). This finding is, therefore, in line with the fundamental proposition of social cognitive theories. However, earlier studies also emphasized the importance of further examination of the gap between attitude and behavioral intention, particularly in the identification of barriers in the behavioral mechanism of technology adoption (Shin, 2013; Shin and Kim, 2015). Previous researchers suggest that research that fills this gap needs to consider the situational context and individual characteristics, such as age, gender and personal background (Ajzen, 2005; Graafland, 2017). Studies on the adoption of mobile payment technology have generally identified perceived risks as one of the most significant barriers to the adoption process, which is understandable given the association with money and finances (De Kerviler et al., 2016; Yang et al., 2015). The focus in this study on senior users amplifies findings regarding such effects, as this group of users is generally more cautious in the use of technology for financial transactions due to its unfamiliarity with and distrust of technology (Caffaro et al., 2018; Paliwoda et al., 2007). Therefore, senior users tend to perceive higher risks than their younger counterparts in the use of mobile payment. These perceived risks, underpinned by senior users’ reservations regarding fintech and a conservative attitude toward financial security, moderate negatively the conversion from a positive attitude to the intention to adopt mobile payment.

7.2 Theoretical contributions

This study makes a number of important theoretical contributions. First, from a perspective of gamification, and differing from previous studies that focused on the gamified experience (e.g. emotional arousal, involvement and reward mechanisms), this study introduces a new conceptualization of PEG and its measurement. This outcome enhances understanding of the usefulness of gamification from the users’ perspective in helping to develop a favorable attitude
toward a technology. This answered urgent calls from other researchers for further research on
the necessity and effectiveness of gamification (e.g. Lucassen and Jansen, 2014; Poncin et al.,
2017). Although the integration of gamification into technology is trending, this approach
should only be used if it is effective in facilitating business objectives. This study also
highlights the ‘edutaining approach’ of effective gamified experience design, in which a game
not only needs to be enjoyable, but also underlines the usefulness of the technology. Further,
this study integrates PEG into an extended TAM and demonstrates the adoption of mobile
payment activated by gamification among senior users. The emphasis on senior technology
users provides empirical evidence that the impact of gamification is not restricted to any
particular age group. This finding is critical, as it expands the boundaries of gamification
research and applications beyond the youth. Second, this study contributes to the further
development and extension of TAM. By bridging TAM with prospect theory, this study affirms
that the trade-off between the gains and losses perceived from a technology shapes older adults’
behavioural tendency. Through these theories, this study also confirms that perceived risk is a
key barrier to senior users’ adoption of technology, as it moderates the gap between attitude
and behavioural intention. This finding provides empirical evidence for the existence of an
attitude-intention gap and highlights the significance of situational and sociodemographic
factors in filling this gap. By integrating prospect theory with TAM, this study further identifies
that negative beliefs toward a technology are developed independently from positive
evaluations, which also shape the conversion between favorable attitude and positive
behavioural intention.

7.3 Managerial and societal implications
In addition to its theoretical contributions, this study also brings novel managerial and social
insights. First, the importance of technology adoption through gamification among the silver
generation suggests that digital games are no longer the reserve of young people. This study
challenges the stereotypical belief that games are only for youths and validates the notion that
the players who engage in digital games have been extended to include the silver generation.
In an era in which an aging population and digitization are happening concurrently, improving
digital literacy and the digital inclusion of older adults by encouraging their technology
adoption is essential to develop a more accessible and inclusive social environment. The
International Telecommunication Union (ITU) has highlighted the gaps in technology uses
across different age cohorts revealed in the pandemic crisis of COVID-19, and suggests that
closing the digital divide and accelerating digital inclusion on a global scale are essential in
ensuring the socioeconomic development and participation of all global citizens in digitization (ITU News, 2020). Implementing interesting, simple and enjoyable gaming elements into technology eases the psychological resistance that senior people experience with technology. This study also validates game engagement as being effective in encouraging seniors to use technology to explore the adoption of a technology and/or its extended features. Technology developers should recognize the gaming preferences of the targeted silver generation (e.g. for games that are simple, enjoyable and resonant) and deploy relevant gaming elements to encourage technology adoption among senior users. This, in the fintech sector, would accelerate the adoption of financial services (e.g. e-payment, e-banking, customer service and support) that employ new technologies (e.g. face recognition, voice recognition and chatbots) among senior consumers. More importantly, incorporating a tailored gamified experience into the promotion and penetration strategies for the latest technologies will, in the long term, address the challenge of the digital inclusion of older people in society and ensure equality in access to services, such as financial inclusion (Diniz et al., 2016), for all strata of society.

Findings from this study can be used as an exemplar to provide guidance to other technology developers across different industries to develop efficient and effective game-oriented strategies to increase adoption and usage rates of technology, especially for those in the field of geriatrics, which could benefit the elderly but have not so far been positively received by them (e.g. wearable sensor technology, smart health services and smart homes) (Guo et al., 2013; Kekade et al., 2018; Portet et al., 2013).

Second, the contextualization of gamification in this study is novel and differs from most research on gamification pertaining to game design (such as points, scores, badges and medals). The digitized red packet examined here not only represents a gamified experience that enhances technology adoption, but also encompasses the practice of an ancient Chinese culture and tradition. This suggests that cultural traditions are not a barrier to technology penetration. In fact, when technology firms discover and optimize the crossing points of traditions and technologies, the former could act as facilitators to catalyze the penetration of technology. However, caution is needed for technology developers espousing the use of cultural traditions in technology adoption. The cultural and/or traditional elements implemented need to be relevant to and resonant with the targeted audience in order to be a good fit for the intended technology. For example, in this study, a red packet traditionally indicates money-gifting behavior, particularly during festive times and auspicious occasions in Chinese culture. The implementation of mobile payment technology in this case is an intuitive fit. There needs to be acknowledgment of contextual factors in order to generate the theoretical understanding
surrounding a phenomenon. This kind of cultural adoption in a digital context could be
generalized and utilized in different cultures. For example, in Western cultures, a similar idea
could be used to digitize individuals’ gifting behaviors on special occasions, such as Christmas,
birthdays and weddings. This might not only be related to the penetration of mobile/online
payment technology, but also the further digitization of modern gift card culture. Extending
the implications to a wider context, technology developers need to pay close attention to the
cultural practices associated with the applications of the technology. After all, innovations that
are consistent with the norms, customs and/or traditions within a society are more likely to be
accepted.

Third, two key factors relating to gamification-elicited technology adoption among the
silver generation warrant particular attention. First, gamification must serve the purpose of
assisting senior users’ discovery and exploration of a technology, as well as to highlight the
usefulness of the technology. Allowing senior users to be ‘edutained’ by games might not only
enable them to enjoy a gamified experience, but also to become familiar with and gain further
knowledge of the functions of the technology. The idea of edutainment could be applied in
emerging technologies that consumers may lack knowledge of and/or be resistant to, such as
the internet of things, cloud computing, online health care, augmented reality and autonomous
driving technologies. What can be inferred from this is that gamification could be utilized as
an edutainment tool in an effective manner to motivate users, especially seniors, to develop a
positive attitude and a favorable adoption intention toward new technology. Second, perceived
risks remain a critical barrier that prevents seniors from actualizing the adoption of mobile
payment, the key concerns being the potential loss of financial control and a lack of security.
Although effective placement of gaming elements allows senior adults to develop a positive
evaluation and behavioral tendency toward new technology, there is no room for complacency
or over-optimism with the implementation of gamification. Risk concerns seem to prevent
senior adults from adopting new technologies. Thus, marketing strategies implemented by
technology developers need to be tailored accordingly, with careful consideration when
targeting the silver generation. Technology firms could utilize different strategies to address
the concerns regarding risk of this generation, such as offering assurances and guidance,
providing community and social support, and encouraging peer-to-peer experience sharing, in
addition to technical support (Sheikh et al., 2019). With the increasing incorporation of
gamification in technology, technology developers could consider adopting gamified
instructions or quizzes to address risk-related doubts that senior users have, thereby facilitating
their adoption of technology (Hamari, 2017).
8. Limitations and future research directions

This study adopted a unique sociocultural perspective in extending understanding of the impact of gamification on technology adoption, specifically from the viewpoint of the silver generation. Although this study makes several contributions, it also has several limitations. First, the study examined a single technology in an Eastern cultural context. Individuals’ technology adoption behaviors have been found to be culture-specific and future research could examine cultural differences in gamification and their impact on senior users’ technology adoption (Straub et al., 1997). Second, the conceptualization of gamification in this study focused on its effectiveness in helping users’ exploration of and involvement with multiple functions. Future research could examine the antecedents of the level of senior users’ engagement with games and the mechanisms that influence adoption behaviors. Third, the latest digital red packet application supports user-to-user (U2U) and business-to-user (B2U) red packets. Future research could seek to identify the differences between U2U and B2U gamification in terms of motivation, engagement and effectiveness. Fourth, this study focused exclusively on the silver generation. It is suggested that future researchers conduct comparison studies between youths and seniors to identify distinctions between their perceptions of gaming elements incorporated in technology, thereby providing more insights into the development of gamification that would suit users of different ages (Comunello et al., 2017). Fifth, this research identified perceived risks as a barrier that prevents senior consumers from adopting mobile payment technology. Future studies could also employ an exploratory approach to investigate senior technology users’ risk-related psychological mechanisms and develop corresponding risk-reducing strategies that technology firms could implement in their product design and promotion.
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Appendix A Validated scale items

**Adoption intention** (AI; Rodrigues, Costa and Oliveira, 2016)
- **AI1** I would be willing to adopt WeChat Pay
- **AI2** I intend to use WeChat Pay in future
- **AI3** I have a strong desire to interact further with WeChat Pay

**Attitude toward the use of technology** (ATT; Ajzen, 1991)
- **ATT1** All things considered, I find using WeChat Pay to be a good idea
- **ATT2** All things considered, I find using WeChat Pay to be a positive thing
- **ATT3** All things considered, I find using WeChat Pay to be favorable

**Perceived enjoyment of the game** (PE; Davis, 1989; Koivisto and Hamari, 2014)
- **PE1** I find the experience of WeChat *hongbao* pleasant
- **PE2** I find the experience of WeChat *hongbao* exciting
- **PE3** I find the experience of WeChat *hongbao* interesting

**Perceived effectiveness of gamification** (PEG; Rodrigues, Oliveira and Costa, 2016; Wu, Peng, Li and Chen, 2016)
- **PEG1** The WeChat *hongbao* game facilitates the efficient use of WeChat and its extended functions
- **PEG2** The WeChat *hongbao* game extends my ability to investigate products and services offered by WeChat
- **PEG3** The WeChat *hongbao* game increases my involvement in the WeChat app

**Perceived ease of use** (PEOU; Venkatesh and Davis, 2000; Wakefield et al., 2011)
- **PEOU1** It would not be time consuming to make a payment on WeChat Pay
- **PEOU2** I think using WeChat Pay to make payments would not require a lot of effort
- **PEOU3** I think WeChat Pay is easy to use

**Perceived risks** (PR; Wu et al., 2017)
- **PR1** I think using WeChat Pay would put my privacy at risk
- **PR2** I think using WeChat Pay in monetary transactions online has potential risks
- **PR3** I think using WeChat Pay has significant risks in making online purchases
- **PR4** I think using WeChat Pay is a risky choice

**Perceived usefulness** (PU; Wu et al., 2017)
- **PU1** I think using WeChat Pay would make it easier for me to conduct transactions
- **PU2** I think using WeChat Pay would increase my efficiency
- **PU3** I think using WeChat Pay would enable me to pay more quickly
### Tables and Figures

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Authors</th>
<th>Conceptualization</th>
<th>Methodology and sampling</th>
<th>Applied technology</th>
<th>Key findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Zichermann and Linder (2010, p. 20)</td>
<td>“The art and science of turning your customer’s everyday interactions into games that serve your business purposes.”</td>
<td>Textbook</td>
<td>Customer loyalty program</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deterding et al. (2011, p. 1)</td>
<td>“Use of video game elements in non-gaming systems to improve user experience (UX) and user engagement.”</td>
<td>Conceptual study</td>
<td>Human-computer interaction (in general)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huotari and Hamari (2012, p. 19)</td>
<td>“A process of enhancing a service with affordances for gameful experiences in order to support the user’s overall value creation.”</td>
<td>Conceptual study</td>
<td>Gamified service designs</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hamari and Koivisto (2013, p. 2)</td>
<td>“Service design aimed at providing game-like experiences to users, commonly with the end-goal of affecting user behavior.”</td>
<td>Survey (107 users)</td>
<td>Gamified exercise online service community</td>
<td>Social factors (e.g. network effects, social influence, recognition, and reciprocal benefits) predict the attitude toward gamification, continuance intention and word of mouth.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hsu et al. (2013, p. 428)</td>
<td>“The incorporation of game mechanics into nongame settings, which aims to increase users’ engagement of the product or service and facilitate certain behaviors.”</td>
<td>Mixed method: focus groups and online survey (6,333 users)</td>
<td>Collaborative storytelling website</td>
<td>Identified key attractive gamification features of collaborative storytelling websites (e.g. clear relationship between act and rewards, time pressure and ease of learning, etc.).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Werbach (2014, p. 6)</td>
<td>“The process of making activities more game-like.”</td>
<td>Conceptual study</td>
<td>Persuasive technology</td>
<td>N/A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Author(s) and Year</td>
<td>Definition</td>
<td>Methodology</td>
<td>Research Findings</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodrigues, Costa and Oliveira (2016, p. 622)</td>
<td>“Gamification is the process of integrating game features in a business website, to drive customers participation and engagement (Bunchball, 2010), and is the process of making business activities more game-like (Werbach, 2014).”</td>
<td>Survey (n=53) Five cases of gamified banking software</td>
<td>Identified the most important software features in e-banking software design with gaming elements.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rodrigues, Oliveira and Costa (2016, p. 394)</td>
<td>“Gamification is the use of game design elements in non-game contexts.”</td>
<td>Online survey (n=183) Online digital animation software within e-banking</td>
<td>Gamification positively relates to e-banking adoption and affects the banking business (having a positive business impact).</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cardador et al. (2017 p. 355)</td>
<td>“Work gamification can be thought of as an approach to performance management that uses technology to create real time access to performance information and make tasks more enjoyable.”</td>
<td>Conceptual study</td>
<td>Points, badges, levels, leaderboards, and character sheets used in work gamification Proposes a theory of work gamification.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Huotari and Hamari (2017, p. 25)</td>
<td>“A process of enhancing a service with affordances for gameful experiences in order to support users’ overall value creation.”</td>
<td>Conceptual study</td>
<td>N/A Conceptualizes the consumer as a co-producer of gamification.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landers et al. (2018, p. 318)</td>
<td>“Gamification science can be defined as a social scientific, post-positivist subdiscipline of game science that explores the various design techniques, and related concerns, that can be used to add game elements to existing real-world processes.”</td>
<td>Conceptual study</td>
<td>N/A Conceptualizes gamification science within a post-positivist epistemology.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2 Descriptive statistics (n=419)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Categories</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>248</td>
<td>59.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>40.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>50-60</td>
<td>335</td>
<td>80.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>61-70</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>71-80</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Employment</td>
<td>Retired</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>35.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Housewife/husband</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>19.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Full-time employee</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Part-time employee</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Self-employed</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>11.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Freelancer</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monthly income</td>
<td>&lt; 2,000 yuan</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2,001-5,000 yuan</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>38.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5,001-8,000 yuan</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>31.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8,001-11,000 yuan</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>13.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11,001-14,000 yuan</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 14,000 yuan</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length of use</td>
<td>&lt; 1 year</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1-2 years</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2-3 years</td>
<td>245</td>
<td>58.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3-4 years</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&gt; 4 years</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3 Results of measurement model

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Constructs</th>
<th>$\alpha$</th>
<th>CR$^a$</th>
<th>AVE</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>N$^b$</th>
<th>AI</th>
<th>PE</th>
<th>PEOU</th>
<th>PEG</th>
<th>ATT</th>
<th>PU</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AI</td>
<td>.85</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>.93</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE</td>
<td>.94</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>6.16</td>
<td>1.11</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.18***</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEOU</td>
<td>.81</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>5.26</td>
<td>.78</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.43***</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEG</td>
<td>.83</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>5.61</td>
<td>.78</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.17**</td>
<td>0.59***</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATT</td>
<td>.86</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>5.78</td>
<td>.89</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.51***</td>
<td>0.32***</td>
<td>0.56***</td>
<td>0.22***</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PU</td>
<td>.85</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>.86</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.76***</td>
<td>0.18***</td>
<td>0.67***</td>
<td>0.11*</td>
<td>0.57***</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: $n = 419$; AI = adoption intention; ATT = attitude; PE = perceived enjoyment; PEG = perceived effectiveness of gamification; PEOU = perceived ease of use; PU = perceived usefulness.

a Composite reliability;
b Number of items in each validated measure;
Significance of correlations: * $p < .05$; ** $p < 0.01$; *** $p < 0.001$
Table 4 Results of direct effect hypotheses, mediation and moderation tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Direct effects</th>
<th>Bootstrap bias-corrected method 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unstandardized estimates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₁ PE → PEG</td>
<td>.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₂ PEG → ATT</td>
<td>.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₃ PEOU → ATT</td>
<td>.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₆ ATT → AI</td>
<td>.81</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Indirect effects</th>
<th>Bootstrap bias-corrected method 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unstandardized estimates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₄ PEG on ATT via PU</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H₅ PEOU on ATT via PU</td>
<td>.28</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Interaction</th>
<th>Bootstrap bias-corrected method 95% CI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H₇ PR × ATT on AI</td>
<td>-.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: AI = adoption intention; ATT = attitude; CI = confidence interval; PE = perceived enjoyment; PEG = perceived effectiveness of gamification; PEOU = perceived ease of use; PR = perceived risk; PU = perceived usefulness.
### Table 5 Models with and without moderation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Model 1 (without moderation)</th>
<th>Model 2 (with moderation)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Direct effects</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PE → PEG</td>
<td>.46**</td>
<td>.44**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEG → PU</td>
<td>.12**</td>
<td>.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEOU → PU</td>
<td>.62**</td>
<td>.63**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEG → ATT</td>
<td>.44**</td>
<td>.19**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PU → ATT</td>
<td>.22**</td>
<td>.35**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEOU → ATT</td>
<td>.41**</td>
<td>.32**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ATT → AI</td>
<td>.98**</td>
<td>.81**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR → AI</td>
<td></td>
<td>.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interaction term</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR x ATT</td>
<td></td>
<td>-.09**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Model fit indices</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \chi^2/df = 2.86; IFI = .96; TLI = .95; CFI = .96; RMSEA = .07 )</td>
<td>( \chi^2/df = 2.03; IFI = .99; TLI = .97; CFI = .99; RMSEA = .05 )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SRMR = .07</td>
<td>SRMR = .06</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* * p < .05

** ** p < .01
Figure 1 Conceptual model
Figure 2 Moderating effect of perceived risks on attitude and adoption intention
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