Breast cancer osteomimicry and its role in bone specific metastasis; an integrative, systematic review of preclinical evidence.
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Abstract

Metastasis accounts for most of the deaths from breast cancer and the preference of invasive breast cancer metastasising to bone has been widely reported. However, the biological basis of breast cancer osteotropism is not fully understood. This paper provides, for the first time, an integrative, systematic review of evidence of molecular factors that have functional roles in the homing of metastatic breast cancer to the bone.

Pubmed, Web of Science and EBSCOhost were searched using keywords and synonyms for molecular, metastasis, breast cancer and bone to identify articles published between January 2004 and August 2016. 4,491 potentially relevant citations were retrieved. 63 articles met the inclusion criteria, which were primary studies reporting evidence of molecular factors that have functional roles in predisposing breast cancer bone metastasis in vivo. 12 of those 63 articles that additionally met quality criteria were included in the review. Extracted data were tabulated and key findings that indicated biological mechanisms involved in breast cancer metastasis to bone were synthesised.
15 proteins expressed by breast cancer cells were identified as factors that mediate breast cancer bone metastasis: ICAM-1, cadherin-11, osteoactivin, bone sialoprotein, CCN3, IL-11, CCL2, CITED2, CXCR4, CTGF, OPN, CX3CR1, TWIST1, adrenomedullin and Enpp1. Upregulation or overexpression of one or more of them by breast cancer cells resulted in increased breast cancer metastasis to bone in vivo, except for CCL2 where bone-metastatic cells showed a reduced expression of this factor. All factors identified, here expressed by breast cancer cells, are proteins that are normally expressed in the bone microenvironment and linked to physiologic bone functions. All have a functional role in one of more of the following: cell proliferation and differentiation, bone mineralization and remodeling, cell adhesion and/or chemokine signaling. Six of them (cadherin-11, ICAM-1, OPN, CX3CR1, CCN3 and osteoactivin) have a reported function in cell adhesion and another eight (CCN3, osteoactivin, Enpp1, IL-11, CTGF, TWIST1, adrenomedullin and CITED2) are reported to be involved in cell proliferation and differentiation.

This review collates and synthesises published evidence to increase our understanding of the biology of breast cancer osteomimicry in the development of bone metastasis. Findings of this review suggest that changes in expression of proteins in breast cancer cells that confer osteomimicry facilitate homing to bone to enable the development of bone metastasis.
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**Highlights**

- 15 proteins were identified as factors that promote breast cancer bone metastasis
- Expression of these factors by breast cancer cells mediate osteotropic metastasis
• All factors identified are proteins that are normally expressed in the bone microenvironment

• Breast cancer cell protein expression conferring osteomimicry facilitates osteotropic metastasis
Introduction

Paget suggested that cancer cells are more likely to metastasize to a tissue that has the necessary components to support their growth, just as a seed would only grow in soil in which it can thrive [1]. This concept implies that cancer cells migrate to environments that are biologically favourable for colonisation in terms of growth factor production, receptor expression and other stromal characteristics, such as tissue origin. If this ‘seed and soil’ theory were always true one would expect that cancers in paired organs, like breast and kidney, would commonly metastasise to the contralateral organ. However, clinical evidence indicates that metastases rarely form in a contralateral paired organ. Furthermore, there is a 98% chance that a breast cancer in the contralateral breast is due to a second, unrelated primary tumour [2].

Ewing proposed, in contrast, that metastatic site specificity was purely mechanical; the first organ that tumour cells passed via blood circulation was the most likely site of metastasis owing to their physical entrapment there [3], as in the example of breast cancer metastases forming in the lungs. However, a large volume of blood from breast tissue also passes through the heart and spleen and breast cancer metastases rarely form in these organs [4]. Evidently, beyond the anatomical exposure to cancer cells, there seems to be a requirement for host-tumour compatibility and specific interaction for metastasis to occur. Hence Paget’s theory of related biological factors is, on balance, more favoured [5].

Bone is the commonest and often the earliest site of distant metastasis in breast cancer [6]; 50% of individuals newly diagnosed with advanced breast cancer have bone metastases, compared to 30%, 26% and 7% with liver, lung, brain metastases, respectively [7]. About 70% of women who die from breast cancer have bone metastases [8], which is where the majority of the tumour burden resides at the time of death [2].

Breast cancer metastases characteristically cause osteolytic lesions, though osteoblastic tumours are found in 25% of cases [2]. There is a growing body of evidence that breast
cancer cells interact with bone stroma facilitating the process of metastasis [9]. For example, breast cancer cells secrete parathyroid hormone related peptide (PTHrP), which stimulates osteoblasts to produce RANKL. This in turn activates osteoclasts, which create osteolytic lesions, and consequently release growth factors stimulating further growth of the breast cancer cells that produce more PTHrP; hence a vicious cycle of positive feedback develops [9].

Osteoclast resorption of the bone has been described as a key characteristic that creates a favourable environment for tumour growth. During resorption, osteoclasts secrete proteolytic enzymes that degrade the bone matrix and release abundant growth factors, cytokines and chemokines, all of which attract circulating tumour cells and support their growth [10].

Bussard and colleagues [11] suggested that the continuous bone turnover, together with the resultant release of chemotactic and trophic factors, could explain site specificity of bone metastasis in most cancers, including breast cancer. However, despite such metastatic favourability, bone metastases are very rare in some other common solid tumours, such as colorectal cancers [7]. This suggests that beyond the growth promoting environment in bone, a significant level of specific interaction is required between cancer cells and bone tissue for bone metastases to establish successfully.

A systematic search of relevant databases for review papers concerning breast cancer metastasis to bone identified those focusing on the bone as a common site of metastasis for many cancers [11-13] and factors involved in breast cancer metastasis to different host organs [6,14]. One review that specifically evaluated factors involved in breast cancer metastasis to the bone was found [8]; however, no systematic reviews were identified. Therefore, herein, for the first time, we report an integrative, systematic review of molecular factors that are shown to have functional roles in homing of metastatic breast cancer to the bone.
Method

Literature Search

A systematic search of articles published in English between January 2004 and September 2016 was conducted in the electronic databases Pubmed®, Web of Science™ and EBSCOhost using keywords and synonyms for molecular, metastasis, breast cancer and bone to search ‘all terms’. Boolean operators and truncations of keywords were employed to both expand and restrict the search. Search expansion was performed using citation chaining in Web of Science™ and snowballing of reference lists of articles that met inclusion criteria. Using this method only one article published prior 2004 was identified that met the inclusion criteria for this review.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Studies that reported primary research findings about molecular factors that have a functional role in breast cancer bone metastasis were included in this review. Included studies were also deemed to have been ethically conducted, for example ethical approval was reported in the study, and met defined quality criteria, as follows. Included studies were designed prospectively with a focus on bone as a metastatic site from breast cancer. Studies entirely carried out in vitro without in vivo testing were excluded in order to ensure that findings included in the review considered the role of the tumour microenvironment. Studies using bone tropic models that specifically demonstrated osteotropic effects of specific gene products were included. This was because the review aimed to identify evidence about gene products that exerted osteotropic effects in breast cancer. The same genes may have additional roles in metastasis, including enabling metastasis to develop in tissues other than bone, but those effects were not the focus of this study, so data about additional putative roles were not extracted as part of this review. Studies that focused on assessing experimental techniques, clinical data, or therapeutic testing were also excluded. The
Inclusion and exclusion process is depicted in an adapted PRISMA flow chart adapted from Moher et al [15] (Figure 1).

**Exclusion process**

Initially citations were screened by OA at the level of the title and then abstract by OA and VL for relevance using parameters set by the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Citations that met or potentially met inclusion criteria at the level of the title and abstract were obtained in full text for further assessment against the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Potentially relevant sources were independently reviewed in full by OA and VL for internal validity. In addition, full texts of all studies included in the review were assessed by OA and SB for eligibility against the inclusion criteria.

**Quality appraisal**

Studies obtained in full text that met the inclusion criteria were assessed for quality by OA and SB using a checklist for critiquing scientific research described by Kuyper [16]. Studies were assessed to identify whether the following criteria were appropriate and reliable, and clearly reported: title, study aims, study design and method (e.g. *in vivo*, cell lines, animal model), and reporting of results. Details of the quality appraisal for each included study are shown in Table 1. Authors’ conclusions were also appraised to assess whether they reflected the findings of the study and whether any limitations of the study were identified within the publications reviewed. Studies that met the quality criteria described above were included in the review. The strength of evidence presented by the studies was also assessed in order to judge their significance in contributing to the review and thus identify the strengths and limitations of the review (Table 1).

**Data extraction**

Data were independently extracted and tabulated by OA and SB in order to aggregate, sort, compare and integrate findings [17]. Extracted data were author and publication date;
Integrative synthesis

The wildtype functions of factors that were found to be associated with breast cancer cells preferentially metastasising to bone tissue were analyzed to consider putative molecular mechanisms that facilitate breast cancer cells metastasising to bone tissue. From the aggregated data extracted from the studies reviewed, functional factors were integrated by grouping into categories according to their primary wildtype function. The categories were further analysed as a whole (akin to data synthesis) by constructing a diagram of wildtype function grouping (Figure 2).

Results

Retrieval and exclusion process

The search results and exclusion process is illustrated in a PRISMA flow-diagram (Figure 1). From 4,491 citations initially retrieved, after rigorous exclusion, 63 full-text articles were assessed for relevance, of which 11 reported primary research that fully met inclusion criteria for the review. For example, potentially relevant studies that were excluded from the review reported roles in the development of lung metastasis, but did not demonstrate the preferential formation of metastases in bone tissue. An additional study published prior to 2004, which was one of those pioneering the use of \textit{in vivo} selection to develop bone seeking breast cancer clones and gene profiling of the cells [18], was included in the review due to its seminal relevance.
Quality appraisal

Quality appraisal confirmed that all studies included in the review stated clear aims and were designed prospectively. All included both *in vitro* and *in vivo* approaches using established breast cancer cell lines to identify factors associated with the formation of breast cancer colonies in bone tissue of inoculated mice, Table 1 and references therein.

All studies described the use of appropriate and rigorous controls. Control methods were carefully assessed to ensure clear the distinction between experimental samples and control samples. In most of the studies reviewed, the controls were breast cancer cell lines that had poor affinity to metastasize to the bone, or high affinity to metastasize to tissue other than bone.

All studies reported evidence of functional roles for specific molecular factors in the homing of breast cancer cells to bone tissue. Nine of the studies reported robust evidence classified as ‘strong’ or ‘moderate’, Table 1; they consistently demonstrated correlation between expression of specific proteins and the development of bone metastasis using a variety of techniques and experimental models.

Findings from functional studies

Overall, 15 factors that were associated with breast cancer cells homing to bone tissue were reported in the reviewed studies, Table 2 and references therein. Eleven studies reported factors that were over-expressed in clones of bone-homing breast cancer cell lines, while one study [23] reported a factor (CCL2) that was associated with increased bone metastasis when it was down-regulated. Findings were mapped onto a diagram by function, illustrating factors and possible molecular mechanisms involved in bone metastasis, Figure 2.
Intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1 or CD5) and cadherin-11 (OB (osteoblast)-cadherin) were highly overexpressed in both human (MDA-MB-231BO) and murine (4T1E/M3) bone metastasizing cancer cells [21,22]. Rose et al [20] reported a correlation between endogenous osteoactivin overexpression and the formation of bone lesions in multiple cancer cell lines (4T1 cells, its selected bone metastatic population, 590, 592, 593, 606 BM2 and non-bone metastatic 67NR and 66cl4). Increase in bone metastases in immunocompetent mice inoculated with cancer cells (66cl4) bearing exogenously expressed osteoactivin was also shown. CITED2 (CREB-binding protein (CBP)/p300 interacting transactivator with glutamate (E) and aspartate (D) tail 2) was expressed at high levels in sublines of mouse NT2.5 cells that had high bone metastatic potential compared to those that had low bone metastatic potential; in addition, knockdown of CITED2 resulted in a reduction in bone metastasis [24].

The expression of chemokine receptor CX₃CR1 was significantly increased in cancer cells that promote breast cancer bone metastasis in vivo (MDA-MB-231), compared to expression levels in cells (MDA-MB-436) that have a weak affinity to metastasize to bone [26]. Exogenous overexpression of CX₃CR1 in a breast cancer cell line with weak affinity to form bone metastasis resulted in a 3-fold increase in bone metastatic tendency. Furthermore, introduction of CX₃CR1 positive cells into mice null for its binding partner, fractalkine, resulted in a significant reduction in bone, but not adrenal, metastases.

Kang et al [18] reported a 4-fold overexpression of CXCR4 in selected bone metastatic clones of MDA-MB-231 compared to the parent population, which appeared to have a synergistic effect with other co-expressed osteogenic genes (interleukin 11, osteopontin (OPN) and connective tissue-derived growth factor (CTGF)) on the formation of bone metastasis.
A relationship between exogenous overexpression in breast cancer cell lines and the ability of the cell line to form bone lesions in vivo was also reported. Zhang et al [19] exogenously overexpressed bone sialoprotein (BSP) in breast cancer cells that exclusively formed brain lesions in vivo (MDA-MB-231-BR), and found overexpression of BSP resulted in 100% of the metastatic lesions forming in bone, and not brain, tissue. Expression of CCN3 (also known as nephroblastoma overexpressed (NOV)) in breast cancer cells was associated with increased bone metastasis [25]. This indicated a functional role, which was investigated by transfecting CCN3 into a weakly bone metastatic cancer cell line (66cl4), which doubled the bone affinity of this cell line when inoculated into mice. Siclari et al (2014) [29] reported that when adrenomedullin was over-expressed five-fold by MDA-MB-231 cells and inoculated into immunodeficient mice, they formed osteolytic bone metastases more rapidly than untransfected cells. Moreover, cells over-expressing adrenomedullin formed larger tumours when injected into mammary fat pads. Expression of TWIST1 has also been reported to enhance the ability of an osteotropic subclone of MDA-MB-231 cells to form osteolytic lesions [28]. A greater number of micrometastases were established, radiographically detectable lesions were were 50% larger than those formed by mock-transfected cells, and more extensive bone destruction was seen. Suppression of TWIST1 abolished the effect.

One factor expressed in breast cancer cells was reported to have an inhibitory role in the formation of bone metastatic lesions. CCL2 (chemokine C-C ligand 2, also known as monocyte chemo-attractant protein 1 – MCP-1) expression was shown to be down regulated in bone-specific cancer cells (4T1E/M3) and its overexpression reduced the bone metastatic potential of the cancer cells [23]. Another factor appeared to have an osteolytic function. Lau et al. [27] reported higher levels of Enpp1 (ectoenzyme ectonucleotide pyrophosphatase/phosphodiesterase I) expression in bone seeking human and murine cancer cell lines (MDA-MB-231/MDA-MB-468 and NT2.5, respectively). MDA-MB-231 cells transfected with Enpp1 had an increased rate of destruction/loss of bone density, compared to non-transfected cells.
Discussion

Here we present, for the first time, a systematic review of molecular factors that have putative roles in the homing of breast cancer cells to bone. This is a novel method for reviewing primary research from molecular biology experiments, and involves conducting a systematic search, applying pre-defined inclusion and exclusion criteria, using standard criteria to appraise the quality of the findings, and systematically sorting findings. The method used in this review has been adapted from established systematic review methods used to address medical, health and social science research questions [30-32]. A systematic approach to the review enabled secondary analysis of extracted findings as an integrated data set. It also facilitated independent review of published findings by members of the research team (akin to a process of internal peer review) following a pre-determined, standardized method, which we argue increases the validity and reliability of data synthesis.

This review describes fifteen proteins that were found to be associated with breast cancer cells having an affinity to metastasise to bone, which was dependent on their expression levels. The wildtype function of these proteins includes cell adhesion and motility, osteoblast proliferation and differentiation, mineralisation of bone extracellular matrix, and chemokine activity. These factors demonstrated preferential and potent bone tropic function. It is worth noting that this finding does not exclude functional roles in the development of metastasis to other tissue types and the factors described in this review may also have roles in the formation of metastases in tissue sites additional to bone.

Six of the fifteen molecular factors identified here have a reported function in cell adhesion (cadherin-11, ICAM-1, OPN, CX3CR1, CCN3 and osteoactivin, Figure 2) and all were shown to promote bone metastasis when overexpressed in breast cancer cells. Cadherin-11 is a calcium dependent cell adhesion protein particularly expressed in osteoblasts [33]. ICAM-1 is a transmembrane structural protein, but can also exist in soluble form in plasma as sICAM-1. It is abundantly expressed on the surface membrane of leukocytes and endothelial
cells where it exerts its primary function in adhesion of leukocytes to the vascular endothelium, but its expression can also be induced in other cells during inflammatory processes [34]. ICAM-1 is also expressed on osteoblast and osteoclast precursor cells in the bone microenvironment. It mediates osteoclastogenesis and subsequent bone resorption by facilitating osteoblast RANK receptor and osteoclast RANKL ligand leading to osteoclast formation [35]. Osteopontin (OPN) has many reported functions, amongst them is osteoclast adhesion to bone matrix [36,37]. CX3CR1, a chemokine receptor, is involved in leukocyte adhesion and migration during the immune response [38]. The secretory protein CCN3 found in bone extracellular matrix also functions in cell adhesion [25,39]. Osteoactivin, a transmembrane protein found on osteoblasts and osteoclasts plays a regulatory role in endothelial cell adhesion [40].

Eight of the fifteen factors identified in this review (CCN3, osteoactivin, Enpp1, IL-11, CTGF, CITED2 and TWIST1, adrenomedullin, Figure 2) are reported to be involved in cell proliferation and differentiation. CCN3 [39], along with transmembrane proteins osteoactivin [40] and Enpp1 [41], have wildtype functions in osteoblast differentiation. Osteoactivin is also involved with osteoclast formation [40] and Enpp1 also generates pyrophosphate, which is a source of phosphate for bone mineralization, found to be involved in pathologic calcification of non-bone tissues [41]. IL-11 is involved in osteoclast formation from bone marrow progenitor cells [42], and CTGF in osteoblast formation; both are responsive to transforming growth factor beta (TGF-β) signaling [18]. CITED2 is a transcriptional co-activator that positively regulates TGF-β signaling and is activated by hypoxia inducible factor 1α (HIF-1α) [43]. In addition to increased expression of the extracellular matrix (ECM) protein CCN3 and mineralizing protein Enpp1 in bone seeking cells, this review also found bone seeking behavior by cells exogenously overexpressing the non-collagenous ECM glycoprotein BSP [44,45]. TWIST1 is a transcription factor that regulates cell motility and tissue reorganization during embryogenesis [46]. It is reactivated in many cancers where it is involved in epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) facilitating cancer intravasation and metastasis and in
avoidance of senescence and apoptosis [46,47]. Adrenomedullin expression is induced by hypoxia. It has complex and widespread homeostatic function including bronchodilation, vasodilation, angiogenesis, lymphangiogenesis, hormone secretion and is involved in inducing apoptosis and cell proliferation, including osteoblast proliferation [48].

**Fig.2** Functional roles of identified factors and possible molecular mechanisms involved in bone metastasis

Most published evidence regarding molecular factors with functional roles in breast cancer bone metastases formation identifies cell adhesion, cell differentiation and extracellular matrix proteins, consistent with the findings outlined above. However, this review also identified putative evidence for the role of chemokine signaling when co-expressed with other factors (Figure 2). CCL-2 overexpression reduced bone metastatic potential, however, there was still some level of bone metastasis recorded, signifying that other contributing factors may be involved in this process [23]. CCL2, a chemokine, is a strong chemotactic agent for monocytes, and is expressed in a wide variety of tissues. It has putative roles in both macrophage-facilitated angiogenesis and tumour growth inhibition [49]. CX3CR1 is a G-protein coupled chemokine receptor that is found abundantly in osteoblasts and its ligand, CX3CL1 (or fraktalkine), is produced by bone marrow stromal cells [26,50]. CXCR4 is a chemokine receptor involved in both bone marrow homing and extravasation [18, 51]. Osteopontin is a multifunctional adhesion factor with lymphokine function that stimulates osteoclast adhesion to bone matrix [18, 52].

A striking observation about most of the factors identified in this review is that their wildtype pattern of expression and function is in the bone microenvironment maintaining normal bone physiology. It appears that some breast cancer cells are able to mimic osteogenic cells by switching on the expression of osteogenic genes [53]. The intrinsic bone regulating
characteristics of healthy breast cells in breast tissue development [54] and lactation [55] might confer such osteomimicry in malignant breast cells. In addition to osteomimicry in breast cancer cells that form bone metastases, there is evidence of osteomimicry in other osteotropic cancers, for example prostate [56] and lung cancers [57]. This suggests a role for factors in the tumour microenvironment that is common in osteotropic cancers.

Osteomimicry is thought to promote both homing of cancer cells to bone tissue and their survival in the bone microenvironment [58], which might also explain why these cells metastasise to the bone in preference to the contralateral organ, or other remote organs and tissues. The gene products described herein could be classified using Nguyen and Massague’s classification of ‘metastasis virulence’ genes [59]. Proteins expressed by metastasis virulence genes are proposed to direct selective colonization of secondary sites by exerting functions, such as capillary adhesion, extravasation and organ specific colonization [59]. The review suggests that Paget’s ‘seed and soil’ theory of cancer cells forming metastatic colonies in microenvironments that are favourable to their growth [1] holds true.

Strengths and limitations of the review

We have described the methods we used to conduct a comprehensive, systematic search strategy in an attempt to retrieve all relevant literature accessible via electronic databases since 2004 and associated snowballing and citation chaining. A pre-defined, standardized method of inclusion, exclusion, data extraction and analysis were used to identify integrate and synthesise findings of the review, which provides transparency in reporting, and we believe adds validity and reliability to conducting a literature review.

All of the reviewed studies investigated osteotropism of breast/mammary cancer cell lines in vivo using a murine model of metastasis, which enabled modeling within the context of tumour microenvironments within whole organisms. All studies either reported that ethical permission had been obtained or described work that indicated it was conducted in an
ethical manner. However, Zhang et al [19], while stating that their study was approved by
their Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (University of Texas Health Center at San
Antonio, USA) and was performed in accordance with NIH Guide for the Care and Use of
Laboratory Animals, describe how tumour bearing animals became crippled and suffered
bone fractures, which raises cause for concern.

Studies on human breast cancer cells used immunodeficient (athymic nude, neu-N and
SCID) mice to prevent the rejection and immunologic killing of the inoculated human cells by
the murine immune system, while those using murine mammary tumour cells employed
immunocompetent (BALB/c mice) mice. Each approach has its strengths and limitations.
While the use of immunodeficient mice allows the study of metastasis of human breast
cancer cells in a non-human host, it does so in the absence of immune response factors that
themselves might be functionally important to metastasis. The use of immunocompetent
mice addresses that issue, but murine mammary tumours differ fundamentally from human
breast cancer in many aspects of their metastasis. In almost all studies reviewed here, highly
artificial techniques were used to create circulating breast cancer cells, which were
introduced into the mice by either intracardiac, tail vein or intratibial injection, thus modeling,
at best, only the late stages of metastasis, once the cancer cells are already blood-borne, or
lodged within bone. Only Takahashi et al [23] attempted subcutaneous implantation, and
their study resulted in relatively weak evidence of bone metastasis-specific factors. Croset et
al [28] performed xenograft experiments, injecting cancer cells into the mammary fat pad,
but only in order to assess primary tumour growth. In this study, metastasis was achieved
through tail artery injection.

There is also the issue that cell lines themselves are limited in their ability to reflect the
complexities of clinical cancer biology. It is interesting that a limited range of cancer cell lines
were used in the studies reported here. MDA-MB-231 were employed in all eight studies that
used human breast cancer cell lines, sometimes in combination with one or more other of
MDA-MB-468 and MDA-MB-436. In those studies that used murine mammary cancer cells,
4/6 studies employed 4T1 cells and their metastatic variants. This resulted in highly homogeneous tumour formation and the limitation that data are being derived from a very narrow model which, potentially, may not accurately reflect the clinical situation. Whilst useful for investigating functional gene expression, this does not reflect the highly heterogeneous profile of most metastatic human breast cancers. In addition, bone metastases develop more commonly from estrogen receptor (ER) positive than ER negative breast cancers [60]; however several cell lines used in the reviewed studies lacked ER expression, for example MDA-MB-231 and 4T1 [61]. It would therefore be preferable for studies investigating the bone metastatic properties of breast cancer cells to employ ER positive cell lines, such as the human MCF-7 cell line. There are, therefore, many obvious limitations in these well used and accepted model systems. Overall, these methodological factors need to be taken into consideration when interpreting research findings, as they may cause experimental artefacts that do not accurately model natural processes.

Different experimental approaches were used in the studies reviewed, including genomics, transcriptomics and proteomics, and findings from studies that used multiple techniques to explore the functional role of a given molecular factor might be regarded as providing more convincing evidence. In several studies, microarray analysis of highly bone metastatic breast cancer clones identified tens to hundreds of genes that were overexpressed or underexpressed [18,23,24], however further in vitro and in vivo testing was only reported for 1 – 4 of these genes, and the criterion for selecting these genes was generally not described or was vague. Such selection of subsets of samples creates a potential for bias, which we recognise might be reflected in the review.

Clinical implications

Bone metastases from breast cancer cause significant morbidity and mortality. Currently, there are no diagnostic techniques that enable reliable detection of bone micrometastases at
the time of diagnosis. Instead breast cancer bone metastases are commonly diagnosed following presentation of a symptom, such as pain. Bone targeted agents, bisphosphonates [62,63] and denosumab (Xgeva®, Amgen) [63,64], are effective for reducing pain and delaying time to the first skeletal related event (SRE) (pathological fracture, spinal cord compression, bone radiation or bone surgery) in people with advanced breast cancer [65,66]. Serious adverse events that lead to discontinuation of bone targeted therapies include hypocalcaemia, impaired renal function and osteonecrosis of the jaw [67]. Best supportive care and palliative symptom control also have a role in reducing the risk of SRE and reducing pain in cases where bone targeted agents are contraindicated, for example impaired renal function [68]. Although interventions for treating bone metastases are effective in managing symptoms and delaying SRE, the majority of bone-targeted therapy trials have not been shown to improve overall survival of people with bone metastatic breast cancer [65].

An eminently preferable strategy to palliating symptoms of bone metastases or delaying SRE would be to prevent the development of bone metastases in the first instance. One approach might be to use adjuvant treatment targeting factors that promote breast cancer cells metastasising to the bone prior to the formation of bone metastasis, which has been explored in mouse models in several studies reported here [21,24,26]. However, much work is yet to be done to achieve this outcome in humans, since it requires a robust body of evidence to indicate the role of a molecular factor expressed in early breast carcinogenesis that later confers bone metastatic character, development of molecular diagnostic techniques to determine which tumours present a risk of forming bone metastasis, and subsequent development of effective targeted therapies that are clinically tolerable. Clinical correlation between the presence of the functional factors identified in this review in primary tumours in patients and either poor clinical outcome or, in some instances, specifically the presence of bone metastases, provides evidence that they may represent promising targets for such further endeavor. Some instances of such evidence are summarized below.
In the study by Jamieson-Gladney et al [26] identified in this review, in addition to the animal model work described previously, the authors also performed immunohistochemistry to detect CX3CR1 on tissue arrays of human breast cancer and normal breast tissue samples. They reported a low level of CX3CR1 immunopositivity in normal breast and an increase in intensity and distribution of immunopositivity in breast cancers, a finding that is consistent with the authors’ previous work on prostate cancer [69]. Here, in addition, bone marrow was shown to contain soluble CX3CL1/fractalkine, which is released from bone marrow cells upon androgen stimulation, thus suggesting a potential role in bone tropism. Similarly, in the study by Lau et al (2013) [27] included in this review, the authors assessed human clinical samples alongside their animal studies. Comparing both mRNA levels and Enpp1 immunolabelling of clinical samples, they demonstrated that Enpp1 was overexpressed in primary breast cancer compared to normal breast epithelium, and that the highest levels were observed in breast cancer metastases to bone. The same group [24] took a similar approach to their work highlighting CITED2 as potentially relevant to breast cancer osteotropism. Again, in addition to the animal experiments discussed in this review, the authors examined clinical samples of primary invasive ductal carcinoma and bone metastasis samples and found levels of CITED2 mRNA to be elevated in both in comparison to normal breast epithelium. Moreover, levels in bone metastases were significantly higher than in primary tumours.

Bone sialoprotein has been detected in primary breast cancers [70,71] and clearly associated with development of bone metastases [72], which are also BSP-positive [73], and poor survival [74]. Osteopontin overexpression is also established as being associated with metastasis, although not specifically to bone, in many types of cancer [reviewed by 75,76]. Differential osteopontin expression has been detected in breast cancer samples [77] and plasma osteopontin levels have been clinically correlated with the presence of bone metastases and with survival rates in prostate cancer patients [78]. TWIST1 expression by primary breast cancers is associated with more clinically aggressive disease and poor
survival [79] and is detectable in breast cancer cells that remain in the bone marrow following chemotherapy [80]. Adrenomedullin is expressed by many type of cancer [reviewed by 81]. Around 80% of breast cancers express adrenomedullin and high levels in the primary tumour and in the plasma predict lymph node metastases; a role in bone metastasis has been proposed [82]. IL-11 expression in primary breast cancer correlates with subsequent development of bone metastases [83]. CXCR4 has been implicated in the development of bone metastases in patients with neuroblastoma [84] and prostate cancer [85].

ICAM1 levels have been measured in the cytosol of breast cancer and benign breast tissue samples [86] and has been reported to induce a more invasive phenotype in breast cancer [87], but an association with bone metastasis in clinical studies has not specifically been explored. Osteoactivin is overexpressed in glioblastoma multiforme and is associated with poor clinical outcome and has been proposed as a potential molecular therapeutic target [88], although, again, association with bone metastasis specifically has not been reported. Cadherin-11 expression is well established as being associated with cancer cell invasiveness and epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT). A recent meta-analysis of human cancer microarray datasets revealed that cadherin-11 is increased in breast ductal carcinoma in situ and breast cancer in comparison to normal breast epithelium, and is elevated in the stroma surrounding breast cancers compared to normal stroma [89]. Its association with metastatic competence has not been explored specifically. CCL2 has been detected in primary breast cancer samples [90], and ER-negative tumours have been reported to exhibit high levels of CCL2 expression [91]. In pancreatic cancer, patients with high serum CCL2 levels had a better prognosis than those with low levels [92], consistent with the findings reported in this review [23] that it is negatively associated with metastatic competence.

CCN3 has diverse functional roles, which are context dependent. This complexity is reflected in conflicting reports of its significance in cancer biology. While CCN3 has been reported to exert growth-suppressive effects in several cancer types, paradoxically, it has also been
shown to have a pro-migration and pro-metastatic role in melanoma [93] and Ewing’s sarcoma [94] and high levels predict poor prognosis in prostate cancer [95], osteosarcoma [96] and renal cell cancer [97]. In breast cancer, reports have also been contradictory, with some reporting an association with good prognosis [98] and others reporting association with resistance to endocrine therapy [99]. In the study by Ouellet et al [25] reported in this review, in addition to the animal experiments, clinical samples of bone metastases from breast cancer were examined and strong CCN3 immunopositivity of tumour cells was seen in 50% of cases. Other studies have demonstrated high CCN3 positivity in bone metastases in comparison to metastases at other sites [100]. CTGF, also known as CCN2, is another member of the CCN family. Its presence in oral squamous cell carcinomas has been shown to be associated with local invasion of the mandible [101]. In hepatocellular carcinoma, intratumoral immunopositivity of the primary tumour biopsy for CTGF was predictive of bone metastases and, interestingly, combining intratumoral CTGF with IL-11, discussed previously, was an independent risk factor for bone metastases development [102]. In breast cancer, it is one of four genes (one of the others was osteopontin, discussed previously), that were identified as being overexpressed in bone metastases compared to disseminated tumour cells in the bone marrow that had not formed tumours [103] and was found to be overexpressed in primary breast cancers that had metastasised to bone as well as in the tumor cells of breast cancer bone metastases when compared to normal breast tissue [104].

**Implications for future research**

Further investigation is needed to elucidate molecular factors that have a functional role in enabling breast cancer cells to metastasise to bone tissue. Further experiments are specifically required to increase understanding of molecular interactions, signaling pathways, both upstream and downstream, of proteins identified in this review, which have putative functional roles in mechanisms of breast cancer bone metastasis. Prospective, longitudinal
epidemiological studies, which include both exomic (sequencing the entire complement of exons) and proteomic analysis of tumour biopsies excised from cohorts of patients diagnosed with primary stage breast cancer, might identify additional important factors that have a functional role in the metastasis of breast cancer to bone.

**Conclusions**

We are still some distance from developing diagnostic techniques to identify primary breast cancers that have potential to metastasise to bone tissue, and specifically targeting such cells before the development of clinically apparent metastatic tumours. An important step in developing these techniques is increasing our understanding of the molecular mechanisms involved in these processes. In order to inform the development of future research on the topic, this systematic review integrates recent literature to identify molecular factors that have putative functional roles in the development of breast cancer bone metastases.
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All studies were prospective, included both *in vitro* and *in vivo* approaches, and employed appropriate controls for each experiment. Statistical analysis, where relevant, was performed appropriately. The title of each paper accurately reflected content.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author and publication date</th>
<th>Are study aims clearly apparent?</th>
<th>Experimental approaches</th>
<th>What cell lines are used?</th>
<th>What animal model is used?</th>
<th>Strengths and limitations of evidence presented</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kang et al., 2003 [18]</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td><em>In vivo</em> cell selection and gene profiling</td>
<td>Bone- and adrenal-metastasis specific human breast cancer cell clones derived from parental MDA-MB-231 cell line</td>
<td>Intracardiac injection of human cancer cell line into athymic nude mice</td>
<td>Rigorous selection of bone-specific human breast cancer cells and demonstration of significant over expression of several factors (IL-11, CTGF, CXCR4, OPN) working in synergy to promote bone metastasis in vivo. Functionality demonstrated by transfection of genes into parental cell line. Specificity confirmed by comparison of bone-specific with adrenal-specific clones. MMP-1 was also highlighted as of interest in this study but only preliminary data, indicated its functional significance, alone or with other factors, in enhancing bone metastatic ability. Strong evidence for function in bone-specific metastasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study Reference</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>Study Objective</td>
<td>Methods</td>
<td>Results</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rose et al., 2007 [20]</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>To demonstrate that osteoactivin promotes bone metastasis in breast cancer</td>
<td><em>In vivo</em> cancer cell selection, gene profiling, cell transfection and <em>in vivo</em> metastasis</td>
<td>Bone-seeking clones of mouse mammary cancer 4T1. Consistent demonstration of osteoactivin in multiple bone-seeking clones, confirmed by several complimentary techniques. Expression of osteoactivin by a non-bone metastasising clone imparted bone metastatic ability. However, the use of murine cell lines, albeit in an immunocompetent host, limits the relevance of the findings to human cancer. Moderate evidence for function in bone-specific metastasis.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tamura et al., 2008 [21]</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>To evaluate the role of cadherin-11 in homing of bone seeking cell lines</td>
<td><em>In vivo</em> selection, protein analysis, cell</td>
<td>Parental, bone-seeking and brain-seeking clones of human breast. Intracardiac injection of human cancer cell line into athymic nude mice. The significant expression of cadherin-11 in bone seeking cell lines, reduction in recorded bone metastasis with its inactivation and failure of expected lung metastases in cadherin-11 bearing cells in an...</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takahashi et al., 2008 [22]</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>To investigate the function of ICAM-1 in highly bone metastatic breast cancer cells</td>
<td>In vivo selection, gene profiling, <em>in vivo</em> metastasis, <em>in vitro</em> functional testing</td>
<td>Mouse mammary cancer 4T1 and a highly metastatic variant 4T1E/M3</td>
<td>ICAM-1 and beta 2 integrin expression in bone seeking cells was demonstrated using multiple techniques identifying their expression at both genomic and transcriptomic level. Functionality of ICAM-1 was explored, but not beta 2 integrin, and this is a limitation of the study. In discussion, it is mentioned that anti-ICAM-1 and anti-beta 2 integrin antibodies had no inhibitory effect on 4T1E/M3 adhesion to bone marrow derived endothelial cells, but data is not presented. Further, 4T1E/M3 cells were not exclusively metastatic to bone and showed a high level of metastasis to lung, as well as, less commonly, other sites. A further limitation of the study is the use of murine cells, albeit in an immunocompetent host.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Year</td>
<td>Yes/No</td>
<td>Aim</td>
<td>In vivo Methods</td>
<td>In vitro Methods</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Takahashi et al., 2009 [23]</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>To examine the impact of CCL2 in regulating breast cancer bone metastasis</td>
<td><em>In vivo</em> cell selection, gene profiling, <em>in vivo</em> metastasis, <em>in vitro</em> functional testing</td>
<td>Highly metastatic variant 4T1E/M3 of mouse mammary cancer 4T1</td>
<td>Intravenous and subcutaneous injection into immunocompetent BALB/c mice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lau et al., 2010 [24]</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>To evaluate the role of CITED2 in promoting osteotropism in breast cancer</td>
<td><em>In vivo</em> selection, gene profiling, gene knockdown and <em>in vivo</em> metastasis</td>
<td>neu-expressing mammary tumor cell line NT2.5 and subclones with differing metastatic capability&lt;br&gt;HUMAN bone metastatic MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468, and non-metastatic 11-24 HME and MCF-</td>
<td>Intracardiac injection of murine and human cancer cells into neu-N mice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>To evaluate the role of CCN3 in breast cancer metastasis to bone</td>
<td>In vivo selection, protein analysis, cell transfection and <em>in vivo</em> metastasis, in vitro functional testing</td>
<td>Mouse mammary cancer 4T1 Weakly bone metastatic mouse mammary cancer cell line 66cl4</td>
<td>Intracardiac injection into immunocompetent BALB/c mice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ouellet et al., 2011 [25]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jamieson-Gladney et al., 2011 [26]</td>
<td></td>
<td>To investigate the role of fractalkine and its receptor CX3CR1 in bone metastasis from breast cancer</td>
<td>Cell transfection and <em>in vivo</em> metastasis</td>
<td>Human breast cancer cell lines MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-436</td>
<td>Intracardiac injection into SCID mice or control non-immune compromised mice; fractalkine null transgenic mice</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Study</td>
<td>Methodology</td>
<td>Gene Expression</td>
<td>Result</td>
<td>Evidence for Function</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lau et al., 2013 [27]</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>To evaluate the role of Enpp1 in breast cancer bone metastasis</td>
<td><em>In vivo</em> selection, gene profiling, protein analysis, cell transfection and <em>in vivo</em> metastasis</td>
<td>Moderate evidence for function in bone specific metastasis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>HER-2/neu—expressing mouse mammary tumour cell line, NT2.5</td>
<td><em>Intracardiac</em> and <em>intra-tibial</em> injection into athymic nude mice</td>
<td>While the authors state that Enpp1 was identified in their previous study Lau et al. (2009) as being of special interest, it does not actually appear as a gene of interest in that paper. Enpp1 expression was increased in bone seeking murine and human breast cancer cells and in human breast cancer bone metastases in comparison to primary tumours and normal breast epithelium. Expression of Enpp1 by MDA-MB-231 cells resulted in no greater rate of bone metastasis, but more rapid bone destruction.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Croset et al., 2014 [28]</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>To explore the functions of TWIST1 in breast cancer bone metastasis</td>
<td><em>Cell transfection, in vivo inoculation, in vitro functional testing</em></td>
<td>Weak evidence for function in bone specific metastasis</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>MDA-MB-231/BO2, a bone metastatic clone of human breast cancer cell line.</td>
<td><em>Tail artery injection into nude mice.</em></td>
<td>MDA-MB-231/BO2 cells demonstrated earlier and significantly larger osteolytic bone metastasis compared to control when TWIST1 was exogenously expressed in them. TWIST1 was, however, not found to be inherently expressed in MDA-MB-231/BO2 and other bone metastatic clones.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Siclari et al., 2014 [29] | Yes | To evaluate the role of adrenomedullin in enhancing bone metastasis in breast cancer and explore its potential as a therapeutic target for treatment of bone metastasis | Multiple breast cancer cell lines were tested for adrenomedullin expression. MDA-MB-231 clones were used for gene transfection and mice inoculation | Intracardiac injection into athymic nude mice | Clear demonstration of a functional role for adrenomedullin in enhancing osteolytic metastasis. Adrenomedullin was found to be expressed in normal tissues (including breast, kidney and prostate) and lung metastases, suggesting that adrenomedullin may not only contribute to specific bone tropism. Adrenomedullin is more likely contribute to growth of already formed bone metastases rather than playing a causal role. Moderate evidence for function in bone specific metastasis.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author and publication date</th>
<th>Functional factor(s) identified</th>
<th>Wild type function of molecular factor(s)</th>
<th>Functional classification of molecular factor(s)</th>
<th>Summary of main study findings</th>
<th>Brief interpretation of study findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kang et al., 2003 [18]</td>
<td>IL 11</td>
<td>osteoclast formation; further activated by TGFbeta</td>
<td>Cytokine / growth factor</td>
<td>In vivo selection of bone- and adrenal-metastasis specific human breast cancer cell clones derived from MDA-MB-231 and determination of bone-specific, and not adrenal-specific, metastasis gene signature. Confirmation that parental and bone-metastatic subclones conform to a previously established poor prognosis gene signature, and no evidence that acquisition of bone-homing activity is associated with increased expression of these genes. Further analysis revealed distinct gene signatures associated with clones that selectively metastasise to bone and to adrenal gland, and bone-metastasis-associated genes distinct from the previously established poor prognosis gene signature. IL11, CTGF, CXCR4 and OPN are convincingly shown to work synergistically. These genes encode proteins associated with angiogenesis, tumour cell invasion, recruitment of osteoclasts suggesting that their action contributes to a microenvironment favouring</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Connective tissue growth factor CTGF (CCN2)</td>
<td>Osteoblast differentiation and proliferation, angiogenesis; further activated by TGFbeta</td>
<td>Cytokine / growth factor</td>
<td></td>
<td>Investigations reveal a gene profile, already present in the parental cell population, which, when superimposed on an already metastasis-associated gene signature, specifically enhances metastasis to bone. IL11, CTGF, CXCR4 and OPN are convincingly shown to work synergistically. These genes encode proteins associated with angiogenesis, tumour cell invasion, recruitment of osteoclasts suggesting that their action contributes to a microenvironment favouring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Osteopontin (OPN) (early T lymphocyte activation gene 1 eta1, secreted phosphoprotein 1 spp1, bone sialoprotein 1)</td>
<td>Multiple functions. Stimulates osteoclast adhesion to bone matrix. Lymphokine.</td>
<td>Extracellular matrix protein / cytokine</td>
<td>CTGF, CXCR4 and MMP-1 were identified as the most highly overexpressed in the bone-metastasis populations. Parental MDA-MB-231 cells transfected to express high levels of IL11 required co-expression of osteopontin (OPN) before enhanced bone metastasis was observed. CXCR4 when expressed alone resulted in limited enhanced bone metastatic ability. Increased expression of CTGF alone did not. Triple transfectants expressing OPN and IL11 with either CXCR4 or CTGF showed dramatic enhanced bone metastatic ability. Preliminary data only, not shown, was suggestive of MMP-1 alone or in combination with IL-11 and OPN enhancing bone metastasis. Populations of cells which over expressed the IL11, CTGF, CXCR4, MMP1, OPN bone metastasis gene signature were both found to be present in the original parental MDA-MB-231 population and to exhibit enhanced ability to metastasise to bone. Cells expressing this multi-gene signature were establishment of metastases. Cell populations highly metastatic to adrenal medulla do not share this signature, suggesting a basis for tissue specificity.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zhang et al., 2004 [19]</td>
<td>Bone sialoprotein (BSP, bone sialoprotein II)</td>
<td>Bone homeostasis</td>
<td>Extracellular matrix protein</td>
<td>Exclusively bone- and brain-seeking clones derived from MDA-231 cells were established. Upon transfection with BSP, the brain-seeking clones formed bone metastases. Transfection with vector only had no effect. Bone lesions, detected by radiological examination, were also examined using standard histological techniques, and in situ hybridisation and immunohistochemistry to localise BSP. High levels of BSP mRNA and protein were localised in the bone metastases.</td>
<td>Convincing evidence for the role of BSP in establishment of bone metastasis was shown in this study as its expression caused significant bone metastasis in a completely non-bone seeking cell line.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rose et al., 2007 [20]</td>
<td>Osteoactivin</td>
<td>Involved in osteoblast differentiation and osteoclast formation</td>
<td>Cell adhesion protein</td>
<td>Sub-populations of 4T1 cancer cells showing enhanced metastasis to bone were selected. These cells were more motile and more invasive than the parental cell line or cells selected to be tumorigenic and non-metastatic, or metastatic to lung but not bone. Gene expression profiling using microarray identified a range of 12 genes with elevated expression and 4 with lower expression in strongly bone-metastasising cell populations.</td>
<td>Compelling evidence is presented that osteoactivin expression is necessary and sufficient for MMP-3 expression and also associated with enhanced invasiveness, probably in conjunction with other mediators, in selected bone-seeking cell populations.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
cell populations in comparison to parental or weakly bone metastatic populations. Of these, osteoactivin was chosen for further study because it has been identified as being associated with increased invasion and motility in glioma. It was confirmed that the strongly bone-metastasising population expressed high levels of osteoactivin in comparison to parental or weakly bone metastatic cells. Furthermore, knock-down of osteoactivin resulted in a reduction in invasive ability of cells. Levels of MMP-3 in various cell populations correlated with osteoactivin and bone metastasis potential, consistent with literature reports that osteoactivin induces MMP-3 expression. Osteoactivin-positive cells showed enhanced metastasis to bone in comparison to osteoactivin negative controls and bone tumour cells showed increased levels of both osteoactivin and MMP-3 in these studies.
| Tamura et al., 2008 [21] | Cadherin-11 (CDH11) | Cell adhesion molecule, mediates cell adhesion through homophilic interactions. Expressed by bone marrow cells and osteoblasts. | Cell adhesion protein | Cadherin-11 expression was markedly increased in bone-seeking clones of MDA-MB-231 cells in comparison to the parental cell line or to brain-seeking clones.

MDA-MB-231 cells stably transfected with intact cadherin-11 and an inactive variant that is unable to form homophilic interactions. The cells expressing intact cadherin-11 showed increased bone metastases in an animal model compared to the parental cell line. Cells expressing inactive cadherin-11 showed reduced bone metastasis. No difference in ability to metastasise to lung was noted, thus indicating that cadherin-11 is specifically involved in establishment of bone metastases. These observations were supported by evidence that cadherin-11- positive cancer cells arrested in greater numbers in bone marrow than cells of the parental line, and that cells expressing inactive cadherin-11 arrested in decreased numbers. When co-cultured with a cadherin-11 expressing bone stromal cell line, increased migration of the cancer cells was observed, and this was not seen in co-

Increased e expression of cadherin-11 in bone-seeking cell clones, increased bone metastasis when cadherin-11 is expressed and reduction in bone metastasis when an inactive variant is expressed all provide evidence for cadherin-11 promoting metastasis to bone. Evidence is provided that this is an organ-specific phenomenon as no relationship between cadherin-11 and increased metastasis to other sites (brain, lung) is observed. Furthermore, evidence is provided that the mechanism of action is through homophilic cell-cell adhesive interactions between cadherin-11 positive cancer cells and cadherin-11 positive bone stromal cells resulting in...
<p>| Takahashi et al., 2008 [22] | ICAM-1 | **Cell adhesion molecule and member of the immunoglobulin superfamily. Mediates cell to cell interaction of osteoblast and osteoclast precursor cells in Rigorous <em>in vivo</em> selection of 4T1E cells was performed to develop a cell line with enhanced metastasis, 4T1E/M3. The cells, which were highly clonal, showed enhanced bone metastasis but metastasised to other sites, including liver, spleen, heart, and, especially lung. Cells of 4T1E/M3 proliferated only slightly faster than the parental line, but exhibited increased adhesion to both plasticware and to bone marrow derived endothelial cells, were more motile in a wound healing assay and showed increased cancer cell migration and up-regulation of the osteoclastogenic cytokine PTH-rP by the cancer cells. Thus, cadherin-11 expression by breast cancer cells promotes, through homophilic interaction with cadherin-11 expressed on bone stromal cells, cancer cell homing specifically to bone, then directed migration and osteoclastogenesis. |
| Takahashi et al., 2009 [23] | CCL2 (chemokine C-C ligand 2, monocyte chemoattractant protein-1, MCP-1) | Member of the CC chemokine superfamily. Involved in mediating leukocyte migration | Cytokine / growth factor | Further exploration of the enhanced bone and lung metastatic 4T1E/M3 cells established previously by this group reveal that CCL2 is much reduced in comparison to the parental cell line. Its restitution diminishes the cells metastatic ability to colonise bone and lung, reduces their migration and anchorage independent growth and downregulates expression of ICAM-1. Conversely, knocking down CCL2 in the parental cell line renders it more metastatic and this is associated with increased expression of ICAM-1. Knockdown of ICAM-1 in 4T1E/M3 cells does not increase CCL2 production, but knocking down CCL2 does result in upregulation of ICAM-1, suggesting that CCL2 is an upstream modulator of ICAM-1 expression. | In this study, a continuation of Takahashi et al (2008), the authors further explore molecular players responsible for enhanced metastatic ability of 4T1E/M3 cells in comparison to the parental cell line. Here, down regulation of CCL2 is shown to be associated with enhanced metastasis to both lung and bone, and an interesting interaction between CCL2 and ICAM-1, identified in the previous study as being positively associated with enhanced metastasis, is demonstrated. Further |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lau et al., 2010 [24]</th>
<th>CITED2</th>
<th>A transcriptional co-activator involves regulation of haematopoiesis</th>
<th>Intracellular signalling protein</th>
<th>Evidence is presented that CCL2 is an upstream modulator of ICAM-1 expression.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Expression of a number of genes, including CCL9, Ephrin B2, CTGF, and CITED2 was significantly over-expressed in highly bone metastatic murine cell line (BO6) compared to the poorly bone metastatic clones and parent cell line (LI and NT2.5 respectively) using microarray analysis. CITED2 was chosen for further analysis. CITED2 expression was significantly increased in bone metastatic human breast cancer cell lines (MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468) compared to non-metastatic ones (11-24 HME and MCF-10A) using quantitative PCR analysis and levels were elevated in human primary invasive breast cancer samples in comparison with normal breast epithelium, and elevated in clinical bone metastases. Silencing of CITED2 expression by NT2.5 cells did not cause any change in rate of cell proliferation, but resulted in reduced bone metastasis.</td>
<td>Strong evidence was shown for CITED2 as expression was clearly correlated with increased bone metastatic potential in both murine and human breast cancer cell lines using complementary approaches. Immunocompetent mice were used for animal inoculation bearing more similitude to natural tumour environment.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>CCN3 (NOV)</td>
<td>Matricellular protein that regulates osteoblast differentiation, function in cell adhesion</td>
<td>Extracellular matrix protein</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ouellet et al., 2011 [25]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>This study continues from the work of Rose et al. (2007) in which sub-populations of 4T1 cancer cells showing enhanced metastasis to bone were selected. Further analysis, reported here, demonstrated over-expression of CCN3 in these cells in comparison to weakly bone metastatic counterparts. A variety of complementary techniques were employed, including microarray analysis, quantitative PCR, immunoblotting and immunofluorescence. Immunohistochemical staining for CCN3 in human breast cancer bone metastasis samples revealed that that most (11 out of 14) stained moderately to strongly for CCN3 and that CCN3 was also abundant in the bone stroma. Weakly bone metastatic murine breast cancer cell line 66cl4 showed doubled tendency to form bone metastasis on transfection with CCN3 cDNA. In vitro, CCN3 was shown to inhibit osteoclast differentiation and result in an increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Functionality of CCN3 was demonstrated by showing that CCN3 transfection increased bone metastatic tendency in a cell line that is naturally poorly bone metastatic. However, no report of other sites of metastasis was given to assess bone specificity of CCN3. That CCN3 is of importance in formation of bone metastasis is, however, much strengthened by the careful and complimentary experiments seeking to determine functional effects in influencing osteoclast formation.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RANKL/OPG ratio. Furthermore, CCN3 could induce osteoclastogenesis in rRANKL primed cells through immobilising Ca2+ ions and induced nfact 1nuclear localisation, which is important in octeoclast differentiation. Evidence is also presented that CCN3 induced osteoclast differentiation involves JNK and PKC signalling.

| Jamieson-Gladney et al., 2011 [26] | CX₃CR1 | Chemokine receptor. Binds to CX3CL1 or fractalkine (FKN). Mediates leukocyte migration and adhesion during immune response | Chemokine receptor and adhesion molecule | Both normal and malignant human breast biopsies were shown to express CX₃CR1 by immunohistochemistry of tissue microarrays. Expression and distribution increased with malignant transformation. Using Western blotting, bone metastatic human breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-231 was shown to express high levels of CX₃CR1 while MDA-MB-436 did not. The CX₃CR1 positive cells showed a greater propensity to establish bone metastases in an animal model than the CX₃CR1 negative cells. FKN-null mice were inoculated with CX₃CR11 expressing MDA-MB-231 cell and over 70% reduction in the disseminated tumour cells homing to High. | Highly convincing evidence that CX₃CR1 expressed on blood-borne cancer cells is recognised by FKN expressed on endothelium of bone marrow to mediate cancer cell homing to bone. |
the bone marrow compared to wild type animals. In comparison, no difference in metastasis to adrenal gland was detected between the two groups.

Elegant experiments examined the effect of transfecting poorly bone-metastatic MDA-MB-436 cells with either fully functional CX₃CR1, or one of two partially functional mutants. Animal experiments then allowed examination of function at different time points post-inoculation. Results provided strong support for a role of CX₃CR1 in early adhesion to bone marrow endothelium and overall, CX₃CR conferred the cells with 3 times greater ability to form bone metastases.

| Lau et al., 2013 [27] | Enpp1 Ectoenzyme ectonucleotide pyrophosphatase / phosphodiesterase 1 | Regulated bone mineralization and osteoblast differentiation; modulates insulin signalling. Regulates extracellular PPi levels | Mineralising protein | This study builds on the previous paper from this group, Lau et al (2009). Enpp1 expression was shown to be increased in bone seeking murine (NT2.5) and human breast cancer cell population (MDA-MB-231/MDA-MB-468) using quantitative PCR, western blotting and immunohistochemical analysis. Further, Enpp1 mRNA and protein were increased in primary human breast cancers in comparison to normal breast epithelium with highest levels in bone. Transfection of Enpp1 cDNA into MDA-MB-231 cells showed no change in the occurrence of bone metastasis compared to the control as parental cells also produce bone metastases in all cases, though there was increased bone destruction and |
| Croset et al., 2014 [28] | TWIST1 | Involved in regulation of organogenesis and plays important role in bone formation. A key regulator of epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT) in tumour progression | Transcription factor | TWIST1 expression in bone tropic MDA-MB-231/BO2 caused quicker bone metastasis and increased osteolytic lesion when inoculated into mice. Preservation of bone tropism of MDA-MB-231/BO2 cells was demonstrated with TWIST1 expression as no evidence of metastasis was found organs other than bone. Significant reduction in incidence and extent of tumour cell colonies in bone marrow was observed when miR-10b (induced by TWIST1) was silenced. | TWIST1 is shown to enhance bone metastatic progression in breast cancer cells that have pre-existing osteotropism. Hence a promoter rather than an initiator of osteolytic metastasis is indicated. |
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Fig. 2 Functional roles of identified factors and possible molecular mechanisms involved in bone metastasis
| Siclari et al., 2014 [29] | Adrenomedullin, AM | Widespread homeostatic function including vasodilation, angiogenesis, hormone secretion and cell proliferation | Signalling protein/hormone | Adrenomedullin expression was positive in majority of osteolytic breast cancer cell lines. Nude mice inoculation of MDA-MB-231 cells with fivefold over-expression of adrenomedullin mRNA induced significantly increased osteolytic metastasis and reduced survival when compared to control or parental cells. RANKL, produced by osteoblasts for osteoclast activation, was inhibited with addition of adrenomedullin antagonist to *ex vivo* breast cancer cell–bone co-culture model. | Adrenomedullin, found in normal tissue is shown to play a role in enhancing osteolytic metastasis when over-expressed in breast cancer cells. Its inhibition resulted in reduced osteoclast activity due to RANKL blockade suggesting a potential therapeutic target against bone metastasis. |