Breast cancer osteomimicry and its role in bone specific metastasis; an integrative, systematic review of preclinical evidence.

Olugbenga Awolaran¹, Susan A Brooks^{2*}, Verna Lavender¹

Department of Applied Health and Professional Development¹ and Biological and Medical Sciences², Oxford Brookes, University, Gipsy Lane, Headington, Oxford, OX3 0BP, UK.

*corresponding author

Email sbrooks@brookes.ac.uk

Tel +44(0)1865 483285

Abstract

Metastasis accounts for most of the deaths from breast cancer and the preference of invasive breast cancer metastasising to bone has been widely reported. However, the biological basis of breast cancer osteotropism is not fully understood. This paper provides, for the first time, an integrative, systematic review of evidence of molecular factors that have functional roles in the homing of metastatic breast cancer to the bone.

Pubmed, Web of Science and EBSCOhost were searched using keywords and synonyms for molecular, metastasis, breast cancer and bone to identify articles published between January 2004 and August 2016. 4,491 potentially relevant citations were retrieved. 63 articles met the inclusion criteria, which were primary studies reporting evidence of molecular factors that have functional roles in predisposing breast cancer bone metastasis *in vivo*.12 of those 63 articles that additionally met quality criteria were included in the review. Extracted data were tabulated and key findings that indicated biological mechanisms involved in breast cancer metastasis to bone were synthesised. 15 proteins expressed by breast cancer cells were identified as factors that mediate breast cancer bone metastasis: ICAM-1, cadherin-11, osteoactivin, bone sialoprotein, CCN3, IL-11, CCL2, CITED2, CXCR4, CTGF, OPN, CX₃CR1, TWIST1, adrenomedullin and Enpp1. Upregulation or overexpression of one or more of them by breast cancer cells resulted in increased breast cancer metastasis to bone *in vivo*, except for CCL2 where bone-metastatic cells showed a reduced expression of this factor. All factors identified, here expressed by breast cancer cells, are proteins that are normally expressed in the bone microenvironment and linked to physiologic bone functions. All have a functional role in one of more of the following: cell proliferation and differentiation, bone mineralization and remodeling, cell adhesion and/or chemokine signaling. Six of them (cadherin-11, ICAM-1, OPN, CX₃CR1, CCN3 and osteoactivin) have a reported function in cell adhesion and another eight (CCN3, osteoactivin, Enpp1, IL-11, CTGF, TWIST1, adrenomedullin and CITED2) are reported to be involved in cell proliferation and differentiation.

This review collates and synthesises published evidence to increase our understanding of the biology of breast cancer osteomimicry in the development of bone metastasis. Findings of this review suggest that changes in expression of proteins in breast cancer cells that confer ostemimicry facilitate homing to bone to enable the development of bone metastasis.

Key words

Breast cancer, metastasis, bone, osteomimicry

Highlights

- 15 proteins were identified as factors that promote breast cancer bone metastasis
- Expression of these factors by breast cancer cells mediate osteotropic metastasis
- 2

- All factors identified are proteins that are normally expressed in the bone
 microenvironment
- Breast cancer cell protein expression conferring ostemimicry facilitates osteotropic metastasis

Introduction

Paget suggested that cancer cells are more likely to metastasize to a tissue that has the necessary components to support their growth, just as a seed would only grow in soil in which it can thrive [1]. This concept implies that cancer cells migrate to environments that are biologically favourable for colonisation in terms of growth factor production, receptor expression and other stromal characteristics, such as tissue origin. If this 'seed and soil' theory were always true one would expect that cancers in paired organs, like breast and kidney, would commonly metastasise to the contralateral organ. However, clinical evidence indicates that metastases rarely form in a contralateral paired organ. Furthermore, there is a 98% chance that a breast cancer in the contralateral breast is due to a second, unrelated primary tumour [2].

Ewing proposed, in contrast, that metastatic site specificity was purely mechanical; the first organ that tumour cells passed via blood circulation was the most likely site of metastasis owing to their physical entrapment there [3], as in the example of breast cancer metastases forming in the lungs. However, a large volume of blood from breast tissue also passes through the heart and spleen and breast cancer metastases rarely form in these organs [4]. Evidently, beyond the anatomical exposure to cancer cells, there seems to be a requirement for host-tumour compatibility and specific interaction for metastasis to occur. Hence Paget's theory of related biological factors is, on balance, more favoured [5].

Bone is the commonest and often the earliest site of distant metastasis in breast cancer [6]; 50% of individuals newly diagnosed with advanced breast cancer have bone metastases, compared to 30%, 26% and 7% with liver, lung, brain metastases, respectively [7]. About 70% of women who die from breast cancer have bone metastases [8], which is where the majority of the tumour burden resides at the time of death [2].

Breast cancer metastases characteristically cause osteolytic lesions, though osteoblastic tumours are found in 25% of cases [2]. There is a growing body of evidence that breast

cancer cells interact with bone stroma facilitating the process of metastasis [9]. For example, breast cancer cells secrete parathyroid hormone related peptide (PTHrP), which stimulates osteoblasts to produce RANKL. This in turn activates osteoclasts, which create osteolytic lesions, and consequently release growth factors stimulating further growth of the breast cancer cells that produce more PTHrP; hence a vicious cycle of positive feedback develops [9].

Osteoclast resorption of the bone has been described as a key characteristic that creates a favourable environment for tumour growth. During resorption, osteoclasts secrete proteolytic enzymes that degrade the bone matrix and release abundant growth factors, cytokines and chemokines, all of which attract circulating tumour cells and support their growth [10]. Bussard and colleagues [11] suggested that the continuous bone turnover, together with the resultant release of chemotactic and trophic factors, could explain site specificity of bone metastasis in most cancers, including breast cancer. However, despite such metastatic favourability, bone metastases are very rare in some other common solid tumours, such as colorectal cancers [7]. This suggests that beyond the growth promoting environment in bone, a significant level of specific interaction is required between cancer cells and bone tissue for bone metastases to establish successfully.

A systematic search of relevant databases for review papers concerning breast cancer metastasis to bone identified those focusing on the bone as a common site of metastasis for many cancers [11-13] and factors involved in breast cancer metastasis to different host organs [6,14]. One review that specifically evaluated factors involved in breast cancer metastasis to the bone was found [8]; however, no *systematic* reviews were identified. Therefore, herein, for the first time, we report an integrative, systematic review of molecular factors that are shown to have functional roles in homing of metastatic breast cancer to the bone.

Method

Literature Search

A systematic search of articles published in English between January 2004 and September 2016 was conducted in the electronic databases Pubmed[®], Web of Science[™] and EBSCOhost using keywords and synonyms for molecular, metastasis, breast cancer and bone to search 'all terms'. Boolean operators and truncations of keywords were employed to both expand and restrict the search. Search expansion was performed using citation chaining in Web of Science[™] and snowballing of reference lists of articles that met inclusion criteria. Using this method only one article published prior 2004 was identified that met the inclusion criteria for this review.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Studies that reported *primary* research findings about molecular factors that have a functional role in breast cancer bone metastasis were included in this review. Included studies were also deemed to have been ethically conducted, for example ethical approval was reported in the study, and met defined quality criteria, as follows. Included studies were designed prospectively with a focus on bone as a metastatic site from breast cancer. Studies entirely carried out *in vitro* without *in vivo* testing were excluded in order to ensure that findings included in the review considered the role of the tumour microenvironment. Studies using bone tropic models that specifically demonstrated osteotropic effects of specific gene products were included. This was because the review aimed to identify evidence about gene products that exerted osteotropic effects in breast cancer. The same genes may have additional roles in metastasis, including enabling metastasis to develop in tissues other than bone, but those effects were not the focus of this study, so data about additional putative roles were not extracted as part of this review. Studies that focused on assessing experimental techniques, clinical data, or therapeutic testing were also excluded. The

inclusion and exclusion process is depicted in an adapted PRISMA flow chart adapted from Moher et al [15] (Figure 1).

Exclusion process

Initially citations were screened by OA at the level of the title and then abstract by OA and VL for relevance using parameters set by the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Citations that met or potentially met inclusion criteria at the level of the title and abstract were obtained in full text for further assessment against the inclusion and exclusion criteria. Potentially relevant sources were independently reviewed in full by OA and VL for internal validity. In addition, full texts of all studies included in the review were assessed by OA and SB for eligibility against the inclusion criteria.

Quality appraisal

Studies obtained in full text that met the inclusion criteria were assessed for quality by OA and SB using a checklist for critiquing scientific research described by Kuyper [16]. Studies were assessed to identify whether the following criteria were appropriate and reliable, and clearly reported: title, study aims, study design and method (e.g. *in vivo*, cell lines, animal model), and reporting of results. Details of the quality appraisal for each included study are shown in Table 1. Authors' conclusions were also appraised to assess whether they reflected the findings of the study and whether any limitations of the study were identified within the publications reviewed. Studies that met the quality criteria described above were included in the review. The strength of evidence presented by the studies was also assessed in order to judge their significance in contributing to the review and thus identify the strengths and limitations of the review (Table 1).

Data extraction

Data were independently extracted and tabulated by OA and SB in order to aggregate, sort, compare and integrate findings [17]. Extracted data were author and publication date;

functional factors identified; wild type function of molecular factor(s) (if known); main findings from each study; and interpretation of study findings (Table 2).

Integrative synthesis

The wildtype functions of factors that were found to be associated with breast cancer cells preferentially metastasising to bone tissue were analyzed to consider putative molecular mechanisms that facilitate breast cancer cells metastasising to bone tissue. From the aggregated data extracted from the studies reviewed, functional factors were integrated by grouping into categories according to their primary wildtype function. The categories were further analysed as a whole (akin to data synthesis) by constructing a diagram of wildtype function grouping (Figure 2).

Results

Retrieval and exclusion process

The search results and exclusion process is illustrated in a PRISMA flow-diagram (Figure 1). From 4,491 citations initially retrieved, after rigorous exclusion, 63 full-text articles were assessed for relevance, of which 11 reported primary research that fully met inclusion criteria for the review. For example, potentially relevant studies that were excluded from the review reported roles in the development of lung metastasis, but did not demonstrate the preferential formation of metastases in bone tissue. An additional study published prior to 2004, which was one of those pioneering the use of *in vivo* selection to develop bone seeking breast cancer clones and gene profiling of the cells [18], was included in the review due to its seminal relevance. Fig.1 PRISMA flow-diagram of search results and exclusion process, after Moher et al [15]

Quality appraisal

Quality appraisal confirmed that all studies included in the review stated clear aims and were designed prospectively. All included both *in vitro* and *in vivo* approaches using established breast cancer cells lines to identify factors associated with the formation of breast cancer colonies in bone tissue of inoculated mice, Table 1 and references therein.

All studies described the use of appropriate and rigorous controls. Control methods were carefully assessed to ensure clear the distinction between experimental samples and control samples. In most of the studies reviewed, the controls were breast cancer cell lines that had poor affinity to metastasize to the bone, or high affinity to metastasize to tissue other than bone.

All studies reported evidence of functional roles for specific molecular factors in the homing of breast cancer cells to bone tissue. Nine of the studies reported robust evidence classified as 'strong' or 'moderate', Table 1; they consistently demonstrated correlation between expression of specific proteins and the development of bone metastasis using a variety of techniques and experimental models.

Findings from functional studies

Overall, 15 factors that were associated with breast cancer cells homing to bone tissue were reported in the reviewed studies, Table 2 and references therein. Eleven studies reported factors that were over-expressed in clones of bone-homing breast cancer cell lines, while one study [23] reported a factor (CCL2) that was associated with increased bone metastasis when it was down-regulated. Findings were mapped onto a diagram by function, illustrating factors and possible molecular mechanisms involved in bone metastasis, Figure 2.

Intercellular adhesion molecule-1 (ICAM-1 or CD5) and cadherin-11 (OB (osteoblast)cadherin) were highly overexpressed in both human (MDA-MB-231BO) and murine (4T1E/M3) bone metastasizing cancer cells [21,22]. Rose et al [20] reported a correlation between endogenous osteoactivin overexpression and the formation of bone lesions in multiple cancer cell lines (4T1 cells, its selected bone metastatic population, 590, 592, 593, 606 BM2 and non-bone metastatic 67NR and 66cl4). Increase in bone metastases in immunocompetent mice inoculated with cancer cells (66cl4) bearing exogenously expressed osteoactivin was also shown. CITED2 (CREB-binding protein (CBP)/p300 interacting transactivator with glutamate (E) and aspartate (D) tail 2) was expressed at high levels in sublines of mouse NT2.5 cells that had high bone metastatic potential compared to those that had low bone metastatic potential; in addition, knockdown of CITED2 resulted in a reduction in bone metastasis [24].

The expression of chemokine receptor CX₃CR1 was significantly increased in cancer cells that promote breast cancer bone metastasis *in vivo* (MDA-MB-231), compared to expression levels in cells (MDA-MB-436) that have a weak affinity to metastasize to bone [26]. Exogenous overexpression of CX3CR1 in a breast cancer cell line with weak affinity to form bone metastasis resulted in a 3-fold increase in bone metastatic tendency. Furthermore, introduction of CX₃CR1 positive cells into mice null for its binding partner, fractalkine, resulted in a significant reduction in bone, but not adrenal, metastases.

Kang et al [18] reported a 4-fold overexpression of CXCR4 in selected bone metastatic clones of MDA-MB-231 compared to the parent population, which appeared to have a synergistic effect with other co-expressed osteogenic genes (interleukin 11, osteopontin (OPN) and connective tissue-derived growth factor (CTGF)) on the formation of bone metastasis.

A relationship between exogenous overexpression in breast cancer cell lines and the ability of the cell line to form bone lesions in vivo was also reported. Zhang et al [19] exogenously overexpressed bone sialoprotein (BSP) in breast cancer cells that exclusively formed brain lesions in vivo (MDA-MB-231-BR), and found overexpression of BSP resulted in 100% of the metastatic lesions forming in bone, and not brain, tissue. Expression of CCN3 (also known as nephroblastoma overexpressed (NOV)) in breast cancer cells was associated with increased bone metastasis [25]. This indicated a functional role, which was investigated by transfecting CCN3 into a weakly bone metastatic cancer cell line (66cl4), which doubled the bone affinity of this cell line when inoculated into mice. Siclari et al (2014) [29] reported that when adrenomedullin was over-expressed five-fold by MDA-MB-231 cells and inoculated into immunodeficient mice, they formed osteolytic bone metastases more rapidly than untransfected cells. Moreover, cells over-expressing adrenomedullin formed larger tumours when injected into mammary fat pads. Expression of TWIST1 has also been reported to enhance the ability of an osteotropic subclone of MDA-MB-231 cells to form osteolytic lesions [28]. A greater number of micrometastases were established, radiographically detectable lesions were were 50% larger than those formed by mock-transfected cells, and more extensive bone destruction was seen. Suppression of TWIST1 abolished the effect.

One factor expressed in breast cancer cells was reported to have an inhibitory role in the formation of bone metastatic lesions. CCL2 (chemokine C-C ligand 2, also known as monocyte chemo-attractant protein 1 – MCP-1) expression was shown to be down regulated in bone-specific cancer cells (4T1E/M3) and its overexpression reduced the bone metastatic potential of the cancer cells [23]. Another factor appeared to have an osteolytic function. Lau et al. [27] reported higher levels of Enpp1 (ectoenzyme ectonucleotide pyrophosphatase/phosphodiesterase I) expression in bone seeking human and murine cancer cell lines (MDA-MB-231/MDA-MB-468 and NT2.5, respectively). MDA-MB-231 cells transfected with Enpp1 had an increased rate of destruction/loss of bone density, compared to non-transfected cells.

Discussion

Here we present, for the first time, a systematic review of molecular factors that have putative roles in the homing of breast cancer cells to bone. This is a novel method for reviewing primary research from molecular biology experiments, and involves conducting a systematic search, applying pre-defined inclusion and exclusion criteria, using standard criteria to appraise the quality of the findings, and systematically sorting findings. The method used in this review has been adapted from established systematic review methods used to address medical, health and social science research questions [30-32]. A systematic approach to the review enabled secondary analysis of extracted findings as an integrated data set. It also facilitated independent review of published findings by members of the research team (akin to a process of internal peer review) following a pre-determined, standardized method, which we argue increases the validity and reliability of data synthesis.

This review describes fifteen proteins that were found to be associated with breast cancer cells having an affinity to metastasise to bone, which was dependent on their expression levels. The wildtype function of these proteins includes cell adhesion and motility, osteoblast proliferation and differentiation, mineralisation of bone extracellular matrix, and chemokine activity. These factors demonstrated preferential and potent bone tropic function. It is worth noting that this finding does not exclude functional roles in the development of metastasis to other tissue types and the factors described in this review may also have roles in the formation of metastases in tissue sites additional to bone.

Six of the fifteen molecular factors identified here have a reported function in cell adhesion (cadherin-11, ICAM-1, OPN, CX₃CR1, CCN3 and osteoactivin, Figure 2) and all were shown to promote bone metastasis when overexpressed in breast cancer cells. Cadherin-11 is a calcium dependent cell adhesion protein particularly expressed in osteoblasts [33]. ICAM-1 is a transmembrane structural protein, but can also exist in soluble form in plasma as sICAM-1. It is abundantly expressed on the surface membrane of leukocytes and endothelial

cells where it exerts its primary function in adhesion of leukocytes to the vascular endothelium, but its expression can also be induced in other cells during inflammatory processes [34]. ICAM-1 is also expressed on osteoblast and osteoclast precursor cells in the bone microenvironment. It mediates osteoclastogenesis and subsequent bone resorption by facilitating osteoblast RANK receptor and osteoclast RANKL ligand leading to osteoclast formation [35]. Osteopontin (OPN) has many reported functions, amongst them is osteoclast adhesion to bone matrix [36,37]. CX₃CR1, a chemokine receptor, is involved in leukocyte adhesion and migration during the immune response [38]. The secretory protein CCN3 found in bone extracellular matrix also functions in cell adhesion [25,39]. Osteoactivin, a transmembrane protein found on osteoblasts and osteoclasts plays a regulatory role in endothelial cell adhesion [40].

Eight of the fifteen factors identified in this review (CCN3, osteoactivin, Enpp1, IL-11, CTGF, CITED 2 and TWIST1, adrenomedullin, Figure 2) are reported to be involved in cell proliferation and differentiation. CCN3 [39], along with transmembrane proteins osteoactivin [40] and Enpp1 [41], have wildtype functions in osteoblast differentiation. Osteoactivin is also involved with osteoclast formation [40] and Enpp1 also generates pyrophosphate, which is a source of phosphate for bone mineralization, found to be involved in pathologic calcification of non-bone tissues [41]. IL-11 is involved in osteoclast formation from bone marrow progenitor cells [42], and CTGF in osteoblast formation; both are responsive to transforming growth factor beta (TGF- β) signaling [18]. CITED2 is a transcriptional co-activator that positively regulates TGF- β signaling and is activated by hypoxia inducible factor 1 α (HIF-1 α) [43]. In addition to increased expression of the extracellular matrix (ECM) protein CCN3 and mineralizing protein Enpp1 in bone seeking cells, this review also found bone seeking behavior by cells exogenously overexpressing the non-collagenous ECM glycoprotein BSP [44,45]. TWIST1 is a transcription factor that regulates cell motility and tissue reorganization during embryogenesis [46]. It is reactivated in many cancers where it is involved in epithelialmesenchymal transition (EMT) facilitating cancer intravasation and metastasis and in

avoidance of senescence and apoptosis [46,47]. Adrenomedullin expression is induced by hypoxia. It has complex and widespread homeostatic function including bronchodilation, vasodilation, angiogenesis, lymphangiogenesis, hormone secretion and is involved in inducing apoptosis and cell proliferation, including osteoblast proliferation [48].

Fig.2 Functional roles of identified factors and possible molecular mechanisms involved in bone metastasis

Most published evidence regarding molecular factors with functional roles in breast cancer bone metastases formation identifies cell adhesion, cell differentiation and extracellular matrix proteins, consistent with the findings outlined above. However, this review also identified putative evidence for the role of chemokine signaling when co-expressed with other factors (Figure 2). CCL-2 overexpression reduced bone metastatic potential, however, there was still some level of bone metastasis recorded, signifying that other contributing factors may be involved in this process [23]. CCL2, a chemokine, is a strong chemotactic agent for monocytes, and is expressed in a wide variety of tissues. It has putative roles in both macrophage-facilitated angiogenesis and tumour growth inhibition [49]. CX₃CR1 is a Gprotein coupled chemokine receptor that is found abundantly in osteoblasts and its ligand, CX3CL1 (or fraktalkine), is produced by bone marrow stromal cells [26,50]. CXCR4 is a chemokine receptor involved in both bone marrow homing and extravasation [18, 51]. Osteopontin is a multifunctional adhesion factor with lymphokine function that stimulates osteoclast adhesion to bone matrix [18, 52].

A striking observation about most of the factors identified in this review is that their wildtype pattern of expression and function is in the bone microenvironment maintaining normal bone physiology. It appears that some breast cancer cells are able to mimic osteogenic cells by switching on the expression of osteogenic genes [53]. The intrinsic bone regulating

characteristics of healthy breast cells in breast tissue development [54] and lactation [55] might confer such osteomimicry in malignant breast cells. In addition to osteomimicry in breast cancer cells that form bone metastases, there is evidence of osteomimicry in other osteotropic cancers, for example prostate [56] and lung cancers [57]. This suggests a role for factors in the tumour microenvironment that is common in osteotropic cancers.

Osteomimicry is thought to promote both homing of cancer cells to bone tissue and their survival in the bone microenvironment [58], which might also explain why these cells metastasise to the bone in preference to the contralateral organ, or other remote organs and tissues. The gene products described herein could be classified using Nguyen and Massague's classification of 'metastasis virulence' genes [59]. Proteins expressed by metastasis virulence genes are proposed to direct selective colonization of secondary sites by exerting functions, such as capillary adhesion, extravasation and organ specific colonization [59]. The review suggests that Paget's 'seed and soil' theory of cancer cells forming metastatic colonies in microenvironments that are favourable to their growth [1] holds true.

Strengths and limitations of the review

We have described the methods we used to conduct a comprehensive, systematic search strategy in an attempt to retrieve all relevant literature accessible via electronic databases since 2004 and associated snowballing and citation chaining. A pre-defined, standardized method of inclusion, exclusion, data extraction and analysis were used to identify integrate and synthesise findings of the review, which provides transparency in reporting, and we believe adds validity and reliability to conducting a literature review.

All of the reviewed studies investigated osteotropism of breast/mammary cancer cell lines *in vivo* using a murine model of metastasis, which enabled modeling within the context of tumour microenvironments within whole organisms. All studies either reported that ethical permission had been obtained or described work that indicated it was conducted in an

ethical manner. However, Zhang et al [19], while stating that their study was approved by their Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (University of Texas Health Center at San Antonio, USA) and was performed in accordance with NIH Guide for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, describe how tumour bearing animals became crippled and suffered bone fractures, which raises cause for concern.

Studies on human breast cancer cells used immunodeficient (athymic nude, neu-N and SCID) mice to prevent the rejection and immunologic killing of the inoculated human cells by the murine immune system, while those using murine mammary tumour cells employed immunocompetent (BALB/c mice) mice. Each approach has its strengths and limitations. While the use of immunodeficient mice allows the study of metastasis of human breast cancer cells in a non-human host, it does so in the absence of immune response factors that themselves might be functionally important to metastasis. The use of immunocompetent mice addresses that issue, but murine mammary tumours differ fundamentally from human breast cancer in many aspects of their metastasis. In almost all studies reviewed here, highly artificial techniques were used to create circulating breast cancer cells, which were introduced into the mice by either intracardiac, tail vein or intratibial injection, thus modeling, at best, only the late stages of metastasis, once the cancer cells are already blood-borne, or lodged within bone. Only Takahashi et al [23] attempted subcutaneous implantation, and their study resulted in relatively weak evidence of bone metastasis-specific factors. Croset et al [28] performed xenograft experiments, injecting cancer cells into the mammary fat pad, but only in order to assess primary tumour growth. In this study, metastasis was achieved through tail artery injection.

There is also the issue that cell lines themselves are limited in their ability to reflect the complexities of clinical cancer biology. It is interesting that a limited range of cancer cell lines were used in the studies reported here. MDA-MB-231 were employed in all eight studies that used human breast cancer cell lines, sometimes in combination with one or more other of MDA-MB-468 and MDA-MB-436. In those studies that used murine mammary cancer cells,

4/6 studies employed 4T1 cells and their metastatic variants. This resulted in highly homogeneous tumour formation and the limitation that data are being derived from a very narrow model which, potentially, may not accurately reflect the clinical situation. Whilst useful for investigating functional gene expression, this does not reflect the highly heterogeneous profile of most metastatic human breast cancers. In addition, bone metastases develop more commonly from estrogen receptor (ER) positive than ER negative breast cancers [60]; however several cell lines used in the reviewed studies lacked ER expression, for example MDA-MB-231 and 4T1 [61]. It would therefore be preferable for studies investigating the bone metastatic properties of breast cancer cells to employ ER positive cell lines, such as the human MCF-7 cell line. There are, therefore, many obvious limitations in these well used and accepted model systems. Overall, these methodological factors need to be taken into consideration when interpreting research findings, as they may cause experimental artefacts that do not accurately model natural processes.

Different experimental approaches were used in the studies reviewed, including genomics, transcriptomics and proteomics, and findings from studies that used multiple techniques to explore the functional role of a given molecular factor might be regarded as providing more convincing evidence. In several studies, microarray analysis of highly bone metastatic breast cancer clones identified tens to hundreds of genes that were overexpressed or underexpressed [18,23,24], however further *in vitro* and *in vivo* testing was only reported for 1 - 4 of these genes, and the criterion for selecting these genes was generally not described or was vague. Such selection of subsets of samples creates a potential for bias, which we recognise might be reflected in the review.

Clinical implications

Bone metastases from breast cancer cause significant morbidity and mortality. Currently, there are no diagnostic techniques that enable reliable detection of bone micrometastases at

the time of diagnosis. Instead breast cancer bone metastases are commonly diagnosed following presentation of a symptom, such as pain. Bone targeted agents, bisphosphonates [62,63] and denosumab (Xgeva®, Amgen) [63,64], are effective for reducing pain and delaying time to the first skeletal related event (SRE) (pathological fracture, spinal cord compression, bone radiation or bone surgery) in people with advanced breast cancer [65,66]. Serious adverse events that lead to discontinuation of bone targeted therapies include hypocalcaemia, impaired renal function and osteonecrosis of the jaw [67]. Best supportive care and palliative symptom control also have a role in reducing the risk of SRE and reducing pain in cases where bone targeted agents are contraindicated, for example impaired renal function [68]. Although interventions for treating bone metastases are effective in managing symptoms and delaying SRE, the majority of bone-targeted therapy trials have not been shown to improve overall survival of people with bone metastatic breast cancer [65].

An eminently preferable strategy to palliating symptoms of bone metastases or delaying SRE would be to prevent the development of bone metastases in the first instance. One approach might be to use adjuvant treatment targeting factors that promote breast cancer cells metastasising to the bone prior to the formation of bone metastasis, which has been explored in mouse models in several studies reported here [21,24,26]. However, much work is yet to be done to achieve this outcome in humans, since it requires a robust body of evidence to indicate the role of a molecular factor expressed in early breast carcinogenesis that later confers bone metastatic character, development of molecular diagnostic techniques to determine which tumours present a risk of forming bone metastasis, and subsequent development of effective targeted therapies that are clinically tolerable. Clinical correlation between the presence of the functional factors identified in this review in primary tumours in patients and either poor clinical outcome or, in some instances, specifically the presence of bone metastases, provides evidence that they may represent promising targets for such further endeavor. Some instances of such evidence are summarized below.

In the study by Jamieson-Gladney et al [26] identified in this review, in addition to the animal model work described previously, the authors also performed immunohistochemistry to detect CX₃CR1 on tissue arrays of human breast cancer and normal breast tissue samples. They reported a low level of CX_3CR1 immunopositivity in normal breast and an increase in intensity and distribution of immunopositivity in breast cancers, a finding that is consistent with the authors' previous work on prostate cancer [69]. Here, in addition, bone marrow was shown to contain soluble CX3CL1/fractalkine, which is released from bone marrow cells upon androgen stimulation, thus suggesting a potential role in bone tropism. Similarly, in the study by Lau et al (2013) [27] included in this review, the authors assessed human clinical samples alongside their animal studies. Comparing both mRNA levels and Enpp1 immunolabelling of clinical samples, they demonstrated that Enpp1 was overexpressed in primary breast cancer compared to normal breast epithelium, and that the highest levels were observed in breast cancer metastases to bone. The same group [24] took a similar approach to their work highlighting CITED2 as potentially relevant to breast cancer osteotropsim. Again, in addition to the animal experiments discussed in this review, the authors examined clinical samples of primary invasive ductal carcinoma and bone metastasis samples and found levels of CITED2 mRNA to be elevated in both in comparison to normal breast epithelium. Moreover, levels in bone metastases were significantly higher than in primary tumours.

Bone sialoprotein has been detected in primary breast cancers [70,71] and clearly associated with development of bone metastases [72], which are also BSP-positive [73], and poor survival [74]. Osteopontin overexpression is also established as being associated with metastasis, although not specifically to bone, in many types of cancer [reviewed by 75,76]. Differential osteopontin expression has been detected in breast cancer samples [77] and plasma osteopontin levels have been clinically correlated with the presence of bone metastases and with survival rates in prostate cancer patients [78]. TWIST1 expression by primary breast cancers is associated with more clinically aggressive disease and poor

survival [79] and is detectable in breast cancer cells that remain in the bone marrow following chemotherapy [80]. Adrenomedullin is expressed by many type of cancer [reviewed by 81]. Around 80% of breast cancers express adrenomedullin and high levels in the primary tumour and in the plasma predict lymph node metastases; a role in bone metastasis has been proposed [82]. IL-11 expression in primary breast cancer correlates with subsequent development of bone metastases [83]. CXCR4 has been implicated in the development of bone metastases in patients with neuroblastoma [84] and prostate cancer [85].

ICAM1 levels have been measured in the cytosol of breast cancer and benign breast tissue samples [86] and has been reported to induce a more invasive phenotype in breast cancer [87], but an association with bone metastasis in clinical studies has not specifically been explored. Osteoactivin is overexpressed in glioblastoma multiforme and is associated with poor clinical outcome and has been proposed as a potential molecular therapeutic target [88], although, again, association with bone metastasis specifically has not been reported. Cadherin-11 expression is well established as being associated with cancer cell invasiveness and epithelial mesenchymal transition (EMT). A recent meta-analysis of human cancer microarray datasets revealed that cadherin-11 is increased in breast ductal carcinoma in situ and breast cancer in comparison to normal breast epithelium, and is elevated in the stroma surrounding breast cancers compared to normal stroma [89]. Its association with metastatic competence has not been explored specifically. CCL2 has been detected in primary breast cancer samples [90], and ER-negative tumours have been reported to exhibit high levels of CCL2 expression [91]. In pancreatic cancer, patients with high serum CCL2 levels had a better prognosis than those with low levels [92], consistent with the findings reported in this review [23] that it is negatively associated with metastatatic competence.

CCN3 has diverse functional roles, which are context dependent. This complexity is reflected in conflicting reports of its significance in cancer biology. While CCN3 has been reported to exert growth-suppressive effects in several cancer types, paradoxically, it has also been

shown to have a pro-migration and pro-metastatic role in melanoma [93] and Ewing's sarcoma [94] and high levels predict poor prognosis in prostate cancer [95], osteosarcoma [96] and renal cell cancer [97]. In breast cancer, reports have also been contradictory, with some reporting an association with good prognosis [98] and others reporting association with resistance to endocrine therapy [99]. In the study by Ouellet et al [25] reported in this review, in addition to the animal experiments, clinical samples of bone metastases from breast cancer were examined and strong CCN3 immunopositivity of tumour cells was seen in 50% of cases. Other studies have demonstrated high CCN3 positivity in bone metastases in comparison to metastases at other sites [100]. CTGF, also known as CCN2, is another member of the CCN family. Its presence in oral squamous cell carcinomas has been shown to be associated with local invasion of the mandible [101]. In hepatocellular carcinoma, intratumoral immunopositivity of the primary tumour biopsy for CTGF was predictive of bone metastases and, interestingly, combining intratumoral CTGF with IL-11, discussed previously, was an independent risk factor for bone metastases development [102]. In breast cancer, it is one of four genes (one of the others was osteopontin, discussed previously), that were identified as being overexpressed in bone metastases compared to disseminated tumour cells in the bone marrow that had not formed tumours [103] and was found to be overexpressed in primary breast cancers that had metastasised to bone as well as in the tumor cells of breast cancer bone metastases when compared to normal breast tissue [104].

Implications for future research

Further investigation is needed to elucidate molecular factors that have a functional role in enabling breast cancer cells to metastasise to bone tissue. Further experiments are specifically required to increase understanding of molecular interactions, signaling pathways, both upstream and downstream, of proteins identified in this review, which have putative functional roles in mechanisms of breast cancer bone metastasis. Prospective, longitudinal epidemiological studies, which include both exomic (sequencing the entire complement of exons) and proteomic analysis of tumour biopsies excised from cohorts of patients diagnosed with primary stage breast cancer, might identify additional important factors that have a functional role in the metastasis of breast cancer to bone.

Conclusions

We are still some distance from developing diagnostic techniques to identify primary breast cancers that have potential to metastasise to bone tissue, and specifically targeting such cells before the development of clinically apparent metastatic tumours. An important step in developing these techniques is increasing our understanding of the molecular mechanisms involved in these processes. In order to inform the development of future research on the topic, this systematic review integrates recent literature to identify molecular factors that have putative functional roles in the development of breast cancer bone metastases.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

References

- Paget S. The distribution of secondary growths in cancer of the breast. Lancet 1889;1:571-3
- Weinberg RA. The biology of cancer. 2nd ed. New York: Garland science; 2014, p641-722
- **3.** Ewing J. A treatise on tumours. 3rd ed. Philadelphia: W.B. Saunders; 1928

- Zetter BR. The cellular basis of site-specific tumour metastasis. New England Journal of Medicine 1990;322:605-12
- Gupta GP, Minn AJ, Kang Y, Siegel PM, Serganova I, Cordon-Cardo C, et al Identifying site-specific metastasis genes and functions. Cold Spring Harbor Symposia on Quantitative Biology 2005;70:149-58
- Lu X, Kang Y. Organotropism of breast cancer metastasis. Journal of Mammary Gland Biology and Neoplasia 2007;12:153-62
- Hess KR, Varadhachary GR, Taylor SH, Wei W, Raber MN, Lenzi R. Metastatic patterns in adenocarcinoma. Cancer 2006;106:1624–33
- Guise TA, Kozlow WM, Heras-Herzig A, Padalecki SS, Yin JJ, Chirgwin JM. Molecular mechanism of breast cancer metastasis to the bone. Clinical Breast Cancer 2005;5:S46-53
- Mastro AM, Gay CV, Welch DR, Donahue HJ, Jewell J, Mercer R, et al. Breast cancer cells induce osteoblast apoptosis: a possible contributor to bone degradation. Journal of Cell Biology 2004;91:265–76
- Roodman GD. Mechanisms of bone metastasis. New England Journal of Medicine 2004;350:1655–64
- **11.** Bussard KM, Gay CV, Mastro AM. The bone micro enviroment in metastasis: what is special about the bone? Cancer and Metastasis Reviews 2008;27:41-55
- Dougall WC, Chaisson M.The RANK/RANKL/OPG triad in cancer induced bone diseases. Cancer Metastasis Review 2006;25:541-49
- 13. Theriault RL, Theriault RL. Biology of bone metastasis. Cancer Control 2012;19:92-101

- 14. Lorusso G, Rüegg C. New insights into the mechanisms of organ-specific breast cancer metastasis. Seminars in Cancer Biology 2012;22:226-33
- 15. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: The PRISMA Statement. PLoS Medicine 2009;6:e1000097
- 16. Kuyper BJ. Bringing up scientists in the art of critiquing research. Bioscience 1991;41:248-50
- 17. Punch K. Developing effective research proposals. 2nd ed. London: Sage; 2006
- 18. Kang Y, Siegel PM, Shu W, Drobnjak M, Kakonen SM, Cordon-Cardo C, et al. A multigenic program mediating breast cancer metastasis to bone. Cancer Cell 2003;3:537-49
- 19. Zhang JH, Wang J, Tangi J, Barnett B, Dickson J, Hahsimoto N, et al. Bone sialoprotein promotes bone metastasis of a non-bone seeking clone of human breast cancer cells. Anticancer Research 2004;24:1361-68
- Rose AAN, Pepin F, Russo C, Abou Khalil JE, Hallett M, Siegel PM. Osteoactivin promotes breast cancer metastasis to bone. Molecular Cancer Research 2007;5:1001-14
- 21. Tamura D, Hiraga T, Myoui A, Yoshikawa H, Yonedi T. Cadherin-11 mediated interactions with bone stromal/osteoblastic cells support selective colonization of breast cancer cells in bone. International Journal of Oncology 2008;33:17-24
- **22.** Takahashi M, Furihata M, Akimitsu N, Watanabe M, Kaul S, Yumoto N, et al. A highly bone marrow metastatic murine breast cancer model established in vivo selection

exhibits enhanced anchorage-independent growth and cell migration mediated by ICAM-1. Clinical and Experimental Metastasis 2008;25:517-29

- 23. Takahashi M, Miyazaki H, Furihata M, Sakai H, Konakahara T, Watanabe M, et al. Chemokine CCL2/MCP-1 negatively regulates metastasis in a highly bone marrowmetastatic mouse breast cancer model. Clinical and Experimental Metastasis 2009;26:817-28
- 24. Lau WM, Weber KL, Doucet M, Chou YT, Brady K, Kowalski J, et al. Identification of prospective factors promoting ostreotropism in breast cancer: a potential role for CITED2. International Journal of Cancer 2010;126:876-84
- 25. Ouellet V, Tiedemann K, Mourskaia A, Fong JE, Tran-Thanh D, Amir E, et al. CCN3 impairs osteoblast and stimulates osteoclast differentiation to favor breast cancer metastasis to bone. American Journal of Pathology 2011;178:2377-88
- 26. Jamieson-Gladney WL, Zhang Y, Fong AM, Meucci O, Fatatis A. The chemokine receptor CX3CR1 is directly involved in the arrest of breast cancer cells to the skeleton. Breast Cancer Research 2011;13:R91
- 27. Lau WM, Doucet M, Stadel R, Huang D, Weber KL, Kominsky SL. Enpp1: A potential facilitator of breast cancer bone metastasis. PLoS ONE 2013;8:e66752.
 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0066752
- 28. Croset M, Goehrig D, Frackowiak A, Bonnelye E, Ansieau S, Puisieux A, et al.
 TWIST1 expression in breast cancer cells facilitates bone metastasis formation.
 Journal of Bone & Mineral Research 2014;29:1886-99

- 29. Siclari VA, Mohammad KS, Tompkins DR, Davis H, McKenna CR, Peng X, et al. Tumor-expressed adrenomedullin accelerates breast cancer bone metastasis. Breast Cancer Research 2014;16:458
- **30.** Higgins JPT, Green S. (editors) Cochrane handbook for systematic reviews of interventions; 2011. Available at: <u>http://handbook.cochrane.org/</u> (accessed: 10.11.15)
- 31. Centre for Reviews and Dissemination. Systematic Reviews. CRD's guidance for undertaking reviews in health care. CRD, University of York; 2009 ISBN: 1900640473 / ISBN13: 9781900640473
- 32. Dixon-Woods M, Agarwal S, Jones D, Young B, Sutton A. Synthesising qualitative and quantitative evidence: a review of possible methods. Journal of Health Services Research and Policy 2005;10:45-53
- 33. Berx G, van Roy F. Involvement of members of the cadherin superfamily in cancer.Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology 2009;1:a003129
- **34.** Lawson C, Wolf S. ICAM-1 signaling in endothelial cells. Pharmacological Reports 2009;61:22-32
- **35.** Okada Y, Moromoto I, Ura K, Watanabe K, Eto S, Kumegawa M, et al. Cell to cell adhesion via intercellular adhesion molecule-1 and leukocyte function associated antigen-1 pathway is involved in 1α,25(OH)₂D₃, PTH and IL-1α induced osteoclast differentiation and bone resorption. Endocrine Journal 2002;49:483-95
- 36. Asou Y, Rittling SR, Yoshitake H, Tsuji K, Shinomiya K, Nifuji A, et al. Osteopontin facilitates angiogenesis, accumulation of osteoclasts, and resorption in ectopic bone. Endocrinology 2001;142:1325-32

- **37.** Denhardt DT, Giachelli C.M, Rittling SR. Role of osteopontin in cellular signaling and toxicant injury. Annual Review of Pharmacology & Toxicology 2001;41:723-49
- **38.** Imai T, Hieshima K, Haskell C, Baba M, Nagira M, Nishimura M, et al. Identification and molecular characterization of fractalkine receptor CX3CR1, which mediates both leukocyte migration and adhesion. Cell 1997;91:521-30
- **39.** Ouellet V, Siegel PM. CCN3 modulates bone turnover and is a novel regulator of skeletal metastasis. Journal of Cell Communication and Signaling 2012;6:73-85
- **40.** Sheng MHC, Wergedal JE, Mohan S, Lau KHW. Osteoactivin is a novel osteoclastic protein and plays a key role in osteoclast differentiation and activity. Federation of European Biochemical Societies (FEBS) Letters 2008;582:1451-58
- 41. Nam HK, Liu J, Li Y, Kragor A, Hatch NE. Ectonucleotide pyrophosphatase/phosphodiesterase-1 (ENPP1) protein regulates osteoblast differentiation. Journal of Biological Chemistry 2011;286:39059-71
- 42. Mangolas SC. Role of cytokines in bone resorption. Bone 1995;17:63S-67S
- **43.** Chou YT, Wang H, Chen Y, Danielpour D, Yang YC. Cited2 modulates TGF-βmediated upregulation of MMP9. Oncogene 2006;25:5547-60
- 44. Kruger TE, Miller AH, Godwin AK, Wang J. Bone sialoprotein and osteopontin in bone metastasis of osteotropic cancers. Critical Reviews in Oncology/Haematology 2014;89:330-41
- **45.** Vincent K, Durrant MC. A structural and functional model for human bone sialoprotein. Journal of Molecular Graphics and Modelling 2013;39:108-17

- **46.** Ansieau S, Morel AP, Hinkal G, Bastid J, Pusieux A. TWISTing an embryonic transcription factor into an oncoprotein. Oncogene 201;29:3171-84
- 47. Ansieau S, Bastid J, Doreau A, Morel AP, Bouchet BP, Thomas C, et al. Induction of EMT by twist proteins as a collateral effect of tumor-promoting inactivation of premature senescence. Cancer Cell 2008;14:79-89
- 48. Bunton DC, Petrie MC, Hillier C, Johnston F, McMurray JJ. 2004 The clinical relevance of adrenomedullin: a promising profile? Pharmacology & Therapeutics 2004;10:179-201
- 49. Deshmane SL, Kremlev S, Amini S, Sawaya BE. Monocyte chemoattractant protein1 (MCP-1): an overview. Journal of Interferon and Cytokine Research 2009;29:31326
- 50. Wojdasiewicz P, Poniatowski LA, Kotela A, Deszczynski J, Kotela I, Szukiewicz D. The chemokine CX3CL1 (fractalkine) and its receptor CX3CR1: occurrence and potential role in osteoarthritis. Archivum Immunologiae et Therapia Experimentalis 2014;62:395–403
- **51.** Muller A, Homey B, Soto H, Ge N, Catron D, Buchanan ME, et al. Involvement of chemokine receptors in breast cancer metastasis. Nature 2001;410:50-6
- 52. Asou Y, Rittling SR, Yoshitake H, Tsuji K, Shinomiya K, Nifuji A, et al. Osteopontin facilitates angiogenesis, accumulation of osteoclasts, and resorption in ectopic bone. Endocrinology 2001;142:1325-32
- 53. Koeneman KS, Yeung F, Chung LW. Osteomimetic properties of prostate cancer cells: a hypothesis supporting the predilection of prostate cancer metastasis and growth in the bone environment. Prostate 1999;39:246–61

- 54. Fata JE, Kong YY, Li J, Sasaki T, Irie-Sasaki J, Moorehead RA., et al. The osteoclast differentiation factor osteoprotegerin-ligand is essential for mammary gland development. Cell 2000;103:41–50
- **55.** Kovacs CS. (2005). Calcium and bone metabolism during pregnancy and lactation. Journal of Mammary Gland Biology and Neoplasia 2005;10:105–18
- 56. Carlinfante G, Vassilioul D, Svensson D, Wendel M, Heinegard D, Andersson D. Differential expression of osteopontin and bone sialoprotein in bone metastasis of breast and prostate carcinoma. Clinical and Experimental Metastasis 2003;20:437-44
- 57. Tsubochi H, Endo S, Oda Y, Dobashi Y. Carcinoid tumor of the lung with massive ossification: report of a case showing the evidence of osteomimicry and review of the literature. International Journal of Clinical and Experimental Pathology 2013;6:957-61
- 58. Rucci N, Teti A. Osteomimicry: how tumour cells try to deceive the bone. Frontiers in Bioscience 2010;S2:907-15
- **59.** Nguyen DX, Bos PD, Massague J. Metastasis from dissemination to organ specific colonization. Nature Reviews Cancer 2009;9:274–84
- 60. Savci-Heijink CD, Halfwerk H, Hooijeri GKJ, Horlings HM, Wesseling J, van de Vijver
 MJ. (2015) Retrospective analysis of metastatic behaviour of breast cancer subtypes.
 Breast Cancer Research and Treatment 2015;150:547–57
- **61.** Subik K, Lee JF, Baxter L, Strzepek T, Costello D, Crowley P, et al. The expression patterns of ER, PR, HER2, CK5/6, EGFR, Ki-67 and AR by immunohistochemical analysis in breast cancer cell lines. Breast Cancer (Auckl) 2010;20:35-41
- 62. Aapro M, Abrahamsson PA, Body JJ, Coleman RE, Colomer R, Costa L, et al. Guidance on the use of bisphosphonates in solid tumours: recommendations of an international expert pane. Annals of Oncology 2008;19:420-32

- 63. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence NICE. Advanced breast cancer (update). <u>https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/cg81/chapter/1-recommendations</u>; 2009 (accessed: 25.10.14)
- 64. Stopeck AT, Lipton A, Body JJ, Steger GG, Tonkin K, de Boer RH, et al. Denosumab compared with zoledronic acid for the treatment of bone metastases in patients with advanced breast cancer: a randomized, double-blind study. Journal of Clinical Oncology 2010;28:5132–39
- 65. Wong MHF, Stockler MR, Pavlakis N. Bisphosphonates and other bone agents for breast cancer. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews 2012; 2:CD003474. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD003474.pub3
- 66. Ford J, Cummins E, Sharma P, Elders A, Stewart F, Johnston R, et al. Systematic review of the clinical effectiveness and cost-effectiveness, and economic evaluation, of denosumab for the treatment of bone metastases from solid tumours. Health Technology Assessment 2013;17:1+
- 67. Xie J, Diener M, Sorg R, Wu EQ, Namjoshi M. Cost-effectiveness of denosumab compared with zoledronic acid in patients with breast cancer and bone metastases. Clinical Breast Cancer 2012;12:247-58
- 68. National Institute for Health and Care Excellence NICE. Denosumab for the prevention of skeletal-related events in adults with bone metastases from solid tumours Technology appraisal guidance.

https://www.nice.org.uk/guidance/ta265?unlid=823332348201681314559; 2012 (accessed 16.9.16)

- 69. Jamieson WL, Shimizu S, D'Ambrosio JA, Meucci O, Fatatis A. CX3CR1 is expressed by prostate epithelial cells and androgens regulate the levels of CX3CL1/fractalkine in bone marrow: potential role in prostate cancer bone tropism. Cancer Research 2008;68:1715-22
- **70.** Bellahcene A, Merville MP, Castronovo V. Expression of bone sialoprotein, a bone matrix protein, in human breast cancer. Cancer Research 1996;54:2823-26
- 71. Bellahcene A, Antoine N, Clausse N, Tagliabue E, Fisher LW, Kerr JM, Jares P, Castronovo V. Detection of bone sialoprotein in human breast cancer tissue and cell lines at both protein and messenger ribonucleic acid levels. Laboratory Investigation 1996;75:203-10
- 72. Bellacene A, Kroll M, Liebens F, Castronovo V. Bone sialoprotein expression in primary breast cancer is associated with bone metastases development. Journal of Bone Mineral Research 1996;11:665-70
- 73. Ibrhahim T, Leong I, Sanchez-Sweatman O, Khokha R, Sodek J, Tenenbaum HC, et al. Expression of bone sialoprotein and osteopontin in breast cancer bone metastases. Clinical & Experimental Metastasis 2000;18:253-60
- 74. Bellacene A, Menard S, Bufalino R, Moreau L, Castronovo V. Expression of bone sialoprotein in primary human breast cancer is associated with poor survival.
 International Journal of Cancer 1996;69:350-53
- **75.** Furger KA, Menon RK, Tuckl AB, Bramwell VH, Chambers AF. The functional and clinical roles of osteopontin in cancer and metastasis. Current Molecular Medicine 2001;1:621-32

- 76. Weber GF. The metastasis gene osteopontin:a candidate target for cancer therapy.Biochemica et Biophysica Acta 2001;1552:61-85
- 77. Reinholz MM, Iturria SJ, Ingle JN, Roche PC. Differential gene expression of TGFβ family members and osteopontin in breast tumor tissue: analysis by real-time quantitative PCR. Breast Cancer Research & Treatment 2002;74:255-69
- 78. Hotte SJ, Winquist EW, Stitt L, Wilson SM, Chambers AF. Plasma osteopontin: associations with survival and metastasis to bone in men with hormone-refractory prostate carcinoma. Cancer 2002;95:506-12
- **79.** Riaz M, Sieuwerts AM, Look MP, Timmermans MA, Smid M, Foekens JA, et al. High TWIST1 mRNA expression is associated with poor prognosis in lymph node-negative and estrogen receptor-positive human breast cancer and is co-expressed with stromal as well as ECM related genes. Breast Cancer Research 2012;14:R123
- 80. Watson MA, Yiagan LR, Trinkhaus KM, Gillanders WE, Naughton MJ, Weilbaecher KN, et al. Isolation and molecular profiling of bone marrow micrometastases identifies TWIST1 as a marker of early tumour relapse in breast cancer patients. Clinical Cancer Research 2007;13:5001-9
- **81.** Zudaire E, Martinez A, Cuttita F. Adrenomedullin and cancer. Regulatory Peptides 2003;112:175-83
- 82. Oehler MK, Fischer DC, Orlowska-Volk M, Herrle F, Kieback DG, Rees MC, et al. Tissue and plasma expression of the angiogenic peptide adrenomedullin in breast cancer. British Journal of Cancer 2003;89:1927-33

- 83. Sotiriou C, Lacroix M, Lespagnard L, Larismont D, Paesmans M, Body JJ.
 Interleukins-6 and -11 expression in primary breast cancer and subsequent development of bone metastases. Cancer Letters 2001;169:87-95
- 84. Geminder H, Sagi-Assif O, Goldberg L, Meshel T, Rechavi G, Witz IP, Ben-Baruch A. A possible role for CXCR4 and its ligand, the CXC chemokine stromal cell-derived factor-1, in the development of bone marrow metastases in neuroblastoma. Journal of Immunology 2001;167:4747-57
- 85. Taichman RS, Cooper C, Keller ET, Pienta KJ, Taichman NS, McCauley LK. Use of the stromal cell-derived factor-1 / CXCR4 pathway in prostate cancer metastasis to bone. Cancer Res 2002;62:1832-37
- 86. Regidor PA, Callies R, Regidor M, Schindler AE. Expression of the cell adhesion molecules ICAM-1 and VCAM-1 in the cytosol of breast cancer tissue, benign breast tissue and corresponding sera. European Journal of Gynecological Oncology 1998;19:377-83
- **87.** Rosette C, Roth RB, Oeth P, Braun A, Kammerer S, Ekblom J, et al. Role of ICAM-1 in invasion of human breast cancer cells. Carcinogenesis 2005;26:943-50
- 88. Kuan CT, Wakiya K, Dowell JM, Herndon JE, Reardon DA, Graner MW, et al.
 Glycoprotein nonmetastatic melanoma preotein B, a potential molecular therapeutic target in patients with glioblastoma multiforme. Clinical Cancer Research 2006;12:1970-82

- 89. Assefnia S, Dakshanamurthy S, Guidry Auvil JM, Hampel C, Anastasiadis PZ, Kallakury B, et al. Cadherin-11 in poor prognosis malignancies and rheumatoid arthritis: common target, common therapiues. Oncotarget 2013;5:1458-74
- 90. Valkovic T, Lucin K, Krstulja M, Dobi-Babic R, Jonic N. Expression of monocyte chemotactic protein-1 in human invasive ductal breast cancer. Pathology Research & Practice 1998;194:335-40
- 91. Chavey C, Bibeau F, Gourgou-Bougade S, Burlinchon S, Boissiere F, Laune D, et al. Oestrogen receptor negative breast cancers exhibit high cytokine content. Breast Cancer Research 2007;9:R15
- **92.** Monti P, Leone BE, Marchesi F, Balzano G, Zerbi A, Scaltrini F, et al. The CC chemokine MCP-1/CCL2 in pancreatic progression: regulation expression and potential mechanisms of antimalignant activity. Cancer Research 2003;63:7451-61
- 93. Vallachi V, Daniotti M, Ratti F, Di Stasi D, Deho P, De Fillipo A, et al. CCN3/nephroblastoma overexpressed matricellular protein regulates integrin expression, adhesion, and dissemination in melanoma. Cancer Research 2008;68:715-23
- 94. Manara MC, Perbal B, Benini S, Strammiello R, Cerisano V, Perdichizzi S, et al. The expression of ccn3(nov) gene in musculoskeletal tumors. American Journal of Pathology 2002;160:849-59
- 95. Maillard M, Cadot B, Ball RY, Sethia K, Edwards DR, Perbal B, et al. Differential expression of the ccn3(nov) proto-oncogene in human prostate cell lines and tissues. Molecular Pathology 2001;54:275-80

- **96.** Perbal B, Zuntini M, Zambelli D, Serra M, Sciandra M, Cantiani L, et al. Prognostic value of CCN3 in osteosarcoma. Clinical Cancer Research 2008;14:701-09
- 97. Glukhova L, Angevin E, Lavialle C, Cadot B, Terrier-Lacombe MJ, Perbal B, et al. Patterns of specific genomic alterations associated with poor prognosis in high grade renal cell carcinomas. Cancer Genetics & Cytogenetetics 2001;130:105-10
- 98. Jiang WG, Watkins G, Fodstad O, Douglas-Jones A, Mokbel K, Mansel RE. Differential expression of the CCN family members Cyr61, CTGF and Nov in human breast cancer. Endocrine Related Cancer 2004;11:781-91
- 99. Ghayad SE, Vendrell JA, Bieche I, Spyratos F, Dumontet C, Treilleux I, et al. Identification of TACC1, NOV, and PTTG1 as new candidate genes associated with endocrine therapy resistance in breast cancer. Journal of Molecular Endocrinology 2009;42:87-103
- 100. Zhang XH, Wang Q, Gerald W, Hudis CA, Norton L, Smid M, et al J. Latent bone metastasis in breast cancer tied to src-dependent survival signals. Cancer Cell 2009;16:67-78
- 101. Shimo T, Kubota S, Goda T, Yoshihama Y, Kurio N, Nishida T, et al. Clinical significance and pathogenic function of connective tissue growth factor (CTGF/CCN2) in osteolytic mandibular squamous cell carcinoma. Anticancer Research 2008;28:2343-48
- **102.** Xiang ZL, Zeng ZC, Tang ZY, Fan J, He J, Zeng HY, et al. Potential biomarkers for bone metastasis from hepatocellular carcinoma. Oncologist 2011;16:1028-39

- 103. Cawthorn TR, Amir E, Broom R, Freedman O, Gianfelice D, Barth D, et al Mechanisms and pathways of bone metastasis: challenges and pitfalls of performing molecular research on patient samples. Clinical & Experimental Metastasis 2009;26:935-43
- 104. Casimiro S, Luis I, Fernandes A, Pires R, Pinto A, Gouveia AG, et al. Analysis of bone metastasis gene signature in patients with bone metastasis from solid tumors. Clinical & Experimental Metastasis 2012;29:155-64

Table 1 Summary of study design and quality appraisal

All studies were prospective, included both *in vitro* and *in vivo* approaches, and employed appropriate controls for each experiment. Statistical analysis, where relevant, was performed appropriately. The title of each paper accurately reflected content.

Author and	Are study aims	Experimental	What cell lines are	What animal	Strengths and limitations of evidence presented
publication	clearly	approaches	used?	model is used?	
date	apparent?				
Kang et al., 2003 [18]	Yes To identify genes that mediate breast cancer metastases to bone	<i>In vivo</i> cell selection and gene profiling	Bone- and adrenal- metastasis specific human breast cancer cell clones derived from parental MDA-MB- 231 cell line	Intracardiac injection of human cancer cell line into athymic nude mice	Rigorous selection of bone-specific human breast cancer cells and demonstration of significant over expression of several factors (IL-11, CTGF, CXCR4, OPN) working in synergy to promote bone metastasis in vivo. Functionality demonstrated by transfection of genes into parental cell line. Specificity confirmed by comparison of bone-specific with adrenal-specific clones. MMP-1 was also highlighted as of interest in this study but only preliminary data, indicated its functional significance, alone or with other factors, in enhancing bone metastatic ability. Strong evidence for function in bone-specific metastasis

Zhang et al.,	Yes	Cell	Bone- and brain-	Intracardiac	Expression of BSP in a brain-seeking human breast
2004 [19]	To increation to	transfection	seeking human	injection of	cancer cell clone resulted in 100% successful
	the role of here	and <i>in vivo</i>	breast cancer cell	human cancer	establishment of bone metastases in vivo.
	the role of bone sialoprotein (BSP) in breast cancer metastasis to	metastasis	clones derived from parental MDA-MB-231 cell line	cell line into athymic nude mice	Strong evidence for function in bone-specific metastasis
	bone				
Rose et al.,	Yes	In vivo	Bone-seeking	Intracardiac	Consistent demonstration of osteoactivin in multiple
2007 [20]	To domonstrate	cancer cell	clones of mouse	injection into	bone-seeking clones, confirmed by several
		selection,	mammary cancer	immunocompe	complimentary techniques. Expression of osteoactivin
	that osteoactivin	gene	4T1	tent BALB/c	by a non-bone metastasising clone imparted bone
	promotes bone	profiling, cell		mice	metastastic ability. However, the use of murine cell
	metastasis in	transfection			lines, albeit in an immunocompetent host, limits the
	breast cancer	and <i>in vivo</i>			relevance of the findings to human cancer.
		metastasis			Moderate evidence for function in bone-specific metastasis
Tamura et al.,	Yes	In vivo	Parental, bone-	Intracardiac	The significant expression of cadherin-11 in bone
2008 [21]	To evaluate the role of cadherin- 11 in homing of	selection, protein analysis, cell	seeking and brain- seeking clones of human breast	injection of human cancer cell line into	seeking cell lines, reduction in recorded bone metastasis with its inactivation and failure of expected lung metastases in cadherin-11 bearing cells in an

	breast cancer	transfection	cancer cell line	athymic nude	animal model that usually produces lung metastases
	cells to bone	and <i>in vivo</i>	MDA-MB-231	mice	all provide strong evidence for a functional role in
		metastasis			promoting breast cancer bone metastasis.
		transfection and <i>in vivo</i> metastasiscancer cell line MDA-MB-231athymic nude miceIn vivo selection, gene profiling, <i>in</i> vivo cMouse mammary cancer 4T1 and a highly metastatic variant 4T1E/M3Tail vein injection into immunocomp tent BALB/c mice		Strong evidence for function in bone-specific metastasis	
Takahashi et	Yes	In vivo	Mouse mammary	Tail vein	ICAM-1 and beta 2 integrin expression in bone
al., 2008 [22]	To invoctigato	selection,	cancer 4T1 and a	injection into	seeking cells was demonstrated using multiple
	the function of	gene	highly metastatic	immunocompe	techniques identifying their expression at both
		profiling, <i>in</i>	variant 4T1E/M3	tent BALB/c	genomic and transcriptomic level. Functionality of
	ICAM-T in highly	vivo		mice	ICAM-1 was explored, but not beta 2 integrin, and this
	bone metastatic	metastasis,			is a limitation of the study. In discussion, it is
	breast cancer	in vitro			mentioned that anti-ICAM-1 and anti-beta 2 integrin
	Cells	functional			antibodies had no inhibitory effect on 4T1E/M3
		testing			adhesion to bone marrow derived endothelial cells,
					but data is not presented. Further, 4T1E/M3 cells were
					not exclusively metastatic to bone and showed a high
					level of metastasis to lung, as well as, less commonly,
					other sites. A further limitation of the study is the use
					of murine cells, albeit in an immunocompetent host.
					Moderate evidence for ICAM-1function in bone- specific metastasis

Takahashi et	Yes	<i>In vivo</i> cell	Highly metastatic	Intravenous	While bone metastasis reduced with CCL2 expression
al., 2009 [23]	To overning the	selection,	variant 4T1E/M3 of	and	in a bone specific clone, there was still bone
	impact of CCL0	gene	mouse mammary	subcutaneous	metastasis in 36% of cases compared to 0% of the
	impact of CCL2	profiling, <i>in</i>	cancer 4T1	injection into	non-bone seeking parental cells, despite expressing
	In regulating	vivo		immunocompe	CCL2 at similar levels. Moreover, a further limitation
	breast cancer	metastasis,		tetent BALB/c	of the study is the use of murine cells, albeit in an
	bone metastasis	in vitro		mice	immunocompetent host.
		functional testing			Weak evidence for function in bone specific metastasis.
Lau et al.,	Yes	In vivo	neu-expressing	Intracardiac	CITED2 expression was clearly correlated with
2010 [24]	To evaluate the	selection,	mammary tumor	injection of	increased bone metastatic potential in both murine
	role of CITED2	gene	cell line NT2.5 and	murine and	and human cell lines using several different
	in promoting	profiling,	subclones with	human cancer	techniques.
	osteotropism in breast cancer	gene knockdown and <i>in vivo</i> metastasis	differing metastatic capability Human bone metastatic MDA- MB-231 and MDA- MB-468, and non- metastatic 11-24 HME and MCF-	cells into neu- N mice	Strong evidence for function in bone specific metastasis.

			10A		
Ouellet et al., 2011 [25]	Yes To evaluate the role of CCN3 in breast cancer metastasis to bone	<i>In vivo</i> selection, protein analysis, cell transfection and <i>in vivo</i> metastasis, in vitro functional testing	Mouse mammary cancer 4T1 Weakly bone metastatic mouse mammary cancer cell line 66cl4	Intracardiac injection into immunocompe tent BALB/c mice	Functionality of CCN3 was demonstrated by showing that CCN3 expression increased bone metastatic tendency in a cell line that is naturally poorly metastatic. However, in addition to the use of murine cell lines, albeit in immunocompetent animals, no report of sites of metastasis other than bone were mentioned, making it impossible to assess the bone specificity for the effect of this factor Weak evidence for function in bone specific metastasis.
Jamieson- Gladney et al., 2011 [26]	Yes To investigate the role of fractalkine and its receptor CX ₃ CR1 in bone metastasis from breast cancer	Cell transfection and <i>in vivo</i> metastasis	Human breast cancer cell lines MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-436	Intracardiac injection into SCID mice or control non- immune compromised mice; fractakine null transgenic mice	Functional role of CX ₃ CR1 is demonstrated by knockdown experiments. Bone specificity is demonstrated by comparison with adrenal metastases. Some evidence of bone metastasis was seen in mice null for CX ₃ CR1 binding partner, fractalkine, suggesting that other factors, not considered in the study, may be implicated Moderate evidence for function in bone specific metastasis.

Lau et al., 2013 [27]	Yes To evaluate the role of Enpp1 in breast cancer bone metastasis	<i>In vivo</i> selection, gene profiling, protein analysis, cell transfection and in vivo metastasis	HER-2/ <i>neu</i> — expressing mouse mammary tumour cell line, NT2.5 Human breast cancer cell lines MDA-MB-231, MDA-MB-468	intracardiac and intra-tibial injection into athymic nude mice	 While the authors state that Enpp1 was identified in their previous study Lau et al (2009) as being of special interest, it does not actually appear as a gene of interest in that paper. Enpp1 expression was increased in bone seeking murine and human breast cancer cells and in human breast cancer bone metastases in comparison to primary tumours and normal breast epithelium. Expression of Enpp1 by MDA-MB-231 cells resulted in no greater rate of bone metastasis, but more rapid bone destruction. Moderate evidence for function in bone specific metastasis.
Croset et al., 2014 [28]	Yes To explore the functions of TWIST1 in breast cancer bone metastasis	Cell transfection, <i>in vivo</i> inoculation, <i>in vitro</i> functional testing	MDA-MB-231/BO2, a bone metastatic clone of human breast cancer cell line. Human MCF-7 and mouse 4T1 breast	Tail artery injection into nude mice. Assessment of primary tumour growth was achieved by	MDA-MB-231/BO2 cells demonstrated earlier and significantly larger osteolytic bone metastasis compared to control when TWIST1 was exogenously expressed in them. TWIST1 was, however, not found to be inherently expressed in MDA-MB-231/BO2 and other bone metastatic clones. Weak evidence for function in bone specific

	Vee		cancer cell lines	xenograft into the mammary fat pad.	metastasis.
2014 [29]	To evaluate the role of adrenomedullin in enhancing bone metastasis in breast cancer and explore its potential as a therapeutic target for treatment of bone metastasis	transfection and <i>in vivo</i> metastasis, <i>ex vivo</i> co- culture and functional analysis	cancer cell lines were tested for adrenomedullin expression. MDA-MB-231 clones were used for gene transfection and mice inoculation	injection into athymic nude mice	adrenomedullin in enhancing osteolytic metastasis. Adrenomedullin was found to be expressed in normal tissues (including breast, kidney and prostate) and lung metastases, suggesting that adrenomedullin may not only contribute to specific bone tropism. Adrenomedullin is more likely contribute to growth of already formed bone metastasesrather than playing a causal role. Moderate evidence for function in bone specific metastasis.

Table 2 Summary of data extraction								
Author and	Functional factor(s)	Wild type function	Functional	Summary of main study findings	Brief interpretation of study			
	identified	of	classification of	Summary of main study maings	findings			
publication	luentineu				mangs			
date		molecular	molecular					
		factor(s)	factor(s)					
Kang et al.,	IL 11	osteoclast	Cytokine /	In vivo selection of bone- and adrenal-metastasis	Investigations reveal a gene			
2003 [18]		formation; further	growth factor	specific human breast cancer cell clones derived	profile, already present in the			
		activated by		from MDA-MB-231 and determination of bone-	parental cell population, which,			
		TGFbeta		specific, and not adrenal-specific, metastasis gene	when superimposed on an			
				signature.	already metastasis-associated			
	Connective tissue	Osteoblast	Cytokine /		gene signature, specifically			
	growth factor CTGF	differentiation	growth factor	Confirmation that parental and bone-metastatic	enhances metastasis to bone.			
	(CCN2)	and proliferation,		subclones conform to a previously established poor	IL11, CTGF, CXCR4 and OPN			
		angiogenesis;		prognosis gene signature, and no evidence that	are convincingly shown to work			
		further activated		acquisition of bone-homing activity is associated with	synergistically. These genes			
		by TGFbeta		increased expression of these genes. Further	encode proteins associated			
				analysis revealed distinct gene signatures	with angiogenesis, tumour cell			
	CXCR4	a chemokine	Chemokine	associated with clones that selectively metastasise	invasion, recruitment of			
		receptor involved	receptor	to bone and to adrenal gland, and bone-metastasis-	osteoclasts suggesting that			
		in chemotaxis,		associated genes distinct from the previously	their action contributes to a			
		cell proliferation		established poor prognosis gene signature. IL11,	microenvironment favouring			

			CTGF, CXCR4 and MMP-1 were identified as the	establishment of metastases.
Osteopontin (OPN)	Multiple	Extracellular	most highly overexpressed in the bone-metastasis	Cell populations highly
(early T lymphocyte	functions.	matrix protein /	populations.	metastatic to adrenal medulla
activation gene 1	Stimulates	cytokine		do not share this signature,
eta1, secreted	osteoclast		Parental MDA-MB-231 cells transfected to express	suggesting a basis for tissue
phosphoprotein 1	adhesion to bone		high levels of IL11 required co-expression of	specificity.
spp1, bone	matrix.		osteopontin (OPN) before enhanced bone	
sialoprotein 1)	Lymphokine.		metastasis was observed. CXCR4 when expressed	
			alone resulted in limited enhanced bone metastatic	
			ability. Increased expression of CTGF alone did not.	
			Triple transfectants expressing OPN and IL11 with	
			either CXCR4 or CTGF showed dramatic enhanced	
			bone metastatic ability. Preliminary data only, not	
			shown, was suggestive of MMP-1 alone or in	
			combination with IL-11 and OPN enhancing bone	
			metastasis.	
			Populations of cells which over expressed the IL11,	
			CTGF, CXCR4, MMP1, OPN bone metastasis gene	
			signature were both found to be present in the	
			original parental MDA-MB-231population and to	
			exhibit enhanced ability to metastasise to bone.	
			Cells expressing this multi-gene signature were	
	1	1		

				found to be enriched in the bone-metastasis selected	
				clones derived from MDA-MB-231	
Zhang et al.,	Bone sialoprotein	Bone	Extracellular	Exclusively bone- and brain-seeking clones derived	Convincing evidence for the
2004 [19]	(BSP, bone	homeostasis	matrix protein	from MDA-231 cells were established. Upon	role of BSP in establishment of
	sialoprotein II)			transfection with BSP, the brain-seeking clones	bone metastasis was shown in
				formed bone metastases. Transfection with vector	this study as its expression
				only had no effect. Bone lesions, detected by	caused significant bone
				radiological examination, were also examined using	metastasis in a completely
				standard histological techniques, and in situ	non-bone seeking cell line
				hybridisation and immunohistochemistry to localise	
				BSP. High levels of BSP mRNA and protein were	
				localised in the bone metastases.	
Rose et al.,	Osteoactivin	Involved in	Cell adhesion	Sub-populations of 4T1 cancer cells showing	Compelling evidence is
2007 [20]		osteoblast	protein	enhanced metastasis to bone were selected. These	presented that osteoactivin
		differentiation		cells were more motile and more invasive than the	expression is necessary and
		and osteoclast		parental cell line or cells selected to be tumorigenic	sufficient for MMP-3
		formation		and non-metastatic, or metastatic to lung but not	expression and also
				bone.	associated with enhanced
					invasiveness, probably in
				Gene expression profiling using microarray identified	conjunction with other
				a range of 12 genes with elevated expression and 4	mediators, in selected bone-
				with lower expression in strongly bone-metastasising	seeking cell populations.

		cell populations in comparison to parental or weakly	
		bone metastatic populations. Of these, osteoactivin	
		was chosen for further study because it has been	
		identified as being associated with increased	
		invasion and motility in glioma. It was confirmed that	
		the strongly bone-metastasising population	
		expressed high levels of osteoactivin in comparison	
		to parental or weakly bone metatstatic cells.	
		Furthermore, knock-down of osteoactivin resulted in	
		a reduction in invasive ability of cells. Levels of	
		MMP-3 in various cell populations correlated with	
		osteoactivin and bone metastasis potential,	
		consistent with literature reports that osteoactivin	
		induces MMP-3 expression. Osteoactivin-positive	
		cells showed enhanced metastasis to bone in	
		comparison to osteoactivin negative controls and	
		bone tumour cells showed increased levels of both	
		osteoactivin and MMP-3 in these studies.	

Tamura et al.,	Cadherin-11	Cell adhesion	Cell adhesion	Cadherin-11 expression was markedly increased in	Increased e expression of
2008 [21]	(CDH11)	molecule,	protein	bone-seeking clones of MDA-MB-231 cells in	cadherin-11 in bone-seeking
		mediates cell		comparison to the parental cell line or to brain-	cell clones, increased bone
		adhesion through		seeking clones.	metastasis when cadherin-11
		homophilic			is expressed and reduction in
		interactions.		MDA-MB-231 cells stably transfected with intact	bone metastasis when an
		Expressed by		cadeherin-11 and an inactive variant that is unable	inactive variant is expressed all
		bone marrow		to form homophilic interactions. The cells expressing	provide evidence for cadherin-
		cells and		intact cadherin-11 showed increased bone	11 promoting metastasis to
		osteoblasts.		metastases in an animal model compared to the	bone. Evidence is provided
				parental cell line. Cells expressing inactive	that this is an organ-specific
				cadherin-11 showed reduced bone metastasis. No	phenomenon as no
				difference in ability to metastasise to lung was noted,	relationship between cadherin-
				thus indicating that cadherin-11 is specifically	11 and increased metastasis to
				involved in establishment of bone metastases.	other sites (brain, lung) is
				These observations were supported by evidence	observed. Furthermore,
				that cadherin-11- positive cancer cells arrested in	evidence is provided that the
				greater numbers in bone marrow than cells of the	mechanism of action is through
				parental line, and that cells expressing inactive	homophilic cell-cell adhesive
				cadherin-11 arrested in decreased numbers. When	interactions between cadherin-
				co-cultured with a cadherin-11 expressing bone	11 positive cancer cells and
				stromal cell line, increased migration of the cancer	cadherin-11 positive bone
				cells was observed, and this was not seen in co-	stromal cells resulting in

				culture experiments using a non-cadherin-11	increased cancer cell migration
				expressing fibroblast cell line.	and up-regulation of the
				Immunohistochemistry revealed that cadherin-11-	osteoclastogenic cytokine
				positive cancer cells formed homophilic cell-cell	PTH-rP by the cancer cells.
				interactions with bone stromal cells. Moreover, in a	Thus, cadherin-11 expression
				co-culture system, homophilic interactions between	by breast cancer cells
				cadherin-11 on cancer cells and on mouse	promotes, through homophilic
				osteoblastic cells resulted in up-regulation of the	interaction with cadherin-11
				osteoclastogenic cytokine PTH-rP by the cancer	expressed on bone stromal
				cells.	cells, cancer cell homing
					specifically to bone, then
					directed migration and
					osteoclastogenesis
Takahashi et	ICAM-1	Cell adhesion	Cell adhesion	Rigorous in vivo selection of 4T1E cells was	Increased ICAM-1 and beta 2
al., 2008 [22]		molecule and	protein	performed to develop a cell line with enhanced	integrin expression in bone
		member of the		metastasis, 4T1E/M3. The cells, which were highly	seeking cells was
		immunoglobulin		clonal, showed enhanced bone metastasis but	demonstrated. Further,
		superfamily.		metastasised to other sites, including liver, spleen,	functional significance of
		Mediates cell to		heart, and, especially lung. Cells of 4T1E/M3	ICAM-1 was demonstrated by
		cell interaction of		proliferated only slightly faster than the parental line,	the inhibitory action of anti-
		osteoblast and		but exhibited increased adhesion to both plasticware	ICAM-1 antibody on migration
		osteoclast		and to bone marrow derived endothelial cells, were	and colony formation.
		precursor cells in		more motile in a wound healing assay and showed	

		osteoclast		increased anchorage independent proliferation in	
		formation,		soft agar. They express increased levels of ICAM-1	
		leukocyte		and beta 2 integrin and anti-ICAM-1 antibodies	
		migration in		inhibit their migration and colony formation.	
		inflammation			
Takahashi et	CCL2 (chemokine	Member of the	Cytokine /	Further exploration of the enhanced bone and lung	In this study, a continuation of
al., 2009 [23]	C-C ligand 2,	CC chemokine	growth factor	metastatic 4T1E/M3 cells established previously by	Takahashi et al (2008), the
	monocyte	superfamily.		this group reveal that CCL2 is much reduced in	authors further explore
	chemoattractant	Involved in		comparison to the parental cell line. Its restitution	molecular players responsible
	protein-1, MCP-1)	mediating		diminishes the cells metastatic ability to colonise	for enhanced metastatic ability
		leukocyte		bone and lung, reduces their migration and	of 4T1E/M3 cells in
		migration		anchorage independent growth and downregulates	comparison to the parental
				expression of ICAM-1. Conversely, knocking down	cell line. Here, down
				CCL2 in the parental cell line renders it more	regulation of CCL2 is shown
				metastatic and this is associated with increased	to be associated with
				expression of ICAM-1. Knockdown of ICAM-1 in	enhanced metastasis to both
				4T1E/M3 cells does not increase CCL2 production,	lung and bone, and an
				but knocking down CCL2 does result in upregulation	interesting interaction between
				of ICAM-1, suggesting that CCL2 is an upstream	CCL2 and ICAM-1, identified
				modulator of ICAM-1 expression.	in the previous study as being
					positively associated with
					enhanced metastasis, is
					demonstrated. Further

					evidence is presented that
					CCL2 is an upstream
					modulator of ICAM-1
					expression.
Lau et al.,	CITED2	A transcriptional	Intracellular	Expression of a number of genes, including CCL9,	Strong evidence was shown
2010 [24]		co-activator	signalling protein	Ephrin B2, CTGF, and CITED2 was significantly	for CITED2 as expression was
		involves		over-expressed in highly bone metastatic murine cell	clearly correlated with
		regulation of		line (BO6) compared to the poorly bone metastatic	increased bone metastatic
		haematopoiesis		clones and parent cell line (LI and NT2.5	potential in both murine and
				respectively) using microarray analysis. CITED2 was	human breast cancer cell lines
				chosen for further analysis. CITED2 expression was	using complementary
				significantly increased in bone metastatic human	approaches.
				breast cancer cell lines (MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-	Immunocompetent mice were
				468) compared to non-metastatic ones (11-24 HME	used for animal inoculation
				and MCF-10A) using quantitative PCR analysis and	bearing more similitude to
				levels were elevated in human primary invasive	natural tumour environment.
				breast cancer samples in comparison with normal	
				breast epithelium, and elevated in clinical bone	
				metastases.	
				Silencing of CITED2 expression by NT2.5 cells did	
				not cause any change in rate of cell proliferation, but	
				resulted in reduced bone metastasis.	

Ouellet et al.,	CCN3	Matricellular	Extracellular	This study continues from the work of Rose et al	Functionality of CCN3 was
2011 [25]	(NOV)	protein that	matrix protein	(2007) in which sub-populations of 4T1 cancer cells	demonstrated by showing that
		regulates		showing enhanced metastasis to bone were	CCN3 transfection increased
		osteoblast		selected. Further analysis, reported here,	bone metastatic tendency in a
		differentiation,		demonstrated over-expression of CCN3 in these	cell line that is naturally poorly
		function in cell		cells in comparison to weakly bone metastatic	bone metastatic. However, no
		adhesion		counterparts. A variety of complementary techniques	report of other sites of
				were employed, including microarray analysis,	metastasis was given to
				quantitative PCR, immunoblotting and	assess bone specificity of
				immunofluorescence.	CCN3.That CCN3 is of
					importance in formation of
				Immunohistochemical staining for CCN3 in human	bone metastasis is, however,
				breast cancer bone metastasis samples revealed	much strengthened by the
				that that most (11 out of 14) stained moderately to	careful and complimentary
				strongly for CCN3 and that CCN3 was also abundant	experiments seeking to
				in the bone stroma.	determine functional effects in
					influencing osteoclast
				Weakly bone metastatic murine breast cancer cell	formation.
				line 66cl4 showed doubled tendency to form bone	
				metastasis on transfection with CCN3 cDNA.	
				In vitro, CCN3 was shown to inhibit osteoclast	
				differentiation and result in an increased	

				RANKL/OPG ratio. Furthermore, CCN3 could induce	
				osteclastogenesis in rRANKL primed cells through	
				immobilising Ca2+ ions and induced nfact 1nuclear	
				localisation, which is important in octeoclast	
				differentiation. Evidence is also presented that CCN3	
				induced osteoclast differentiation involves JNK and	
				PKC signalling	
Jamieson-	CX₃CR1	Chemokine	Chemokine	Both normal and malignant human breast biopsies	Highly convincing evidence
Gladney et al.,		receptor. Binds to	receptor and	were shown to express CX ₃ CR1 by	that CX ₃ CR1 expressed on
2011 [26]		CX3CL1or	adhesion	immunohistochemistry of tissue microarrays.	blood-borne cancer cells is
		fractalkine (FKN).	molecule	Expression and distribution increased with malignant	recognised by FKN expressed
		Mediates		transformation.	on endothelium of bone
		leukocyte			marrow to mediate cancer cell
		migration and		Using Western blotting, bone metastatic human	homing to bone.
		adhesion during		breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-231 was shown to	
		immune		express high levels of CX₃CR1while MDA-MB-436	
		response		did not. The CX ₃ CR1 positive cells showed a greater	
				propensity to establish bone metastases in an	
				animal model than the CX ₃ CR1 negative cells.	
				FKN-null mice were inoculated with CX ₃ CR11	
				expressing MDA-MB-231 cell and over 70%	
				reduction in the disseminated tumour cells homing to	

				the bone marrow compared to wild type animals. In	
				comparison, no difference in metastasis to adrenal	
				gland was detected between the two groups.	
				Elegant experiments examined the effect of	
				transfecting poorly bone-metastatic MDA-MB-436	
				cells with either fully functional CX ₃ CR1, or one of	
				two partially functional mutants. Animal experiments	
				then allowed examination of function at different time	
				points post-innoculation. Results provided strong	
				support for a role of CX ₃ CR1in early adhesion to	
				bone marrow endothelium and overall, CX ₃ CR	
				conferred the cells with 3 times greater ability to	
				form bone metastases	
Lau et al.,	Enpp1	Regulated bone	Mineralising	This study builds on the previous paper from this	Transfection of Enpp1 cDNA
2013 [27]	Ectoenzyme	mineralization	protein	group, Lau et al (2009).Enpp1expression was shown	into MDA-MB-231 cells
	ectonucleotide	and osteoblast		to be increased in bone seeking murine (NT2.5) and	showed no change in the
	pyrophosphatase /	differentiation;		human breast cancer cell population (MDA-MB-	occurrence of bone metastasis
	phosphodiesterase	modulates insulin		231/MDA-MB-468) using quantitative PCR, western	compared to the control as
	1	signalling.		blotting and immunohistochemical analysis. Further,	parental cells also produce
		Regulates		Enpp1 mRNA and protein were increased in primary	bone metastases in all cases,
		extracellular PPi		human breast cancers in comparison to normal	though there was increased
		levels		breast epithelium with highest levels in bone	bone destruction and

				metastases.	progression of disease
				Increased expression of Enpp1 by MDA-MB-231	
				cells resulted in more rapid progression of bone	The paper does not investigate
				metastases	potential function of Enpp1 in
					establishment or progression
					of bone metastases, but
					putative mechanisms are
					suggested.
Croset et al.,	TWIST1	Involved in	Transcription	TWIST1 expression in bone tropic MDA-MB-	TWIST1 is shown to enhance
2014 [28]		regulation of	factor	231/BO2 caused quicker bone metastasis and	bone metastatic progression in
		organogenesis		increased osteolytic lesion when inoculated into	breast cancer cells that have
		and plays		mice.	pre-existing osteotropism.
		important role in			Hence a promoter rather than
		bone formation. A		Preservation of bone tropism of MDA-MB-231/BO2	an initiator of osteolytic
		key regulator of		cells was demonstrated with TWIST1 expression as	metastasis is indicated.
		epithelial-		no evidence of metastasis was found organs other	
		mesenchymal		than bone.	
		transition (EMT)			
		in tumour		Significant reduction in incidence and extent of	
		progression		tumour cell colonies in bone marrow was observed	
				when miR-10b (induced by TWIST1) was silenced.	

Fig.1 PRISMA flow-diagram of search results and exclusion process, after Moher et al [15]



Fig.2 Functional roles of identified factors and possible molecular mechanisms involved in bone metastasis



Siclari et al.,	Adrenomedullin, AM	Widespread	Signalling	Adrenomedullin expression was positive in majority	Adrenomedullin, found in
2014 [29]		homeostatic	protein/hormone	of osteolytic breast cancer cell lines.	normal tissue is shown to play
		function including			a role in enhancing osteolytic
		vasodilation,		Nude mice inoculation of MDA-MB-231 cells with	metastasis when over-
		angiogenesis,		fivefold over-expression of adrenomedullin mRNA	expressed in breast cancer
		hormone		induced significantly increased osteolytic metastasis	cells. Its inhibition resulted in
		secretion and cell		and reduced survival when compared to control or	reduced osteoclast activity due
		proliferation		parental cells.	to RANKL blockade suggesting
					a potential therapeutic target
		Stimulates		RANKL, produced by osteoblasts for osteoclast	against bone metastasis.
		osteoblast		activation, was inhibited with addition of	
		proliferation		adrenomedullin antagonist to ex vivo breast cancer	
				cell-bone co-culture model.	