

1 **Title Page**

2 **Title: Utilising extracellular vesicles for early cancer diagnostics: benefits, challenges**
3 **and recommendations for the future.**

4

5 **Authors:** Ryan Charles Pink¹, Ellie-May Beaman¹, Priya Samuel¹, Susan Ann Brooks¹,
6 David Raul Francisco Carter¹

7 **Affiliations:** ¹ Department of Biological and Medical Sciences, Faculty of Health & Life
8 Sciences, Oxford Brookes University, Oxford. UK.

9 **Corresponding author:** rpink@brookes.ac.uk - <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-7501-558X>

10 **Acknowledgements:** none

11 **Authors' contributions:** All helped write and edit the manuscript

12 **Ethics approval and consent to participate:** N/A

13 **Consent for publication:** N/A

14 **Data availability:** N/A

15 **Competing interests:** RP, EB, SB and DC have shares in MetaGuideX Ltd. PS declares no
16 conflict of interest. DC has shares in Evox Therapeutics, working on Exosome Therapeutics
17 but not in the field of cancer.

18 **Funding information:** RP, PS and DC are supported by UKRI. All supported by Oxford
19 Brookes University.

20 **Additional information:**

- 21
 - Correspondence should be addressed to Ryan Pink, rpink@brookes.ac.uk.
 - Reprints and permissions information is available at www.nature.com/reprints.

23

24 **Abstract:**

25 To increase cancer patient survival and wellbeing, diagnostic assays need to be able to detect
26 cases earlier, be applied more frequently, and preferably before symptoms develop. The
27 expansion of blood biopsy technologies such as detection of circulating tumour cells and cell
28 free DNA has shown clinical promise for this. Extracellular vesicles released into the blood
29 from tumour cells may offer a snapshot of the whole of the tumour. They represent a stable
30 and multifaceted complex of a number of different types of molecules including DNA, RNA
31 and protein. These represent biomarker targets that can be collected and analysed from blood
32 samples, offering great potential for early diagnosis. In this review we discuss the benefits
33 and challenges of the use of extracellular vesicles in this context and provide
34 recommendations on where this developing field should focus their efforts to bring future
35 success.

36

37

38 **Utilising Extracellular Vesicles for early cancer diagnostics: benefits, challenges and**
39 **recommendations for the future.**

40

41 Early diagnosis is accepted to be one of the key strategies for improving patient outcomes
42 and is shown to be associated with longer survival in many types of cancer. For example, in
43 ovarian cancer, if disease is detected when it is confined locally (stage 1), five-year survival
44 is as high as 93% ¹, but this falls to 26.9% and 13.4% for stages 3 and 4, respectively ².
45 Similarly for lung cancer, five-year survival rates fall from 56.6% for patients diagnosed in
46 stage 1, to 12.6% and 2.9% for those diagnosed in stage 3 and 4 respectively ³. The
47 application of new and more sensitive approaches to early detection could be more important
48 for patient survival in some specific cancer types. In breast cancer, for example, there is
49 growing evidence that metastasis, generally considered a characteristic of late stage disease,
50 can actually occur at a very early stage in disease development, and micro-metastases may be
51 present in patients at the time of diagnosis which do not become clinically apparent until
52 much later ^{4,5}.

53 The World Health Organisation emphasises the importance of promoting early diagnosis in
54 reducing the need for invasive and expensive treatments, and lowering mortality and
55 morbidity associated with later-stage cancer ⁶. Moreover, the United Kingdom's (UK)
56 National Health Service announced in their January 2019 'Long Term Plan' their ambition to
57 increase the proportion of cancers diagnosed early - that is, at stages 1 or 2 - from around
58 50% to 75%, leading to an estimated 55,000 additional UK cancer patients surviving for five
59 years following their diagnosis ⁷. Part of this drive is built on earlier detection through greater
60 public education campaigns such as 'Be Clear on Cancer', but much is also focused on faster,
61 earlier, more accessible and personalised diagnostics, captured in the 2019/20 Rapid
62 Diagnostic Centres Vision, a programme for transformation in cancer diagnostic in UK
63 healthcare ⁸.

64 In order to achieve the ambition of a more rapid, sensitive and personalised approach to
65 diagnostics, there is a need for a significant step change in scientific technology. The recent
66 explosion in the understanding of the roles of extracellular vesicles (EVs) in normal
67 physiology and in disease processes, including cancer, in tandem with the genomic
68 technology revolution, provide great promise to fill this gap. This review provides a brief
69 introduction to the potential of EVs as early diagnostics in cancer, with a focus on the
70 sensitivity of cancer-specific EV detection in blood, and the technical challenges that need to
71 be overcome. We then propose recommendations for the implementation of EV detection in
72 blood-based assays for early detection of cancer.

73

74 **An introduction to extracellular vesicles**

75 Extracellular vesicles is a collective term for membrane-bound vesicles that are synthesised
76 and released from cells in a range of sizes. The most widely discussed EVs are commonly
77 known as exosomes (30-150nm). They are assembled and released from the multi-vesicular
78 endosomal system. Microvesicles (MVs) are slightly larger (100-1000nm) and arise as they
79 are pinched off from the plasma membrane. Apoptotic bodies are much larger in size (50-
80 5000nm) and are released by dying cells. A range of cancer associated EV types have been
81 described, including large oncosomes ^{9,10} estimated between >1000 nm to >10,000 nm and

82 exomeres, a much smaller non-membranous nanoparticle at an estimated 35nm¹¹. This is
83 important in diagnostics due to differences in scientific approaches required to extract and
84 analyse various vesicles¹². An updated position statement by the International Society of
85 Extracellular Vesicles (ISEV) was published in 2018¹³ and discusses some of these
86 challenges.

87 EVs carry cargo of DNA, RNA, proteins, lipids metabolites, and even fragments of
88 organelles, and represent a cellular communication system that is highly conserved through
89 evolution, from prokaryotes to humans. They play a myriad of functional roles in normal
90 development and physiological processes through cell-cell communication via delivery of
91 their cargo to recipient cells, reviewed by Yáñez-Mó *et al*¹⁴, including increasing evidence of
92 their functioning in disease processes, reviewed by Becker *et al*¹⁵. There is currently much
93 interest in the detection of EVs and their cargo as biomarkers of cancer, including their
94 potential for developing new approaches to early detection. Great advances in molecular
95 imaging and ‘omics’ technologies over the past decade has allowed the detailed profiling of
96 EVs. Their presence has been reported in all body fluids tested, including in blood¹⁶. EVs in
97 the blood have been shown to represent a proxy for the tissues that they are released from,
98 which is reflected in their cargo, giving insight into the donor tissues characteristics,
99 including progression to malignancy^{17,18}, which are paralleled by changes in EV quantity or
100 contents¹⁹. Hurwitz *et al* have shown that the proteomics of a common set of cancer cell lines
101 covering 60 of the many cancer types from the United States National Cancer Institute shows
102 that EVs have similar content to their donor cells, supporting the case for their use in blood
103 diagnostics²⁰.

104

105 **The benefits of extracellular vesicles over current blood biopsy diagnostic methods.**

106 The potential to detect disease biomarkers in blood has the appeal that the sample is truly
107 systemic, containing elements derived from, and pathologically reflective of, all bodily
108 tissues. Moreover, blood sampling is cost effective, minimally invasive, technically simple,
109 and can be performed repeatedly across the patients care pathway, allowing real time tracking
110 of the changes in the tumour and supporting clinical decisions on the most advantageous
111 therapy plans. The blood EVs are reasonably well preserved frozen before analysis making
112 clinical management, sample storage and biobanking easier for diagnosis and long-term
113 research projects.

114 In recent years, much emphasis has been placed on detection of circulating tumour cells
115 (CTCs) and cell free DNA (cfDNA) derived from cancer cells, but their detection and
116 analysis from blood can be problematic, as recently reviewed by Salvianti *et al*²¹. For
117 example, CTCs occur at very low frequency in blood and degrade within a few hours making
118 their detection problematic in clinical practice, and raising technical challenges around
119 transport and storage for later analytics²². In comparison, EVs offer the advantages of longer-
120 term stability^{23,24} and storage. Although the biomarker concentration per EV is very low
121 compared to per CTC, EVs are present in very high numbers in the blood, reported to be from
122 around 10⁹, to 10¹² particles per milliliter^{25,26}. This count variation between individuals is
123 dependent on a number of pre-analytical variables and technologies used for counting¹². It is
124 still suggested that the number of EVs in the blood greatly exceed that of CTCs, raising the
125 possibility that EVs could provide a more sensitive assay system, for early diagnosis such as
126 the single EV level technologies mentioned later. For example, Nanou *et al* report that EVs
127 derived from epithelial cells specifically (EpCAM and CK positive) were present in numbers
128 of at least an order of magnitude greater than CTCs in the blood of patients with breast, lung

129 and colorectal cancer²⁷. There are concerns with the low numbers of CTCs for detecting
130 relapse. In a two year follow up of 1087 breast cancer patients using a common CTC clinical
131 assay testing the 101 patients with relapse, only 36 were positive CTC status²⁸. Though, the
132 benefit is not exclusive to the analysis of EVs on their own, a study on the diagnosis of
133 pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma showed the addition of glypican-1 positive blood EVs to
134 CTC detection in patients increased positive diagnosis from 32% to 64%²⁹.

135 Similar limitations apply to the detection of cfDNA which occurs at very low abundance and
136 is subject to fragmentation, making detection and analysis difficult³⁰. EVs are known to be
137 abundant in RNA, thought to be protected from the harsh environment of the blood by the EV
138 membrane. Although very different analytes, the RNA contents of EVs alongside cfDNA
139 diagnostics in lung cancer. One research group shows that the addition of EV RNA to cfDNA
140 increased detection of copies of the *EGFR* activating mutations by as much as tenfold³¹. An
141 advantage of most EVs is that they are released from living cells, therefore reflecting the live
142 dynamics of the developing, including early stage, where cfDNA tends to be derived from
143 dead cells. Research has shown that cfDNA used in tandem with small EVs could increase
144 the sensitivity of cancer liquid biopsies in advanced cancers and over time correlate better
145 with treatment outcome than cfDNA biomarkers alone³². In a study of serial blood samples
146 taken from 34 pancreatic cancer patients it was shown that *KRAS* mutations in the EV DNA
147 increased with disease progression; this was not seen in cfDNA measurements³³. There may
148 be specific cases where EVs have a benefit over cfDNA. In a study assessing relapse
149 detection for early stage breast cancer, they showed that metastatic relapse was detected in
150 96% of the patents when the relapse was outside the brain, but for only 17% of those with
151 brain-only metastasis³⁴, exosomes have been shown to traverse the blood brain barrier in
152 brain metastasis from breast cancer *in-vivo*³⁵ offering the potential for the systemic testing of
153 brain tumours out of reach for cfDNA and CTCs. That said there is advantage in adding EV
154 data to the CTC and cfDNA data, that can be collected from the same blood sample.

155

156 **The potential of EVs as early detection biomarkers**

157 Much of the current research focus in EVs as early cancer diagnostics has been on increasing
158 the sensitivity of the analysis - both in terms of the ability to identify a greater proportion of
159 true positive samples, and also of being able to analytically detect the EV biomarker at very
160 low concentrations in the sample. The unique structure of EVs and the diversity of cargo that
161 they carry provide features that technically facilitate both. Below are some examples of
162 common biomarker analyte types that have shown potential for early diagnosis using EVs.

163 The profiling of RNA in EVs was a key turning point in revealing not just the mechanisms by
164 which EVs mediate cellular communication, but also in determining that EVs could provide a
165 diagnostic tool for cancer^{19,36,37}. An early paper on the identification of an EV *EGFR* mRNA
166 mutation as a diagnostic in the serum of glioblastoma patients, this team later led to the first
167 commercial EV diagnostic to reach the healthcare market with a focus on prostate cancer³⁸.
168 This is not just for coding genes, as one group find a collection of 6 long-non-coding RNAs
169 can differentiate between healthy and 15 stage I/II colorectal patient plasma EV samples³⁹.
170 More recently this has also been seen in other types of RNA like circular RNA⁴⁰. EVs
171 containing circRNA-SORE has been implicated with drug resistance in hepatocellular
172 carcinoma, and at high levels correlate with poor patient survival. This is being investigated
173 as its directly linked *in-vitro* with YBX1, a protein seen at significantly lower levels in early
174 stage cancer⁴¹.

175 MicroRNAs are a key focus in EV diagnostics. Jin *et al* demonstrated how a four-microRNA
176 signature of let-7b-5p, let-7e-5p, miR-23a3p, and miR-486-5p in plasma EVs showed 80.25%
177 sensitivity and 92.31% specificity in distinguishing early-stage non-small cell lung cancer
178 (NSCLC) patients from healthy individuals. Moreover, other microRNA signatures could
179 distinguish adenocarcinoma and squamous cell carcinoma among the identified NSCLC
180 patients⁴². EV cargo has also been suggested to predict treatment response early. For
181 example, in addition to being able to distinguish patients from controls, upregulation of the
182 microRNA 17/92 cluster in plasma EVs has been associated with patient response to adjuvant
183 chemotherapy in rectal cancer and its post treatment prognosis⁴³. EV RNA cargo molecules
184 make ideal biomarkers for early cancer detection, both because they reflect live dynamic
185 changes in the cells that release them due to the relatively short half-life of RNA, but also
186 because they can be detected using inexpensive, reliable and widely available technologies
187 such as qPCR and digital PCR. This also means that the faint signal can be amplified millions
188 of times that found in the original sample, realising very high sensitivity⁴⁴. In addition to the
189 ability to greatly amplify signal using standardised biotechnology tools, there is evidence that
190 the enclosing EVs membranes offer signal stability for RNA. Cheng *et al* show that EVs
191 provide a protective barrier for microRNAs, preserving the signal against systemic RNase²³.
192 There is also a growing interest in the diagnostic promise of extracellular RNA naturally
193 protected by protein complexes like Argonaute-2, independent from EVs⁴⁵, as reviewed by
194 Li *et al*⁴⁶.

195 DNA is also an important nucleic biomarker in this field. Balaj *et al* were the first to show
196 that tumour cells release micro vesicles containing DNA. They found DNA encoding the *c-*
197 *Myc* oncogene and retro retrotransposons in EVs released from both cultured
198 medulloblastoma cells and in the serum of tumour-bearing mice⁴⁷. In 2014, the use of EV-
199 derived DNA in cancer diagnosis was expanded by a number of other groups. Lázaro-Ibáñez
200 *et al* confirmed the presence of genomic DNA fragments in the EVs in the circulation of
201 prostate cancer patients plasma and those EVs released by cell lines, and that DNA mutations
202 could be seen of common cancer genes like *MLH1*, *PTEN* and *TP53* in the EVs of the cell
203 lines⁴⁸. Kahlert *et al* demonstrated that EVs derived from pancreatic cancer cell lines and the
204 serum of patients with ductal pancreatic adenocarcinoma both contained double-stranded
205 DNA carrying *KRAS* and *p53* mutations and importantly that DNA derived from all
206 chromosomes was detectable⁴⁹. Lee *et al* also show EVs containing double stranded DNA
207 across the genome from brain tumour cells, that are taken up by recipient cells⁵⁰. Thakur *et al*
208 also reported EV-derived double stranded DNA representative of all chromosomes, while
209 demonstrating *BRAF* mutations in EV-derived DNA from several melanoma cell lines and
210 from serum of mice implanted with melanoma cells, as well as epidermal growth factor
211 receptor (*EGFR*) mutations in EV-derived DNA from NSCLC cells. Interestingly, they show
212 the methylation level of the EV-derived DNA was shown to be similar to that of the original
213 genomic DNA⁵¹. These studies suggest that EV-derived DNA could potentially reflect the
214 status of cells across the entire tumour, across the genome, allows analysis of specific cancer-
215 associated mutations. Moreover, importantly, they demonstrate the potential for combined
216 analysis of methylation, and this was also reported in a recent study using gastric fluid EVs
217 for early detection of gastric cancer⁵². They raise the possibility that not only can commonly
218 employed, inexpensive and robust nucleic acid technologies be used to massively amplify
219 signal in blood/body fluid biopsies for more sensitive sampling, but that such samples can be
220 multiplexed to offer a much more complex analysis than was previously appreciated.

221 Although it does not have the same simple amplification benefits of nucleotide technologies,
222 EV protein-based biomarkers can be associated with stage, treatment response and prognosis
223 of cancers. One example is Fibronectin on the surface of EVs from breast cancer patient

224 plasma, has been shown to be elevated compared to healthy individuals at all stages,
225 including the early stages⁵³. Modified proteins have also shown promise. For example, the
226 presence of the proteoglycan glypican-1 in EVs derived from serum has been shown to
227 distinguish early from late-stage pancreatic cancer patients⁵⁴. Niu *et al* show that serum EV
228 levels of alpha-2-HS-glycoprotein, extracellular matrix protein 1 and carcinoembryonic
229 antigen showed an AUC of 0.911 for 35 early non-small cell lung cancer patients versus 46
230 healthy individuals⁵⁵.

231 Some of the main challenges for early detection are to identify patients at a premalignant or
232 latent stage in disease development, and to identify patients with apparently localised early
233 disease. In the case of potentially screening blood and serum for a biomarker associated with
234 early cancer, identification of the tissue origin of the disease signal would be hugely
235 beneficial. There are indications that EVs may have the potential to address all of these
236 issues. As an example, Mathivanan *et al*⁵⁶ built on early work by Mallegol *et al*⁵⁷ and
237 showed that EVs released from intestinal epithelial cells exhibit markers that identify their
238 cell of origin, including A33, a molecule that is restricted to intestinal epithelium. They
239 demonstrated that it was possible to employ immunoaffinity capture to isolate and enrich EVs
240 expressing A33. Then, in a comparative analysis, in addition to identifying a range of
241 markers that distinguished EVs released by the colon cancer cells by carcinoembryonic
242 antigen (CEA), they were also able to establish a subset of markers common to all EVs
243 derived from epithelial origin. These include epithelial cell surface antigen (EpCAM) and
244 keratin18, which are now commonly used to enrich EVs of epithelial origin from blood
245 samples where they are present in only small quantities, thus providing powerful signal
246 amplification by EV enrichment. It has been reported that a general increase in the number of
247 epithelial-derived EVs in the blood is indicative of the presence of tumour⁵⁸. A novel
248 example of this approach is EV enrichment based on binding and extracting the EVs using
249 the protein LIM1215, suggested to enrich for colon cancer-derived EVs⁵⁶. This approach of
250 enrichment of EVs from a complex blood sample where they may be a minority presence,
251 potentially using a panel of tissue-specific markers for enrichment, facilitates very focussed
252 analysis of the signal that would otherwise be overwhelmed by more dominant and
253 heterogeneous competition.

254

255 **The challenges of using EVs for early cancer diagnostics**

256 Despite the great potential of blood borne EVs for development of early diagnostics in
257 cancer, there remain significant challenges. The first is related to their small size. The most
258 commonly researched EVs, ‘exosomes’ at 30-150nm, are approximately the same size as a
259 virus. This in itself poses issues for their collection, purification, quantification and handling.
260 Moreover, there are obvious barriers to the collection of sufficient material for robust
261 analysis, even prior to the issues discussed previously around enriching samples to achieve
262 workable thresholds of sensitivity and specificity required for early detection. The challenges
263 of working with EVs are well articulated in the literature, as exemplified by the Minimal
264 Information for Studies of Extracellular Vesicles (MISEV) 2018¹³, a position statement of
265 the International Society for Extracellular Vesicles, which includes recommended protocols
266 for their handling and analysis. The small sampling blood volumes that can be collected then
267 require an increase in assay sensitivity to identify the EV analytes, which would exaggerate
268 these issues. These and other preanalytical variables have led to the formation of the
269 International Society for Extracellular Vesicles Rigor and Standardization Subcommittee to
270 provide guidance to the community on these issues⁵⁹.

271 It is known that dietary lipoproteins ⁶⁰, exercise ⁶¹, and pathologies other than cancer can also
272 increase the level and change the content of EVs in the blood ⁶². Circulating platelets can also
273 significantly contribute to the EV landscape in blood, depending on their activation state ^{63,64},
274 and they can release EVs if activated during sample processing. To reduce platelet
275 contamination during the latter, there is a need for more understanding, and standardisation of
276 sampling, including considerations of venepuncture methodology, centrifugation steps,
277 freezing and storage conditions, which is progressing in the field ^{65,66}, and potentially a
278 preference for the use of plasma over serum ⁶⁷. A further technical issue, which is especially
279 critical when considering samples with very low signal intensity at early diagnosis, is the
280 optimum medium for sample preparation and storage, such that biomarker integrity is
281 retained prior to analysis. There is evidence that EVs in plasma are stable for up to 10 days
282 when stored at 4°C, and for up to 90 days when stored at -80°C ⁶⁸. The importance of
283 appropriate buffer formulation for storing EVs long term whilst retaining function, and the
284 perceived potential market for EV research and applications, is exemplified by the filing of a
285 2019 patent on buffer composition for EV storage ⁶⁹, but little is found in the current
286 scientific literature. Furthermore, issues around appropriate long-term storage of samples for
287 EV isolation and analysis are relevant to the wider infrastructure for research into biomarker
288 discovery. For example, optimum storage of blood or serum samples in blood banks with EV
289 applications in mind would open a significant resource to this field. Even allowing for this,
290 the majority of blood samples collected by biobanks are currently from late, rather than early
291 stage cancer patients and the search for novel early diagnostics may require thought given to
292 the collection of blood from apparently healthy or asymptomatic individuals with subsequent
293 follow up. An example of this is the UK Biobank initiative to store bloods from apparently
294 healthy but aging individuals that are then followed over time to monitor subsequent
295 emergence of clinically detectable disease ⁷⁰.

296 By definition, the goal of development of an early diagnostic test is to detect a biomarker
297 produced by a very small number of cells and its quantification at a minimum signal
298 threshold in comparison to a normal baseline. In many cases, any significant downstream
299 profiling analysis, such as proteomics or sequencing, will require significant amounts of
300 sample. The issue of the small size of EVs is that their individual cargo is sparse. For
301 example, Chevillet *et al* demonstrated that there is less than one molecule of any given
302 microRNA per EV ⁷¹. However, in mitigation, and as discussed previously, studies suggest
303 that total EVs are highly abundant in blood. A systematic review collated 59 estimates of
304 blood EV concentrations for healthy individuals over a range of extraction techniques and
305 quantification techniques show a common value of around 10¹⁰ EVs per milliliter ⁷², the
306 miRNA would only need to be present at 1 every 10,000,000 EVs in order for it to be
307 detectable using qPCR ⁷³. It is important to mention that quantification of EVs is an
308 important factor in diagnostics and often an issue of much debate around the potential clinical
309 application of EVs. The limitations and specifications of quantification tools and technologies
310 often focus on a specific EV characteristic. It can be challenging to be certain that the correct
311 particles are being counted in a hugely diverse population of EVs, with contaminants seen in
312 biological samples often adding to the quantification, as discussed by Rupert *et al* ⁷⁴. Maas *et al*
313 reported technical issues and differences in absolute EV number when analysed by three
314 commonly used technologies, nanoparticle tracking analysis (NTA), tunable resistive pulse
315 sensing (tRPS) and high-resolution flow cytometry (hFC) ⁷⁵. An early study by Rabinowits *et al*
316 focused on EV number in early and late-stage lung cancer, but partly circumvented the
317 issue by measuring the concentration of total protein and microRNA as a proxy for EV
318 concentration ¹⁹. This can be a controversial approach as challenges of clean EV extraction
319 are problematic with contaminants changing total protein or RNA and therefore skewing the

320 counts. Even now, a decade later than this study, this approach of total EV counts would be
321 considered technically challenging, requiring stringent sample preparation, with a reference
322 control and beyond what could be regularly achievable in a routine clinical situation.
323 Currently, there is interest in technical developments in EV quantification methods, and
324 approaches are constantly improving, but there is still no single accepted technology to
325 quantify EVs, and most studies use a combination of methods, or rely on sample comparisons
326 depending on the circumstances¹³.

327 In seeking to quantify changes in EV number or composition related to disease development,
328 there are a number of pre-analytical factors that need to be overcome in order to provide a
329 'normal reference range', especially with the level of sensitivity that is required. These are
330 well reviewed more generally for EV detection and profiling from blood⁶⁶, but it is worth
331 focusing on some of the key elements required for increased sensitivity. The development of
332 reference materials (both certified reference, quality control and calibrant materials⁷⁶) are
333 important for in-house assay design and calibrating machines across clinics. Natural reference
334 materials for EV studies have been reviewed and the use of nanoerythrocytes (EVs released
335 from erythrocytes) have been proposed, due to their similar refractive index to general EVs
336 for flow cytometry analysis, larger diameter, surface CD235a to manipulate and the same
337 lipids for labelling⁷⁷. Hendrix and her group showed how engineered recombinant EVs can
338 be used as a stable biological reference material, giving a more uniform but similar
339 biochemical and biophysical characteristics in comparison to EVs extracted from biological
340 samples. These recombinant EVs contain gag-EGFP fusion protein and EGFP mRNA and
341 can therefore be added to samples, potentially providing a qualitative control⁷⁸. Therefore,
342 reference materials, quality controls and internal comparisons are important and widely
343 discussed⁷⁹. This is especially pertinent because, as described previously, one advantage of
344 EVs over other blood-borne biomarkers such as CTCs is the potential for storage and later
345 analysis. This is a critical issue going forward, as even when the quantification problems are
346 solved, storage of blood samples across widespread clinical practice means that strict quality
347 control is necessary because biomarker levels are likely to be at the lower end of the detection
348 range⁸⁰.

349 The limited sample size can also limit the application of downstream technologies. A good
350 strategy may be to use molecular tools based on amplification techniques, such as qPCR.
351 Modern sequencing libraries only require between 100-1000 transcripts for a reliable signal,
352 with some suggestion that qPCR could need as little as 16 molecules⁷³. However, many of
353 these technologies are semi-quantitative, which also reinforces the need for reliable reference
354 samples. Digital PCR may help in this, as it allows absolute quantification of copy number,
355 but to achieve meaningful quantification it will still require a validated housekeeping gene,
356 and this is a challenge given the heterogeneity of EV composition.

357 Therefore, much of the focus for exosome diagnostics is on qualitative analysis of contents of
358 the EVs, rather than their quantification. For clinically relevant biomarkers, the signal should
359 be unique to the pathology and reliable within the chosen sample type. With any blood
360 sample, there will be billions of EVs per ml, but it is unclear what proportion of these EVs
361 are derived from a tumour (particularly for an early-stage tumour), and the ability to detect
362 the signal will depend on the sensitivity of the assay employed to detect it. A recent
363 computational EV kinetics model suggests that the current available bulk EV detection
364 methods are around 10⁴-fold too insensitive for the detection of a tumour sized 1 cm³, but this
365 detection is within reach of emerging single EV methods⁸¹, as shown in the new section this
366 is now reachable. There are many confounding factors that affect the signal to noise ratio
367 when detecting the biomarker⁵⁹. All cells release EVs, making them highly heterogeneous in

368 the blood. Evidence suggests each EV is probably unique, representing the molecular
369 landscape of its parental cell. Many groups have reported EVs with different composition
370 derived from the same cell type, and this heterogeneity may be exacerbated by slight changes
371 in methods and size selection⁸², as there is a suggestion that the internal protein cargo
372 changes composition depending on the sampling and analysis methods⁸³.

373

374 **Novel extracellular vesicle technologies and methods for early cancer diagnosis**

375 There are several technologies that are rising to meet the challenges around sensitivity and
376 background noise. This does not include highly sensitive research equipment for single-
377 vesicle analysis, such as microscopy, that has challenges for clinical translation⁸⁴. Many of
378 these technologies either focus on nucleotide analysis by droplet digital PCR, as previously
379 mentioned, or using the previous knowledge that common EV surface proteins, such as CD63
380 or CD81, or markers specific to target cells, such as EpCam on epithelial cells, to enrich the
381 sample from the noise of the patient sample and then apply novel areas of engineering or
382 analytical precision to show co-localised biomarkers. There is potential that this type of
383 approach can become more sophisticated, once organ-specific markers have been reliably
384 identified. Once the EVs have been enriched in the sample, the challenge of their small size
385 and paucity of material remains, so a number of technologies are being developed to amplify
386 their signal. Sina *et al* isolated breast cancer- derived EVs using HER2 on their surface, and
387 then using surface plasmon resonance to detect as few as 2.07×10^3 to 3.3×10^4 EVs per
388 microlitre⁸⁵. Rojalin *et al* use a hybrid of cysteamine treatment on a metal surface to attract
389 the EVs to surface-enhanced Raman scattering (SERS) as a rapid and inexpensive way to
390 assay ovarian cancer EVs⁸⁶. Microfluidics is another approach that can be used to both
391 collect and enrich specific EVs from a range of fluids, and then focus them towards an
392 analytic technology, a growing area reviewed by Lu *et al*⁸⁷. Reátegui *et al* used a sensitive
393 microfluidic platform with a detection limit of 100 EVs per microlitre to detect glioblastoma-
394 derived EVs from patient blood samples⁸⁸. Another team showed they could isolate prostate
395 cancer-related EVs from 2ml of plasma taken from cancer patients by using antibodies
396 directed against prostate specific antigen (PSA) on magnetic beads⁸⁹. More recently, this
397 sensitivity has been increased using sensitive Single Molecule Array (SIMOA) ELISA, for
398 detection of EpCAM-positive EVs along with surface PD-L1, a clinically interesting cancer
399 marker of immune system evasion, from as little as 250 μ l of plasma⁵⁸. There are now a
400 range of single EV particle analysis detection methods available commercially that will be
401 potentially vying for clinical access, including Nanoview's single-particle interferometric
402 reflectance imaging sensing (SP-IRIS)⁹⁰, Particle-Matrix's nanoparticle tracking analysis
403 (NTA)²⁵, Nanoparticle Analyser's microfluidic resistive pulse sensing (MRPS)⁹¹ and
404 NanoFCM's Nanoflow Cytometry Measurement (NFCM)⁹². A recent paper compares and
405 discusses these platforms and shows that all have different advantages and limitations
406 dependent on what is required of the analysis⁹³.

407

408 **Recommendations for the development of EV early diagnostics in cancer**

409 As discussed throughout this review, there is much progress to be made before the potential
410 of measuring EVs in blood biopsies to diagnose cancer at an earlier stage can be realised. In
411 summary, the key areas that need to be addressed are:

412 1. **Overcome the challenges of low levels of material** - Early detection of cancer-
413 related biomarkers from EVs released into the bloodstream will rely on the
414 technology providing resolution at a low level of signal. This is exacerbated by the
415 paucity of EV cargo in a challenging background of competing signals. Hence, it is
416 key that EV extraction, enrichment and detection are all refined. There are an
417 increasing number of studies scrutinising different EV extraction methods ^{12,94}, but the
418 focus of these is often on gaining the purest samples for scientific exploration. There
419 would be benefit in a clearer focus on how to translate such findings to a clinical
420 setting that has different specifications and requirements. Much work on EV isolation
421 has focused on smaller vesicles while larger EVs are often discarded during
422 extraction, yet these could provide useful clinical biomarkers, and with their larger
423 size have the capacity to carry more signal and be easier to manipulate. As an
424 example, Vagner *et al* show that despite smaller EVs being greater in number than
425 larger EVs in the plasma of prostate cancer patients, the larger EVs contained far
426 more DNA with aberrations in common cancer-related genes ⁹⁵. A better
427 understanding of the EV enrichment process would help drive the tuning for clinical
428 applications. We recommend more work to be done on the selective enrichment or
429 detection of EVs using tissue or organ-specific cargo that could enrich the signal. The
430 EV field could learn from other ‘-omic’ technologies, such as single-cell sequencing,
431 that with large investment have effectively dealt with extraction, enrichment and
432 signal amplification to gain reliable signals that bring new insights. Ultimately, the
433 issue of low levels of EV cargo is purely a technical one, which requires advances in
434 EV methodology. Standards or reference materials, mentioned earlier will help drive
435 this technological tuning. Indeed, the potential commercial gains have led several
436 companies to develop technologies with increased sensitivity and specificity
437 alongside a strategy to address the clinical market. Even with these improving
438 platforms, there are numerous hurdles before new technology can enter the complex
439 clinical healthcare landscape, but overcoming these initial barriers is critical for
440 realising the potential of EVs in early cancer diagnostics.

441

442 2. **Address and risk-assess the pre-analytical variables and heterogeneity in EVs-**
443 Changes in EV composition can be seen in several physiological states, including
444 during pregnancy ⁹⁶, exercise ⁶¹ and during changes to diet ⁶⁰. Blood EVs are affected
445 by methods of collection, processing, extraction and storage ¹³. Taken together, it is
446 clear that there is still a great deal more work that needs to be undertaken to
447 understand these potential variables and to apply the knowledge to EV extraction and
448 analysis in routine clinical practice. One area that EV clinical science would benefit
449 hugely from, would be to understand any influences that biobanking methods may
450 have on the EVs. Biobanks are an EV biomarker ‘goldmine’ for the study of many
451 diseases, including cancer, and if we understood the confounding factors that
452 biobanking methods may have on samples, we may be able to compensate for them in
453 the subsequent analysis. This requires better standardisation in the methods of EV
454 extraction, handling, storage and downstream analysis, such as that exemplified by the
455 International Society of Extracellular Vesicles task forces, comprising teams of
456 scientists that are generating frameworks and guidelines ¹². This needs to be expanded
457 to include broader dialogue from clinical teams, especially those in oncology,
458 pathology, analytics and nursing.

459

460 3. **Profile changes in EV cargo through all stages of cancer development** - There is
461 great heterogeneity in analytical profiles between individual cells within a tumour
462 mass, which will be reflected in the EVs that these individual cancer cells release, and
463 importantly this will also change over disease progression from early to late stage
464 disease, especially in the different characteristic transitions seen over different tumour
465 types. There is therefore a need for approaches to profile and identify EV cargo
466 molecules that are reflective of the stages in cancer development, from early
467 premalignant or latent disease, early detection of primary cancer, through to detection
468 of metastases. This is a particularly pertinent issue since there is evidence that EVs
469 themselves can participate in tumour progression by preparing the tumour
470 microenvironment¹⁸. Inherent EV heterogeneity may require the use of combined
471 panels of different molecule types to be used in diagnosis and prognostication to
472 differentiate different stages of disease progression. There are several sensitive and
473 advanced technologies for 3D and spatial genomics that could help identify, extract
474 and characterise specific EVs in tumour microenvironments, exemplified in The
475 Human Cell Atlas⁹⁷ linked to microscopic guided cell and tissue extraction. These
476 could potentially also support the profiling of EVs at different stages and roles in
477 cancer development. Although they are often expensive and analytically very
478 demanding, these types of approaches are likely to become more widely used over
479 time. Biopsy profiling in patients and *in-vivo* models to identify specific EV subsets
480 related to stages of tumour development could facilitate earlier diagnosis, and
481 determination of prognosis, before clinically detectable disease is apparent using
482 current imaging technologies. We therefore recommend the continued acquisition of
483 EV profiling data from increasing numbers of patients at different stages of disease.

484

485 4. **Increase access to pre-symptomatic clinical samples** - Obtaining clinical samples
486 from patients at an early stage in their disease is a challenging task, especially if the
487 goal for early detection is to identify pre-symptomatic patients. This is particularly
488 true of cancers like ovarian cancer that often remain undiagnosed until at an advanced
489 stage. Thus, there needs to be a strategy of working with clinicians on the collection
490 of early-stage samples, and provision of access to samples from those at highest risk
491 of developing cancer because of advancing age or familial history. This could be
492 extended to screening programmes and wider clinical trials and studies. Projects like
493 the UK Biobank⁷⁰ provide a hugely valuable resource for potential EV profiling of
494 blood taken from 500,000 ‘healthy’ participants with detailed follow up, many of
495 whom have since developed cancer. These samples are precious, so a clear and robust
496 approach to their analysis needs to be established before they are utilised, but would
497 bring huge benefits in mapping cancer progression.

498

499 5. **Launch an extracellular vesicle ‘moonshot’** - There needs to be a wide-scale and
500 ambitious project with a cross-disciplinary focus that brings insights and expertise
501 from disciplines including engineering, physics, chemistry, and materials science. The
502 importance of this is demonstrated by the integrated extraction microfluidics and
503 sensitive clinical testing technologies that have already seen some pre-market success
504⁸⁸. Such an effort could include smaller academic groups, and be coordinated by one
505 or more centres of excellence. The success of projects such as the 100,000 Genome
506 Project⁹⁸ and The Cancer Genome Project⁹⁹ have revolutionised how we stratify
507 treatments for individual patients. In these instances for general cancer research, the

508 move from analysis of small sample groups to an ambitious population level genomic,
509 proteomic, epigenomic, and lipidomic approach, with greater bioinformatic power and
510 whole genome level information, has overcome some of the heterogeneity issues seen
511 between individual tumour samples and provided the raw data for thousands of
512 researchers. As far as EV research is concerned, one approach might be to combine
513 with projects like these, adding the EV analytics to the blood already taken to
514 compare with clinical data and adding an additional dimension to the existing data.
515 This is also true of aligning data with cfDNA and CTC tools. The stakeholders
516 previously mentioned along with health economists, healthcare technology providers
517 and regulators supported by government, industry and charities need to guide current
518 research so that either novel single-molecule platforms can develop faster for the
519 clinical market, or academic assay developers integrate healthcare needs into their
520 designs for better bench-to-bedside translation.

521

522 **Conclusion**

523 There are some true benefits for the potential role of EVs in the early diagnostics of cancer,
524 but this comes with its challenges as EV science is still in its early stages. The development
525 of more reliable EV extraction methods and analytical platforms, the potential adaptation of
526 other genomic technologies and understanding, the clinical shift to healthcare becoming more
527 personalised, and the promise of early clinical studies has led to a real interest in this space.
528 Much of this science is ready for clinical translation, and with the right stakeholders and
529 support, this could potentially revolutionise early cancer diagnostics. Characteristics of EVs
530 such as their stability and their mirroring of their parental cell in terms of composition, plus
531 the technical capability to extract low levels of signal from background noise, makes them an
532 intriguing proposition for use in blood biopsies. They therefore have the potential to shift
533 healthcare from the present reactive state to a more proactive system.

534

535 **References**

- 536 1. Cancer Research UK. Cancer Research UK Ovarian cancer survival statistics [Internet].
537 2015 [cited 2021 May 24]. Available from: [https://www.cancerresearchuk.org/health-](https://www.cancerresearchuk.org/health-professional/cancer-statistics/statistics-by-cancer-type/ovarian-cancer/survival)
538 [professional/cancer-statistics/statistics-by-cancer-type/ovarian-cancer/survival](https://www.cancerresearchuk.org/health-professional/cancer-statistics/statistics-by-cancer-type/ovarian-cancer/survival)
- 539 2. Torre LA, Trabert B, DeSantis CE, Miller KD, Samimi G, Runowicz CD, et al. Ovarian
540 cancer statistics, 2018. *CA Cancer J Clin.* 2018 Jul;68(4):284–96.
- 541 3. Cancer Research UK. Cancer Research UK Lung cancer survival statistics [Internet].
542 2015 [cited 2021 May 24]. Available from: [https://www.cancerresearchuk.org/health-](https://www.cancerresearchuk.org/health-professional/cancer-statistics/statistics-by-cancer-type/lung-cancer/survival)
543 [professional/cancer-statistics/statistics-by-cancer-type/lung-cancer/survival](https://www.cancerresearchuk.org/health-professional/cancer-statistics/statistics-by-cancer-type/lung-cancer/survival)
- 544 4. Hüsemann Y, Geigl JB, Schubert F, Musiani P, Meyer M, Burghart E, et al. Systemic
545 spread is an early step in breast cancer. *Cancer Cell.* 2008 Jan;13(1):58–68.
- 546 5. Attiyeh FF, Jensen M, Huvos AG, Fracchia A. Axillary micrometastasis and
547 macrometastasis in carcinoma of the breast. *Surg Gynecol Obstet.* 1977 Jun;144(6):839–
548 42.
- 549 6. World Health Organisation. World Heath Organisation Cancer Health Topics [Internet].

- 550 [cited 2021 May 24]. Available from: <https://www.who.int/health-topics/cancer>
- 551 7. NHS. NHS Long Term Plan for Cancer [Internet]. [cited 2021 May 24]. Available from:
552 <https://www.longtermplan.nhs.uk/areas-of-work/cancer/>
- 553 8. England NHS. NHS Rapid Diagnostics Centres Vision and Implementation
554 Specification [Internet]. [cited 2021 May 24]. Available from:
555 [https://www.england.nhs.uk/publication/rapid-diagnostic-centres-vision-and-2019-20-
556 implementation-specification/](https://www.england.nhs.uk/publication/rapid-diagnostic-centres-vision-and-2019-20-implementation-specification/)
- 557 9. Al-Nedawi K, Meehan B, Micallef J, Lhotak V, May L, Guha A, et al. Intercellular
558 transfer of the oncogenic receptor EGFRvIII by microvesicles derived from tumour
559 cells. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2008 May;10(5):619–24.
- 560 10. Di Vizio D, Kim J, Hager MH, Morello M, Yang W, Lafargue CJ, et al. Oncosome
561 formation in prostate cancer: association with a region of frequent chromosomal deletion
562 in metastatic disease. *Cancer Res.* 2009 Jul 1;69(13):5601–9.
- 563 11. Zhang H, Freitas D, Kim HS, Fabijanic K, Li Z, Chen H, et al. Identification of distinct
564 nanoparticles and subsets of extracellular vesicles by asymmetric flow field-flow
565 fractionation. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2018 Mar;20(3):332–43.
- 566 12. Witwer KW, Buzás EI, Bemis LT, Bora A, Lässer C, Lötvall J, et al. Standardization of
567 sample collection, isolation and analysis methods in extracellular vesicle research. *J*
568 *Extracell Vesicles* [Internet]. 2013 May 27;2. Available from:
569 <http://dx.doi.org/10.3402/jev.v2i0.20360>
- 570 13. Théry C, Witwer KW, Aikawa E, Alcaraz MJ, Anderson JD, Andriantsitohaina R, et al.
571 Minimal information for studies of extracellular vesicles 2018 (MISEV2018): a position
572 statement of the International Society for Extracellular Vesicles and update of the
573 MISEV2014 guidelines. *J Extracell Vesicles.* 2018 Nov 23;7(1):1535750.
- 574 14. Yáñez-Mó M, Siljander PR-M, Andreu Z, Zavec AB, Borràs FE, Buzas EI, et al.
575 Biological properties of extracellular vesicles and their physiological functions. *J*
576 *Extracell Vesicles.* 2015 May 14;4:27066.
- 577 15. Becker A, Thakur BK, Weiss JM, Kim HS, Peinado H, Lyden D. Extracellular Vesicles
578 in Cancer: Cell-to-Cell Mediators of Metastasis. *Cancer Cell.* 2016 Dec 12;30(6):836–
579 48.
- 580 16. Caby M-P, Lankar D, Vincendeau-Scherrer C, Raposo G, Bonnerot C. Exosomal-like
581 vesicles are present in human blood plasma. *Int Immunol.* 2005 Jul;17(7):879–87.
- 582 17. Deep G, Jain A, Kumar A, Agarwal C, Kim S, Leevy WM, et al. Exosomes secreted by
583 prostate cancer cells under hypoxia promote matrix metalloproteinases activity at pre-
584 metastatic niches. *Mol Carcinog.* 2020 Mar;59(3):323–32.
- 585 18. Jung T, Castellana D, Klingbeil P, Cuesta Hernández I, Vitacolonna M, Orlicky DJ, et
586 al. CD44v6 dependence of premetastatic niche preparation by exosomes. *Neoplasia.*
587 2009 Oct;11(10):1093–105.
- 588 19. Rabinowits G, Gerçel-Taylor C, Day JM, Taylor DD, Kloecker GH. Exosomal

- 589 microRNA: a diagnostic marker for lung cancer. *Clin Lung Cancer*. 2009 Jan;10(1):42–
590 6.
- 591 20. Hurwitz SN, Rider MA, Bundy JL, Liu X, Singh RK, Meckes DG Jr. Proteomic
592 profiling of NCI-60 extracellular vesicles uncovers common protein cargo and cancer
593 type-specific biomarkers. *Oncotarget*. 2016 Dec 27;7(52):86999–7015.
- 594 21. Salvianti F, Gelmini S, Costanza F, Mancini I, Sonnati G, Simi L, et al. The pre-
595 analytical phase of the liquid biopsy. *N Biotechnol*. 2020 Mar 25;55:19–29.
- 596 22. Ignatiadis M, Rack B, Rothé F, Riethdorf S, Decraene C, Bonnefoi H, et al. Liquid
597 biopsy-based clinical research in early breast cancer: The EORTC 90091-10093 Treat
598 CTC trial. *Eur J Cancer*. 2016 Aug;63:97–104.
- 599 23. Cheng L, Sharples RA, Scicluna BJ, Hill AF. Exosomes provide a protective and
600 enriched source of miRNA for biomarker profiling compared to intracellular and cell-
601 free blood. *J Extracell Vesicles [Internet]*. 2014 Mar 26;3. Available from:
602 <http://dx.doi.org/10.3402/jev.v3.23743>
- 603 24. Jin Y, Chen K, Wang Z, Wang Y, Liu J, Lin L, et al. DNA in serum extracellular
604 vesicles is stable under different storage conditions. *BMC Cancer*. 2016 Sep
605 23;16(1):753.
- 606 25. Dragovic RA, Gardiner C, Brooks AS, Tannetta DS, Ferguson DJP, Hole P, et al. Sizing
607 and phenotyping of cellular vesicles using Nanoparticle Tracking Analysis.
608 *Nanomedicine*. 2011 Dec;7(6):780–8.
- 609 26. Li M, Zeringer E, Barta T, Schageman J, Cheng A, Vlassov AV. Analysis of the RNA
610 content of the exosomes derived from blood serum and urine and its potential as
611 biomarkers. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci [Internet]*. 2014 Sep 26;369(1652).
612 Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rstb.2013.0502>
- 613 27. Nanou A, Miller MC, Zeune LL, de Wit S, Punt CJA, Groen HJM, et al. Tumour-
614 derived extracellular vesicles in blood of metastatic cancer patients associate with
615 overall survival. *Br J Cancer*. 2020 Mar;122(6):801–11.
- 616 28. Trapp E, Janni W, Schindlbeck C, Jückstock J, Andergassen U, de Gregorio A, et al.
617 Presence of Circulating Tumor Cells in High-Risk Early Breast Cancer During Follow-
618 Up and Prognosis. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2019 Apr 1;111(4):380–7.
- 619 29. Buscail E, Alix-Panabières C, Quincy P, Cauvin T, Chauvet A, Degrandi O, et al. High
620 Clinical Value of Liquid Biopsy to Detect Circulating Tumor Cells and Tumor
621 Exosomes in Pancreatic Ductal Adenocarcinoma Patients Eligible for Up-Front Surgery.
622 *Cancers [Internet]*. 2019 Oct 26;11(11). Available from:
623 <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/cancers11111656>
- 624 30. Malentacchi F, Pizzamiglio S, Verderio P, Pazzagli M, Orlando C, Ciniselli CM, et al.
625 Influence of storage conditions and extraction methods on the quantity and quality of
626 circulating cell-free DNA (ccfDNA): the SPIDIA-DNAplas External Quality
627 Assessment experience. *Clin Chem Lab Med*. 2015 Nov;53(12):1935–42.
- 628 31. Castellanos-Rizaldos E, Grimm DG, Tadigotla V, Hurley J, Healy J, Neal PL, et al.

- 629 Exosome-Based Detection of EGFR T790M in Plasma from Non-Small Cell Lung
630 Cancer Patients. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2018 Jun 15;24(12):2944–50.
- 631 32. Möhrmann L, Huang HJ, Hong DS, Tsimberidou AM, Fu S, Piha-Paul SA, et al. Liquid
632 Biopsies Using Plasma Exosomal Nucleic Acids and Plasma Cell-Free DNA Compared
633 with Clinical Outcomes of Patients with Advanced Cancers. *Clin Cancer Res.* 2018 Jan
634 1;24(1):181–8.
- 635 33. Bernard V, Kim DU, San Lucas FA, Castillo J, Allenson K, Mulu FC, et al. Circulating
636 Nucleic Acids Are Associated With Outcomes of Patients With Pancreatic Cancer.
637 *Gastroenterology.* 2019 Jan;156(1):108–18.e4.
- 638 34. Garcia-Murillas I, Chopra N, Comino-Méndez I, Beaney M, Tovey H, Cutts RJ, et al.
639 Assessment of Molecular Relapse Detection in Early-Stage Breast Cancer. *JAMA*
640 *Oncol.* 2019 Oct 1;5(10):1473–8.
- 641 35. Morad G, Carman CV, Hagedorn EJ, Perlin JR, Zon LI, Mustafaoglu N, et al. Tumor-
642 Derived Extracellular Vesicles Breach the Intact Blood-Brain Barrier via Transcytosis.
643 *ACS Nano.* 2019 Dec 24;13(12):13853–65.
- 644 36. Thind A, Wilson C. Exosomal miRNAs as cancer biomarkers and therapeutic targets. *J*
645 *Extracell Vesicles.* 2016 Jul 19;5:31292.
- 646 37. Taylor DD, Gercel-Taylor C. MicroRNA signatures of tumor-derived exosomes as
647 diagnostic biomarkers of ovarian cancer. *Gynecol Oncol.* 2008 Jul;110(1):13–21.
- 648 38. Skog J, Würdinger T, van Rijn S, Meijer DH, Gainche L, Sena-Esteves M, et al.
649 Glioblastoma microvesicles transport RNA and proteins that promote tumour growth
650 and provide diagnostic biomarkers. *Nat Cell Biol.* 2008 Dec;10(12):1470–6.
- 651 39. Hu D, Zhan Y, Zhu K, Bai M, Han J, Si Y, et al. Plasma Exosomal Long Non-Coding
652 RNAs Serve as Biomarkers for Early Detection of Colorectal Cancer. *Cell Physiol*
653 *Biochem.* 2018 Dec 12;51(6):2704–15.
- 654 40. Li Y, Zhao J, Yu S, Wang Z, He X, Su Y, et al. Extracellular Vesicles Long RNA
655 Sequencing Reveals Abundant mRNA, circRNA, and lncRNA in Human Blood as
656 Potential Biomarkers for Cancer Diagnosis. *Clin Chem.* 2019 Jun;65(6):798–808.
- 657 41. Xu J, Ji L, Liang Y, Wan Z, Zheng W, Song X, et al. CircRNA-SORE mediates
658 sorafenib resistance in hepatocellular carcinoma by stabilizing YBX1. *Signal Transduct*
659 *Target Ther.* 2020 Dec 26;5(1):298.
- 660 42. Yuasa I, Ohno K, Hashimoto K, Iijima K, Yamashita K, Takeshita K. Carbohydrate-
661 deficient glycoprotein syndrome: electrophoretic study of multiple serum glycoproteins.
662 *Brain Dev.* 1995 Jan;17(1):13–9.
- 663 43. Kral J, Korenkova V, Novosadova V, Langerova L, Schneiderova M, Liska V, et al.
664 Expression profile of miR-17/92 cluster is predictive of treatment response in rectal
665 cancer. *Carcinogenesis.* 2018 Dec 13;39(11):1359–67.
- 666 44. Chen WW, Balaj L, Liao LM, Samuels ML, Kotsopoulos SK, Maguire CA, et al.
667 BEAMing and Droplet Digital PCR Analysis of Mutant IDH1 mRNA in Glioma Patient

- 668 Serum and Cerebrospinal Fluid Extracellular Vesicles. *Mol Ther Nucleic Acids*. 2013
669 Jul 23;2:e109.
- 670 45. Arroyo JD, Chevillet JR, Kroh EM, Ruf IK, Pritchard CC, Gibson DF, et al. Argonaute2
671 complexes carry a population of circulating microRNAs independent of vesicles in
672 human plasma. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2011 Mar 22;108(12):5003–8.
- 673 46. Li K, Rodosthenous RS, Kashanchi F, Gingeras T, Gould SJ, Kuo LS, et al. Advances,
674 challenges, and opportunities in extracellular RNA biology: insights from the NIH
675 exRNA Strategic Workshop. *JCI Insight* [Internet]. 2018 Apr 5;3(7). Available from:
676 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1172/jci.insight.98942>
- 677 47. Balaj L, Lessard R, Dai L, Cho Y-J, Pomeroy SL, Breakefield XO, et al. Tumour
678 microvesicles contain retrotransposon elements and amplified oncogene sequences. *Nat*
679 *Commun*. 2011 Feb 1;2:180.
- 680 48. Lázaro-Ibáñez E, Sanz-García A, Visakorpi T, Escobedo-Lucea C, Siljander P, Ayuso-
681 Sacido A, et al. Different gDNA content in the subpopulations of prostate cancer
682 extracellular vesicles: apoptotic bodies, microvesicles, and exosomes. *Prostate*. 2014
683 Oct;74(14):1379–90.
- 684 49. Kahlert C, Melo SA, Protopopov A, Tang J, Seth S, Koch M, et al. Identification of
685 double-stranded genomic DNA spanning all chromosomes with mutated KRAS and p53
686 DNA in the serum exosomes of patients with pancreatic cancer. *J Biol Chem*. 2014 Feb
687 14;289(7):3869–75.
- 688 50. Lee TH, Chennakrishnaiah S, Audemard E, Montermini L, Meehan B, Rak J. Oncogenic
689 ras-driven cancer cell vesiculation leads to emission of double-stranded DNA capable of
690 interacting with target cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*. 2014 Aug 22;451(2):295–
691 301.
- 692 51. Thakur BK, Zhang H, Becker A, Matei I, Huang Y, Costa-Silva B, et al. Double-
693 stranded DNA in exosomes: a novel biomarker in cancer detection. *Cell Res*. 2014
694 Jun;24(6):766–9.
- 695 52. Yamamoto H, Watanabe Y, Oikawa R, Morita R, Yoshida Y, Maehata T, et al.
696 BARHL2 Methylation Using Gastric Wash DNA or Gastric Juice Exosomal DNA is a
697 Useful Marker For Early Detection of Gastric Cancer in an *H. pylori*-Independent
698 Manner. *Clin Transl Gastroenterol*. 2016 Jul 21;7(7):e184.
- 699 53. Moon P-G, Lee J-E, Cho Y-E, Lee SJ, Chae YS, Jung JH, et al. Fibronectin on
700 circulating extracellular vesicles as a liquid biopsy to detect breast cancer. *Oncotarget*.
701 2016 Jun 28;7(26):40189–99.
- 702 54. Melo SA, Luecke LB, Kahlert C, Fernandez AF, Gammon ST, Kaye J, et al. Glypican-1
703 identifies cancer exosomes and detects early pancreatic cancer. *Nature*. 2015 Jul
704 9;523(7559):177–82.
- 705 55. Niu L, Song X, Wang N, Xue L, Song X, Xie L. Tumor-derived exosomal proteins as
706 diagnostic biomarkers in non-small cell lung cancer. *Cancer Sci*. 2019 Jan;110(1):433–
707 42.

- 708 56. Mathivanan S, Lim JWE, Tauro BJ, Ji H, Moritz RL, Simpson RJ. Proteomics analysis
709 of A33 immunoaffinity-purified exosomes released from the human colon tumor cell
710 line LIM1215 reveals a tissue-specific protein signature. *Mol Cell Proteomics*. 2010
711 Feb;9(2):197–208.
- 712 57. Mallegol J, van Niel G, Heyman M. Phenotypic and functional characterization of
713 intestinal epithelial exosomes. *Blood Cells Mol Dis*. 2005 Jul;35(1):11–6.
- 714 58. Yoh KE, Lowe CJ, Mahajan S, Suttman R, Nguy T, Reichelt M, et al. Enrichment of
715 circulating tumor-derived extracellular vesicles from human plasma. *J Immunol*
716 *Methods*. 2021 Mar;490:112936.
- 717 59. Royo F, Théry C, Falcón-Pérez JM, Nieuwland R, Witwer KW. Methods for Separation
718 and Characterization of Extracellular Vesicles: Results of a Worldwide Survey
719 Performed by the ISEV Rigor and Standardization Subcommittee. *Cells* [Internet]. 2020
720 Aug 25;9(9). Available from: <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/cells9091955>
- 721 60. Potts JL, Coppack SW, Fisher RM, Humphreys SM, Gibbons GF, Frayn KN. Impaired
722 postprandial clearance of triacylglycerol-rich lipoproteins in adipose tissue in obese
723 subjects. *Am J Physiol*. 1995 Apr;268(4 Pt 1):E588–94.
- 724 61. Scheer FAJL, Michelson AD, Frelinger AL 3rd, Evoniuk H, Kelly EE, McCarthy M, et
725 al. The human endogenous circadian system causes greatest platelet activation during
726 the biological morning independent of behaviors. *PLoS One*. 2011 Sep 8;6(9):e24549.
- 727 62. Yuana Y, Sturk A, Nieuwland R. Extracellular vesicles in physiological and
728 pathological conditions. *Blood Rev*. 2013 Jan;27(1):31–9.
- 729 63. Heijnen HF, Schiel AE, Fijnheer R, Geuze HJ, Sixma JJ. Activated platelets release two
730 types of membrane vesicles: microvesicles by surface shedding and exosomes derived
731 from exocytosis of multivesicular bodies and alpha-granules. *Blood*. 1999 Dec
732 1;94(11):3791–9.
- 733 64. Arraud N, Linares R, Tan S, Gounou C, Pasquet J-M, Mornet S, et al. Extracellular
734 vesicles from blood plasma: determination of their morphology, size, phenotype and
735 concentration. *J Thromb Haemost*. 2014 May;12(5):614–27.
- 736 65. Ayers L, Kohler M, Harrison P, Sargent I, Dragovic R, Schaap M, et al. Measurement of
737 circulating cell-derived microparticles by flow cytometry: sources of variability within
738 the assay. *Thromb Res*. 2011 Apr;127(4):370–7.
- 739 66. Coumans FAW, Brisson AR, Buzas EI, Dignat-George F, Drees EEE, El-Andaloussi S,
740 et al. Methodological Guidelines to Study Extracellular Vesicles. *Circ Res*. 2017 May
741 12;120(10):1632–48.
- 742 67. Palviainen M, Saraswat M, Varga Z, Kitka D, Neuvonen M, Puhka M, et al.
743 Extracellular vesicles from human plasma and serum are carriers of extravesicular
744 cargo-Implications for biomarker discovery. *PLoS One*. 2020 Aug 19;15(8):e0236439.
- 745 68. Kalra H, Adda CG, Liem M, Ang C-S, Mechler A, Simpson RJ, et al. Comparative
746 proteomics evaluation of plasma exosome isolation techniques and assessment of the
747 stability of exosomes in normal human blood plasma. *Proteomics*. 2013

- 748 Nov;13(22):3354–64.
- 749 69. COMPOSITIONS FOR EXTRACELLULAR VESICLE STORAGE AND
750 FORMULATION [Internet]. [cited 2021 May 24]. Available from:
751 <https://patents.justia.com/patent/20210069254>
- 752 70. UK Biobank - UK Biobank [Internet]. [cited 2021 May 24]. Available from:
753 <https://www.ukbiobank.ac.uk/>
- 754 71. Chevillet JR, Kang Q, Ruf IK, Briggs HA, Vojtech LN, Hughes SM, et al. Quantitative
755 and stoichiometric analysis of the microRNA content of exosomes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*
756 *U S A*. 2014 Oct 14;111(41):14888–93.
- 757 72. Johnsen KB, Gudbergsson JM, Andresen TL, Simonsen JB. What is the blood
758 concentration of extracellular vesicles? Implications for the use of extracellular vesicles
759 as blood-borne biomarkers of cancer. *Biochim Biophys Acta Rev Cancer*. 2019
760 Jan;1871(1):109–16.
- 761 73. Forootan A, Sjöback R, Björkman J, Sjögreen B, Linz L, Kubista M. Methods to
762 determine limit of detection and limit of quantification in quantitative real-time PCR
763 (qPCR). *Biomol Detect Quantif*. 2017 Jun;12:1–6.
- 764 74. Rupert DLM, Claudio V, Lässer C, Bally M. Methods for the physical characterization
765 and quantification of extracellular vesicles in biological samples. *Biochim Biophys Acta*
766 *Gen Subj*. 2017 Jan;1861(1 Pt A):3164–79.
- 767 75. Maas SLN, de Vrij J, van der Vlist EJ, Geragousian B, van Bloois L, Mastrobattista E, et
768 al. Possibilities and limitations of current technologies for quantification of biological
769 extracellular vesicles and synthetic mimics. *J Control Release*. 2015 Feb 28;200:87–96.
- 770 76. Emons H. The “RM family”—Identification of all of its members. *Accredit Qual Assur*.
771 2006 Mar 1;10(12):690–1.
- 772 77. Valkonen S, van der Pol E, Böing A, Yuana Y, Yliperttula M, Nieuwland R, et al.
773 Biological reference materials for extracellular vesicle studies. *Eur J Pharm Sci*. 2017
774 Feb 15;98:4–16.
- 775 78. Geurickx E, Tulkens J, Dhondt B, Van Deun J, Lippens L, Vergauwen G, et al. The
776 generation and use of recombinant extracellular vesicles as biological reference material.
777 *Nat Commun*. 2019 Jul 23;10(1):3288.
- 778 79. Geurickx E, Hendrix A. Targets, pitfalls and reference materials for liquid biopsy tests
779 in cancer diagnostics. *Mol Aspects Med*. 2020 Apr;72:100828.
- 780 80. Geurickx E, Lippens L, Rappu P, De Geest BG, De Wever O, Hendrix A. Recombinant
781 extracellular vesicles as biological reference material for method development, data
782 normalization and assessment of (pre-)analytical variables. *Nat Protoc*. 2021
783 Feb;16(2):603–33.
- 784 81. Ferguson S, Weissleder R. Modeling EV Kinetics for Use in Early Cancer Detection.
785 *Adv Biosyst*. 2020 Dec;4(12):e1900305.

- 786 82. Kowal J, Arras G, Colombo M, Jouve M, Morath JP, Primdal-Bengtson B, et al.
787 Proteomic comparison defines novel markers to characterize heterogeneous populations
788 of extracellular vesicle subtypes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 2016 Feb 23;113(8):E968–
789 77.
- 790 83. Martínez-Greene JA, Hernández-Ortega K, Quiroz-Baez R, Resendis-Antonio O,
791 Pichardo-Casas I, Sinclair DA, et al. Quantitative proteomic analysis of extracellular
792 vesicle subgroups isolated by an optimized method combining polymer-based
793 precipitation and size exclusion chromatography. *J Extracell Vesicles*. 2021
794 Apr;10(6):e12087.
- 795 84. Bordanaba-Florit G, Royo F, Kruglik SG, Falcón-Pérez JM. Using single-vesicle
796 technologies to unravel the heterogeneity of extracellular vesicles. *Nat Protoc*. 2021
797 Jul;16(7):3163–85.
- 798 85. Sina AAI, Vaidyanathan R, Dey S, Carrascosa LG, Shiddiky MJA, Trau M. Real time
799 and label free profiling of clinically relevant exosomes. *Sci Rep*. 2016 Jul 28;6:30460.
- 800 86. Rojalin T, Koster HJ, Liu J, Mizenko RR, Tran D, Wachsmann-Hogiu S, et al. Hybrid
801 Nanoplasmonic Porous Biomaterial Scaffold for Liquid Biopsy Diagnostics Using
802 Extracellular Vesicles. *ACS Sens*. 2020 Sep 25;5(9):2820–33.
- 803 87. Lu J, Pang J, Chen Y, Dong Q, Sheng J, Luo Y, et al. Application of Microfluidic Chips
804 in Separation and Analysis of Extracellular Vesicles in Liquid Biopsy for Cancer.
805 *Micromachines (Basel)* [Internet]. 2019 Jun 11;10(6). Available from:
806 <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/mi10060390>
- 807 88. Reátegui E, van der Vos KE, Lai CP, Zeinali M, Atai NA, Aldikacti B, et al. Engineered
808 nanointerfaces for microfluidic isolation and molecular profiling of tumor-specific
809 extracellular vesicles. *Nat Commun*. 2018 Jan 12;9(1):175.
- 810 89. Mizutani K, Terazawa R, Kameyama K, Kato T, Horie K, Tsuchiya T, et al. Isolation of
811 prostate cancer-related exosomes. *Anticancer Res*. 2014 Jul;34(7):3419–23.
- 812 90. Lopez CA, Daaboul GG, Vedula RS, Ozkumur E, Bergstein DA, Geisbert TW, et al.
813 Label-free multiplexed virus detection using spectral reflectance imaging. *Biosens*
814 *Bioelectron*. 2011 Apr 15;26(8):3432–7.
- 815 91. Fraikin J-L, Teesalu T, McKenney CM, Ruoslahti E, Cleland AN. A high-throughput
816 label-free nanoparticle analyser. *Nat Nanotechnol*. 2011 May;6(5):308–13.
- 817 92. Tian Y, Gong M, Hu Y, Liu H, Zhang W, Zhang M, et al. Quality and efficiency
818 assessment of six extracellular vesicle isolation methods by nano-flow cytometry. *J*
819 *Extracell Vesicles*. 2020;9(1):1697028.
- 820 93. Arab T, Mallick ER, Huang Y, Dong L, Liao Z, Zhao Z, et al. Characterization of
821 extracellular vesicles and synthetic nanoparticles with four orthogonal single-particle
822 analysis platforms. *J Extracell Vesicles*. 2021 Apr;10(6):e12079.
- 823 94. Lobb RJ, Becker M, Wen SW, Wong CSF, Wiegmans AP, Leimgruber A, et al.
824 Optimized exosome isolation protocol for cell culture supernatant and human plasma. *J*
825 *Extracell Vesicles*. 2015 Jul 17;4:27031.

- 826 95. Vagner T, Spinelli C, Minciacchi VR, Balaj L, Zandian M, Conley A, et al. Large
827 extracellular vesicles carry most of the tumour DNA circulating in prostate cancer
828 patient plasma. *J Extracell Vesicles*. 2018 Aug 7;7(1):1505403.
- 829 96. Dragovic RA, Southcombe JH, Tannetta DS, Redman CWG, Sargent IL. Multicolor
830 flow cytometry and nanoparticle tracking analysis of extracellular vesicles in the plasma
831 of normal pregnant and pre-eclamptic women. *Biol Reprod*. 2013 Dec;89(6):151.
- 832 97. Rozenblatt-Rosen O, Stubbington MJT, Regev A, Teichmann SA. The Human Cell
833 Atlas: from vision to reality. *Nature*. 2017 Oct 18;550(7677):451–3.
- 834 98. Turnbull C, Scott RH, Thomas E, Jones L, Murugaesu N, Pretty FB, et al. The 100 000
835 Genomes Project: bringing whole genome sequencing to the NHS. *BMJ*. 2018 Apr
836 24;361:k1687.
- 837 99. Gao GF, Parker JS, Reynolds SM, Silva TC, Wang L-B, Zhou W, et al. Before and
838 After: Comparison of Legacy and Harmonized TCGA Genomic Data Commons' Data.
839 *Cell Syst*. 2019 Jul 24;9(1):24–34.e10.

840

841