Helen Dawes and Mary G. Boulton

6 Abstract

7

1

2

3 4

5

8 Purpose: To describe physical activity (PA) levels and motivators and barriers to PA among 9 haemodialysis patients and to identify an appropriate approach to increasing their PA. 10 Methods: A cross sectional mixed methods study conducted in a tertiary and satellite 11 haemodialysis unit. 101 participants aged 18 years and over, receiving regular haemodialysis 12 for at least four months, were recruited. Patients with recent hospital admission or acute cardiac event were excluded. Participants completed health status (EQ-5D-3LTM) and activity 13 (Human Activity Profile) questionnaires. A subgroup were invited to wear accelerometers 14 15 and wearable cameras to measure PA levels and capture PA episodes, to inform subsequent 16 semi-structured interviews on motivators and barriers. Semi-structured interviews were 17 analysed using the Framework Method informed by constructs of the Health Belief Model. 18 Results: 98/101 completed the study (66 male, 32 female). For 68/98 participants, adjusted 19 activity scores from the Human Activity Profile indicated 'impaired' levels of Physical 20 Activity; for 67/98 participants, the EQ-5D-3L indicated problems with mobility. Semi-21 structured interviews identified general (fear of falls, pain) and disease specific barriers 22 (fatigue) to PA. Motivators included tailored exercise programmes and educational support 23 from health care professionals. 24 Conclusions: Participants indicated a need for co-development with healthcare professionals

of differentiated, targeted exercise interventions.

26

27 Key words: Renal dialysis, exercise, wearable devices, monitoring, interview, motivation

- 28 Abstract Word count: 200
- 29 Main Body: 3429

Introduction 30

40

31 Physical activity (PA) is important for health. Maintaining PA in adult life reduces risk of 32 hypertension, maintains bone health, and supports muscular and cardiovascular fitness, 33 amongst other benefits [1]. Estimates suggest a quarter of adults are currently inactive, with 34 high levels of sedentary behaviour. There is strong evidence to suggest this contributes to the 35 growing burden of non-communicable diseases, including cardiovascular disease, diabetes 36 and chronic kidney disease (CKD) [1-8].

37 Approximately 2 million people have CKD stages 1-5 in England, United Kingdom (UK),

38 with approximately a further 1 million undiagnosed [9]. A minority develop end stage renal

39 disease (ESRD) and require renal replacement therapy (RRT). With improved diagnosis and

treatment, the prevalent RRT population is increasing [5]. Of the 61,256 patients receiving

41 RRT, 41% are receiving hospital haemodialysis (HD) [5]. HD patients have higher incidence

42 of heart failure, anaemia, fatigue, pain, depression and lower perceived quality of life

43 compared to the general population [11-12]. Studies also demonstrate reduced quality of life

and increased incidence of depression in patients attending hospital for HD [10]. 44

45 Higher levels of PA in HD patients are associated with reduced mortality, muscle cramps, 46 cardiovascular instability and improved muscle function [13]. However, despite the well-47 known benefits of PA, HD patients have lower levels of activity when compared with the 48 general population. This has been attributed to a wide range of physiological and psycho-49 social factors [14-15]. The majority of published studies demonstrating functional benefits of PA have been conducted in research environments. However, translating these into clinical 50

practice is challenging, with barriers to PA incompletely elucidated [16-17]. Whilst some specific patient-perceived barriers to PA have been identified [18], it remains to be established which factors may act as motivators towards PA. To overcome these barriers and enhance motivators more effectively, the development of an intervention should incorporate a suitable theory of behaviour change which can clearly identify the causes of change. In two previous studies, the Health Belief Model (HBM) [19-20] has been used to understand the health behaviours of renal dialysis patients [21-22].

The objectives of this study are to: 1) describe current PA levels and experiences in HD patients and 2) explore perceptions of PA and the motivators and barriers which facilitate or constrain exercise participation. This will inform co-development of targeted education and PA interventions for renal dialysis patients.

62 Methods

Local ethics committee approval (Ref 14/EE/1094) was obtained and all patient-facing
members of the research team undertook Good Clinical Practice (GCP) training prior to study
commencement.

66

67 Design, setting and participants

68 This cross-sectional study was conducted in a tertiary and associated satellite renal unit in69 Oxford, UK.

70

71 Between November 2014 and August 2015, all male and female participants aged 18 years

and above, established on HD for at least four months and attending at least twice a week

73 were invited to participate. Exclusion criteria were: unable to give consent, planning to leave

74 geographical area during study period, recent acute deterioration requiring hospital admission

or acute cardiac event within 2 days of most recent dialysis treatment. All eligible
participants were invited to complete the questionnaire and were informed that they could opt
out of the wearable device phase. Informed consent was obtained during a subsequent
dialysis session by a trained research team member. The study period was one week with no
further follow-up.

80

81 Data collection and preparation methods

82 Self-Report Measures

83 The EQ-5D-3L[™] (Euro-Qol Group, Registration ID 23961) is a self-report health status 84 measure validated in the CKD population [23]. All participants were given the questionnaire 85 during a treatment session and asked to return it the same day, or at a subsequent session. The first part of the EQ-5D-3LTM includes five domains: mobility, self-care, usual activities, 86 pain/discomfort and anxiety and depression. Each domain is scored as follows: 1) no 87 88 problems, 2) some problems, or 3) extreme problems. The second part is a self-rated visual 89 analogue scale (VAS) of 0-100, with 0 as the worst health state imaginable, and 100 as the 90 best. EQ-5D-3L[™] data is presented by dimension and age group as described in the User 91 Guide [23].

92

93 The Human Activity Profile (HAP) is a self-report measure which ranks 94 activities 94 according to the energy expenditure needed to perform the task. The participant specifies 95 whether they currently do the activity, have stopped doing the activity or never did the 96 activity. From this, a maximal activity score (MAS) is obtained, based on the most energy-97 expending activity that the respondent is still able to perform [24]. The adjusted activity score 98 (AAS) is calculated by totalling the number of activities with lower values than the MAS that

99 the respondent "has stopped doing" and subtracting this from the MAS. The AAS is generally 100 considered a more stable estimate of the individual's daily activity than the MAS [24].

101

102

103 Semi-structured interviews

104 Participants were invited to participate in semi-structured interviews on the motivators and 105 barriers to physical activity. Interviews were conducted between April and July 2015 using a 106 topic guide (Supplementary material Table S1: Topic guide for semi-structured interviews) 107 informed by a previous pilot study [25]. Interviews were carried out in the haemodialysis 108 unit. Other settings (e.g. a clinic room) were offered but declined by all participants. 109 Interviews lasted approximately 40 minutes. Interviews were recorded on a digital recorder, 110 transcribed verbatim by SS and RP and transcripts uploaded to NVivo software (QSR 111 International, Melbourne, Australia) for analysis.

112

113 **Body worn devices**

114 Participants wore Axivity AX3 accelerometers [26-30] and Vicon Autographer wearable 115 cameras [31] for seven days prior to interview. Data obtained was used to inform the 116 interviews. Devices were time synchronised at point of issue and data downloaded to an 117 encrypted computer. Participants were given the opportunity to review and delete images, 118 using a custom software application, which is open-source and free to download [32]. Those 119 who participated in the interviews were given a brief questionnaire to assess the acceptability of wearing these devices (Supplementary material Table S2: post study device acceptability 120 121 questionnaire). Accelerometer data were processed following UK Biobank data processing 122 guidelines [26].

123

Participants were asked about experiences of PA prior to commencing dialysis and current feelings and attitudes towards PA. To prompt participants, the interviewer (SS and RP) selected segments of accelerometer data indicating periods of high and low activity.
Participant and interviewer viewed corresponding time stamped images from the camera wearable device. Participants were asked what they were doing at these times and for their reflections on both high and low activity episodes. Previous studies have used images captured by wearable cameras to aid participant memory recall [31-34].

131 Interviews were transcribed verbatim and analysed using the Framework Method [35] which 132 involved familiarisation with the interview, coding, developing and applying an analytical 133 framework, charting data into the analytical framework for analysis. The analytic framework 134 was developed by two researchers based on the constructs of the Health Belief Model [19-20] 135 - including perceived benefits of PA, perceived barriers to PA and cues to action on PA 136 participation – and informed by the themes which had emerged from a pilot focus group of 137 patients with CKD [25]. Interview transcripts were coded using NVivo software. Each 138 interview was independently coded by two reviewers (SS and RP). After coding four 139 transcripts, reviewers compared codes and discrepancies were discussed and resolved prior to 140 coding the remaining transcripts. Interim analysis was conducted following an initial sample 141 of 20 patients to determine whether saturation of themes had been reached [36].

142 Statistical analysis

Mean (+/-standard deviation) or median and interquartile range values were used as
appropriate to summarise participants' demographic data. Primary diagnoses are summarised
as numbers and percentages.

146	Results
147	Of 154 eligible participants, 101 (66%) consented to participate. Of these, a total of 98 (97%)
148	participants completed the study, 1 withdrew, 1 received a transplant and 1 did not complete
149	the questionnaires and was excluded from analysis (See figure 1). A sub-group of 20
150	participants consented to the wearable camera and accelerometer and participated in a semi-
151	structured interview.
152	
153	[Figure 1 near here]
154	
155	Participant baseline characteristics are shown in table 1. There was no significant difference
156	between the non-interview group and the interview group for these characteristics.
157	
158	[Table 1 near here]
159	
160	Self-Report Measure of Health Status
161	98 participants completed the EQ-5D-3L TM . Pain (n=67, 68%), mobility (n=67, 68%) and
162	usual activities (n=64, 65%) were dimensions in which participants experienced some or
163	major problems. Dimensions of self-care (n=23, 23%) and anxiety (n=36, 37%) indicated
164	better health states in which participants indicated they had some or extreme problems
165	(Supplementary table S3: Results from EQ-5D-3L [™]). Median VAS score was 60/100 (IQR
166	+/- 30).
167	
168	Self-report Measures of Activity

98 participants completed the HAP questionnaire. Sixty-nine (68%) had impaired PA levels
overall, 23 (23%) participants were moderately active and only 6 (6%) were active according

to AAS (Supplementary Table S4: Results from Human Activity Profile). Forty nine (50%)
participants had an AAS indicating impaired activity. Activities that patients continued to
participate in included: 1) for the impaired: household activities such as bed making, carrying
light shopping, and able to climb 9-12 stairs: 2) for the moderately active: household chores
such as vacuuming, able to walk for 1 mile; and 3) for the active: gardening, swimming and
cycling.

177

178 Self-report Measure of Acceptability of Worn Devices

179 Mean daily accelerometer wear time amounted to 8.15 hours and ranged from 3-7 days.

180 Twenty participants completed the device acceptability questionnaires and 18 found device

181 wear acceptable overall. However, concerns included forgetting to wear the devices (8/20),

182 discomfort (2/20) and reactions of others towards the camera (17/20).

183 Semi Structured Interviews on Motivators and Barriers to PA

184 Following analysis of 20 semi-structured interview transcripts it was determined that

185 saturation of themes had been reached. Key themes included: 1) Limited belief in the

186 benefits of PA for dialysis patients, 2) The view that PA is incompatible with dialysis 3) The

187 perception that PA presents specific risks for patients on dialysis and 4) The need for external

188 prompts to engage in PA. These themes are organised under headings based on the constructs

189 of the Health Belief Model and illustrated by representative participant quotes.

190

191 1) Perceived benefits of increased PA

192 *(i) Mixed views on the benefits of PA for dialysis patients:*

193 Many participants were aware of the benefits of PA in general, commenting that they had

194 enjoyed PA prior to their illness and that it was important to keep active in order to stay well

and maintain their independence. However, nine (45%) participants (5 female, age range 35-

197	arise from increasing PA and some expressed the view that PA offered little or no benefit for
198	patients on dialysis.
199	
200	'' I don 't think it [PA] would make any differenceYou 're limited in what you can
201	do. You know you are coming here for treatment basically." (Participant 35, female,
202	aged 73)
203	
204	2) Perceived barriers to increased PA
205	(i) The demands of PA are incompatible with dialysis:
206	Most participants found that dialysis reduced motivation to undertake PA, including some
207	who felt that if the opportunity arose, they would not take it: Twelve participants (60%) (5
208	female aged 53 to 73 and 7 male aged 36 to 82) believed dialysis reduced their capacity to
209	continue with regular physical activities or muscle wasting.
210	
211	'you can't do much especially when you are in a dialysis centredialysis comes in
212	and dominates your life a bit '' (Participant 10, male, aged 80)
213	
214	Concern that something may happen to their fistula (dialysis access) if they exercised during
215	dialysis was common. Tiredness was also commonly perceived as a barrier: seventeen
216	participants (85%) (8 female age 35 to 74 and 8 male aged 36 to 82) reported they felt too
217	tired to participate in PA especially on dialysis days.
218	

73, and 4 male, age between 36 and 84) found difficulty in identifying benefits that might

(ii) PA presents a risk for patients on dialysis:

220	Fourteen (70%) participants on dialysis (6 female aged 35 to 74 and 8 male aged 36 to 82)
221	feared that PA would cause further pain or other adverse consequences. Six (30%)
222	participants (2 female aged 53 and 74 and 4 male aged 54 to 82) found that their fear of
223	falling limited daily activities including walking, although others felt less at risk if they used
224	a stick or other mobility aid.
225	3) Cues to Action on PA
226	Some participants reported a desire to engage in more PA and suggested the circumstances in
227	which they would feel more able to do so.
228	
229	(i) PA designed specifically for patients on dialysis:
230	Seven participants (35%) (3 female aged 53 to 67, 4 male aged 39 to 75) identified the need
231	for tailored, professional help in increasing PA specifically for dialysis which was currently
232	lacking for most participants.
233	
234	'' I think nobody's sort of helping me with that sort of thing [PA]. No-one is helping
235	you to do these things or suggesting doing these thingsI would like more outside
236	activity. '' (Participant 62, male, aged 68)
237	
238	Others wanted tailored support in maintaining a sense of community and social engagement
239	while continuing in paid employment.
240	
241	(ii) PA supervised by experienced trainer:
242	Ten participants (50%) (5 female aged 53 to 74, 5 male aged 39 to 82) said that they would
243	like to be offered more physiotherapy, stretching or rehabilitation exercises as these would be

suitable to their physical needs. Some had experienced rehabilitation support from previous

hospital inpatient admission and felt they would have benefitted from more. They also
pointed to the need for supervision, for example by a physiotherapist in a healthcare setting,
their own home or another designated area that was not a public space, and suggested that
demonstrating the exercises in a group or on a one-to-one basis would also be helpful. Only
two participants (10%) (1 female aged 46 and 1 male aged 39) mentioned that they would
prefer to attend a gym.

251

252 *(iii) PA in the company of friends:*

Eleven participants (55%) (4 female aged 53 to 74 and 8 male aged 36 to 82) felt that having someone to participate in PA with them would be beneficial and motivational and would help maintain a normal lifestyle and sense of community outside of dialysis. Support from family members and good relationships with healthcare professionals were also identified as potentially important cues to action as was the offer of an exercise bicycle on their dialysis days.

259 **Discussion**

260 This study has brought together data from self-report questionnaires, semi-structured 261 interviews and quantitative activity data, to provide greater insight into current activity levels 262 and perceptions of PA among HD patients. We found, as previous studies [37-38] have, that 263 despite being active prior to starting dialysis, this population currently has low overall 264 activity levels with high sedentary behaviour. Non-specific symptoms such as pain and fear 265 of falling and no reason to leave the house were perceived to limit PA, as well as CKD specific barriers such as and muscle wasting. These barriers were identified by both male and 266 267 female participants across the age range. Some participants did not want to exercise or 268 engage in PA due to perceived poor health, a lack of time due to dialysis commitments or the

269 view that PA would not benefit their wellbeing. Participants also reported that there was 270 limited provision of, or access to, appropriate PA classes or groups suggesting a need for 271 information of suitable PA opportunities or adjustments to existing exercise environments. 272 Five participants were concerned about their fistula if they exercised during dialysis and 273 some also reported a reluctance to engage in public classes as they were worried about 274 changes in their blood pressure would lead to dizziness. Our findings add to previous studies 275 where time constraints associated with dialysis and worries about fistulas[39] were identified 276 as reducing motivation to engage in PA [17].

Our observations further augment existing evidence suggesting that information and guidance for renal patients on how best to look after their fistula when exercising would enable them to be more active in the community or at home. Participants further report the need for support from either PA instructors or their family to initiate, continue and adapt a structured and safe exercise programme on dialysis and at home.

282

283 Wearable cameras and accelerometers have been used in previous studies both in healthy and 284 disease cohorts [40-42]. To our knowledge, this was the first time accelerometers and 285 cameras have been used together in dialysis patients. Participants found these methods of data 286 collection acceptable. Some reported difficulties in remembering to turn the camera on/off. 287 Feedback suggested it would be helpful to have a light on the wearable camera to confirm 288 whether the device was on or off. Participants had minimal issues with the accelerometer 289 although some forgot to wear the device. Use of wearable cameras in image-based research 290 and health behaviour research can be deemed intrusive. Participants were able to block the 291 camera with a swivel lens to ensure privacy. While this may reduce the volume of data 292 collected, it provides autonomy in research participation [43]. Wearable cameras are currently the most objective method to capture and identify episodes of PA behaviour [40] 293

[43]. The research team found camera images were useful prompts to engage participants andadd context to interviews.

296

297 Interviews identified a number of modifiable factors such as individualised support and 298 educational approaches that could increase PA. Current strategies to engage HD patients in 299 PA are broad and include counselling by nephrology staff and referrals for physical therapy, 300 routine care planning and follow up assessments of physical functioning [44]; however, 301 effectiveness of these strategies remains inadequately described [45]. Our findings indicate 302 that health professionals may be necessary to support patients engaging in PA on non-dialysis 303 days as well as dialysis days. Most current research focuses on intra-dialytic PA interventions 304 and research on factors affecting PA participation outside the clinical environment is essential 305 to develop these interventions [46] so they are efficacious in real-world settings. Walking 306 programs have been found to improve post-dialysis fatigue, and exercise rehabilitation 307 programs have improved general physical function [16][47] suggesting a place for combined 308 programs which incorporate both general mobility and strength and conditioning 309 components. Our findings support an approach towards PA management in HD that is 310 individualised and guided by professionals with expertise in HD. The British Renal Society 311 Rehabilitation Network [48] has a roll in informing and supporting renal clinicians and health 312 professionals including the implementation of PA strategies such as intradialytic cycling [13]. 313

314 Dialysis patients have indicated they would benefit from the involvement and encouragement 315 of healthcare professionals (HCPs). However, not all HCPs have the appropriate skills and 316 knowledge to provide support and advice to renal patients regarding safe exercise 317 participation [49] and this would be needed [46]. With up to three times a week contact with 318 HCPs, there is an opportunity here to engage with this patient group in a sustainable way.

Education is needed for both patients and their carers about the benefits of PA and that it issafe for HD patients.

321 Our findings highlight individual motivators, and the importance of determining what matters 322 to each person in order to tailor PA preferences appropriately. For example, PA enables HD patients to do their own activities of daily living (ADLs), or spend more time out and about in 323 324 the community. Future clinical interventions should focus, in addition to intradialytic cycling, 325 on activities that patients can do outside the dialysis clinic setting such as exercise 326 programmes but studies on appropriate types of exercise are needed [46]. 327 The dialysis clinic provides the opportunity to monitor patient progress but also the 328 opportunity for activity. Active promotion of PA in dialysis units involves sharing positive 329 and good practice at local, regional and national level. For example, the BRS rehabilitation 330 network is a leading online resource for kidney patients on the benefits of PA and the 331 provision of tailored exercise prescriptions. However, our findings suggest there is a need for 332 professional support and guidance as part of this approach so that patients know their exercise 333 is beneficial and safe.

334

335 Limitations

336 Our region may not be representative of the HD population in other geographical regions.

337 The interview sub-study recruited a small non-random sample who were all Caucasian and

338 may not represent views or experience of other the wider population. Activity monitoring

devices had poor wear-time compliance. Self-report PA questionnaires may be prone to recall

340 bias.

Conclusion

342	Our participants reported low overall activity levels with high levels of sedentary behaviour,
343	and perceived both general and disease-specific barriers to PA. There is a need for education
344	regarding the benefits of PA for dialysis patients and ways of undertaking PA safely, with the
345	support of carers and HCPs. Our findings suggest the need for the co-development and co-
346	implementation of tailored PA interventions, delivered with the support of an experienced
347	instructor on dialysis or non-dialysis days, or both, to support CKD/HD patients to increase
348	their PA levels.
349	
350	
351	Acknowledgments
352	To all the patients at the Oxford Kidney Unit who participated in this study. Dr Khzir Nawab-
353	Oxford University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust, Dr Patrick Esser-Oxford Brookes
354	University, Dan Jackson- Newcastle University, Dr Clare MacEwen and Sven Hollowell-
355	Nuffield Department of Population Health.
356	
357	Declaration of interest
358	The authors report no declarations of interest.
359	SS acknowledges the support and funding of the NIHR RCF Grant number A13/052. SS and
360	RP acknowledge the support and funding of Oxfordshire Health Services Research
361	Committee Grant. The analysis was supported by the British Heart Foundation Centre of
362	Research Excellence at Oxford (<u>http://www.cardioscience.ox.ac.uk/bhf-centre-of-research-</u>
363	excellence) [Grant Number RE/13/1/30181 to AD]. HD is supported by Elizabeth Casson
364	Trust, Health Education Thames Valley and the Oxford Medical Research Centre. The
365	research was also supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR)

366	Oxford Biomedical Research Centre (BRC). The views expressed are those of the author(s)
367	and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR or the Department of Health.
368	
369	
370	Contributorship Statement
371	SS, RP, AD, CWP and JN were involved in the design of the study. SS and RP over saw the
372	Data Collection. SS, RP, AD, ZM, MB, HD, CWP and JN were involved in data analysis and
373	interpretation. SS, RP, AD, ZM, MB, HD, CWP and JN were involved in drafting the article.
374	SS, RP, AD, ZM, MB, HD, CWP and JN were involved in the critical revision of this
375	manuscript.
376	
377	
378	
379	
380	Data Sharing Statement
381	Will individual participant data be available (including data dictionaries)?
382	No
383	
384	What data in particular will be shared?
385	None, as our NHS ethics granted in 2014 states that "The images, along with other study data
386	(except participant ID) will be viewable only to identified members of the research team."
387	
388	What other documents will be available?
389	Study protocol
390	

391	When will data be available (start and end dates)?
392	Beginning immediately after publication, and ending 3 years after article publication
393	
394	With whom?
395	Researchers who provide a methodologically sound proposal.
396	
397	For what types of analyses?
398	Any health-related research deemed to be in the public good.
399	
400	By what mechanism will data be made available?
401	Please contact Sutherland Sheera (RTH) OUH <sheera.sutherland@ouh.nhs.uk> who can</sheera.sutherland@ouh.nhs.uk>
402	send the protocol by email.
403	
404	
405	References:
406	
407	1. World Health Organisation (2016) Physical Activity. Access on the World Wide Web
408	February 2017 http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs385/en/
409	2. Department for Health. Start Active, Stay Active. Department of Health. London 2011
410	https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/21637
411	0/dh_128210.pdf (Accessed January 2017)
412	3. Ding D, Lawson KD, Kolbe-Alexander TL, et al. The economic burden of physical
413	inactivity: a global analysis of major non-communicable diseases. The Lancet. 2016;
414	388 (10051) 1311-24.

415	4.	The Chief Medical Officers. Start Active, Stay Active Infographic: Physical activity
416		benefits for adults and older adults. Department of Health: London 2011
417		https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/54123
418		<u>3/Physical_activity_infographic.PDF</u> (Accessed February 2017)
419	5.	UK Renal Registry. UK Renal Registry Annual 19th Report 2017 Renal Registry.
420	6.	Lüscher TF. Heart failure and comorbidities: renal failure, diabetes, atrial fibrillation,
421		and inflammation. Eur Heart J. 2015; 36, 1415–17.
422	7.	Johansen KL, Kaysen GA, Dalrymple LS, et al. Association of Physical Activity with
423		Survival among Ambulatory Patients on Dialysis: The Comprehensive Dialysis
424		Study. Clin J Am So of Nephrol. 2013; 8 (2):248-53.
425	8.	Jager KJ, van Dijk PC, Dekker FW, et al. The epidemic of aging in renal replacement
426		therapy: An update on elderly patients and their outcomes. Clin Nephrol. 2003; 60:
427		352–60.
428	9.	NHS Kidney Care. Chronic Kidney Disease in England: The human and financial
429		cost (2012) Insight Health Economics.
430	10	. Da Silva-Gane M, Wellsted D, Greenshields H, et al. Quality of Life and Survival in
431		Patients with Advanced Kidney Failure Managed Conservatively or by Dialysis. Clin
432		J Am Soc Nephrol. 2012; 7 (12), 2002-07.
433	11.	Evans RW, Manninen DL, Garrison LP, et al. The quality of life of patients with end
434		stage renal disease. New Engl J Med. 1985; 312 (9) 553-59.
435	12	. Goodkin DA, Bragg-Gresham JL, Koenig KG, et al. Association of comorbid
436		conditions and mortality in hemodialysis patients in Europe, Japan and the United
437		States: The Dialysis Outcomes and Practice Patterns Study (DOPPS). J Am Soc
438		Nephrol. 2003; 14 (12) 3270- 77.

- 439 13. Greenwood S.A, Naish P, Clark R, et al. Intra-dialytic exercise training: A pragmatic
 440 approach. *J Ren Care*. 2014; 40(3), 219-26.
- 441 14. Johansen KL, Chertow GM, Ng AV, et al. Physical activity levels in patients on
 442 hemodialysis and healthy sedentary controls. *Kidney Int.* 2000; 57(6):2564–2570.
- 443 15. Kurella-Tamura M, Covisnky, KE, Chestow, GM, et al. Functional Status of elderly
 444 adults before and after initiation of dialysis. *N Engl J Med.* 2009; 361, 1539-1547.
- 445 16. Greenwood S.A, Lindup H, Taylor K, et al. Evaluation of a pragmatic exercise
 446 rehabilitation programme in chronic kidney disease. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2012;
- 447 27 (Suppl 3), iii126–iii134.
- 448 17. Orcy RB, Dias PS, Seus TL, et al. Combined resistance and aerobic exercise is better
 449 than resistance training alone to improve functional performance of haemodialysis
- 450 patients-results of a randomised control trial. *Physiother Res Int.* 2012; 17 (4) 235-43
- 451 18. Delgado C and Johansen KL. Barriers to exercise participation among dialysis
 452 patients. *Nephrol Dial Transplant*. 2012; 27 1152-57
- 453 19. Becker MH. (ed) The Health Belief Model and personal health behaviour. *Health*454 *Education Monographs* 1974 2 (4) 324-473.
- 455 20. Stretcher, V and Rosenstock, IM. The Health Belief Model. In Glanz, K, Lewis, FM
 456 and Rimer, BK (Eds.). *Health Behaviour and Health Education: Theory, Research*
- 457 *and Practice*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass 1997.
- 458 21. Xie J, Ding D, Liu Z et al. Health belief of salt intake among patients undergoing
 459 haemodialysis. *Journal of Renal Care*. 2018 43 (4) 235-241.
- 460 22. Kung PC, Yeh MC Lai MK et al Renal transplant recipients: the factors related to
 461 immunosuppressive medication adherence based on the health belief model. *The*
- 462 *Journal of Nursing Research*. 2017 25 (5) 392-397.

463	23. EuroQol Research Foundation. EQ-5D-3L User Guide 2015; Accessed on November
464	6 th 2016. Available at https://euroqol.org/wp-content/uploads/2016/09/EQ-5D-
465	3L_UserGuide_2015.pdf
466	24. Daughton DM, Fix AJ, Kass I, et al. Maximum oxygen consumption and the ADAPT
467	quality-of-life scale. Arch Phys Med Rehabil. 1982; 63 620-22.
468	25. Kluzek S, Pugh C, Jones N, et al. A Cross-Sectional Study in Chronic Kidney
469	Disease Patients Investigating Perceived vs. Actual Levels of Physical Activity and
470	Perceptions of and Barriers towards Exercise [abstract]. The Royal Society of
471	Medicine Section Meeting.2013 London
472	26. Doherty A, Jackson D, Hammerla N, et al. Large Scale Population Assessment of
473	Physical Activity Using Wrist Worn Accelerometers: The UK Biobank Study. PLoS
474	OnePublished Online First 1 February 2017 12(2): e0169649.
475	doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0169649.
476	27. Ladha C, Ladha K, Jackson D, Olivier P (2013) Shaker table validation of
477	Openmovement Ax3 accelerometer [abstract] 3rd International Conference on
478	Ambulatory Monitoring of Physical Activity and Movement. Amherst, MA, USA;
479	pp. 69–70.
480	Available: http://www.umass.edu/sphhs/sites/default/files/ICAMPAM Poster Session
481	Abstracts 4-24.pdf
482	28. Sabia S, van Hees VT, Shipley MJ, et al. Association between questionnaire- and
483	accelerometer-assessed physical activity: The role of sociodemographic actors. $Am J$
484	of Epidemiol. 2014; 179 (6): 781–90.
485	29. White T, Westgate K, Wareham NJ, et al. Estimation of physical activity energy
486	expenditure during free-living from wrist accelerometry in UK adults. PLoS
487	One.Published online first 16 December 2016 https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.

488 0167472. 489 30. da Silva IC, van Hees VT, Ramires VV, et al. Physical activity levels in three 490 Brazilian birth cohorts as assessed with raw triaxial wrist accelerometry. Int Jof 491 *Epidemiol.* 2014; 43 (6) 1959–68. 31. Kelly P, Thomas E, Doherty A et al (2015) Developing a Method to Test the Validity 492 493 of 24 Hour Time Use Diaries Using Wearable Cameras: A Feasibility Pilot. PLoS 494 One 10 (12):e0142198. 495 32. Doherty AR, Moulin CJ, Smeaton AF. Automatically assisting human memory. A 496 SenseCam Browser. Memory. 2011;7 (19), 785-95. 497 33. Cowburn G, Matthews A, Doherty A, et al Exploring the opportunities for food and 498 drink purchasing and consumption by teenagers during their journeys between home 499 and school: A feasibility study using a novel method. Public Health Nutrition. 2016; 500 19 (1), 93-101. Doi: 10.10171/S1368980015000889 501 34. Cowburn G. The front of pack nutritional panel: using novel methods to explore 502 consumer decision making at some point of choice during routine supermarket 503 shopping. PhD. University of Oxford. 504 Available at:https://ora.ox.ac.uk/objects/uuid:43cf47f0-5b6e-4c73-a38a-505 12852875aa17/download file?file format=pdf&safe filename=DPhil GC Final%2 506 Bversion April%2B2017.pdf&type of work=Thesis 35. Gale NK, Heath G, Cameron E, et al. Using the framework method for the analysis 507 508 of qualitative data in multi-disciplinary health research. BMC Med Res Methodol. 509 2013; 13 (1) 117. https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2288-13-117 (accessed 13 March 510 2017) 511 36. Guest G, Bunce A and Johnson L. How many interviews are enough?: An 512 experiment with data saturation and variability. Field Methods 2006; 18:59 - 82

513	37	. Bonner A, Wellard S and Caltabiano M (2010) The impact of fatigue on daily
514		activities in people with chronic kidney disease. J Clin Nurs 19 (21-22), 3006-3015.
515	38	. Johansen KL, Painter P, Kent-Braun JA, et al. Validation of questionnaires to
516		estimate physical activity and functioning in end-stage renal disease. Kidney Int.
517		2011; 59, 1121-27.
518	39	. Jhamb M, McNulty ML, Ingalsbe G, et al. Knowledge, barriers and facilitators of
519		exercise in dialysis patients: a qualitative study of patients, staff and nephrologists.
520		<i>BMC nephrology</i> . 2016 Dec;17(1):192
521	40	. Doherty AR, Hodges SE, King AC, et al. Wearable cameras in health: the state of the
522		art and future possibilities. Am J Prev Med. 2013; 44 (3) 320- 323
523	41	. Lee IM and Shiroma EJ. Using accelerometers to measure physical activity in large-
524		scale epidemiological studies: issues and challenges. Br J Sports Med. 2014; 48 (3)
525		197-201.
526	42	. Miller NE, Welch WA, Doherty AR et al. Accuracy of behavioural assessment with a
527		wearable camera in semi-structured and free living conditions in older adults.
528		American College of Sports Medicine Annual Meeting 2017.
529		DOI:10.1249/01.mss.0000518714.94905.35
530	43	. Kelly P, Marshall SJ, Badland H, et al. An ethical framework for automated,
531		wearable cameras in health behaviour research. Am J Prev Med. 2013; 44 (3), 314-
532		319.
533	44	. K/DOQI Workgroup. K/DOQI clinical practice guidelines for cardiovascular disease
534		in dialysis patients. Am J of Kidney Dis. 2005; 45:S1-S153.
535	45	. Morishita Y and Nagata. Strategies to improve physical activity by exercise training
536		in patients with chronic kidney disease. Int J Nephrol Renovasc Dis. 2015; 8, 19-24.

537	46. Zhao QG, Zhang HR, Wen X, et al. Exercise interventions on patients with end-stage
538	renal disease: a systematic review. Clinical rehabilitation. 2019; 33 (2):147-56
539	47. Malgioni AM, Catizone L, Mandini S, et al. Acute and long-term effects of an
540	exercise program for dialysis patients prescribed in hospital and at home. J Nephrol.
541	2008; 21 (6) 871-78.
542	48. British Renal Society Rehabilitation Network (2018) Accessed on July 3rd
543	2019. Available at: https://britishrenal.org/aboutus/special-interest-groups/
544	49. Delgado C, Johansen KL. Deficient counseling on physical activity among
545	nephrologists. Nephron Clin Pract. 2010;116(4):c330-336.
546	

549 Table 1: Characteristics of study participants

	(70)	Interviewed Group
Non Interviewed Grou	• • •	(<i>n</i> =20)
Male: Female	55:23	11:9
Age, years median (IQR)	68 (55-79)	59.7 (47-74)
RRT Vintage months, median (IQR)	42 (18-102)	48 (18-120)
HD Vintage months, median (IQR)	24.5 (6-51.7)	23.5 (7-54.7)
Ethnicity		
Caucasian	63	20
Black	9	0
South Asian	6	0
Other	0	0
Primary Diagnosis		
Glomerulonephritis/	14 (18%)	5
IgA Nephropathy/		
FSGN		
Diabetic Nephropathy	18 (23%)	3
Hypertensive/Renovascular	7 (9%)	0
Polycystic Disease	1 (1%)	2
Pyelonephritis	2(3%)	2
Renal Dysplasia	1 (1%)	0
Other or Unknown	35(49%)	8

RRT= Renal Replacement Therapy, HD = Haemodialysis, IQR = Interquartile Range FSGN = Focal Segmental Glomuleronephritis

Figure 1: Progression of study. In the non-camera group, one patient withdrew due to a decline in
 health. One voluntary withdrew as they received a kidney transplant during the study. 1 did not
 return pre-intervention HAP questionnaire.

- 154 eligible patients of whom 110 were invited to participate in study (n=110)Informed consent obtained (*n*=101) Participants completed pre-intervention HAP and EQ5D3L questionnaires (*n*=98) Subgroup consented to wear camera and wrist worn accelerometer and interview (n=20). Devices asked to be worn for 7 days. Wearable devices downloaded on same dialysis day of return Semi structured interviews completed (*n*=20) Post intervention HAP and EQ5D questionnaires completed (n=20)Patient device and satisfaction questionnaire completed (n=20)Semi structured interviews coded and camera data annotated by 2 independent researchers